

Equation

We wish to find the function u in Eq. (8) of [1],

$$\nabla_{\parallel} u = \frac{2}{B^2} \mathbf{b} \times \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \ln B \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla u = \frac{2}{B^4} \nabla B \times \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \psi. \quad (1)$$

The magnetic field can be written

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \psi \times \nabla \theta + \iota \nabla \varphi \times \nabla \psi, \quad (2)$$

where ψ is the toroidal flux divided by 2π , and in Boozer coordinates

$$\mathbf{B} = I(\psi) \nabla \theta + J(\psi) \nabla \varphi + K(\psi, \theta, \varphi) \nabla \psi. \quad (3)$$

In Boozer coordinates the Jacobian is given by

$$g^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\nabla \psi \times \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \varphi} = \frac{\iota I + J}{B^2}. \quad (4)$$

Using

$$\nabla B = \frac{\partial B}{\partial \psi} \nabla \psi + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} \nabla \theta + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \varphi} \nabla \varphi \quad (5)$$

and Eq. 3 for the magnetic field we can write the RHS of Eq. 1 as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{B^4} \nabla B \times \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \psi &= \frac{2}{B^4} \left(I \frac{\partial B}{\partial \varphi} \nabla \varphi \times \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi + J \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} \nabla \theta \times \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi \right) = \\ &= \frac{2}{B^4} g^{-1/2} \left(J \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} - I \frac{\partial B}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \frac{2}{B^2} \frac{1}{\iota I + J} \left(J \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} - I \frac{\partial B}{\partial \varphi} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Using Eq. 2 instead for the magnetic field the LHS can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla u &= \frac{1}{B} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla u = \frac{1}{B} (\nabla \psi \times \nabla \theta + \iota \nabla \varphi \times \nabla \psi) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \psi} \nabla \psi + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \nabla \theta + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \nabla \varphi \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{B} \left(\iota \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \nabla \varphi \times \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \theta + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \nabla \psi \times \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) = \frac{1}{B} g^{-1/2} \left(\iota \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \frac{B}{\iota I + J} \left(\iota \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Setting Eqs. 6 and 7 equal we obtain

$$\frac{2}{B^3} \left(J \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} - I \frac{\partial B}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \left(\iota \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \right). \quad (8)$$

A homogeneous solution to Eq. 8 would be

$$u_h(\psi, \theta, \varphi) = u_h(\psi, \theta - \iota \varphi) \equiv u_h(\psi, \alpha), \quad (9)$$

where $\alpha = \theta - \iota \varphi$ is constant along a magnetic field line. Consequently on an irrational surface, where a field line trace out the whole surface, the homogeneous solution must be a flux function

$$u_h(\psi, \theta, \varphi) = u_h(\psi). \quad (10)$$

Solution

To solve Eq. 8 we assume that the solution can be written as a cosine Fourier series in a similar way to how the magnetic field is represented

$$u(\psi, \theta, \varphi) = \sum_{n,m} u_{n,m}(\psi) \cos(m\theta - n\varphi), \quad (11)$$

$$B(\psi, \theta, \varphi) = \sum_{n,m} B_{n,m}(\psi) \cos(m\theta - n\varphi). \quad (12)$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{n,m} -m u_{n,m}(\psi) \sin(m\theta - n\varphi), \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} = \sum_{n,m} n u_{n,m}(\psi) \sin(m\theta - n\varphi). \quad (14)$$

The LHS of Eq. 8 is

$$\frac{2}{B^3} \left(J \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} - I \frac{\partial B}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \left(-J \frac{\partial B^{-2}}{\partial \theta} + I \frac{\partial B^{-2}}{\partial \varphi} \right), \quad (15)$$

and we can define a new cosine Fourier series

$$B^{-2}(\psi, \theta, \varphi) \equiv h(\psi, \theta, \varphi) = \sum_{n,m} h_{n,m}(\psi) \cos(m\theta - n\varphi), \quad (16)$$

and solve

$$\left(-J \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} + I \frac{\partial h}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \left(\iota \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \right). \quad (17)$$

Substituting Eqs. 13 and 14 and the equivalent for h into Eq. 17 yields

$$\sum_{n,m} (Jm + nI) h_{n,m}(\psi) \sin(m\theta - n\varphi) = \sum_{n,m} (-\iota m + n) u_{n,m}(\psi) \sin(m\theta - n\varphi) \quad (18)$$

hence the cosine Fourier coefficients in Eq. 11 is found from the Fourier coefficients of $h = B^{-2}$,

$$u_{n,m}(\psi) = \frac{Jm + nI}{n - \iota m} h_{n,m}(\psi). \quad (19)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(M\theta - N\varphi) \sum_{n,m} h_{n,m}(\psi) \cos(m\theta - n\varphi) d\theta d\varphi = \\ = h_{N,M}(\psi) \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2(M\theta - N\varphi) d\theta d\varphi = \begin{cases} 4\pi^2 h_{N,M}(\psi) & M = N = 0 \\ 2\pi^2 h_{N,M}(\psi) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Therefore

$$h_{N,M}(\psi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} B^{-2} d\theta d\varphi & M = N = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(M\theta - N\varphi) B^{-2} d\theta d\varphi & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

However from Eq. 19 it is clear that $u_{0,0}(\psi)$ can not be defined, but $u_{0,0}(\psi)$ is simply a flux-function constant and can thus be put $u_{0,0}(\psi) = 0$.

Rational surface

On a rational surface, where $\iota = N/M$ we observe from Eq. 19 that $u_{N,M}(\psi)$ is infinite. However using $\alpha = \theta - \iota\varphi$ in the Fourier coefficient we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_{N,M}(\psi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(M\theta - N\varphi) B^{-2} d\theta d\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(M\alpha + M\iota\varphi - N\varphi) B^{-2} d\alpha d\varphi = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(M\alpha) B^{-2} d\alpha d\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(M\alpha) d\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} B^{-2} d\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We now note that from Eqs. 2 and 4

$$\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\varphi = \frac{1}{B} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla\varphi = \frac{1}{B} \nabla\psi \times \nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\varphi = \frac{B}{\iota I + J}, \quad (23)$$

and from [2] p.14

$$d\varphi = \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\varphi dl = \frac{B}{\iota I + J} dl \quad (24)$$

Eq. 22 can be rewritten as

$$h_{N,M}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(M\alpha) \frac{1}{\iota I + J} d\alpha \oint \frac{dl}{B}. \quad (25)$$

From [2] Eq. (25)

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \oint \frac{dl}{B} = 0, \quad (26)$$

which means that if there exists a pressure gradient (which we can assume) then $\oint \frac{dl}{B}$ is independent of α and therefore Eq. 25 yields

$$h_{N,M}(\psi) = 0. \quad (27)$$

The singularity in $u_{N,M}(\psi)$ in Eq. 19 from $\iota = N/M$ is cancelled by $h_{N,M}(\psi) = 0$.

Numerical details

The magnetic field in a stellarator can have a φ -period T_φ which is shorter than 2π , i.e. the slowest oscillating Fourier component is $N_\varphi = 2\pi/T_\varphi$ and all components are multiples of N_φ . Numerically it is thus better to evaluate the coefficients in Eq. 21 from

$$h_{N_\varphi \cdot N, M}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{2\pi}{T_\varphi} \int_0^{T_\varphi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(M\theta - N_\varphi \cdot N\varphi) B^{-2} d\theta d\varphi, \quad (28)$$

since all other coefficients will be 0.

Fixing ψ , (and consequently $I(\psi)$, $J(\psi)$) the magnetic field can be evaluated on a grid in θ - φ space

$$B(\psi, \theta, \varphi) = B_{i,j}. \quad (29)$$

Letting the grid consist of P_θ points in θ -space and P_φ points in φ -space, and defining the step lengths $l_\theta = 2\pi/P_\theta$ and $l_\varphi = T_\varphi/P_\varphi$, the grid points $i = 1, 2, \dots, P_\theta$ and $j =$

$1, 2, \dots, P_\varphi$ corresponds to $\theta_i = 0, l_\theta, 2l_\theta, \dots, 2\pi - l_\theta$ and $\varphi_j = 0, l_\varphi, 2l_\varphi, \dots, T_\varphi - l_\varphi$. The integral in Eq. 28 is thus approximated by a Riemann sum, according to

$$h_{N_\varphi \cdot N, M}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{2\pi}{T_\varphi} l_\theta l_\varphi \sum_{j=0}^{P_\varphi} \sum_{i=0}^{P_\theta} \cos(M\theta_i - N_\varphi \cdot N\varphi_j) B_{i,j}^{-2} = \frac{2}{P_\theta P_\varphi} \sum_{j=0}^{P_\varphi} \sum_{i=0}^{P_\theta} \cos(M\theta_i - N_\varphi \cdot N\varphi_j) B_{i,j}^{-2}. \quad (30)$$

Note that due to numerical reasons the number of Fourier harmonics used in the expansion should not be larger than the number of grid points, i.e. if $M > P_\theta$ and $N > P_\varphi$ then $h_{N, M}(\psi) = 0$.

Fluxes

For any quantity X , the flux surface average is computed from [2] Eq. (16)

$$\langle X \rangle = \frac{1}{V'} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} X g(\psi)^{1/2} d\theta d\varphi, \quad (31)$$

where

$$V'(\psi) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\psi)^{1/2} d\theta d\varphi \quad (32)$$

and from Eq. 4 $g(\psi)^{1/2} = (\iota I(\psi) + J(\psi)) / B^2$ in Boozer coordinates. Thus we can write

$$\langle X \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{X}{\hat{B}^2} d\theta d\varphi / \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\hat{B}^2} d\theta d\varphi. \quad (33)$$

where $\hat{B} = B/\bar{B}$ is the magnetic field normalized to some dimension \bar{B} .

The flux-surface averaged radial ion heat flux is in the Pfirsch-Schlüter regime given by Eq. (14) in [1]

$$\langle \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \chi \rangle = \frac{8\nu p}{5M} \left(\frac{B}{\Omega} \right)^2 \frac{\partial T}{\partial \chi} \left(\frac{\langle uB^2 \rangle^2}{\langle B^2 \rangle} - \langle u^2 B^2 \rangle \right) = \frac{8\nu nT}{5M} \left(\frac{Mc}{e} \right)^2 \frac{\partial T}{\partial \chi} \left(\frac{\langle uB^2 \rangle^2}{\langle B^2 \rangle} - \langle u^2 B^2 \rangle \right), \quad (34)$$

where $\nu = 4\pi^{1/2} n e^4 \ln \Lambda / (3M^{1/2} T^{3/2})$ while M and e are the ion mass and magnitude of the electron charge, and χ is the poloidal flux. Since

$$\nabla \chi = \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \psi} \nabla \psi = \iota \nabla \psi \quad (35)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \chi} = \frac{1}{\iota} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}, \quad (36)$$

we can rewrite Eq. 34 as

$$\langle \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle = \frac{8\nu nT}{5M} \left(\frac{Mc}{e} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\iota^2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \psi} \left(\frac{\langle uB^2 \rangle^2}{\langle B^2 \rangle} - \langle u^2 B^2 \rangle \right). \quad (37)$$

Particle flux

The coefficient L_{11} is obtained by putting $\frac{\partial T}{\partial \psi} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} = 0$. From Eqs. (131) and (96) in the SFINCS manual together with

$$\Delta = \frac{mc\bar{v}}{e\bar{B}\bar{R}} \quad (38)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11} &= (\text{particleFlux}) \frac{\bar{R}}{V'\bar{B}} \frac{4\left(\hat{J} + \iota\hat{I}\right)}{\hat{J}} \frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{J}\hat{T}^{3/2}} \frac{B_0}{\bar{B}} \left(\frac{d\hat{n}}{d\psi_N}\right)^{-1} = \\ &= \frac{\hat{\psi}_a V' \langle \Gamma_i \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle}{\Delta^2 n \bar{v} \bar{R}^2} \frac{\bar{R}}{V'\bar{B}} \frac{4\left(\hat{J} + \iota\hat{I}\right)}{\hat{J}} \frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{J}\hat{T}^{3/2}} \frac{B_0}{\bar{B}} \left(\frac{d\hat{n}}{d\psi_N}\right)^{-1} = \frac{e^2 \hat{\psi}_a \bar{R}}{m^2 c^2 \bar{v}^3} \frac{4\left(\hat{J} + \iota\hat{I}\right)}{\hat{J}^2} \frac{B_0}{\bar{n}\hat{T}^{3/2}} \left(\frac{d\hat{n}}{d\psi_N}\right)^{-1} \langle \Gamma_i \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

From [1] Eq. (26) with $\eta = 0.96nT/\nu$, $\mathbf{J} = e\Gamma_i$ and using Eqs. 35, 36, the particle flux is obtained as

$$\langle \Gamma_i \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\iota^2} \frac{3}{4} c^2 \frac{0.96nT}{\nu} G_1(\psi) \frac{T}{e^2 n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial \psi} = \frac{3c^2}{4\iota^2 e^2} \frac{0.96T^2}{\nu} G_1(\psi) \frac{\partial n}{\partial \psi}. \quad (40)$$

Using

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial \psi} = \frac{\bar{n}}{\hat{\psi}_a} \frac{\partial \hat{n}}{\partial \psi_N} = \frac{\bar{n}}{\hat{\psi}_a \bar{R}^2 \bar{B}} \frac{\partial \hat{n}}{\partial \psi_N}, \quad (41)$$

and

$$\nu = \left(\frac{T}{m}\right)^{1/2} \frac{B_0}{J + \iota I} \nu' = \left(\frac{\hat{T}}{2}\right)^{1/2} \bar{v} \frac{B_0}{\bar{R}\bar{B}(\hat{J} + \iota\hat{I})} \nu' \quad (42)$$

with Eq. 40 into Eq. 39 yields

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11} &= \frac{e^2 \hat{\psi}_a \bar{R}}{m^2 c^2 \bar{v}^3} \frac{4\left(\hat{J} + \iota\hat{I}\right)}{\hat{J}^2} \frac{B_0}{\bar{n}\hat{T}^{3/2}} \left(\frac{d\hat{n}}{d\psi_N}\right)^{-1} \frac{3c^2}{4\iota^2 e^2} 0.96T^2 \frac{\bar{R}\bar{B}(\hat{J} + \iota\hat{I})}{\left(\frac{\hat{T}}{2}\right)^{1/2} \bar{v} B_0 \nu'} G_1(\psi) \frac{\bar{n}}{\hat{\psi}_a \bar{R}^2 \bar{B}} \frac{\partial \hat{n}}{\partial \psi_N} = \\ &= \frac{0.96 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{1/2} \left(\hat{J} + \iota\hat{I}\right)^2}{\iota^2 \hat{J}^2} \frac{1}{m^2 \bar{v}^4} \frac{T^2}{\hat{T}^2} G_1(\psi) \frac{1}{\nu'} = \frac{0.96 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{1/2} \left(\hat{J} + \iota\hat{I}\right)^2}{4\iota^2 \hat{J}^2} G_1(\psi) \frac{1}{\nu'}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Before we proceed we define a normalized parallel gradient from Eq. 7

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} = \bar{R} \nabla_{\parallel} = \bar{R} \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla = \frac{\bar{R}B}{(\iota I + J)} \left(\iota \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \frac{\hat{B}}{(\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J})} \left(\iota \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right). \quad (44)$$

$G_1(\psi)$ we obtain from Eq. (27) which, written in terms of SFINCS normalization with \hat{u} defined according to

$$\hat{u} \equiv u\bar{B}/\bar{R} \Leftrightarrow u = \hat{u}\bar{R}/\bar{B} \quad (45)$$

, is

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1(\psi) &= \frac{\left\langle \left(\frac{1}{\bar{R}} \hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \ln \hat{B} \right) \frac{1}{\bar{R}} \hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \left(\hat{u} \bar{R} / \bar{B} \cdot \bar{B}^2 \hat{B}^2 \right) \right\rangle^2}{\left\langle \left(\frac{1}{\bar{R}} \hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \bar{B} \hat{B} \right)^2 \right\rangle} - \left\langle \left[\frac{\frac{1}{\bar{R}} \hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \left(\hat{u} \bar{R} / \bar{B} \cdot \bar{B}^2 \hat{B}^2 \right)}{\bar{B} \hat{B}} \right]^2 \right\rangle = \\
 &= \frac{\left\langle \left(\hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \ln \hat{B} \right) \hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \left(\hat{u} \hat{B}^2 \right) \right\rangle^2}{\left\langle \left(\hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \hat{B} \right)^2 \right\rangle} - \left\langle \left[\frac{\hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \left(\hat{u} \hat{B}^2 \right)}{\hat{B}} \right]^2 \right\rangle. \quad (46)
 \end{aligned}$$

The parallel gradients of interest are

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \ln \hat{B} = \frac{1}{(\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J})} \left(\iota \frac{\partial \hat{B}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \hat{B}}{\partial \varphi} \right), \quad (47)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \hat{B} = \hat{B} \hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \ln \hat{B} \quad (48)$$

and

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\parallel} \left(\hat{u} \hat{B}^2 \right) = \frac{\hat{B}}{(\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J})} \left(\iota \hat{B}^2 \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \theta} + 2 \iota \hat{B} \hat{u} \frac{\partial \hat{B}}{\partial \theta} + \hat{B}^2 \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \varphi} + 2 \hat{B} \hat{u} \frac{\partial \hat{B}}{\partial \varphi} \right). \quad (49)$$

SFINCS

TODO: Fix this section, contains error

In SFINCS

$$\text{nu_ii} = \frac{4(2\pi)^{1/2} n Z^4 e^4 \ln \Lambda}{3 M^{1/2} T^{3/2}} = \sqrt{2} \nu, \quad (50)$$

as compared to ν in [1]. Furthermore

$$\text{nu_ii} = \frac{\bar{v}}{\bar{R}} \text{nuN} = \frac{\bar{v}}{\bar{R}} \frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{T}^{3/2}} \text{nu_nbar}, \quad (51)$$

and therefore

$$\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\bar{v}}{\bar{R}} \frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{T}^{3/2}} \text{nu_nbar}. \quad (52)$$

Also in SFINCS

$$\frac{Mc}{e} = \frac{\bar{B} \bar{R}}{\bar{v}} \text{Delta} \quad (53)$$

in Gaussian units, $n = \hat{n} \bar{n}$, $T = \hat{T} \bar{T}$, $\psi = \psi_N \psi_a$ where ψ_a is the toroidal flux of the last closed flux surface, and

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \psi} = \frac{\bar{T}}{\psi_a} \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial \psi_N}, \quad (54)$$

where $\psi_a = \hat{\psi}_a \bar{B} \bar{R}^2$.

From $I = \hat{I}\bar{B}\bar{R}$, $J = \hat{J}\bar{B}\bar{R}$, $h = B^{-2} = \hat{B}^{-2}\bar{B}^{-2} \equiv \hat{h}\bar{B}^{-2}$ and Eq. 19 we see that

$$u_{n,m}(\psi) = \frac{\hat{J}\bar{B}\bar{R}m + n\hat{I}\bar{B}\bar{R}}{n - \iota m} \hat{h}_{n,m}(\psi) \bar{B}^{-2}. \quad (55)$$

Hence it is convenient to define

$$\hat{u} \equiv u\bar{B}/\bar{R} \Leftrightarrow u = \hat{u}\bar{R}/\bar{B} \quad (56)$$

with

$$\hat{u}_{n,m}(\psi) = \frac{\hat{J}m + n\hat{I}}{n - \iota m} \hat{h}_{n,m}(\psi). \quad (57)$$

Then Eq. 37 is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle &= \frac{8}{5} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\bar{v}}{\bar{R}} \frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{T}^{3/2}} \text{nu_nbar} \frac{\hat{n}\bar{n}\hat{T}\bar{T}}{M} \left(\frac{\bar{B}\bar{R}}{\bar{v}} \text{Delta} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\iota^2} \frac{\bar{T}}{\hat{\psi}_a \bar{B} \bar{R}^2} \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial \psi_N} \left(\frac{\left\langle \frac{\hat{u}\bar{R}/\bar{B} (\hat{B}\bar{B})^2}{(\hat{B}\bar{B})^2} \right\rangle^2}{\left\langle (\hat{B}\bar{B})^2 \right\rangle} - \left\langle (\hat{u}\bar{R}/\bar{B})^2 (\hat{B}\bar{B})^2 \right\rangle \right) = \\ &= \frac{8}{5\sqrt{2}} \frac{\bar{n}\bar{T}^2 \bar{B}\bar{R}}{\bar{v}} \frac{\hat{n}^2}{M \iota^2 \hat{\psi}_a \hat{T}^{1/2}} \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial \psi_N} \left(\frac{\left\langle \hat{u}\hat{B}^2 \right\rangle^2}{\left\langle \hat{B}^2 \right\rangle} - \left\langle \hat{u}^2 \hat{B}^2 \right\rangle \right) \text{nu_nbar} \cdot \text{Delta}^2 = \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5} \text{Delta}^2 \frac{n\bar{v}^3 M \bar{B}\bar{R}}{\hat{\psi}_a} \frac{\hat{n}}{\iota^2 \hat{T}^{1/2}} \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial \psi_N} \left(\frac{\left\langle \hat{u}\hat{B}^2 \right\rangle^2}{\left\langle \hat{B}^2 \right\rangle} - \left\langle \hat{u}^2 \hat{B}^2 \right\rangle \right) \text{nu_nbar}. \quad (58) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$V' = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \psi} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g^{1/2} d\theta d\varphi = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\iota I + J}{B^2} d\theta d\varphi = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\iota \bar{B}\bar{R}\hat{I} + \bar{B}\bar{R}\hat{J}}{(\bar{B}\hat{B})^2} d\theta d\varphi = \frac{\bar{R}}{\bar{B}} (\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J}) \hat{V}'. \quad (59)$$

Combining Eqs. 58 and 59 the heat flux is

$$V' \langle \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle = \text{Delta}^2 \frac{n\bar{v}^3 M \bar{R}^2}{\hat{\psi}_a} \frac{\sqrt{2} \hat{n}}{5} \frac{(\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J}) \hat{V}'}{\iota^2 \hat{T}^{1/2}} \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial \psi_N} \left(\frac{\left\langle \hat{u}\hat{B}^2 \right\rangle^2}{\left\langle \hat{B}^2 \right\rangle} - \left\langle \hat{u}^2 \hat{B}^2 \right\rangle \right) \text{nu_nbar}, \quad (60)$$

to be compared with Eq. (104) in the SFINCS Technical Documentation.

The quantity in SFINCS called heatFlux is

$$\text{heatFlux} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \hat{n}}{5} \frac{(\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J}) \hat{V}'}{\iota^2 \hat{T}^{1/2}} \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial \psi_N} \left(\frac{\left\langle \hat{u}\hat{B}^2 \right\rangle^2}{\left\langle \hat{B}^2 \right\rangle} - \left\langle \hat{u}^2 \hat{B}^2 \right\rangle \right) \text{nu_nbar}. \quad (61)$$

Furthermore

$$\nu' = \frac{1}{\hat{T}^{1/2}} \frac{\bar{B}}{B_0} (\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J}) \text{nuN} = \frac{1}{\hat{T}^{1/2}} \frac{\bar{B}}{B_0} (\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J}) \frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{T}^{3/2}} \text{nu_nbar} \quad (62)$$

i.e.

$$\text{nu_nbar} = \frac{\hat{T}^2 B_0}{\hat{n} \bar{B} (\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J})} \nu'. \quad (63)$$

Consequently

$$\text{heatFlux} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5} \frac{\hat{V}' \hat{T}^{3/2}}{\iota^2} \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial \psi_N} \frac{B_0}{\bar{B}} \left(\frac{\langle \hat{u} \hat{B}^2 \rangle^2}{\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle} - \langle \hat{u}^2 \hat{B}^2 \rangle \right) \nu'. \quad (64)$$

SFINCS Technical Documentation Eq. (135) gives the coefficient $L_{2,2}$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{2,2} &= \text{heatFlux} \frac{\bar{R}}{V' \bar{B}} \frac{8 (\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J})}{\hat{J}} \frac{1}{\hat{T}^{3/2} \hat{J}} \frac{B_0}{\bar{B}} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial \psi_N} \right)^{-1} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5} \left(\frac{B_0}{\bar{B}} \right)^2 \frac{\bar{R}}{\bar{B}} \frac{8 (\iota \hat{I} + \hat{J})}{\iota^2 V' \hat{J}^2} \hat{V}' \left(\frac{\langle \hat{u} \hat{B}^2 \rangle^2}{\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle} - \langle \hat{u}^2 \hat{B}^2 \rangle \right) \nu' = \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5} \left(\frac{B_0}{\bar{B}} \right)^2 \frac{8}{\iota^2 \hat{J}^2} \left(\frac{\langle \hat{u} \hat{B}^2 \rangle^2}{\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle} - \langle \hat{u}^2 \hat{B}^2 \rangle \right) \nu'. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Axisymmetric analytical model

In the axisymmetric limit u is given by [1] Eq. (15), apart from a flux function

$$u_{\text{as}} = -\frac{J}{B^2} = -\frac{\hat{J} \bar{B} \bar{R}}{(\bar{B} \hat{B})^2} = -\frac{\bar{R}}{\bar{B}} \frac{\hat{J}}{\hat{B}^2} \Rightarrow \hat{u}_{\text{as}} = -\frac{\hat{J}}{\hat{B}^2}. \quad (66)$$

TODO: Compare axisymmetric model to Simakov

TODO: Compare pure helical model to analytical, $\epsilon_t = 0$, $\epsilon_h \neq 0$

Pfirsch-Schlüter regime test cases

The Pfirsch-Schlüter regime is define from

$$\nu^* \equiv \frac{\nu_{ii} R}{\iota v_T} \gg 1, \quad (67)$$

which means that in SFINCS

$$\nu_n \equiv \frac{\nu_{ii} \bar{R}}{\bar{v}} \gg 1. \quad (68)$$

Since

$$\nu_n = \text{nu_nbar} \frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{T}^{3/2}}, \quad (69)$$

we should choose a large \hat{n} and/or a small \hat{T} .

Large-aspect ratio tokamak

Input

B_0/\bar{B}	1.55
ϵ_t	-0.178539
ϵ_h	0
helicity _{<i>l</i>}	0
helicity _{<i>n</i>}	0
ι	0.397543
\hat{G}	6.2
\hat{I}	0.0785669
$\hat{\psi}_a$	0.323266
\hat{T}	0.0001
\hat{n}	1.0
$\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial \psi}$	0
$\frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial \psi}$	-0.2
$\frac{\partial \hat{n}}{\partial \psi}$	-0.2
\hat{E}	0
collisionOperator	0

Output

Sources: -0.00140448, 0.000612761
FSADensityPerturbation: -1.1166e-15
FSAFlow: -0.000103857
FSAPressurePerturbation: 0
particleFlux: -3.84474e-05
momentumFlux: -4.3654e-12
heatFlux: 0.000161097

References

- [1] A. N. Simakov, P. Helander, *Phys. Plasmas* **16**, 042503 (2009).
- [2] P. Helander, Theory of plasma confinement in non-axisymmetric magnetic fields (2013).