

## Two.II Linear Independence

*Linear Algebra*

Jim Hefferon

<http://joshua.smcvt.edu/linearalgebra>

## Definition and examples

# Linear independence

- 1.2 *Definition* A multiset subset of a vector space is *linearly independent* if none of its elements is a linear combination of the others. Otherwise it is *linearly dependent*.

# Linear independence

1.2 *Definition* A multiset subset of a vector space is *linearly independent* if none of its elements is a linear combination of the others. Otherwise it is *linearly dependent*.

Observe that, although this way of writing one vector as a combination of the others

$$\vec{s}_0 = c_1 \vec{s}_1 + c_2 \vec{s}_2 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n$$

visually sets  $\vec{s}_0$  off from the other vectors, algebraically there is nothing special about it in that equation. For any  $\vec{s}_i$  with a coefficient  $c_i$  that is non-0 we can rewrite the relationship to set off  $\vec{s}_i$ .

$$\vec{s}_i = (1/c_i)\vec{s}_0 + \cdots + (-c_{i-1}/c_i)\vec{s}_{i-1} + (-c_{i+1}/c_i)\vec{s}_{i+1} + \cdots + (-c_n/c_i)\vec{s}_n$$

When we don't want to single out any vector by writing it alone on one side of the equation we will instead say that  $\vec{s}_0, \vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n$  are in a *linear relationship* and write the relationship with all of the vectors on the same side.

1.3 *Lemma* A subset  $S$  of a vector space is linearly independent if and only if among the elements  $\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n \in S$  the only linear relationship

$$c_1 \vec{s}_1 + \dots + c_n \vec{s}_n = \vec{0} \quad c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$$

is the trivial one  $c_1 = 0, \dots, c_n = 0$ .

1.3 *Lemma* A subset  $S$  of a vector space is linearly independent if and only if among the elements  $\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n \in S$  the only linear relationship

$$c_1 \vec{s}_1 + \dots + c_n \vec{s}_n = \vec{0} \quad c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$$

is the trivial one  $c_1 = 0, \dots, c_n = 0$ .

*Proof* If  $S$  is linearly independent then no vector  $\vec{s}_i$  is a linear combination of other vectors from  $S$  so there is no linear relationship where some of the  $\vec{s}$ 's have nonzero coefficients.

If  $S$  is not linearly independent then some  $\vec{s}_i$  is a linear combination  $\vec{s}_i = c_1 \vec{s}_1 + \dots + c_{i-1} \vec{s}_{i-1} + c_{i+1} \vec{s}_{i+1} + \dots + c_n \vec{s}_n$  of other vectors from  $S$ . Subtracting  $\vec{s}_i$  from both sides gives a relationship involving a nonzero coefficient, the  $-1$  in front of  $\vec{s}_i$ . QED

*Example* This set of vectors in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is linearly independent.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The only solution to this equation

$$c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the trivial solution  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0$ .

*Example* This set of vectors in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is linearly independent.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The only solution to this equation

$$c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the trivial solution  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0$ .

*Example* In the vector space of cubic polynomials  $\mathcal{P}_3 = \{a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$  the set  $\{1 - x, 1 + x^2\}$  is linearly independent. The equation  $c_0(1 - x) + c_1(1 + x^2) = 0$  leads to this linear system

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 - c_1 &= 0 \\ c_0 + c_1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which has only the trivial solution.



*Example* The nonzero rows of this matrix form a linearly independent set.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We showed in Lemma One.III.2.5 that in any echelon form matrix the nonzero rows make a linearly independent set.

*Example* This subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is linearly dependent.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

One way to show that is to spot that the third vector is twice the first plus the second. Another way is to solve the linear system

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 - c_2 + c_3 &= 0 \\ c_1 + c_2 + 3c_3 &= 0 \\ 3c_1 + 6c_3 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and note that there are more solutions than just the trivial one.

1.11 *Lemma* If  $\vec{v}$  is a member of a vector space  $V$  and  $S \subseteq V$  then  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \subseteq [S]$ . Also: (1) if  $\vec{v} \in S$  then  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] = [S]$  if and only if  $\vec{v} \in [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  and (2) the condition that removal of any  $\vec{v} \in S$  shrinks the span  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \neq [S]$  holds if and only if  $S$  is linearly independent.

1.11 *Lemma* If  $\vec{v}$  is a member of a vector space  $V$  and  $S \subseteq V$  then  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \subseteq [S]$ . Also: (1) if  $\vec{v} \in S$  then  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] = [S]$  if and only if  $\vec{v} \in [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  and (2) the condition that removal of any  $\vec{v} \in S$  shrinks the span  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \neq [S]$  holds if and only if  $S$  is linearly independent.

*Proof* First,  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \subseteq [S]$  because an element of  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  is a linear combination of elements of  $S - \{\vec{v}\}$ , and so is a linear combination of elements of  $S$ , and so is an element of  $[S]$ .

1.11 *Lemma* If  $\vec{v}$  is a member of a vector space  $V$  and  $S \subseteq V$  then  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \subseteq [S]$ . Also: (1) if  $\vec{v} \in S$  then  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] = [S]$  if and only if  $\vec{v} \in [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  and (2) the condition that removal of any  $\vec{v} \in S$  shrinks the span  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \neq [S]$  holds if and only if  $S$  is linearly independent.

*Proof* First,  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \subseteq [S]$  because an element of  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  is a linear combination of elements of  $S - \{\vec{v}\}$ , and so is a linear combination of elements of  $S$ , and so is an element of  $[S]$ .

For statement (1), one half of the if and only if is easy: if  $\vec{v} \notin [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  then  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \neq [S]$  since the set on the right contains  $\vec{v}$  while the set on the left does not.

1.11 *Lemma* If  $\vec{v}$  is a member of a vector space  $V$  and  $S \subseteq V$  then  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \subseteq [S]$ . Also: (1) if  $\vec{v} \in S$  then  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] = [S]$  if and only if  $\vec{v} \in [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  and (2) the condition that removal of any  $\vec{v} \in S$  shrinks the span  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \neq [S]$  holds if and only if  $S$  is linearly independent.

*Proof* First,  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \subseteq [S]$  because an element of  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  is a linear combination of elements of  $S - \{\vec{v}\}$ , and so is a linear combination of elements of  $S$ , and so is an element of  $[S]$ .

For statement (1), one half of the if and only if is easy: if  $\vec{v} \notin [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  then  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] \neq [S]$  since the set on the right contains  $\vec{v}$  while the set on the left does not.

The other half of the if and only if assumes that  $\vec{v} \in [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$ , so that it is a combination  $\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{s}_1 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n$  of members of  $S - \{\vec{v}\}$ . To show that  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}] = [S]$ , by the first paragraph we need only show that each element of  $[S]$  is an element of  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}]$ . So consider a linear combination  $d_1 \vec{s}_{n+1} + \cdots + d_m \vec{s}_{n+m} + d_{m+1} \vec{v} \in [S]$  (we can assume that each  $\vec{s}_{n+j}$  is unequal to  $\vec{v}$ ). Substitute for  $\vec{v}$

$$d_1 \vec{s}_{n+1} + \cdots + d_m \vec{s}_{n+m} + d_{m+1} (c_1 \vec{s}_1 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n)$$

to get a linear combination of linear combinations of members of  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}]$ , which is a member of  $[S - \{\vec{v}\}]$ .

For statement (2) assume first that  $S$  is linearly independent and that  $\vec{v} \in S$ . If removal of  $\vec{v}$  did not shrink the span, so that  $\vec{v} \in [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$ , then we would have  $\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{s}_1 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n$ , which would be a linear dependence among members of  $S$ , contradicting that  $S$  is independent. Hence  $\vec{v} \notin [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  and the two sets are not equal.

For statement (2) assume first that  $S$  is linearly independent and that  $\vec{v} \in S$ . If removal of  $\vec{v}$  did not shrink the span, so that  $\vec{v} \in [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$ , then we would have  $\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{s}_1 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n$ , which would be a linear dependence among members of  $S$ , contradicting that  $S$  is independent. Hence  $\vec{v} \notin [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$  and the two sets are not equal.

Do the other half of this if and only if statement by assuming that  $S$  is not linearly independent, so that some linear dependence  $\vec{s} = c_1 \vec{s}_1 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n$  holds among its members (with no  $\vec{s}_i$  equal to  $\vec{s}$ ). Then  $\vec{s} \in [S - \{\vec{s}\}]$  and by statement (1) its removal will not shrink the span  $[S - \{\vec{s}\}] = [S]$ . QED

1.12 *Lemma* If  $\vec{v}$  is a member of the vector space  $V$  and  $S$  is a subset of  $V$  then  $[S] \subseteq [S \cup \{\vec{v}\}]$ . Also: (1) adding  $\vec{v}$  to  $S$  does not increase the span  $[S] = [S \cup \{\vec{v}\}]$  if and only if  $\vec{v} \in [S]$ , and (2) if  $S$  is linearly independent then adjoining  $\vec{v}$  to  $S$  gives a set that is also linearly independent if and only if  $\vec{v} \notin [S]$ .



1.12 *Lemma* If  $\vec{v}$  is a member of the vector space  $V$  and  $S$  is a subset of  $V$  then  $[S] \subseteq [S \cup \{\vec{v}\}]$ . Also: (1) adding  $\vec{v}$  to  $S$  does not increase the span  $[S] = [S \cup \{\vec{v}\}]$  if and only if  $\vec{v} \in [S]$ , and (2) if  $S$  is linearly independent then adjoining  $\vec{v}$  to  $S$  gives a set that is also linearly independent if and only if  $\vec{v} \notin [S]$ .

*Proof* The first sentence and statement (1) are translations of the first sentence and statement (1) from the prior result.

1.12 *Lemma* If  $\vec{v}$  is a member of the vector space  $V$  and  $S$  is a subset of  $V$  then  $[S] \subseteq [S \cup \{\vec{v}\}]$ . Also: (1) adding  $\vec{v}$  to  $S$  does not increase the span  $[S] = [S \cup \{\vec{v}\}]$  if and only if  $\vec{v} \in [S]$ , and (2) if  $S$  is linearly independent then adjoining  $\vec{v}$  to  $S$  gives a set that is also linearly independent if and only if  $\vec{v} \notin [S]$ .

*Proof* The first sentence and statement (1) are translations of the first sentence and statement (1) from the prior result.

For statement (2) assume that  $S$  is linearly independent. Suppose first that  $\vec{v} \notin [S]$ . If adjoining  $\vec{v}$  to  $S$  resulted in a nontrivial linear relationship  $c_1 \vec{s}_1 + c_2 \vec{s}_2 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n + c_{n+1} \vec{v} = \vec{0}$  then because the linear independence of  $S$  implies that  $c_{n+1} \neq 0$  (or else the equation would be a nontrivial relationship among members of  $S$ ), we could rewrite the relationship as  $\vec{v} = -(c_1/c_{n+1})\vec{s}_1 - \cdots - (c_n/c_{n+1})\vec{s}_n$  to get the contradiction that  $\vec{v} \in [S]$ . Therefore if  $\vec{v} \notin [S]$  then the only linear relationship is trivial.

1.12 *Lemma* If  $\vec{v}$  is a member of the vector space  $V$  and  $S$  is a subset of  $V$  then  $[S] \subseteq [S \cup \{\vec{v}\}]$ . Also: (1) adding  $\vec{v}$  to  $S$  does not increase the span  $[S] = [S \cup \{\vec{v}\}]$  if and only if  $\vec{v} \in [S]$ , and (2) if  $S$  is linearly independent then adjoining  $\vec{v}$  to  $S$  gives a set that is also linearly independent if and only if  $\vec{v} \notin [S]$ .

*Proof* The first sentence and statement (1) are translations of the first sentence and statement (1) from the prior result.

For statement (2) assume that  $S$  is linearly independent. Suppose first that  $\vec{v} \notin [S]$ . If adjoining  $\vec{v}$  to  $S$  resulted in a nontrivial linear relationship  $c_1 \vec{s}_1 + c_2 \vec{s}_2 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n + c_{n+1} \vec{v} = \vec{0}$  then because the linear independence of  $S$  implies that  $c_{n+1} \neq 0$  (or else the equation would be a nontrivial relationship among members of  $S$ ), we could rewrite the relationship as  $\vec{v} = -(c_1/c_{n+1})\vec{s}_1 - \cdots - (c_n/c_{n+1})\vec{s}_n$  to get the contradiction that  $\vec{v} \in [S]$ . Therefore if  $\vec{v} \notin [S]$  then the only linear relationship is trivial.

Conversely, if we suppose that  $\vec{v} \in [S]$  then there is a dependence  $\vec{v} = c_1 \vec{s}_1 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n$  ( $\vec{s}_i \in S$ ) inside of  $S$  with  $\vec{v}$  adjoined. QED

*Example* In  $\mathcal{P}_2$  consider the set  $S = \{1 - x, 1 + x\}$ . The span  $[S]$  is the subset of linear polynomials  $\{a + bx \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . (The span is a subset of the linear polynomials because no member of  $S$  has a quadratic term. To see that the span is all of the set of linear polynomials, consider a linear polynomial  $a + bx$  and use the equation  $a + bx = r_1(1 - x) + r_2(1 + x)$  to get a linear system that solves as  $r_2 = (1/2)a + (1/2)b$  and  $r_1 = (1/2)a - (1/2)b$ .)

*Example* In  $\mathcal{P}_2$  consider the set  $S = \{1 - x, 1 + x\}$ . The span  $[S]$  is the subset of linear polynomials  $\{a + bx \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . (The span is a subset of the linear polynomials because no member of  $S$  has a quadratic term. To see that the span is all of the set of linear polynomials, consider a linear polynomial  $a + bx$  and use the equation  $a + bx = r_1(1 - x) + r_2(1 + x)$  to get a linear system that solves as  $r_2 = (1/2)a + (1/2)b$  and  $r_1 = (1/2)a - (1/2)b$ .)

If we add a linear polynomial  $S_1 = S \cup \{2 + 2x\}$  then the span is unchanged  $[S] = [S_1]$ . This is because span of  $S$  is all of the linear polynomials and the new member does not add any quadratic terms.

*Example* In  $\mathcal{P}_2$  consider the set  $S = \{1 - x, 1 + x\}$ . The span  $[S]$  is the subset of linear polynomials  $\{a + bx \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . (The span is a subset of the linear polynomials because no member of  $S$  has a quadratic term. To see that the span is all of the set of linear polynomials, consider a linear polynomial  $a + bx$  and use the equation  $a + bx = r_1(1 - x) + r_2(1 + x)$  to get a linear system that solves as  $r_2 = (1/2)a + (1/2)b$  and  $r_1 = (1/2)a - (1/2)b$ .)

If we add a linear polynomial  $S_1 = S \cup \{2 + 2x\}$  then the span is unchanged  $[S] = [S_1]$ . This is because span of  $S$  is all of the linear polynomials and the new member does not add any quadratic terms.

If we add a quadratic polynomial  $S_2 = S \cup \{2 + x^2\}$  then we enlarge the span: the span of  $S_2$  is all of  $\mathcal{P}_2$ . To see this, consider a quadratic  $a + bx + cx^2$  and use  $a + bx + cx^2 = r_1(1 - x) + r_2(1 + x) + r_3(2 + x^2)$  to get a linear system that has the solution  $r_3 = c$ ,  $r_2 = (1/2)a + (1/2)b$  and  $r_1 = (1/2)a - (1/2)b - c$ .

1.14 *Corollary* In a vector space, any finite set has a linearly independent subset with the same span.

1.14 *Corollary* In a vector space, any finite set has a linearly independent subset with the same span.

*Proof* If  $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$  is linearly independent then  $S$  itself satisfies the statement, so assume that it is linearly dependent.



1.14 *Corollary* In a vector space, any finite set has a linearly independent subset with the same span.

*Proof* If  $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$  is linearly independent then  $S$  itself satisfies the statement, so assume that it is linearly dependent.

By the definition of dependence,  $S$  contains a vector  $\vec{v}_1$  that is a linear combination of the others. Define the set  $S_1 = S - \{\vec{v}_1\}$ . By Lemma 1.11 the span does not shrink:  $[S_1] = [S]$  (since adding  $\vec{v}_1$  to  $S$  would not cause the span to grow).

1.14 *Corollary* In a vector space, any finite set has a linearly independent subset with the same span.

*Proof* If  $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$  is linearly independent then  $S$  itself satisfies the statement, so assume that it is linearly dependent.

By the definition of dependence,  $S$  contains a vector  $\vec{v}_1$  that is a linear combination of the others. Define the set  $S_1 = S - \{\vec{v}_1\}$ . By Lemma 1.11 the span does not shrink:  $[S_1] = [S]$  (since adding  $\vec{v}_1$  to  $S$  would not cause the span to grow).

If  $S_1$  is linearly independent then we are done. Otherwise iterate: take a vector  $\vec{v}_2$  that is a linear combination of other members of  $S_1$  and discard it to derive  $S_2 = S_1 - \{\vec{v}_2\}$  such that  $[S_2] = [S_1]$ . Repeat this until a linearly independent set  $S_j$  appears; one must appear eventually because  $S$  is finite and the empty set is linearly independent. QED

*Example* Consider this subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

$$S = \{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2, \vec{s}_3, \vec{s}_4, \vec{s}_5\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The linear relationship

$$r_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + r_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + r_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + r_5 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (*)$$

gives a system of equations.

$$2r_1 + 3r_2 + r_3 + r_5 = 0$$

$$2r_1 + 3r_2 + 4r_3 - r_4 - r_5 = 0$$

$$\xrightarrow{-\rho_1 + \rho_2} \begin{aligned} 2r_1 + 3r_2 + r_3 + r_5 &= 0 \\ + 3r_3 - r_4 - 2r_5 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Parametrize by expressing the leading variables  $r_1$  and  $r_3$  in terms of the free variables.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_4 + \begin{pmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Parametrize by expressing the leading variables  $r_1$  and  $r_3$  in terms of the free variables.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_4 + \begin{pmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Set  $r_5 = 1$  and set the other two parameters to 0 to get  $r_1 = -5/6$  and  $r_3 = 2/3$ . This instance of (\*)

$$-\frac{5}{6} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

shows that  $\vec{s}_5$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$ .

Parametrize by expressing the leading variables  $r_1$  and  $r_3$  in terms of the free variables.

$$\begin{Bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix} r_2 + \begin{Bmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix} r_4 + \begin{Bmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R}$$

Set  $r_5 = 1$  and set the other two parameters to 0 to get  $r_1 = -5/6$  and  $r_3 = 2/3$ . This instance of (\*)

$$-\frac{5}{6} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

shows that  $\vec{s}_5$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$ . Similarly, setting  $r_4 = 1$  and the other parameters to 0 shows  $\vec{s}_4$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$ . Also, setting  $r_2 = 1$  and the other parameters to 0 shows  $\vec{s}_2$  is in the span of the same set.

Parametrize by expressing the leading variables  $r_1$  and  $r_3$  in terms of the free variables.

$$\begin{Bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix} r_2 + \begin{Bmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix} r_4 + \begin{Bmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R}$$

Set  $r_5 = 1$  and set the other two parameters to 0 to get  $r_1 = -5/6$  and  $r_3 = 2/3$ . This instance of (\*)

$$-\frac{5}{6} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

shows that  $\vec{s}_5$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$ . Similarly, setting  $r_4 = 1$  and the other parameters to 0 shows  $\vec{s}_4$  is in the span of the set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$ . Also, setting  $r_2 = 1$  and the other parameters to 0 shows  $\vec{s}_2$  is in the span of the same set. So we can omit the vectors  $\vec{s}_2$ ,  $\vec{s}_4$ ,  $\vec{s}_5$  associated with the free variables without shrinking the span.

The set  $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$  is linearly independent and so we cannot omit any members without shrinking the span. (In (\*) note that  $\vec{s}_2$  is linearly dependent on  $\vec{s}_1$  and  $r_2$  did not end as a leading variable.)



1.16 *Corollary* A subset  $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$  of a vector space is linearly dependent if and only if some  $\vec{s}_i$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_{i-1}$  listed before it.

1.16 *Corollary* A subset  $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$  of a vector space is linearly dependent if and only if some  $\vec{s}_i$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_{i-1}$  listed before it.

*Proof* Consider  $S_0 = \{\}$ ,  $S_1 = \{\vec{s}_1\}$ ,  $S_2 = \{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2\}$ , etc. Some index  $i \geq 1$  is the first one with  $S_{i-1} \cup \{\vec{s}_i\}$  linearly dependent, and there  $\vec{s}_i \in [S_{i-1}]$ . QED

## Linear independence and subset

1.17 *Lemma* Any subset of a linearly independent set is also linearly independent. Any superset of a linearly dependent set is also linearly dependent.

*Proof* Both are clear.

QED

## Linear independence and subset

1.17 *Lemma* Any subset of a linearly independent set is also linearly independent. Any superset of a linearly dependent set is also linearly dependent.

*Proof* Both are clear.

QED

This table summarizes the cases.

|                 | $S_1 \subset S$           | $S_1 \supset S$         |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| $S$ independent | $S_1$ must be independent | $S_1$ may be either     |
| $S$ dependent   | $S_1$ may be either       | $S_1$ must be dependent |

An example of the lower left is that the set  $S$  of all vectors in the space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is linearly dependent but the subset  $S_1$  consisting of only the unit vector on the  $x$ -axis is independent. By interchanging  $S_1$  with  $S$  that's also an example of the upper right.