#### **Discrete Mathematics**

## **Mathematical Logic**

DPP: 1

## Q1 Which of the following is tautology?

- $(A) (\sim p \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \sim q$
- (B)  $\sim$  (p  $\rightarrow$  q))  $\rightarrow$   $\sim$  q
- (C)  $[(\sim p \land q) \land (q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)]] \rightarrow \sim r$
- (D) None of these

# Q2 The statement [P V ( $P \longleftrightarrow Q$ ) V Q] is equivalent to

(A) P

- (B) Q
- (C) A tautology
- $(D)(P \land Q)$

## Q3 Consider the following statement

$$S_1$$
:  $[(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow r)] \Rightarrow (r \Rightarrow p)$ 

$$S_2$$
:  $[(p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow r)] \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$ 

Which of the following is/are correct?

- (A) S<sub>1</sub> is contingency
- (B) S<sub>2</sub> is tautology
- (C) S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> both contingency
- (D)  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  both Tautology

## **Q4** Which of the following is valid?

$$S_1: p \Rightarrow (q \ v \ r) \equiv (p \Rightarrow q) \ v \ (p \Rightarrow r)]$$

$$S_2$$
:  $p \Rightarrow (q \land r) \equiv (p \Rightarrow q) \land (p \Rightarrow r)$ 

- (A)  $S_1$  is valid and  $S_2$  is not valid
- (B)  $S_1$  is not valid and  $S_2$  is valid
- (C) Both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are valid
- (D) Neither  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is valid

### Q5 Which of the following is/are true.

(A) 
$$\{R \rightarrow S, P \rightarrow Q, R \lor P\} \Rightarrow S \lor Q$$

(B) 
$$\{ \sim R \rightarrow (S \rightarrow \sim T), \sim R \ V \ W, \sim P \rightarrow S, \sim W \} \Rightarrow T \rightarrow P$$

- (C)  $\{ \sim P \land Q, Q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R) \} \Rightarrow \sim R$
- (D)  $\{P \rightarrow R, P, Q \lor \sim R\} \Rightarrow Q$

## **Q6** Consider the following logical inferences.

 $I_1$ : "I will study discrete math or I will study English literature"

"I will not study discrete math"

Inference: I will not study English literature

 ${\rm I}_2$ : "If A works hard then B or C will enjoy themselves"

"If B enjoys himself then A will not work hard"

"If C enjoys himself then D will not enjoy himself"

Inference: - If A work hard then D will not enjoy himself

- (A) Both I<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>2</sub> are correct inferences
- (B) I<sub>1</sub> is correct but I<sub>2</sub> is not a correct inference
- (C) I<sub>1</sub> is not correct but I<sub>2</sub> is a correct inference
- (D) Both I<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>2</sub> are not correct inferences

## Q7 Which of the following is/are logical equivalence?

- I.  $\sim (p \rightarrow q)$
- II.  $\sim (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow r)$
- III. p∧~q
- IV.  $(p \ v \ q) \rightarrow r$
- (A) I and II
- (B) I and III
- (C) II and IV
- (D) II and III

#### **Q8** Consider the following statement

$$S_1: \sim (p \longleftrightarrow q)$$

$$S_2: p \longleftrightarrow \sim q$$

Which of the following is correct?

- (A)  $S_1$  is tautology
- (B) S<sub>2</sub> is contradiction
- (C)  $S_1$  is equivalent to  $S_2$
- (D) None of these

## **Q9** Consider the following statement

$$S_1 : \sim (p \ V (\sim p \ \Lambda \ q))$$

$$S_2: \sim p \wedge \sim q$$

Which of the following is correct?

- (A) S<sub>1</sub> is tautology
- (B) S<sub>2</sub> is contradiction
- (C)  $S_1$  is equivalence to  $S_2$
- (D)  $S_1$  is not equivalence to  $S_2$



iOS App

PW Website

**Q10**  $(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \leftrightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r)$  is

(A) Tautology

(B) Contingency

(C) Contradiction

(D) Can't be determined.



## **Answer Key**

Q1	(B)	Q6	(C) (A, B, D) (C) (C) (R)
Q2	(C)	Q7	(A, B, D)
Q3	(A, B)	Q8	(C)
Q4	(C)	Q9	(C)
Q5	(A B D)	Q10	(B)



## **Hints & Solutions**

## Q1 Text Solution:

- (a)  $( \sim p \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$   $\equiv ( \sim p \land (\sim p \lor q)) \rightarrow \sim q$   $\equiv ((\sim p \land \sim p) \lor (\sim p \land q)) \rightarrow \sim q$   $\equiv \sim p \rightarrow \sim q$  $\equiv p \lor \sim q \text{ (Not a tautology)}$
- (b)  $(\sim(p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \sim q$   $\equiv (\sim(\sim p \lor q)) \rightarrow \sim q$   $\equiv (p \land \sim q) \rightarrow \sim q$ 
  - =~(p∧~q) ∨~q = ~p∨q ∨~q
  - $\equiv T \text{ (tautology)}$
- (c)  $[(\sim p \land q) \land (q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q))] \rightarrow \sim r$   $\equiv [(\sim p \land q) \land (\sim q \lor \sim p \lor q)] \rightarrow \sim r$   $\equiv (\sim p \land q) \rightarrow \sim r$  $\equiv p \lor \sim q \lor \sim r \text{ (Not tautology)}$

## Q2 Text Solution:

 $\begin{array}{l} p \vee (p \leftrightarrow q) \vee Q \\ \equiv p \vee (p \wedge Q) \vee (\sim p \wedge \sim Q) \vee Q \\ \equiv p \vee (\sim p \wedge \sim q) \vee Q \\ \equiv T \end{array}$ 

#### Q3 Text Solution:

- $$\begin{split} S_1 \colon & [(p \to q) \land (q \to r)] \to (r \to p) \\ & \equiv [(\sim p \lor q) \land (\sim q \lor r)] \to (\sim r \lor p) \\ & \equiv [(\sim p \land \sim q) \lor (\sim p \land r) \lor (q \land r)] \to (\sim r \lor p) \\ & \equiv [(p \lor q) \land (p \lor \sim r) \land (\sim q \lor \sim r)] \lor (\sim r \lor p) \\ & \equiv p \lor \sim r \text{ (Contigency)} \end{split}$$
- **S<sub>2</sub>:**  $[(p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ It is the valid transitivity property of implication.

#### Q4 Text Solution:

S<sub>1</sub>: 
$$p \rightarrow (q \lor r) \equiv [(p \rightarrow q) \lor (p \rightarrow r)]$$
  
LHS:  $p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$   
 $\equiv \sim p \lor q \lor r$   
RHS:  $(p \rightarrow q) \lor (p \rightarrow r)$   
 $\equiv \sim p \lor q \lor \sim p \lor r$   
 $\equiv \sim p \lor q \lor r$   
 $\therefore$  LHS = RHS, S<sub>1</sub> is valid.  
S<sub>2</sub>:  $P \rightarrow (q \land r) \equiv [(p \rightarrow q) \land (p \rightarrow r)]$ 

#### Q5 Text Solution:

## Option (A)

True by using 'constructive dilemma'

## Option (B)

- 1.  $\neg R \rightarrow (S \rightarrow \neg T)$
- 2. ¬R∨W
- 3.  $\neg P \rightarrow S$
- 4. ¬W

We need to determine if  $T \rightarrow P$  follows.

- From premise 4 ( $\neg$ W), and premise 2 ( $\neg$ R $\lor$ W), we can conclude  $\neg$ R.
- Given  $\neg R$ , from premise 1, we get  $S \rightarrow \neg T$ .
- From premise 3,  $\neg P \rightarrow S$ , or requivalently,  $\neg S \rightarrow P$ .

Combining  $S \rightarrow \neg T$  with  $\neg S \rightarrow P$ :

- If T is true, then S must be false because S  $\rightarrow \neg T$ .
- If S is fale, then P must be true becasue  $\neg S \rightarrow P$ .

Thus, if T is true, P must be true, meaning  $T \rightarrow P$ . option (B) is true.

#### Option (c)

Given premises:

- 1. ¬P∧Q
- 2.  $Q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$

we need to determine if  $\neg R$  follows:

- From premise 1,  $\neg P$  and Q are both true
- Given Q is true, we consider the implication  $Q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$ 
  - P→R must be true because Q is true
- Since  $P \rightarrow R$  is equivalent to  $\neg P \lor R$ , and  $\neg P$  is true,  $\neg P \lor R$  is true, satisfying  $P \rightarrow R$ .

There is no information that guarantees R to be false or true independently from P. Hence,  $\neg R$  does not necessarily follow from the premises

given. Option (C) is false.

## Option (d)

Given premises:

- 1.  $P \rightarrow R$
- 2. P
- 3. Q∨¬R

We need to determine if Q follows.

- From premise 2, P is true.
- Given  $P \rightarrow R$  from premise 1, R must be true.
- Now, consider Q  $\vee \neg R$ . Since R is true, $\neg R$  is false
- Hence, Q  $\vee \neg R$  simplifies to Q  $\vee$  false, which means Q must be true.

Thus, Q must be true. Option (D) is true.

#### **Q6** Text Solution:

For I1,

Let p: "I will study discrete math"

q: "I will study English literature"

So premises will be,

- 1. p∨q
- 2. ¬p

From premise 2, p is false. Hence, q has to be true from making premise 1 true.

So, I1 is incorrect.

For I2

Let p: "A works hard"

q: "B enjoys himself"

r: "C enjoys himself"

s: "D enjoys himself"

So premises will be,

- 1.  $p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
- 2.  $q \rightarrow \neg p$
- 3.  $r \rightarrow \neg s$

The inference is  $p \rightarrow \neg s$ 

To proof by contradiction p and s has to true.

Premise 2 follows, if q is false,

Premise 1 follows, if r is false,

Now, in premise 3,

$$r \rightarrow \neg s \equiv T \rightarrow F \equiv F$$

So, antecedent becomes false and the conclusion follows.

Hence,  $I_2$  is correct.

### Q7 Text Solution:

$$| \cdot - (p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \land \neg q$$

II. 
$$\sim (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \land \sim q) \land (\sim q \lor r)$$
  
 $\equiv (p \land \sim q)$ 

IV. 
$$(p \lor q) \rightarrow r \equiv (\sim p \land \sim q) \lor r$$

So, I, II and III are equivalent to each other.

#### Q8 Text Solution:

$$S_1: \sim (p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \oplus q$$

$$S_2: p \leftrightarrow (\sim q) \equiv (p \land \sim q) \lor (\sim p \land q) = p \oplus q$$

### Q9 Text Solution:

$$S_1 : \sim (p \lor (\sim p \land q))$$

$$\equiv$$
 ~p $\wedge$ ~(~p $\wedge$ q)

$$S_2 : \sim p \land \sim q$$

## Q10 Text Solution:

Implication is not associative.

Р	Q	R	q→r	p→( q→r)	p→q	$(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$	$(p\rightarrow (q\rightarrow r) + (p\rightarrow q) + (p\rightarrow q)$
T	Т	T	Т	Т	Т	T	T
Т	Т	F	F	F	T	F	T
Т	F	T	Т	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	Т	Т	F	F	F
F	Τ	Т	Т	Т	Т	T	T
F	T	F	F	Т	Т	F	F
F	F	T	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	F

