RMI Feedback

Distributed Systems















Key Criteria

- Understanding of RMI concepts (report + code)
 - → Serializable ⇔ Remote ⇔ Local
 - → Naming service
- Design of distributed application
 - → Distribution
 - → Session management
 - Synchronization
 - Central reservation system (facade)
- Does it work as requested (cf. assignment)?



General impression

- Main concepts are understood
- Biggest issue:
 - Reports (design decisions & diagrams)
 - Explain design decisions (reasoning)
 - Language
 - > Few "crucial" **mistakes in submitted code**





RMI CONCEPTS



Local ⇔ Serializable ⇔ Remote

- Main problem:
 - → When should objects be Serializable / Remote / Local?
 - → Not (well) addressed in design decisions
- Serializable
 - Only to transfer data (=> by value)
- Remote
 - → Transfer remote reference
 - Distributed services on shared data
- Not:
 - → Default Serializable if not Remote
- Remote & Serializable: contradictio in terminis



Naming service

- For distributed car rental agency
 - → Small yet crucial piece
- Why necessary?
 - → Many, distributed car rental companies (CRCs)
 - Central entry point for discovery CRCs and use
- How to realize?
 - → (a) Custom class for storing/looking up (name -> remote reference) or
 - → (b) Using the RMI registry
 - → MUST be remotely accessible







Design Decisions

- Which services on different hosts & remotely accessible?
- Use RMI registry or not?
 - RMI registry is a Naming service (nothing more!)
 - → Name ⇒ address of remote objects (remote reference)
- Remote vs local sessions
 - Design decisions!
 - → No combined solution possible
- Life-cycle management
 - Manual (drop ref and unexport)
 - Distributed garbage collector (keep no reference)



Design Decisions (cont.)

- Stateless vs stateful sessions
 - → ManagerSession is stateless
 - → One instance can serve all managers!
 - Compare: @Stateful, @Stateless in JEE







Design Reports

- To the point
 - No sequential stories!
- Most reports were consistent with the code
- BUT
 - → Bad writing style
 - → Bad textual structure
 - "why" part is often wrong/skipped/undervalued
 - UML diagrams
 - Missing annotations in class diagram (Remote, Serializable)
 - Missing connections between nodes in deployment diagram
 - Redundancy in sequence diagrams => use loops and alt structures



Writing style: rule #1

Bring a message



Writing style

- Structure
 - → Guide the reader
 - Top-down: start with overview and then refine (use subsections!)
 - → First your design, then alternatives
- Know your audience
 - → TAs know Java, RMI...
- Read your own text
 - → "are send"



Some terminology

- RMI server/The server (vague)
 - → Which "server"? (central agency vs. CarRentalCompany)
 - → Define what "server" refers to
 - Physical machine => hardware
 - Software component providing the service
- RMI registry/Naming service
 - → RMI registry is a naming service
- Example: "register the interface of remote objects to the RMI"
 - Register the <u>remote reference</u> to an object to <u>the RMI registry</u>
- Also at the exam!!!



Writing style: rule #2

Focus on the message



Writing style

- Weight the pros and cons of alternatives
- Declarative style
 - → Not conditional ("we think...", "one can...", "if...")
- Be confident
 - "... Probably does not create a bottleneck ..."



CONCURRENCY



Handling Concurrency

When synchronization?

- → 1) Multiple parallel requests are possible AND
- → 2) when this can lead to inconsistency
- → For example:
 - Confirm quotes
 - Creating sessions
 - Registering car rental companies

But:

Use fine grained locking (method <=> data structure)

Not:

- Most of the data retrieval methods
 - Example: createQuote (tentative reservation)
- When no parallel requests are possible
 - Example: ReservationSession (private per-tenant session)
- → otherwise: performance overhead!



Summary

- Student submissions: concepts mostly understood
- Take-away: Understanding
 - → Local ⇔ Serializable ⇔ Remote
 - Concurrency
 - **Synchronization** only where necessary!
- Take-away: Writing
 - Use correct terminology + be precise and to the point + explain why

