Neural Networks: Representation


TOTAL POINTS 5

1.	Which of	the	following	statements	are	true?	Check all	that	app	oly	y.
----	----------	-----	-----------	------------	-----	-------	-----------	------	-----	-----	----


1 point

- The activation values of the hidden units in a neural network, with the sigmoid activation function applied at every layer, are always in the range (0, 1).
- A two layer (one input layer, one output layer; no hidden layer) neural network can represent the XOR function.
- \checkmark Any logical function over binary-valued (0 or 1) inputs x_1 and x_2 can be (approximately) represented using some neural network.
- Suppose you have a multi-class classification problem with three classes, trained with a 3 layer network. Let $a_1^{(3)}=(h_\Theta(x))_1$ be the activation of the first output unit, and similarly $a_2^{(3)}=(h_\Theta(x))_2$ and $a_3^{(3)}=(h_\Theta(x))_3$. Then for any input x, it must be the case that $a_1^{(3)}+a_2^{(3)}+a_3^{(3)}=1$.
- 2. Consider the following neural network which takes two binary-valued inputs $x_1, x_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ and outputs $h_{\Theta}(x)$. Which of the following logical functions does it (approximately) compute?

1 point



- OR
- AND
- NAND (meaning "NOT AND")
- XOR (exclusive OR)




$$\bigcirc \ a_1^{(3)} = g(\Theta_{1,0}^{(1)} \, a_0^{(1)} + \Theta_{1,1}^{(1)} \, a_1^{(1)} + \Theta_{1,2}^{(1)} \, a_2^{(1)})$$

$$\bigcirc \ a_1^{(3)} = g(\Theta_{1,0}^{(1)} \, a_0^{(2)} + \Theta_{1,1}^{(1)} \, a_1^{(2)} + \Theta_{1,2}^{(1)} \, a_2^{(2)})$$

- \bigcirc The activation $a_1^{(3)}$ is not present in this network.
- 4. You have the following neural network:

1 point



You'd like to compute the activations of the hidden layer $a^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. One way to do so is the following Octave code:


```
% Theta1 is Theta with superscript "(1)" from lecture
% ie, the matrix of parameters for the mapping from layer 1 (input) to layer 2
% Theta1 has size 3x3
% Assume 'sigmoid' is a built-in function to compute 1 / (1 + exp(-z))
a2 = zeros (3, 1);
for i = 1:3
```

```
for j = 1:3
    a2(i) = a2(i) + x(j) * Theta1(i, j);
end
a2(i) = sigmoid (a2(i));
end
```

You want to have a vectorized implementation of this (i.e., one that does not use for loops). Which of the following implementations correctly compute $a^{(2)}$? Check all that apply.

- a2 = sigmoid (Theta1 * x);
- a2 = sigmoid (x * Theta1);
- a2 = sigmoid (Theta2 * x);
- z = sigmoid(x); a2 = Theta1 * z;
- 5. You are using the neural network pictured below and have learned the parameters $\Theta^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 1.9 \\ 1 & 1.2 & 2.7 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (used to compute } a^{(2)} \text{) and } \Theta^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.2 & -1.7 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (used to compute } a^{(3)} \text{) as a function of } a^{(2)} \text{). Suppose you swap the parameters for the first hidden layer between its two units so } \Theta^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1.2 & 2.7 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 1.9 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and also swap the output layer so } \Theta^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.7 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ How will this change the value of the output } h_{\Theta}(x)$?

1 point



- It will stay the same.
- It will increase.
- It will decrease
- Insufficient information to tell: it may increase or decrease.

ayushisaxenamtr@gmail.com