

## PRACTICAL 7

**Q1. Regression with T-test: Using the teachers rating data set, does gender affect teaching evaluation rates?**

The output should be:

[5]:

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:	eval	R-squared:	0.022
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.020
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	10.56
Date:	Sat, 28 Sep 2024	Prob (F-statistic):	0.00124
Time:	11:10:49	Log-Likelihood:	-378.50
No. Observations:	463	AIC:	761.0
Df Residuals:	461	BIC:	769.3
Df Model:	1		
Covariance Type:	nonrobust		

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
const	4.0690	0.034	121.288	0.000	4.003	4.135
female	-0.1680	0.052	-3.250	0.001	-0.270	-0.066

Omnibus:	17.625	Durbin-Watson:	1.209
Prob(Omnibus):	0.000	Jarque-Bera (JB):	18.970
Skew:	-0.496	Prob(JB):	7.60e-05
Kurtosis:	2.981	Cond. No.	2.47

**Q2. Regression with ANOVA: Using the teachers' rating data set, does beauty score for instructors differ by age?**

The output should be:

	df	sum_sq	mean_sq	F	PR(>F)
age_group	2.0	20.422744	10.211372	17.597559	4.322549e-08

**Q3. Correlation: Using the teachers' rating dataset, Is teaching evaluation score correlated with beauty score?**

The output should be:

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:	eval	R-squared:	0.036			
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.034			
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	17.08			
Date:	Sat, 28 Sep 2024	Prob (F-statistic):	4.25e-05			
Time:	11:20:13	Log-Likelihood:	-375.32			
No. Observations:	463	AIC:	754.6			
Df Residuals:	461	BIC:	762.9			
Df Model:	1					
Covariance Type:	nonrobust					
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
const	3.9983	0.025	157.727	0.000	3.948	4.048
beauty	0.1330	0.032	4.133	0.000	0.070	0.196
Omnibus:	15.399	Durbin-Watson:			1.238	
Prob(Omnibus):	0.000	Jarque-Bera (JB):			16.405	
Skew:	-0.453	Prob(JB):			0.000274	
Kurtosis:	2.831	Cond. No.			1.27	