Exercise session 8: LTL and CTL

Laurent.Janssens@cs.kuleuven.be Ingmar.Dasseville@cs.kuleuven.be

November 17, 2015

1 Check equivalence

Which of the following pairs of CTL formulas are equivalent? For those which are not, exhibit a model of one of the pair which is not a model of the other:

- 1. EF ϕ and EG ϕ
- 2. EF $\phi \vee$ EF ψ and EF $(\phi \vee \psi)$
- 3. AF $\phi \vee$ AF ψ and AF $(\phi \vee \psi)$
- 4. AF $\neg \phi$ and $\neg EG \phi$
- 5. EF $\neg \phi$ and \neg AF ϕ
- 6. A $(\phi_1 \ U \ A \ (\phi_2 \ U \ \phi_3))$ and A $(A \ (\phi_1 \ U \ \phi_2) \ U \ \phi_3)$, hint: it might make it simpler if you think first about models that have just one path
- 7. \top and $AG \phi \rightarrow EG \phi$
- 8. \top and EG $\phi \to AG \phi$
- 9. A $[\phi \cup \psi]$ and $\phi \wedge AF \psi$
- 10. A $[\phi \cup \psi] \vee A [\tau \cup \psi]$ and A $[(\tau \vee \phi) \cup \psi]$

2 Express in CTL and LTL

Express the following properties in CTL and LTL whenever possible. If neither is possible, try to express the property in CTL*:

- 1. Whenever p is followed by q (after finitely many steps), then the system enters an 'interval' in which no r occurs until t.
- 2. Event *p* precedes *s* and *t* on all computation paths. (You may find it easier to code the negation of that specification first)
- 3. After p, q is never true. (Where this constraint is meant to apply on all computation paths.)
- 4. Between the events q and r, event p is never true.
- 5. Transitions to states satisfying p occur at most twice.
- 6. Property p is true for every second state along a path.

3 Expressable in ...

- 1. Give example of an LTL-formula for which equivalent translation in CTL does not exist.
- 2. Give example of an CTL-formula for which equivalent translation in LTL does not exist.
- 3. Give example of an CTL*-formula for which equivalent translation in LTL either in CTL does not exist.

4 Proof the equivalence

Given the definitions:

- $\pi \models \psi \cup \phi$ iff there is some $i \geq 1$ such that $\pi^i \models \phi$ and for all $j = 1, \ldots, i-1$ we have $\pi^j \models \psi$
- $\pi \models \psi \ \mathrm{R} \ \phi$ iff either there is some $i \geq 1$ such that $\pi^i \models \psi$ and for all $j = 1, \ldots, i$ we have $\pi^j \models \phi$, or for all $k \geq 1$ we have $\pi^k \models \phi$

Proof the following theorem:

$$\neg(\psi \cup \phi) \equiv \neg\psi \land \neg\phi \tag{1}$$

5 Nim game

If you have time left, and haven't made the Nim game yet last session, complete this exercise. The assignment from last week can still be found on Toledo.