

**TITLE:** Implement A\* Algorithm for any game search problem

**AIM:** Aim of this practical is to apply algorithmic logic for any game

**OBJECTIVES:** Based on above main aim following are the objectives

1. To study A\* algorithm
2. To study different game search problems
3. To determine performance A\* algorithm in various games

**MOTIVATION:** To approximate the shortest path in real-life situations, like- in maps, games where there can be many hindrances.

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **A\* Search Algorithm:**

A\* Search algorithm is one of the best and popular technique used in path-finding and graph traversals. A\* Search algorithms, unlike other traversal techniques, it has “brains”. What it means is that it is really a smart algorithm which separates it from the other conventional algorithms. This fact is cleared in detail in below sections. And it is also worth mentioning that many games and web-based maps use this algorithm to find the shortest path very efficiently (approximation). Consider a square grid having many obstacles and we are given a starting cell and a target cell. We want to reach the target cell (if possible) from the starting cell as quickly as possible. Here A\* Search Algorithm comes to the rescue. What A\* Search Algorithm does is that at each step it picks the node according to a value-‘f’ which is a parameter equal to the sum of two other parameters – ‘g’ and ‘h’. At each step it picks the node/cell having the lowest ‘f’, and process that node/cell. We define ‘g’ and ‘h’ as simply as possible below

$g$  = the movement cost to move from the starting point to a given square on the grid, following the path generated to get there.

$h$  = the estimated movement cost to move from that given square on the grid to the final destination. This is often referred to as the heuristic, which is nothing but a kind of smart guess. We really don’t know the actual distance until we find the path, because all sorts of things can be in the way (walls, water, etc.). There can be many ways to calculate this ‘h’ which are discussed in the later sections.

### **Algorithm**

We create two lists – Open List and Closed List (just like Dijkstra Algorithm)

// A\* Search Algorithm

1. Initialize the open list

2. Initialize the closed list

put the starting node on the open  
list (you can leave its f at zero)

3. while the open list is not empty

a) find the node with the least f on  
the open list, call it "q"

b) pop q off the open list

c) generate q's 8 successors and set their  
parents to q

d) for each successor

i) if successor is the goal, stop search

$\text{successor.g} = \text{q.g} + \text{distance between}$   
successor and q

$\text{successor.h} = \text{distance from goal to}$   
successor (This can be done using many  
ways, we will discuss three heuristics-  
Manhattan, Diagonal and Euclidean  
Heuristics)

$\text{successor.f} = \text{successor.g} + \text{successor.h}$


ii) if a node with the same position as  
successor is in the OPEN list which has a

lower f than successor, skip this successor

iii) if a node with the same position as  
successor is in the CLOSED list which has  
a lower f than successor, skip this successor  
otherwise, add the node to the open list  
end (for loop)

e) push q on the closed list  
end (while loop)

So suppose as in the below figure if we want to reach the target cell from the source cell, then the A\* Search algorithm would follow path as shown below. Note that the below figure is made by considering Euclidean Distance as a heuristics.



### Heuristics:

We can calculate g but how to calculate h ?

A) Either calculate the exact value of h (which is certainly time consuming).

OR

B ) Approximate the value of h using some heuristics (less time consuming).

### **A) Exact Heuristics –**

We can find exact values of h, but that is generally very time consuming.

Below are some of the methods to calculate the exact value of h.

1) Pre-compute the distance between each pair of cells before running the A\* Search Algorithm. 2) If there are no blocked cells/obstacles then we can just find the exact value of h without any pre-computation using the distance formula/Euclidean Distance

### **B) Approximation Heuristics –**


There are generally three approximation heuristics to calculate h –

1) **Manhattan Distance** – It is nothing but the sum of absolute values of differences in the goal's x and y coordinates and the current cell's x and y coordinates respectively, i.e.,

$$h = \text{abs}(\text{current\_cell.x} - \text{goal.x}) + \text{abs}(\text{current\_cell.y} - \text{goal.y})$$

When to use this heuristic? – When we are allowed to move only in four directions only (right, left, top, bottom)

The Manhattan Distance Heuristics is shown by the below figure (assume red spot as source cell and green spot as target cell).



## 2) Diagonal Distance

It is nothing but the maximum of absolute values of differences in the goal's x and y coordinates and the current cell's x and y coordinates respectively, i.e.,

```
dx = abs(current_cell.x - goal.x)
```


```
dy = abs(current_cell.y - goal.y)
```

```
h = D * (dx + dy) + (D2 - 2 * D) * min(dx, dy)
```

where D is length of each node (usually = 1) and D2 is diagonal distance between each node (usually =  $\sqrt{2}$  ).

When to use this heuristic? – When we are allowed to move in eight directions only (similar to a move of a King in Chess)

The Diagonal Distance Heuristics is shown by the below figure (assume red spot as source cell and green spot as target cell).




### 3) Euclidean Distance

As it is clear from its name, it is nothing but the distance between the current cell and the goal cell using the distance formula

$$h = \sqrt{(current\_cell.x - goal.x)^2 + (current\_cell.y - goal.y)^2}$$

When to use this heuristic? – When we are allowed to move in any directions. The Euclidean Distance Heuristics is shown by the below figure (assume red spot as source cell and green spot as target cell).



#### Implementation:

We can use any data structure to implement open list and closed list but for best performance, we use a set data structure of C++ STL(implemented as Red-Black Tree) and a boolean hash table for a closed list.

The implementations are similar to Dijkstra's algorithm. If we use a Fibonacci heap to implement the open list instead of a binary heap/self-balancing tree, then the performance will become better (as Fibonacci heap takes  $O(1)$  average time to insert into open list and to decrease key)

**CONCLUSIONS:** Thus we have studied A\* algorithm in detail and implemented it in game search problem