Four.I Determinants; Definition

Linear Algebra
Jim Hefferon

http://joshua.smcvt.edu/linearalgebra

Properties of Determinants

Nonsingular matrices

An $n \times n$ matrix T is nonsingular if and only if each of these holds:

- ▶ any system $T\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a solution and that solution is unique;
- Gauss-Jordan reduction of T yields an identity matrix;
- ▶ the rows of T form a linearly independent set;
- ▶ the columns of T form a linearly independent set, and a basis for \mathbb{R}^n ;
- any map that T represents is an isomorphism;
- ▶ an inverse matrix T⁻¹ exists.

In this chapter we will give a formula that determines whether a matrix is nonsingular.

Determining nonsingularity is trivial for 1×1 matrices.

(a) is nonsingular iff
$$a \neq 0$$

Corollary Three.IV.4.11 gives the formula for the inverse of a 2×2 matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
 is nonsingular iff $ad - bc \neq 0$

We can produce the 3×3 formula as we did the prior one, although the computation is intricate (see Exercise 9).

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}$$
 is nonsingular iff $aei+bfg+cdh-hfa-idb-gec \neq 0$

With these cases in mind, we posit a family of formulas: a, ad-bc, etc. For each n the formula defines a *determinant* function $\det_{n\times n}\colon \mathcal{M}_{n\times n}\to \mathbb{R}$ such that an $n\times n$ matrix T is nonsingular if and only if $\det_{n\times n}(T)\neq 0$.

We will define the determinant function by listing some of its properties. We are interested in these properties because they are convenient for computing the value of the determinant on an input square matrix. Then we will show that only one function with those properties exists.

We will define the determinant function by listing some of its properties. We are interested in these properties because they are convenient for computing the value of the determinant on an input square matrix. Then we will show that only one function with those properties exists.

Note The first section of the text algebraically motivates the determinant definition. The section after this will give a geometric motivation. In this section, beyond defining the determinant, we will show how to compute it and give some key results.

Definition of determinant

- 2.1 Definition A $n \times n$ determinant is a function det: $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that
 - 1. $det(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,k\cdot\vec{\rho}_i+\vec{\rho}_j,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)=det(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_j,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n) \text{ for } i\neq j$
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \text{2. } \det(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_j,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_i,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n) = -\det(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_i,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_j,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n) \\ \text{ for } i \neq j \end{array}$
 - 3. $\det(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,k\vec{\rho}_i,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)=k\cdot\det(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_i,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)$ for any scalar k
 - 4. det(I) = 1 where I is an identity matrix

(the $\vec{\rho}$'s are the rows of the matrix). We often write |T| for det(T).

Definition of determinant

- 2.1 Definition A $n \times n$ determinant is a function det: $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that
 - 1. $det(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,k\cdot\vec{\rho}_i+\vec{\rho}_j,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)=det(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_j,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n) \text{ for } i\neq j$
 - 2. $\det(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{\rho}_j, \dots, \vec{\rho}_i, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) = -\det(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{\rho}_i, \dots, \vec{\rho}_j, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n)$ for $i \neq j$
 - 3. $\det(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,k\vec{\rho}_i,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)=k\cdot\det(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_i,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)$ for any scalar k
 - 4. det(I) = 1 where I is an identity matrix

(the $\vec{\rho}$'s are the rows of the matrix). We often write |T| for det(T).

2.2 Remark Property (2) is redundant since

$$T \ \stackrel{\rho_i + \rho_j}{\longrightarrow} \ \stackrel{-\rho_j + \rho_i}{\longrightarrow} \ \stackrel{\rho_i + \rho_j}{\longrightarrow} \ \stackrel{-\rho_i}{\longrightarrow} \ \hat{T}$$

swaps rows i and j. We have listed it only for convenience.

Consequences of the definition

2.3 Lemma A matrix with two identical rows has a determinant of zero. A matrix with a zero row has a determinant of zero. A matrix is nonsingular if and only if its determinant is nonzero. The determinant of an echelon form matrix is the product down its diagonal.

Consequences of the definition

2.3 Lemma A matrix with two identical rows has a determinant of zero. A matrix with a zero row has a determinant of zero. A matrix is nonsingular if and only if its determinant is nonzero. The determinant of an echelon form matrix is the product down its diagonal.

Proof To verify the first sentence, swap the two equal rows. The sign of the determinant changes but the matrix is the same and so its determinant is the same. Thus the determinant is zero.

Consequences of the definition

.3 Lemma A matrix with two identical rows has a determinant of zero. A matrix with a zero row has a determinant of zero. A matrix is nonsingular if and only if its determinant is nonzero. The determinant of an echelon form matrix is the product down its diagonal.

Proof To verify the first sentence, swap the two equal rows. The sign of the determinant changes but the matrix is the same and so its determinant is the same. Thus the determinant is zero.

The second sentence follows from property (3). Multiply the zero row by two. That doubles the determinant but it also leaves the row unchanged and hence leaves the determinant unchanged. Thus the determinant must be zero.

For the third sentence, where $T \to \cdots \to \hat{T}$ is the Gauss-Jordan reduction, by the definition the determinant of T is zero if and only if the determinant of \hat{T} is zero (although the two could differ in sign or magnitude). A nonsingular T Gauss-Jordan reduces to an identity matrix and so has a nonzero determinant. A singular T reduces to a \hat{T} with a zero row; by the second sentence of this lemma its determinant is zero.

For the third sentence, where $T \to \cdots \to \hat{T}$ is the Gauss-Jordan reduction, by the definition the determinant of T is zero if and only if the determinant of \hat{T} is zero (although the two could differ in sign or magnitude). A nonsingular T Gauss-Jordan reduces to an identity matrix and so has a nonzero determinant. A singular T reduces to a \hat{T} with a zero row; by the second sentence of this lemma its determinant is zero.

The fourth sentence has two cases. If the echelon form matrix is singular then it has a zero row. Thus it has a zero on its diagonal, so the product down its diagonal is zero. By the third sentence the determinant is zero and therefore this matrix's determinant equals the product down its diagonal.

If the echelon form matrix is nonsingular then none of its diagonal entries is zero so we can use property (3) to get 1's on the diagonal (again, the vertical bars $|\cdots|$ indicate the determinant operation).

$$\begin{vmatrix} t_{1,1} & t_{1,2} & & t_{1,n} \\ 0 & t_{2,2} & & t_{2,n} \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & t_{n,n} \end{vmatrix} = t_{1,1} \cdot t_{2,2} \cdots t_{n,n} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & t_{1,2}/t_{1,1} & & t_{1,n}/t_{1,1} \\ 0 & 1 & & t_{2,n}/t_{2,2} \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

Then the Jordan half of Gauss-Jordan elimination, using property (1) of the definition, leaves the identity matrix.

$$=t_{1,1}\cdot t_{2,2}\cdots t_{n,n}\cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & & 0\\ 0 & 1 & & 0\\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{vmatrix} =t_{1,1}\cdot t_{2,2}\cdots t_{n,n}\cdot 1$$

So in this case also, the determinant is the product down the diagonal.

QED

We can compute the determinant of a matrix using Gauss's Method (presuming that the determinant function exists, which we will cover later).

Example On this matrix the Gauss's Method reduces the first column with $-2\rho_1 + \rho_2$ and $-3\rho_1 + \rho_3$. Property (1) says that these row operations leave the determinant unchanged.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 3 & -1 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & -6 & -8 \\ 0 & -10 & -11 \end{vmatrix}$$

We can compute the determinant of a matrix using Gauss's Method (presuming that the determinant function exists, which we will cover later).

Example On this matrix the Gauss's Method reduces the first column with $-2\rho_1 + \rho_2$ and $-3\rho_1 + \rho_3$. Property (1) says that these row operations leave the determinant unchanged.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 3 & -1 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & -6 & -8 \\ 0 & -10 & -11 \end{vmatrix}$$

Reduce the second column with $-(5/3)\rho_2 + \rho_3$. Again, by property (1) the determinant stays the same.

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & -6 & -8 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/3 \end{vmatrix}$$

We can compute the determinant of a matrix using Gauss's Method (presuming that the determinant function exists, which we will cover later).

Example On this matrix the Gauss's Method reduces the first column with $-2\rho_1 + \rho_2$ and $-3\rho_1 + \rho_3$. Property (1) says that these row operations leave the determinant unchanged.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 3 & -1 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & -6 & -8 \\ 0 & -10 & -11 \end{vmatrix}$$

Reduce the second column with $-(5/3)\rho_2 + \rho_3$. Again, by property (1) the determinant stays the same.

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & -6 & -8 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/3 \end{vmatrix}$$

By the prior lemma we can now find the determinant by taking the product down the diagonal.

$$= 1 \cdot (-6) \cdot (-7/3) = 14$$

Example This matrix requires a row swap, which changes the sign of the determinant.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$

Performing $-\rho_1+\rho_3$

$$= - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$

and $-\rho_2 + \rho_3$

$$= - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

and then multiplying down the diagonal gives that the determinant of the original matrix is -3.

The $n \times n$ determinant is unique

2.6 Lemma For each n, if there is an $n \times n$ determinant function then it is unique.

The $n \times n$ determinant is unique

2.6 Lemma For each n, if there is an $n \times n$ determinant function then it is unique.

Proof Perform Gauss's Method on the matrix, keeping track of how the sign alternates on row swaps, and then get the value by multiplying down the diagonal of the echelon form result. By the definition and the lemma, all $n \times n$ determinant functions must return this value on the matrix. QED

The $n \times n$ determinant is unique

2.6 Lemma For each n, if there is an $n \times n$ determinant function then it is unique.

Proof Perform Gauss's Method on the matrix, keeping track of how the sign alternates on row swaps, and then get the value by multiplying down the diagonal of the echelon form result. By the definition and the lemma, all $n \times n$ determinant functions must return this value on the matrix. QED

So if there is a function mapping $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$ to \mathbb{R} with the four properties of the definition then there is only one such function. The next two subsections show that for each n a determinant function exists.

The Permutation Expansion

The prior subsection defines a function to be a determinant if it satisfies four conditions and shows that there is at most one $n \times n$ determinant function for each n. What is left is to show that for each n such a function exists.

But, we easily compute determinants: use Gauss's Method, keeping track of the sign changes from row swaps, and end by multiplying down the diagonal. So how could such a function not exist?

The difficulty is to show that the computation gives a well-defined—that is, unique—result. Consider these two Gauss's Method reductions of the same matrix, the first without any row swap

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{-3\rho_1 + \rho_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the second with one.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\rho_1 \leftrightarrow \rho_2}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{-(1/3)\rho_1 + \rho_2}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 2/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Both yield the determinant -2 since in the second one we note that the row swap changes the sign of the result we get by multiplying down the diagonal.

That the above computation gives a consistent result for these two ways to do a reduction on one matrix does not ensure that determinants always give a well-defined value. Our algorithm for computing determinant values does not plainly eliminate the possibility that there might be, say, two reductions of some 7×7 matrix that lead to different determinant outputs. In that case there would exist no determinant function, since functions must have that for each input there is exactly one output.

That the above computation gives a consistent result for these two ways to do a reduction on one matrix does not ensure that determinants always give a well-defined value. Our algorithm for computing determinant values does not plainly eliminate the possibility that there might be, say, two reductions of some 7×7 matrix that lead to different determinant outputs. In that case there would exist no determinant function, since functions must have that for each input there is exactly one output.

To show that determinants are well-defined we will give an alternative way to compute the value of a determinant. This new way is less useful in practice since it makes the computations awkward and slow, which is why we didn't start with it. But it is useful for theory since it makes the proof that we need easier.

The determinant function is not linear

Example The second matrix is twice the first but the determinant does not double.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 3 & -3 & 9 \\ 1 & -1 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -72 \qquad \begin{vmatrix} 6 & -6 & 18 \\ 2 & -2 & 14 \\ 4 & 8 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -576$$

Instead, by property (3) of Definition 2.1 the determinant scales one row at a time:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 3 & -3 & 9 \\ 1 & -1 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 3 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= 6 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Multilinear

- 3.2 Definition Let V be a vector space. A map $f: V^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is multilinear if
 - 1. $f(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{v} + \vec{w}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) = f(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{v}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) + f(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{w}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n)$
 - 2. $f(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,k\vec{v},\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n) = k \cdot f(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,\vec{v},\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)$

for $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

3.3 *Lemma* Determinants are multilinear.

Multilinear

- 3.2 Definition Let V be a vector space. A map $f: V^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is multilinear if
 - 1. $f(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{v} + \vec{w}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) = f(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{v}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) + f(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{w}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n)$
 - 2. $f(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,k\vec{v},\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)=k\cdot f(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,\vec{v},\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)$

for $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

3.3 Lemma Determinants are multilinear.

Proof Property (2) here is just condition (3) in Definition 2.1 so we need only verify property (1).

Multilinear

- 3.2 Definition Let V be a vector space. A map $f: V^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is multilinear if
 - 1.
 $$\begin{split} f(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{v} + \vec{w}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) &= \\ f(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{v}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) + f(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{w}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) \end{split}$$
 - 2. $f(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,k\vec{\nu},\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)=k\cdot f(\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,\vec{\nu},\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n)$

for $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

3.3 *Lemma* Determinants are multilinear.

Proof Property (2) here is just condition (3) in Definition 2.1 so we need only verify property (1).

There are two cases. If the set of other rows $\{\vec{\rho}_1,\ldots,\vec{\rho}_{i-1},\vec{\rho}_{i+1},\ldots,\vec{\rho}_n\}$ is linearly dependent then all three matrices are singular and so all three determinants are zero and the equality is trivial.

Therefore assume that the set of other rows is linearly independent. This set has n-1 members so we can make a basis by adding one more vector $\langle \vec{\rho}_1, \ldots, \vec{\rho}_{i-1}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{\rho}_{i+1}, \ldots, \vec{\rho}_n \rangle$. Express \vec{v} and \vec{w} with respect to this basis

$$\vec{v} = v_1 \vec{\rho}_1 + \dots + v_{i-1} \vec{\rho}_{i-1} + v_i \vec{\beta} + v_{i+1} \vec{\rho}_{i+1} + \dots + v_n \vec{\rho}_n$$

$$\vec{w} = w_1 \vec{\rho}_1 + \dots + w_{i-1} \vec{\rho}_{i-1} + w_i \vec{\beta} + w_{i+1} \vec{\rho}_{i+1} + \dots + w_n \vec{\rho}_n$$

and add.

$$\vec{v} + \vec{w} = (v_1 + w_1)\vec{\rho}_1 + \dots + (v_i + w_i)\vec{\beta} + \dots + (v_n + w_n)\vec{\rho}_n$$

Consider the left side of property (1) and expand $\vec{v} + \vec{w}$.

$$\det(\vec{\rho}_1, \ldots, (\nu_1 + w_1)\vec{\rho}_1 + \cdots + (\nu_i + w_i)\vec{\beta} + \cdots + (\nu_n + w_n)\vec{\rho}_n, \ldots, \vec{\rho}_n)$$

By the definition of determinant's condition (1), the value of (*) is unchanged by the operation of adding $-(\nu_1 + w_1)\vec{\rho}_1$ to the i-th row $\vec{v} + \vec{w}$. The i-th row becomes this.

$$\vec{v} + \vec{w} - (v_1 + w_1)\vec{\rho}_1 = (v_2 + w_2)\vec{\rho}_2 + \dots + (v_i + w_i)\vec{\beta} + \dots + (v_n + w_n)\vec{\rho}_n$$

Next add $-(v_2 + w_2)\vec{\rho}_2$, etc., to eliminate all of the terms from the other rows. Apply the definition of determinant's condition (3).

$$\begin{split} \det(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{v} + \vec{w}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) \\ &= \det(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, (\nu_i + w_i) \cdot \vec{\beta}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) \\ &= (\nu_i + w_i) \cdot \det(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{\beta}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) \\ &= \nu_i \cdot \det(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{\beta}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) + w_i \cdot \det(\vec{\rho}_1, \dots, \vec{\beta}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_n) \end{split}$$

Now this is a sum of two determinants. To finish, bring v_i and w_i back inside in front of the $\vec{\beta}$'s and use row combinations again, this time to reconstruct the expressions of \vec{v} and \vec{w} in terms of the basis. That is, start with the operations of adding $v_1\vec{p}_1$ to $v_i\vec{\beta}$ and $w_1\vec{p}_1$ to $w_i\vec{p}_1$, etc., to get the expansions of \vec{v} and \vec{w} . QED

Use multilinearity to break a determinant into a sum of simple determinants.

Example We can expand this determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$$

along the first row

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$$

and the second row.

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$$

We have four matrices, each with a single nonzero entry in each row.

Use multilinearity to break a determinant into a sum of simple determinants.

Example We can expand this determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$$

along the first row

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$$

and the second row.

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$$

We have four matrices, each with a single nonzero entry in each row.

The first and last determinants are 0 because the matrices are nonsingular (since one row is a multiple of the other). We are left with the two matrices in which there is one entry from each row and column from the starting matrix.

Example Similarly we can start to evaluate this determinant

by breaking it into a sum of determinants of matrices having one entry in each row from the starting matrix.

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \dots + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{vmatrix}$$

This gives a number of matrices, each all 0's except that each row has a single entry from the original matrix.

Example Similarly we can start to evaluate this determinant

by breaking it into a sum of determinants of matrices having one entry in each row from the starting matrix.

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \dots + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{vmatrix}$$

This gives a number of matrices, each all 0's except that each row has a single entry from the original matrix.

For any of these determinants, if two rows have their original matrix entry in the same column then the determinant is 0, since if either entry is 0 then the matrix has a zero row while if neither is 0 then each row is a multiple of the other.

Example Similarly we can start to evaluate this determinant

by breaking it into a sum of determinants of matrices having one entry in each row from the starting matrix.

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \dots + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{vmatrix}$$

This gives a number of matrices, each all 0's except that each row has a single entry from the original matrix.

For any of these determinants, if two rows have their original matrix entry in the same column then the determinant is 0, since if either entry is 0 then the matrix has a zero row while if neither is 0 then each row is a multiple of the other. Therefore, the above reduces to a sum of determinants, each all 0's but for a single entry in each row and column from the original matrix.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 7 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 7 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 45 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 48 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+ 72 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 84 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+ 96 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + 105 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Permutation matrices

Recall Definition Three.IV.3.15, that a *permutation matrix* is square and all entries are 0's except for a 1 in each row and column. We now introduce a notation for permutation matrices.

Permutation matrices

Recall Definition Three.IV.3.15, that a *permutation matrix* is square and all entries are 0's except for a 1 in each row and column. We now introduce a notation for permutation matrices.

3.7 *Definition* An n-permutation is a function on the first n positive integers $\phi: \{1, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, ..., n\}$ that is one-to-one and onto.

So, in a permutation each number 1, ..., n appears as the output for one and only one input. We sometimes denote a permutation as the sequence $\phi = \langle \phi(1), \phi(2), \ldots, \phi(n) \rangle$.

Permutation matrices

Recall Definition Three.IV.3.15, that a *permutation matrix* is square and all entries are 0's except for a 1 in each row and column. We now introduce a notation for permutation matrices.

3.7 *Definition* An n-permutation is a function on the first n positive integers $\phi: \{1, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, ..., n\}$ that is one-to-one and onto.

So, in a permutation each number 1, ..., n appears as the output for one and only one input. We sometimes denote a permutation as the sequence $\phi = \langle \varphi(1), \varphi(2), \ldots, \varphi(n) \rangle$.

3.8 *Example* The 2-permutations are the functions $\phi_1: \{1,2\} \rightarrow \{1,2\}$ given by $\phi_1(1) = 1$, $\phi_1(2) = 2$, and $\phi_2: \{1,2\} \rightarrow \{1,2\}$ given by $\phi_2(1) = 2$, $\phi_2(2) = 1$. The sequence notation is shorter: $\phi_1 = \langle 1,2 \rangle$ and $\phi_2 = \langle 2,1 \rangle$.

In the sequence notation the 3-permutations are $\phi_1=\langle 1,2,3\rangle$, $\phi_2=\langle 1,3,2\rangle$, $\phi_3=\langle 2,1,3\rangle$, $\phi_4=\langle 2,3,1\rangle$, $\phi_5=\langle 3,1,2\rangle$, and $\phi_6=\langle 3,2,1\rangle$.

Let ι_j be the row vector that is all 0's except for a 1 in entry j, so that the four-wide ι_2 is $(0\ 1\ 0\ 0)$. Then our notation will associate permutations with permutation matrices in this way: with any $\varphi = \langle \varphi(1), \ldots, \varphi(n) \rangle$ associate the matrix whose rows are $\iota_{\varphi(1)}, \ldots, \iota_{\varphi(n)}$.

Example Associated with the 4-permutation $\psi = \langle 2,4,3,1 \rangle$ is the matrix whose rows are the matching ι 's.

$$P_{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \iota_2 \\ \iota_4 \\ \iota_3 \\ \iota_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Permutation expansion

3.10 Definition The permutation expansion for determinants is

$$\begin{vmatrix} t_{1,1} & t_{1,2} & \dots & t_{1,n} \\ t_{2,1} & t_{2,2} & \dots & t_{2,n} \\ & \vdots & & & \\ t_{n,1} & t_{n,2} & \dots & t_{n,n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} t_{1,\phi_1(1)}t_{2,\phi_1(2)} \cdots t_{n,\phi_1(n)} | P_{\phi_1} | \\ & + t_{1,\phi_2(1)}t_{2,\phi_2(2)} \cdots t_{n,\phi_2(n)} | P_{\phi_2} | \\ \vdots & & & \\ & + t_{1,\phi_k(1)}t_{2,\phi_k(2)} \cdots t_{n,\phi_k(n)} | P_{\phi_k} | \end{vmatrix}$$

where ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_k are all of the n-permutations.

Permutation expansion

3.10 Definition The permutation expansion for determinants is

$$\begin{vmatrix} t_{1,1} & t_{1,2} & \dots & t_{1,n} \\ t_{2,1} & t_{2,2} & \dots & t_{2,n} \\ & \vdots & & & \\ t_{n,1} & t_{n,2} & \dots & t_{n,n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} t_{1,\phi_1(1)}t_{2,\phi_1(2)} \cdots t_{n,\phi_1(n)} | P_{\phi_1} | \\ & + t_{1,\phi_2(1)}t_{2,\phi_2(2)} \cdots t_{n,\phi_2(n)} | P_{\phi_2} | \\ \vdots & & & \\ & + t_{1,\phi_k(1)}t_{2,\phi_k(2)} \cdots t_{n,\phi_k(n)} | P_{\phi_k} \end{vmatrix}$$

where ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_k are all of the n-permutations.

This formula is often written in summation notation

$$|T| = \sum_{\text{permutations } \varphi} t_{1,\varphi(1)} t_{2,\varphi(2)} \cdots t_{n,\varphi(n)} |P_{\varphi}|$$

read aloud as, "the sum, over all permutations ϕ , of terms having the form $t_{1,\phi(1)}t_{2,\phi(2)}\cdots t_{n,\phi(n)}|P_{\phi}|$."

Example Recall that there are two 2-permutations $\varphi_1=\langle 1,2\rangle$ and $\varphi_2=\langle 2,1\rangle$. So for the 2×2 case, the sum over all permutations has two terms.

Example Recall that there are two 2-permutations $\phi_1 = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ and $\phi_2 = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$. So for the 2×2 case, the sum over all permutations has two terms.

These are the associated permutation matrices

$$P_{\Phi_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad P_{\Phi_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example Recall that there are two 2-permutations $\phi_1 = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ and $\phi_2 = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$. So for the 2×2 case, the sum over all permutations has two terms.

These are the associated permutation matrices

$$P_{\Phi_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad P_{\Phi_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

giving this expansion.

$$\begin{vmatrix} t_{1,1} & t_{1,2} \\ t_{2,1} & t_{2,2} \end{vmatrix} = t_{1,1}t_{2,2} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + t_{1,2}t_{2,1} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= t_{1,1}t_{2,2} \cdot 1 + t_{1,2}t_{2,1} \cdot (-1)$$

The determinant $|P_{\Phi_2}|$ equals -1 because we can bring that to the identity matrix with one row swap.

Example Recall that there are two 2-permutations $\phi_1 = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ and $\phi_2 = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$. So for the 2×2 case, the sum over all permutations has two terms.

These are the associated permutation matrices

$$P_{\Phi_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad P_{\Phi_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

giving this expansion.

$$\begin{vmatrix} t_{1,1} & t_{1,2} \\ t_{2,1} & t_{2,2} \end{vmatrix} = t_{1,1}t_{2,2} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + t_{1,2}t_{2,1} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= t_{1,1}t_{2,2} \cdot 1 + t_{1,2}t_{2,1} \cdot (-1)$$

The determinant $|P_{\varphi_2}|$ equals -1 because we can bring that to the identity matrix with one row swap. Renaming the matrix entries gives the familiar 2×2 formula.

$$\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - bc$$

Proofs for these two theorems are in the next subsection.

- 3.12 *Theorem* For each n there is an $n \times n$ determinant function.
- 3.13 *Theorem* The determinant of a matrix equals the determinant of its transpose.

- Proofs for these two theorems are in the next subsection.
- 3.12 *Theorem* For each n there is an $n \times n$ determinant function.
- 3.13 *Theorem* The determinant of a matrix equals the determinant of its transpose.
- 3.14 Corollary A matrix with two equal columns is singular. Column swaps change the sign of a determinant. Determinants are multilinear in their columns.

- Proofs for these two theorems are in the next subsection.
- 3.12 *Theorem* For each n there is an $n \times n$ determinant function.
- 3.13 *Theorem* The determinant of a matrix equals the determinant of its transpose.
- 3.14 *Corollary* A matrix with two equal columns is singular. Column swaps change the sign of a determinant. Determinants are multilinear in their columns.

Proof For the first statement, transposing the matrix results in a matrix with the same determinant, and with two equal rows, and hence a determinant of zero. Prove the other two in the same way.

QED

Determinants Exist

Inversion

4.1 *Definition* In a permutation $\phi = \langle ..., k, ..., j, ... \rangle$ elements such that k > j are in an *inversion* of their natural order. Similarly, in a permutation matrix two rows

$$P_{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \iota_{k} \\ \vdots \\ \iota_{j} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

such that k > j are in an *inversion*.

Inversion

4.1 *Definition* In a permutation $\phi = \langle ..., k, ..., j, ... \rangle$ elements such that k > j are in an *inversion* of their natural order. Similarly, in a permutation matrix two rows

$$P_{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \iota_{k} \\ \vdots \\ \iota_{j} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

such that k > j are in an *inversion*.

Example The permutation $\phi = \langle 3, 2, 1 \rangle$ has three inversions: 3 is before 2, 3 is before 1, and 2 is before 1.

Example Here there are two inversions:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

row one is inverted with respect to row two and row three is inverted with respect to row four.

4.3 *Lemma* A row-swap in a permutation matrix changes the number of inversions from even to odd, or from odd to even.

4.3 Lemma A row-swap in a permutation matrix changes the number of inversions from even to odd, or from odd to even.

Proof Consider a swap of rows j and k, where k > j. If the two rows are adjacent

$$P_{\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \iota_{\phi(j)} \\ \iota_{\phi(k)} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\rho_{k} \leftrightarrow \rho_{j}} \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \iota_{\phi(k)} \\ \iota_{\phi(j)} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

then since inversions involving rows not in this pair are not affected, the swap changes the total number of inversions by one, either removing or producing one inversion depending on whether $\varphi(j)>\varphi(k)$ or not. Consequently, the total number of inversions changes from odd to even or from even to odd.

If the rows are not adjacent then we can swap them via a sequence of adjacent swaps, first bringing row k up

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \iota_{\varphi(j)} \\ \iota_{\varphi(j+1)} \\ \iota_{\varphi(j+2)} \\ \vdots \\ \iota_{\varphi(k)} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}^{\rho_k \leftrightarrow \rho_{k-1}} \xrightarrow{\rho_{k-1} \leftrightarrow \rho_{k-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\rho_{j+1} \leftrightarrow \rho_{j}} \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \iota_{\varphi(k)} \\ \iota_{\varphi(j)} \\ \iota_{\varphi(j+1)} \\ \vdots \\ \iota_{\varphi(k-1)} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

and then bringing row j down.

Each of these adjacent swaps changes the number of inversions from odd to even or from even to odd. There are an odd number (k-j)+(k-j-1) of them. The total change in the number of inversions is from even to odd or from odd to even. QED

Signum

4.5 *Definition* The *signum* of a permutation $sgn(\phi)$ is -1 if the number of inversions in ϕ is odd and is +1 if the number of inversions is even.

Signum

4.5 *Definition* The *signum* of a permutation $sgn(\phi)$ is -1 if the number of inversions in ϕ is odd and is +1 if the number of inversions is even.

Example The permutation $\phi = \langle 3, 2, 1 \rangle$ associated with this matrix

$$P_{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has three inversions 3 is before 2, 3 is before 1, and 2 is before 1. So the signum is $sgn(\phi) = -1$.

Signum

4.5 *Definition* The *signum* of a permutation $sgn(\phi)$ is -1 if the number of inversions in ϕ is odd and is +1 if the number of inversions is even.

Example The permutation $\phi = \langle 3, 2, 1 \rangle$ associated with this matrix

$$P_{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has three inversions 3 is before 2, 3 is before 1, and 2 is before 1. So the signum is $sgn(\phi) = -1$.

Example The permutation $\psi = \langle 3, 2, 4, 1 \rangle$ has four inversions: 3 is before 2 and 1, 2 is before 1, and 4 is before 1. So $sgn(\psi) = +1$.

4.4 Corollary If a permutation matrix has an odd number of inversions then swapping it to the identity takes an odd number of swaps. If it has an even number of inversions then swapping to the identity takes an even number of swaps.

4.4 Corollary If a permutation matrix has an odd number of inversions then swapping it to the identity takes an odd number of swaps. If it has an even number of inversions then swapping to the identity takes an even number of swaps.

Proof The identity matrix has zero inversions. To change an odd number to zero requires an odd number of swaps, and to change an even number to zero requires an even number of swaps. QED

Determinants exist

We still have not shown that the determinant function is well-defined because we have not considered row operations on permutation matrices other than row swaps. We will finesse this issue. We will define a function $d\colon \mathfrak{M}_{n\times n}\to \mathbb{R}$ by altering the permutation expansion formula, replacing $|P_{\Phi}|$ with $\text{sgn}(\varphi).$

$$d(T) = \sum_{\text{permutations } \varphi} t_{1, \varphi(1)} t_{2, \varphi(2)} \cdots t_{n, \varphi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi)$$

This gives the same value as the permutation expansion because the corollary shows that $det(P_{\varphi})=sgn(\varphi).$ The advantage of this formula is that the number of inversions is clearly well-defined—just count them. Therefore, we will finish showing that an $n\times n$ determinant function exists by showing that this d satisfies the conditions in the determinant's definition.

4.7 *Lemma* The function d above is a determinant. Hence determinants exist for every n.

Proof We must check that it has the four properties from the definition.

4.7 *Lemma* The function d above is a determinant. Hence determinants exist for every n.

Proof We must check that it has the four properties from the definition.

Property (4) is easy; where I is the $n \times n$ identity, in

$$d(I) = \sum_{\mathtt{perm}\ \varphi} \iota_{1,\varphi(1)} \iota_{2,\varphi(2)} \cdots \iota_{n,\varphi(n)} \, \mathtt{sgn}(\varphi)$$

all of the terms in the summation are zero except for the product down the diagonal, which is one.

4.7 *Lemma* The function d above is a determinant. Hence determinants exist for every n.

Proof We must check that it has the four properties from the definition.

Property (4) is easy; where I is the $n \times n$ identity, in

$$d(I) = \sum_{\text{perm } \varphi} \iota_{1, \varphi(1)} \iota_{2, \varphi(2)} \cdots \iota_{n, \varphi(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\varphi)$$

all of the terms in the summation are zero except for the product down the diagonal, which is one.

For property (3) consider $d(\hat{T})$ where $T \xrightarrow{k\rho_i} \hat{T}$.

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\text{perm } \varphi} \hat{t}_{1,\varphi(1)} \cdots \hat{t}_{i,\varphi(i)} \cdots \hat{t}_{n,\varphi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi) \\ &= \sum_{\Phi} t_{1,\varphi(1)} \cdots k t_{i,\varphi(i)} \cdots t_{n,\varphi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi) \end{split}$$

Factor out the k to get the desired equality.

$$= k \cdot \sum_{\Phi} t_{1, \Phi(1)} \cdots t_{i, \Phi(i)} \cdots t_{n, \Phi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi) = k \cdot d(T)$$

For (2) suppose that $T \xrightarrow{\rho_i \leftrightarrow \rho_j} \hat{T}$. We must show this is the negative of d(T).

$$d(\boldsymbol{\hat{T}}) = \sum_{\substack{\text{perm } \boldsymbol{\varphi}}} \boldsymbol{\hat{t}}_{1,\boldsymbol{\varphi}(1)} \cdots \boldsymbol{\hat{t}}_{i,\boldsymbol{\varphi}(i)} \cdots \boldsymbol{\hat{t}}_{j,\boldsymbol{\varphi}(j)} \cdots \boldsymbol{\hat{t}}_{n,\boldsymbol{\varphi}(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \qquad (*)$$

We will show that each term in (*) is associated with a term in d(T), and that the two terms are negatives of each other. Consider the matrix from the multilinear expansion of $d(\hat{T})$ giving the term $\hat{t}_{1,\varphi(1)}\cdots\hat{t}_{i,\varphi(i)}\cdots\hat{t}_{j,\varphi(j)}\cdots\hat{t}_{n,\varphi(n)}\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi)$.

$$\left(egin{array}{cccc} & \vdots & & & \ \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{\mathfrak{i}, \varphi(\mathfrak{i})} & & & & \ & & \vdots & & \ & & \hat{\mathfrak{t}}_{\mathfrak{j}, \varphi(\mathfrak{j})} & & \ & & \vdots & & \end{array}
ight)$$

It is the result of the $\rho_i \leftrightarrow \rho_j$ operation performed on this matrix.

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} & \vdots & \\ & & t_{i,\varphi(j)} \\ & \vdots & \\ t_{j,\varphi(i)} & & \vdots \end{array}\right)$$

That is, the term with hatted t's is associated with this term from the d(T) expansion: $t_{1,\sigma(1)}\cdots t_{j,\sigma(j)}\cdots t_{i,\sigma(i)}\cdots t_{n,\sigma(n)}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma),$ where the permutation σ equals φ but with the i-th and j-th numbers interchanged, $\sigma(i)=\varphi(j)$ and $\sigma(j)=\varphi(i).$ The two terms have the same multiplicands $\hat{t}_{1,\varphi(1)}=t_{1,\sigma(1)},\ldots$, including the entries from the swapped rows $\hat{t}_{i,\varphi(i)}=t_{j,\varphi(i)}=t_{j,\sigma(j)}$ and $\hat{t}_{j,\varphi(j)}=t_{i,\varphi(j)}=t_{i,\sigma(i)}.$ But the two terms are negatives of each other since $\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi)=-\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ by Lemma 4.3 .

Now, any permutation φ can be derived from some other permutation σ by such a swap, in one and only one way. Therefore the summation in (*) is in fact a sum over all permutations, taken once and only once.

$$\begin{split} d(\hat{\textbf{T}}) &= \sum_{\substack{\text{perm } \boldsymbol{\varphi}}} \hat{\textbf{t}}_{1,\boldsymbol{\varphi}(1)} \cdots \hat{\textbf{t}}_{i,\boldsymbol{\varphi}(i)} \cdots \hat{\textbf{t}}_{j,\boldsymbol{\varphi}(j)} \cdots \hat{\textbf{t}}_{n,\boldsymbol{\varphi}(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\text{perm } \boldsymbol{\sigma}}} \textbf{t}_{1,\boldsymbol{\sigma}(1)} \cdots \textbf{t}_{j,\boldsymbol{\sigma}(j)} \cdots \textbf{t}_{i,\boldsymbol{\sigma}(i)} \cdots \textbf{t}_{n,\boldsymbol{\sigma}(n)} \cdot \left(-\operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right) \end{split}$$

Thus $d(\hat{T}) = -d(T)$.

For property (1) suppose that $T \xrightarrow{k\rho_i + \rho_j} \hat{T}$ and consider the effect of a row combination.

$$\begin{split} d(\hat{T}) &= \sum_{\text{perm } \varphi} \hat{t}_{1,\varphi(1)} \cdots \hat{t}_{i,\varphi(i)} \cdots \hat{t}_{j,\varphi(j)} \cdots \hat{t}_{n,\varphi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi) \\ &= \sum_{\Phi} t_{1,\varphi(1)} \cdots t_{i,\varphi(i)} \cdots (kt_{i,\varphi(j)} + t_{j,\varphi(j)}) \cdots t_{n,\varphi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi) \end{split}$$

Do the algebra.

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{\varphi} \left[t_{1,\varphi(1)} \cdots t_{i,\varphi(i)} \cdots k t_{i,\varphi(j)} \cdots t_{n,\varphi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi) \right. \\ &+ t_{1,\varphi(1)} \cdots t_{i,\varphi(i)} \cdots t_{j,\varphi(j)} \cdots t_{n,\varphi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\varphi} t_{1,\varphi(1)} \cdots t_{i,\varphi(i)} \cdots k t_{i,\varphi(j)} \cdots t_{n,\varphi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi) \\ &+ \sum_{\varphi} t_{1,\varphi(1)} \cdots t_{i,\varphi(i)} \cdots t_{j,\varphi(j)} \cdots t_{n,\varphi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi) \\ &= k \cdot \sum_{\varphi} t_{1,\varphi(1)} \cdots t_{i,\varphi(i)} \cdots t_{i,\varphi(j)} \cdots t_{n,\varphi(n)} \, \text{sgn}(\varphi) \end{split}$$

Finish by observing that the terms

 $\begin{array}{l} t_{1,\varphi(1)}\cdots t_{i,\varphi(i)}\cdots t_{i,\varphi(j)}\cdots t_{n,\varphi(n)}\,\text{sgn}(\varphi) \text{ add to zero: this sum} \\ \text{represents } d(S) \text{ where } S \text{ is a matrix equal to } T \text{ except that row } j \text{ of } S \\ \text{is a copy of row } i \text{ of } T \text{ (because the factor is } t_{i,\varphi(j)}, \text{ not } t_{j,\varphi(j)} \text{) and so} \\ S \text{ has two equal rows, rows } i \text{ and } j. \text{ Since we have already shown that} \\ d \text{ changes sign on row swaps, as in Lemma 2.3 we conclude that} \\ d(S) = 0. \end{array}$

The determinant of the transpose

4.8 *Theorem* The determinant of a matrix equals the determinant of its transpose.

Proof Call the matrix T and denote the entries of T^T with s's so that $t_{i,j} = s_{j,i}$. Substitution gives this

$$|T| = \sum_{\mathtt{perms}\ \varphi} t_{1,\varphi(1)} \cdots t_{\mathfrak{n},\varphi(\mathfrak{n})} \, \mathtt{sgn}(\varphi) = \sum_{\varphi} s_{\varphi(1),1} \cdots s_{\varphi(\mathfrak{n}),\mathfrak{n}} \, \mathtt{sgn}(\varphi)$$

and we will finish the argument by manipulating the expression on the right to be recognizable as the determinant of the transpose. We have written all permutation expansions with the row indices ascending. To rewrite the expression on the right in this way, note that because φ is a permutation the row indices $\varphi(1), \ldots, \varphi(n)$ are just the numbers $1, \ldots, n$, rearranged. Apply commutativity to have these ascend, giving $s_{1,\varphi^{-1}(1)} \cdots s_{n,\varphi^{-1}(n)}$.

$$=\sum_{\varphi^{-1}}s_{1,\varphi^{-1}(1)}\cdots s_{n,\varphi^{-1}(n)}\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi^{-1})$$

Exercise 14 shows that $sgn(\varphi^{-1}) = sgn(\varphi)$. Since every permutation is the inverse of another, a sum over all inverses φ^{-1} is a sum over all permutations

$$= \sum_{\text{perms } \sigma} s_{1,\sigma(1)} \dots s_{n,\sigma(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = \left| \mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{T}} \right|$$

as required. QED

Exercise 14 shows that $sgn(\varphi^{-1}) = sgn(\varphi)$. Since every permutation is the inverse of another, a sum over all inverses φ^{-1} is a sum over all permutations

$$= \sum_{\mathtt{perms}\ \sigma} s_{1,\sigma(1)} \dots s_{\mathfrak{n},\sigma(\mathfrak{n})} \, \mathtt{sgn}(\sigma) = \left| T^\mathsf{T} \right|$$

as required.

QED

Example We know the formula for 2×2 matrices.

$$\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - bc \qquad \begin{vmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - cb$$