## **Linear Regression with One Variable**

## TOTAL POINTS 5

1.	Consider the problem of predicting how well a student does in her second year of college/university, given how well she did in her first year.	1 point
	Specifically, let x be equal to the number of "A" grades (including A A and A+ grades) that a student receives in their first year of college (freshmen year). We would like to predict the value of y, which we define as the number of "A" grades they get in their second year (sophomore year).	
	Here each row is one training example. Recall that in linear regression, our hypothesis is $h_{ heta}(x)= heta_0+ heta_1x$ , and we use $m$ to denote the number of training examples.	
	х у	
	5 4	
	3 4 0 1	
	4 3	
	For the training set given above (note that this training set may also be referenced in other questions in	
	this quiz), what is the value of $m$ ? In the box below, please enter your answer (which should be a	
	number between 0 and 10).	
	4	
2.	For this question, assume that we are	1 point
	using the training set from Q1. Recall our definition of the	
	cost function was $J( heta_0, heta_1)=rac{1}{2m}\sum_{i=1}^m \left(h_ heta(x^{(i)})-y^{(i)} ight)^2.$	
	What is $J(0,1)$ ? In the box below,	
	please enter your answer (Simplify fractions to decimals when entering answer, and '.' as the decimal delimiter e.g., 1.5).	
	0.5	
	Suppose we set $ heta_0=-1,  heta_1=2$ in the linear regression hypothesis from Q1. What is $h_ heta(6)$ ?	1 point

11

ŀ.	Let $f$ be some function so that	1 point
	$f( heta_0, heta_1)$ outputs a number. For this problem,	
	f is some arbitrary/unknown smooth function (not necessarily the	
	cost function of linear regression, so $\boldsymbol{f}$ may have local optima).	
	Suppose we use gradient descent to try to minimize $f( heta_0,  heta_1)$	
	as a function of $ heta_0$ and $ heta_1$ . Which of the	
	following statements are true? (Check all that apply.)	
	$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	
	only speed up the convergence of gradient descent.	
	$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	
	as $lpha$ is sufficiently small, we can safely expect gradient descent to converge	
	to the same solution.	
	T is a contract to the live details	
	If $ heta_0$ and $ heta_1$ are initialized at	
	the global minimum, then one iteration will not change their values.	
	$igwedge$ If the first few iterations of gradient descent cause $f( heta_0, heta_1)$ to	
	increase rather than decrease, then the most likely cause is that we have set the	
	learning rate $lpha$ to too large a value.	
5.	Suppose that for some linear regression problem (say, predicting housing prices as in the lecture), we have some training set, and for our training set we managed to find some $\theta_0$ , $\theta_1$ such that $J(\theta_0,\theta_1)=0$ .	1 point
	Which of the statements below must then be true? (Check all that apply.)	
	Gradient descent is likely to get stuck at a local minimum and fail to find the global minimum.	
	Our training set can be fit perfectly by a straight line,	
	i.e., all of our training examples lie perfectly on some straight line.	
	$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	
	$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	
	so that $h_{ heta}(x)=0$	

## ayushisaxenamtr@gmail.com