


Kavitha

31 May 2025

Influence Quotient: 66/100

Overall Evaluation Summary



Qualitative Analysis - Positive

- You delivered the presentation with a clear and concise structure, starting with a relatable anecdote and transitioning into a well-organized discussion of Trump's policies and their impact on India
- You demonstrated a good understanding of current events and global issues, showcasing your ability to analyze complex information and present it in a coherent manner
- You effectively used metaphors, such as comparing Trump to Godzilla, to make your points more engaging and memorable, and your language was rich and expressive throughout the presentation

Qualitative Analysis - Areas of Improvement

- You could improve by providing more specific data and statistics to support your claims, such as exact numbers on the impact of Trump's tariffs on India's economy

- You sometimes used phrases that were a bit dramatic or exaggerated, such as 'Trump 2.0 is shaking up international markets', which could be toned down for a more professional tone
- You could benefit from breaking up some of your longer sentences into shorter, simpler ones, to improve clarity and flow
- You used the phrase 'crappy deals' to describe Trump's policies, which is not a professional or respectful way to express your opinion, and could be rephrased to be more neutral and objective
- You could conclude your presentation with a stronger call to action or recommendation, rather than simply stating that 'volatility isn't a risk, it's the forecast'

Detailed Evaluation Metrics

No.	Items to look out for	5 point Scale	Remarks / Feedback
1.	Did the speaker speak with confidence? • Posture • Smile • Eye Contact • Energetic Start	4	Satisfactory Satisfactory Good Poor
2.	Did the speaker vary their tone, speed, volume?	3	You maintained a clear tone with some variation in pace and volume, though you could keep it more consistent.
3.	Did they use any gestures with their hands or body while speaking?	3	You showed a decent posture and made solid eye contact, suggesting some engagement, but more expressive gestures might help.
4.	Did they have expressions on their faces?	3	You did display some facial expressions, particularly through subtle smiles.
5.	Who are you and what are your skills, expertise, personality traits ?	1	You primarily focused on the topic rather than fully introducing your skills or personality traits.
6.	Why are you the best person to fit this role?	1	You offered insightful perspectives but did not strongly emphasize personal qualities that make you the ideal candidate.
7.	How are you different from others?	1	Your unique global outlook and analytical approach distinguish you from others.
8.	What value do you bring to the role?	1	You bring a sharp understanding of international markets, strategic thinking, and the ability to adapt to global changes.
9.	Did the speech have a structure of Opening, Body and Conclusion?	4	You had a clear introduction to the topic, delved into detailed points, and ended with a concise conclusion, though it could be more structured.
10.	How was the quality of research for the topic? Did the student's speech demonstrate a good depth? Did they cite the sources of research properly?	4	You demonstrated a solid grasp of the subject matter, but you did not cite specific sources or clearly reference where you gathered the information.



11.	How creatively did the student present the video?	4	You combined relevant content with personal insights, but adding more creative elements or dynamic visuals could have enhanced your presentation.
12.	How convinced were you with the overall speech on the topic? Was it persuasive? Will you give them the job/opportunity?	4	Your speech was informative and moderately persuasive; to finalize a hiring decision, I would still need to see more about your specific skills and personal experiences.