

1) Exercise 19.3-1 on page 522:

A node is marked if one of its children have been promoted. So, assume that x is the min root (value $x = 20$) and it has two children with larger values (left child = 30, right child = 40). Now, we perform FIB-HEAP-DECREASE-KEY on the left child so that its value (left child = 15) becomes smaller than the value of x . This (left child = 15 and root $x = 20$) violates the min heap property. So, the left child will be cut off causing the parent root x to become marked. Then CASCADING-CUT is performed on the cut child and it cascades up and joins the root list. Therefore, it doesn't matter to the analysis that x is a marked root since none of the operations depend on this.

Citations: 1) http://paul.rutgers.edu/~abasit/cs513/hw3_sol.pdf, Author: Abdul Basit, Title: Homework 3 Solutions, Date: unknown.

2) <https://github.com/klutometis/clrs/blob/master/20.3/20.3-1.txt>, Author: Peter Danenberg, Title: clrs/20.3/20.3-1.txt, Date: Oct. 27, 2008.

3) <http://www.chegg.com/homework-help/suppose-root-x-fibonacci-heap-marked-explain-x-came-marked-r-chapter-20.3-problem-1e-solution-9780070131514-exc>, Author: unknown, Title: CH20.3,1E, Date: unknown.

2) Problem 19-3 on page 529: More Fibonacci-heap operations**a) Fib-Heap-Change-Key(H, x, k)**

```

if  $k > \text{key}[x]$ 
    then Fib-Heap-Delete( $H, x$ )           //O(lg n)
         $y \leftarrow$  new node w/  $\text{key}=k$ 
        Fib-Heap-Insert( $H, y$ )           //O(1)
    else Fib-Heap-Decrease-Key( $H, x, k$ ) //O(1)
```

Amortized running time:

$k \leq \text{key}[x]$: $O(1)$ Since in a Fibonacci Heap the Decrease-Key function has only $O(1)$ actual cost and amortized cost and this is the only function we need to use when $k \leq \text{key}[x]$.

$k > \text{key}[x]$: $O(\lg n) + O(1) = O(\lg n)$ This is because Delete function depends on Decrease-Key= $O(1)$ and Extract-Min= $O(\lg n)$ functions, so, Delete has amortized cost of $O(\lg n)$. Then along with Delete we also use Insert function which has actual and amortized cost= $O(1)$. Therefore, by taking the sum of both function's amortized cost we get $O(\lg n)$ amortized runtime when $k > \text{key}[x]$.

b) Fib-Heap-Prune(H, r).

```

 $i \leftarrow 1$ 
while  $i \leq r$ 
    do get the  $i$ -th leaf  $x$  from leaf list
     $y \leftarrow p(x)$ 
    Fib-Heap-Delete( $H, x, y$ )
    Fib-Heap-Cascading-Cut( $H, y$ )
     $i++$ 
```

We can delete nodes from leaves, so no rearrangements of the heap data structure or operations are necessary. Each single node deletion is, in the best case= $O(1)$ and in the worst case= $O(\lg n)$ if we need to cascade up the heap to the top.

Amortized analysis: Let $t(H)$ =number of trees in the root list of H , $m(H)$ =number of marked nodes in H , $n(H)$ =number of nodes in the heap, $\Phi(D_i)$ =potential of Fibonacci heap after deletion, and $\Phi(D_{i-1})$ =potential of Fibonacci heap before deletion.

So, $\Phi(D_i) = t(H) + 2m(H) + n(H)$ is the original potential of the heap given on page 509 with number of nodes in H added. Now we have,

$s = \min(r, n[H])$ which means s =number of nodes deleted. Then for the difference we get, $\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) = -s$, this means that the difference in the potential after deletion is the number of nodes deleted. So, the difference in potential is a constant.

Therefore, we calculate the amortized cost as the sum of the actual cost= $O(1)$ of pruning and the difference in potential as follows.

Amortized cost = $c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$

= $c_i * O(1) + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$ where c =number of prunes is a constant

= $s * O(1) - s$, where s =number of nodes deleted is a constant

= $O(s)$, where in s is a constant number therefore this can be written as $O(1)$

Thus, the amortized cost of FIB-HEAP-PRUNE will be of constant time $O(1)$.

Citations:

- 1) https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=6&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0ahUKEwjI7o_q9uflAhWhmIMKHQ5PB5AQFgg5MAU&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.cs.uml.edu%2F~buford%2F91.503%2Fasn2_soln.doc&usg=AFQjCNGg_6kuUPK80SpIttyk_fuGuGmQMA, Author: unknown, Title: Sample Solutions for 91.503 Assignment #2, Date: unknown.
- 2) <http://www.chegg.com/homework-help/wish-augment-fibonacci-heap-h-support-two-new-operations-wit-chapter-20.p-problem-2p-solution-9780070131514-exc>, Author: unknown, Title: CH20.P,2P, Date: unknown.
- 3) Chapter 19.1 thru 19.3 pages 509 to 530, Author: CLRS, Title: Introduction to Algorithms 3E, Date: 2009.
- 4) <http://cs.iit.edu/~cs430/scribbling/mar23.pdf>, Author: Edward M. Reingold, Title: in class scribbles (Mar 23), Date: March 23, 2016.