

PRACTICAL 7

Q1. Regression with T-test: Using the teachers rating data set, does gender affect teaching evaluation rates?

The output should be:

OLS Regression Results						
Dep. Variable:		eval	R-squared:		0.022	
Model:		OLS	Adj. R-squared:		0.020	
Method:		Least Squares	F-statistic:		10.56	
Date:		Sat, 28 Sep 2024	Prob (F-statistic):		0.00124	
Time:		11:10:49	Log-Likelihood:		-378.50	
No. Observations:		463	AIC:		761.0	
Df Residuals:		461	BIC:		769.3	
Df Model:		1				
Covariance Type:						
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
const	4.0690	0.034	121.288	0.000	4.003	4.135
female	-0.1680	0.052	-3.250	0.001	-0.270	-0.066
Omnibus:		17.625	Durbin-Watson:		1.209	
Prob(Omnibus):		0.000	Jarque-Bera (JB):		18.970	
Skew:		-0.496	Prob(JB):		7.60e-05	
Kurtosis:		2.981	Cond. No.		2.47	

Q2. Regression with ANOVA: Using the teachers' rating data set, does beauty score for instructors differ by age?

The output should be:

	df	sum_sq	mean_sq	F	PR(>F)
age_group	2.0	20.422744	10.211372	17.597559	4.322549e-08

Q3. Correlation: Using the teachers' rating dataset, Is teaching evaluation score correlated with beauty score?¶

The output should be:

OLS Regression Results						
Dep. Variable:		eval	R-squared:		0.036	
Model:		OLS	Adj. R-squared:		0.034	
Method:		Least Squares	F-statistic:		17.08	
Date:		Sat, 28 Sep 2024	Prob (F-statistic):		4.25e-05	
Time:		11:20:13	Log-Likelihood:		-375.32	
No. Observations:		463	AIC:		754.6	
Df Residuals:		461	BIC:		762.9	
Df Model:		1				
Covariance Type:						
coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]	
const	3.9983	0.025	157.727	0.000	3.948	4.048
beauty	0.1330	0.032	4.133	0.000	0.070	0.196
Omnibus:		15.399	Durbin-Watson:		1.238	
Prob(Omnibus):		0.000	Jarque-Bera (JB):		16.405	
Skew:		-0.453	Prob(JB):		0.000274	
Kurtosis:		2.831	Cond. No.		1.27	