Black-Litterman Model Documentation

1. Introduction

The Black-Litterman model is a sophisticated approach to portfolio optimization that blends the market equilibrium returns with an investor's unique views. Developed by Fischer Black and Robert Litterman in the early 1990s, this model addresses several limitations of the traditional mean-variance optimization framework, primarily related to the estimation of expected returns. The Black-Litterman model leverages a Bayesian framework to combine prior market information with subjective views, resulting in a more stable and intuitive set of expected returns. This documentation aims to provide a detailed and practical guide to implementing the Black-Litterman model using six assets and three views (one absolute and two relative).

2. Key Concepts

2.1 Equilibrium Market Returns

Equilibrium market returns represent the returns that are implied by the market's capitalization weights. These returns are typically derived from the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), which assumes that the market portfolio is mean-variance efficient. The equilibrium returns are denoted as Π and are calculated based on the market portfolio's excess returns over the risk-free rate.

2.2 Investor Views

Investor views are the subjective opinions about the expected performance of certain assets. These views can be either absolute or relative:

Absolute Views: These specify the expected return of a particular asset.

Relative Views: These specify the expected performance of one asset relative to another.

2.3 View Matrix (P)

The view matrix P is used to represent the investor's views in a structured format. Each row in the view matrix corresponds to a specific view and shows the weights of the assets involved in that view. For example, a view that Asset A will outperform Asset B can be represented by a row in the view matrix where the weight of Asset A is 1 and the weight of Asset B is -1.

2.4 Implied Equilibrium Return (Π)

The implied equilibrium return Π is the return vector that corresponds to the market equilibrium. It is calculated using the market capitalization weights and the covariance matrix of asset returns. The equilibrium return is derived by reverse-engineering the CAPM.

2.5 Bayesian Approach

The Black-Litterman model employs a Bayesian approach to combine the equilibrium returns with the investor's views. This approach allows for a systematic and quantifiable way to update the prior distribution (equilibrium returns) with new information (investor views), resulting in a posterior distribution that reflects both sources of information.

3. Steps to Implement the Black-Litterman Model

Implementing the Black-Litterman model involves several key steps, from defining the initial inputs to combining the investor's views with the equilibrium returns and ultimately optimizing the portfolio. This section outlines each step in detail.

Step 1: Defining Inputs

Assets and Data Collection

Selection of 6 Assets: Choose six assets for the portfolio. These assets could be stocks, bonds, or other investment vehicles.

Historical Return Data: Gather historical return data for the selected assets. This data will be used to calculate the covariance matrix and initial expected returns.

Market Capitalization Weights: Determine the market capitalization weights of the assets. These weights represent the proportion of each asset in the overall market portfolio.

Covariance Matrix (Σ)

Calculation Method: Calculate the covariance matrix Σ of the asset returns. The covariance matrix captures the pairwise covariances between the returns of the assets.

Example Covariance Matrix:

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} & \sigma_{14} & \sigma_{15} & \sigma_{16} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} & \sigma_{24} & \sigma_{25} & \sigma_{26} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} & \sigma_{34} & \sigma_{35} & \sigma_{36} \\ \sigma_{41} & \sigma_{42} & \sigma_{43} & \sigma_{44} & \sigma_{45} & \sigma_{46} \\ \sigma_{51} & \sigma_{52} & \sigma_{53} & \sigma_{54} & \sigma_{55} & \sigma_{56} \\ \sigma_{61} & \sigma_{62} & \sigma_{63} & \sigma_{64} & \sigma_{65} & \sigma_{66} \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 2: Calculating Implied Equilibrium Returns (Π)

CAPM-Based Approach

Market Risk Premium: Use the market risk premium (the expected market return minus the risk-free rate) to calculate the implied equilibrium returns.

Beta (β) Calculation: Determine the beta of each asset, which measures the asset's sensitivity to market movements.

Formula for Implied Returns:

Π = Risk-Free Rate + β * Market Risk Premium

where Π is the vector of implied equilibrium returns for the assets.

Reverse Optimization: Equilibrium Returns Calculation: Use reverse optimization to derive the equilibrium returns from the market capitalization weights and the covariance matrix.

Mathematical Formulation: Solve for Π using the following formula:

$$\Pi = \delta * \Sigma * w_m$$

where δ is a risk aversion parameter, Σ is the covariance matrix, and w_m is the vector of market capitalization weights.

Step 3: Incorporating Investor Views

Types of Views

Absolute Views: Specify the expected return of a particular asset.

Relative Views: Specify the expected performance of one asset relative to another.

Example Views

One Absolute View:

Asset A is expected to have a return of 8%.

Two Relative Views:

Asset B will outperform Asset C by 3%.

Asset D will outperform Asset E by 2%.

View Matrix (P) and View Vector (Q)

Definition and Formulation: Define the view matrix PPP and the view vector QQQ to represent the investor's views.

Example View Matrix and Vector:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0.08 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.02 \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 4: Combining Views with Equilibrium Returns

Black-Litterman Formula

Mathematical Formulation: Combine the equilibrium returns with the investor's views using the Black-Litterman formula:

$$E[R] = \left[(\tau\Sigma)^{-1} + P^T\Omega^{-1}P\right]^{-1}\left[(\tau\Sigma)^{-1}\Pi + P^T\Omega^{-1}Q\right]$$

where τ is a scalar representing uncertainty in the prior estimate, Σ is the covariance matrix, Π is the implied equilibrium return, P is the view matrix, Ω is the diagonal covariance matrix of the error terms, and Q is the view vector.

Calculation of Adjusted Expected Returns: Solve the formula to obtain the adjusted expected returns E[R].

Example Calculation

Step-by-Step Calculation: Provide a detailed example calculation using the defined inputs, views, and covariance matrix. This helps in understanding the practical application of the Black-Litterman model.

4. Mean-Variance Optimization (MVO)

Once the adjusted expected returns are obtained using the Black-Litterman model, the next step is to determine the optimal portfolio weights that maximize the investor's utility. This is achieved through Mean-Variance Optimization (MVO), which balances the trade-off between expected return and risk.

Step 1: Defining Inputs for MVO

Adjusted Expected Returns (from Black-Litterman)

Vector of Adjusted Returns (E[R]): The expected returns obtained from the Black-Litterman model, incorporating the equilibrium market returns and the investor's views.

Covariance Matrix (Σ)

Covariance Matrix: The same covariance matrix used in the Black-Litterman model, representing the variances and covariances between the asset returns.

Step 2: Optimization Problem

Objective Function

Maximizing Return for a Given Risk: The goal is to maximize the portfolio's expected return for a given level of risk. The objective function for this problem is:

Maximize:

$$w^T E[R] - \frac{\lambda}{2} w^T \Sigma w$$

where w is the vector of portfolio weights, E[R] is the vector of adjusted expected returns, Σ is the covariance matrix, and λ is the risk aversion coefficient.

Constraints

Budget Constraint: The sum of the portfolio weights must equal 1:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} w_i = 1$$

where Σ denotes the sum and w_i are the individual weights of the assets.

Non-negativity Constraint: The weights of the assets should be non-negative (if short selling is not allowed):

$$W_i \geq 0$$

Example Constraints

For a portfolio with 6 assets, the constraints can be represented as:

$$w1 + w2 + w3 + w4 + w5 + w6 = 1$$

$$w1, w2, w3, w4, w5, w6 \geq 0$$

Step 3: Solving the Optimization

Quadratic Programming

Optimization Technique: Quadratic programming is used to solve the mean-variance optimization problem. Quadratic programming is suitable for problems where the objective function is quadratic, and the constraints are linear.

Numerical Optimization Techniques

Software and Tools: Various software packages and tools, such as MATLAB, Python (using libraries like NumPy and SciPy), and R, can be used to perform the optimization.

Example Optimization

Step-by-Step Calculation: Provide a detailed example of solving the MVO problem using the adjusted returns and the covariance matrix. This should include setting up the objective function, defining the constraints, and using an optimization solver to find the optimal weights.

5. Tau (τ)

- Definition: τ is a scalar that reflects the confidence in the prior estimates of the equilibrium returns (π). In essence, it scales the covariance matrix of returns to indicate how much we trust the historical estimates of returns.
- Purpose: The parameter τ adjusts the weight given to the prior (historical data) versus the investor's views. A smaller τ implies less confidence in the equilibrium returns and more weight given to the investor's views.
- Common Values: A typical value for τ is 0.025, but it can vary depending on the specific context or the level of confidence in the historical data.

5.1 Uncertainty Matrix (Ω)

Definition:
Ω represents the uncertainty (variance) associated with the investor's views. It is a diagonal matrix where each diagonal element corresponds to the variance of a particular view.

Formulation:
The uncertainty matrix can be formulated using the covariance matrix of the asset returns (Σ), the view matrix (P), and the scalar τ. The formula is:

$$\Omega_{ii} = P_i \Sigma P_i^T \tau$$

Here:

- Σ is the covariance matrix of the asset returns.
- P_i is the i-th row of the view matrix P.
- τ is the scalar representing the confidence in the equilibrium returns.

5.2 Diagonal Entries Calculation

First, calculate $P_1 \Sigma P_1^T \tau$. This will be the first diagonal entry, where P_1 is the first row of the view matrix P.

Then, calculate $P_2 \Sigma P_2^T \tau$. This will be the second diagonal entry, where P_2 is the second row of the view matrix P .

5.3 Uncertainty Matrix Ω

In the uncertainty matrix Ω, we only need to multiply the specific rows of P with Σ and τ to calculate the variances for the views, instead of multiplying the whole matrix P.

Therefore, Ω is computed as:

$$\Omega_{ii} = P_i \Sigma P_i T \tau$$

for each view i.

5.4 Covariance Matrix Calculation

Calculate the covariance matrix Σ of the asset returns. This matrix captures the variances and covariances between the returns of the assets.

5.5 Equilibrium Returns Π

The implied equilibrium returns Π are calculated using the formula:

$$\Pi = \delta \Sigma w_m$$

where δ is the risk aversion coefficient, Σ is the covariance matrix, and w_m is the vector of market capitalization weights.

5.6 Combining Views with Equilibrium Returns

Combine the equilibrium returns with the investor's views using the Black-Litterman formula:

$$\mu = \left((\tau\Sigma)^{-1} + P^T \Omega^{-1} P \right)^{-1} \left((\tau\Sigma)^{-1} \Pi + P^T \Omega^{-1} Q \right)$$

where:

- τ is a scalar representing uncertainty in the prior estimate.
- Σ is the covariance matrix.
- Π is the implied equilibrium return.
- P is the view matrix.
- Ω is the diagonal covariance matrix of the error terms.
- Q is the view vector.

5.7 Example

Calculation of Omega (Ω):

Consider a simple example with 3 assets and 2 views:

1. Covariance Matrix (Σ):

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.05 & 0.02 \\ 0.05 & 0.2 & 0.03 \\ 0.02 & 0.03 & 0.15 \end{pmatrix}$$

2. View Matrix (P):

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

3. Tau (τ):

$$\tau=0.025$$

4. Calculate $\tau \cdot \Sigma$:

$$\tau \cdot \Sigma = 0.025 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.05 & 0.02 \\ 0.05 & 0.2 & 0.03 \\ 0.02 & 0.03 & 0.15 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0025 & 0.00125 & 0.0005 \\ 0.00125 & 0.005 & 0.00075 \\ 0.0005 & 0.00075 & 0.00375 \end{pmatrix}$$

5. Calculate $P_1 \cdot (\tau \cdot \Sigma) \cdot P_1^T$ the first view:

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_1 \cdot (\tau \cdot \Sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0.0025 & 0.00125 & 0.0005 \\ 0.00125 & 0.005 & 0.00075 \\ 0.0005 & 0.00075 & 0.00375 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.002 & 0.0005 & -0.00325 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_1 \cdot (\tau \cdot \Sigma) \cdot P_1^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0.002 & 0.0005 & -0.00325 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.002 + 0.00375 = 0.00575$$

6. Calculate $P_2 \cdot (\tau \cdot \Sigma) \cdot P_2^T$ for the second view:

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_2 \cdot (\tau \cdot \Sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0.0025 & 0.00125 & 0.0005 \\ 0.00125 & 0.005 & 0.00075 \\ 0.0005 & 0.00075 & 0.00375 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.00325 & 0.00425 & -0.003 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_2 \cdot (\tau \cdot \Sigma) \cdot P_2^T = \begin{pmatrix} -0.00325 & 0.00425 & -0.003 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.00725$$

7. Form Ω by placing the results on the diagonal:

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0.00575 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.00725 \end{pmatrix}$$

6. Practical Example

This section provides a practical example of applying the Black-Litterman model and Mean-Variance Optimization using real or simulated data for six assets. The example will illustrate the process from start to finish, including data collection, calculations, and interpretation of results.

6.1 Data Collection

Selection of 6 Assets

For this example, we will use the following six assets:

Asset A

Asset B

Asset C

Asset D

Asset E

Asset F

Historical Return Data

Assume we have the following historical return data for these assets over the past year 2023:

Month	Asset A	Asset B	Asset C	Asset D	Asset E	Asset F
Jan	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.02
Feb	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.04
Mar	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03
Apr	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.04
May	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.01
Jun	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.05
Jul	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02
Aug	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03
Sep	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.04
Oct	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.01
Nov	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.02
Dec	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05

Market Capitalization Weights

Assume the market capitalization weights for the assets are as follows:

Asset A: 0.20

Asset B: 0.15

Asset C: 0.25

Asset D: 0.10

Asset E: 0.20

Asset F: 0.10

6.2 Calculating Implied Equilibrium Returns (Π)

Using the CAPM-based approach and the market risk premium, we calculate the implied equilibrium returns. Assume a market risk premium of 5% and a risk-free rate of 2%. The implied returns Π are calculated as:

$$\Pi = \delta \cdot \Sigma \cdot w_m$$

6.3 Incorporating Investor Views

View Matrix (P) and View Vector (Q)

<u>Assume the following views:</u>

Absolute View: Asset A is expected to have a return of 8%.

Relative View 1: Asset B will outperform Asset C by 3%.

Relative View 2: Asset D will outperform Asset E by 2%.

The view matrix PPP and view vector QQQ are:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0.08 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.02 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.4 Combining Views with Equilibrium Returns

Using the Black-Litterman formula:

$$E[R] = [(\tau\Sigma)^{-1} + P^T\Omega^{-1}P]^{-1} * [(\tau\Sigma)^{-1}\Pi + P^T\Omega^{-1}Q]$$

Assuming τ=0.025 and Ω is a diagonal matrix with elements representing the uncertainties in the views, we calculate the adjusted expected returns E[R].

6.5 Mean-Variance Optimization

Using the adjusted expected returns and the covariance matrix, we perform Mean-Variance Optimization to determine the optimal portfolio weights.

$$\text{Maximize: } w^T * E[R] - \frac{\lambda}{2} * w^T * \Sigma * w$$

Subject to:

$$\sum w_i = 1$$

$$w_i \geq 0$$

Using Python and the scipy.optimize.minimize function, we solve for the optimal weights.

7. Interpretation of Results

7.1 Adjusted Expected Returns

The adjusted expected returns E[R] reflect both the market equilibrium and the investor's views, providing a more tailored set of expectations. These returns incorporate the investor's confidence in their views and the market's information.

7.2 Optimal Portfolio Weights

The optimal portfolio weights obtained from the Mean-Variance Optimization indicate the proportion of each asset in the portfolio. These weights balance the trade-off between expected return and risk, resulting in a diversified and efficient portfolio.

Example Results

Assume the optimal weights are as follows:

Asset A: 0.25

Asset B: 0.15

Asset C: 0.20

Asset D: 0.10

Asset E: 0.20

Asset F: 0.10

let's create the Python code for implementing the Black-Litterman model with the specifications:

1. **Six assets**
2. **Three views** (one absolute and two relative)

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
from scipy.optimize import minimize

# Example synthetic data (replace with real data)
returns = pd.DataFrame({
    'Asset 1': [0.03, 0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.05, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.01, 0.02],
    'Asset 2': [0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.03, 0.04, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04],
    'Asset 3': [0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.03, 0.02, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.02, 0.03],
    'Asset 4': [0.04, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.01, 0.04, 0.05, 0.02, 0.03, 0.01, 0.04, 0.02],
    'Asset 5': [0.05, 0.03, 0.04, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04],
    'Asset 6': [0.02, 0.04, 0.03, 0.05, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03]
})

# Calculate mean returns and covariance matrix
mean_returns = returns.mean()
cov_matrix = returns.cov()

# Market weights (example, should be based on market cap)
market_weights = np.array([0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.1, 0.1])

# Risk aversion coefficient (example)
delta = 2.5

# Implied equilibrium returns
pi = delta * np.dot(cov_matrix, market_weights)

# Investor views
# Absolute view: Asset 1 expected return is 8%
# Relative views: Asset 2 will outperform Asset 3 by 3%, and Asset 4 will outperform Asset 5 by 2%
P = np.array([
    [1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],
    [0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0],
    [0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0]
])
Q = np.array([0.08, 0.03, 0.02])

# Uncertainty in the views (diagonal matrix)
Omega = np.diag([0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0001])

# Combine the expected returns
tau = 0.025
M_inverse = np.linalg.inv(np.linalg.inv(tau * cov_matrix) + np.dot(np.dot(P.T, np.linalg.inv(Omega)), P))
E_R = np.dot(M_inverse, (np.dot(np.linalg.inv(tau * cov_matrix), pi) + np.dot(np.dot(P.T, np.linalg.inv(Omega)), Q)))
```

```
# Portfolio optimization (using scipy.optimize)
def portfolio_variance(weights, cov_matrix):
    return weights.T @ cov_matrix @ weights

def portfolio_return(weights, returns):
    return weights.T @ returns


def objective_function(weights, cov_matrix, returns, risk_aversion):
    return risk_aversion * portfolio_variance(weights, cov_matrix) - portfolio_return(weights,
returns)

constraints = ({'type': 'eq', 'fun': lambda weights: np.sum(weights) - 1})
bounds = tuple((0, 1) for _ in range(len(mean_returns)))
initial_guess = market_weights

result = minimize(objective_function, initial_guess, args=(cov_matrix, E_R, delta),
method='SLSQP', bounds=bounds, constraints=constraints)

# Optimal weights
optimal_weights = result.x
print("Optimal Weights: ", optimal_weights)
```

You can find original code at

https://github.com/Ayushkumarsingh09/Black_Litterman_Model.git

8. Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to **Ayush Kumar Singh**, **Shashwat Jha**, **Vikhyath**, and **Adhayayan Kushagra Shandilya** for their significant contributions to the preparation of this documentation. Special thanks to **Kshitij Anand**, our mentor at the **Quantitative Finance Cohort '24**, for his invaluable insights and guidance in shaping this work on Black Litterman Model.

9. References

When preparing financial models and documentation, it is essential to reference academic papers, books, and other credible sources that inform and support your methodology. Here are some references that you might include for the Black-Litterman model:

Black, F., & Litterman, R. (1992). Global Portfolio Optimization. Financial Analysts Journal, 48(5), 28-43.

Idzorek, T. M. (2004). A Step-By-Step Guide to the Black-Litterman Model: Incorporating User-Specified Confidence Levels. Ibbotson Associates.

Fabozzi, F. J., Gupta, F., & Markowitz, H. M. (2002). The Legacy of Modern Portfolio Theory. The Journal of Investing, 11(3), 7-22.

Meucci, A. (2008). The Black-Litterman Approach: Original Model and Extensions. Risk, 22, 32-33.

He, G., & Litterman, R. (1999). The Intuition Behind Black-Litterman Model Portfolios. Goldman Sachs Asset Management.

10. Additional Sections

10.1 Assumptions

<u>Market Assumptions:</u>

The market is efficient, and all available information is reflected in asset prices.

The investor can hold fractional shares and borrow or lend at the risk-free rate.

<u>Model Assumptions:</u>

The views expressed by the investor are normally distributed.

The covariance matrix of asset returns is known and stable over time.

10.2 Limitations

<u>Model Sensitivity:</u> The Black-Litterman model is sensitive to the inputs, particularly the covariance matrix and the investor's views. Small changes in these inputs can lead to significant changes in the optimized portfolio.

<u>Estimation Risk:</u> The model assumes that the equilibrium returns and the covariance matrix are estimated accurately. In practice, estimation errors can impact the results.

<u>Implementation Complexity:</u> The Black-Litterman model requires advanced mathematical and statistical knowledge to implement, which may not be accessible to all investors.

10.3 Practical Tips

Data Quality: Ensure that the historical return data and market capitalization weights used in the model are accurate and up-to-date.

Software Tools: Utilize software tools like MATLAB, Python, or R for performing the necessary matrix calculations and optimization.

Sensitivity Analysis: Conduct sensitivity analysis to understand how changes in the inputs affect the portfolio weights and expected returns.

Regular Review: Periodically review and update the inputs to the model to reflect changes in market conditions and investor views.

11. Conclusion

The Black-Litterman model provides a robust framework for portfolio optimization by combining market equilibrium returns with investor-specific views. This approach addresses many limitations of traditional mean-variance optimization, leading to more stable and intuitive expected returns. By following the steps outlined in this documentation, investors can implement the Black-Litterman model to create well-informed and optimized portfolios. The practical example illustrates the process, from data collection to calculating adjusted returns and performing Mean-Variance Optimization, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the model and its application.