

Principles for Secure Software

Lecture-10

Department of CSE, IUT



Making secure software

Making secure software

- **Flawed approach:** Design and build software, and *ignore security at first*
 - Add security once the functional requirements are satisfied

Making secure software

- **Flawed approach:** Design and build software, and *ignore security at first*
 - Add security once the functional requirements are satisfied
- **Better approach:** *Build security in* from the start
 - Incorporate security-minded thinking into all phases of the development process

Development process

- Many development processes; **four common phases**:
 - Requirements
 - Design
 - Implementation
 - Testing/assurance
- Where does **security engineering** fit in?
 - **All phases!**

Security engineering

Phase

- ^S Requirements
- Design
- Implementation
- Testing/assurance

Note that different SD processes have different phases and artifacts, but all involve the basics above. We'll keep it simple and refer to these.

Security engineering

Phase

- ^S Requirements
- Design
- Implementation
- Testing/assurance

Note that different SD processes have different phases and artifacts, but all involve the basics above. We'll keep it simple and refer to these.

Activities

Security engineering

Phase

- ^S Requirements
- Design
- Implementation
- Testing/assurance

Security Requirements



Note that different SD processes have different phases and artifacts, but all involve the basics above. We'll keep it simple and refer to these.

Activities

Security engineering


Phase

- ^S Requirements *Security Requirements*
Abuse Cases
- Design
- Implementation
- Testing/assurance

Note that different SD processes have different phases and artifacts, but all involve the basics above. We'll keep it simple and refer to these.

Activities


Security engineering



Note that different SD processes have different phases and artifacts, but all involve the basics above. We'll keep it simple and refer to these.

Activities


Security engineering



Note that different SD processes have different phases and artifacts, but all involve the basics above. We'll keep it simple and refer to these.

Activities


Security engineering



Note that different SD processes have different phases and artifacts, but all involve the basics above. We'll keep it simple and refer to these.

Activities


Security engineering



Note that different SD processes have different phases and artifacts, but all involve the basics above. We'll keep it simple and refer to these.

Activities


Security engineering



Note that different SD processes have different phases and artifacts, but all involve the basics above. We'll keep it simple and refer to these.

Activities

Security engineering



Note that different SD processes have different phases and artifacts, but all involve the basics above. We'll keep it simple and refer to these.

Activities

Security engineering

- Secure Architecture & Design
 - *Threat Modeling/ Abuse Cases*
 - *Risk Analysis*
 - *Security Requirement Analysis*
 - *Security oriented design consideration*

Security engineering

- Secure Architecture & Design
 - *Threat Modeling/ Abuse Cases*
 - *Risk Analysis*
 - *Security Requirement Analysis*
 - *Security oriented design consideration*
- Secure Coding Practice

Security engineering

- Secure Architecture & Design
 - *Threat Modeling/ Abuse Cases*
 - *Risk Analysis*
 - *Security Requirement Analysis*
 - *Security oriented design consideration*
- Secure Coding Practice

Note: It is **Easier and more Cost-Effective** to **Eliminate** security flaws **at the design level** than in any other phase of the secure SDLC

Security engineering

- Secure Architecture & Design
 - *Threat Modeling/ Abuse Cases*
 - *Risk Analysis*
 - *Security Requirement Analysis*
 - *Security oriented design consideration*
- Secure Coding Practice

Note: It is **Easier and more Cost-Effective** to **Eliminate** security flaws **at the design level** than in any other phase of the secure SDLC

Following **secure coding standards** not only **reduces** the **probability of introducing vulnerabilities**, but also **reduces accidental inclusion of other flaws**.

Security engineering

- Secure Architecture & Design
 - *Threat Modeling/ Abuse Cases*
 - *Risk Analysis*
 - *Security Requirement Analysis*
 - *Security oriented design consideration*
- Secure Coding Practice
- Software Security Testing

Note: It is **Easier and more Cost-Effective** to **Eliminate** security flaws **at the design level** than in any other phase of the secure SDLC

Following **secure coding standards** not only **reduces** the **probability of introducing vulnerabilities**, but also **reduces accidental inclusion of other flaws**.

Security engineering

- Secure Architecture & Design
 - *Threat Modeling/ Abuse Cases*
 - *Risk Analysis*
 - *Security Requirement Analysis*
 - *Security oriented design consideration*
- Secure Coding Practice
- Software Security Testing
 - *Code Review*
 - *Static Analysis*
 - *Dynamic Analysis*

Note: It is **Easier and more Cost-Effective** to **Eliminate** security flaws **at the design level** than in any other phase of the secure SDLC

Following **secure coding standards** not only **reduces** the **probability of introducing vulnerabilities**, but also **reduces accidental inclusion of other flaws**.

Security engineering

- Secure Architecture & Design
 - *Threat Modeling/ Abuse Cases*
 - *Risk Analysis*
 - *Security Requirement Analysis*
 - *Security oriented design consideration*
- Secure Coding Practice
- Software Security Testing
 - *Code Review*
 - *Static Analysis*
 - *Dynamic Analysis*
 - *Penetration Testing*

Note: It is **Easier and more Cost-Effective** to **Eliminate** security flaws **at the design level** than in any other phase of the secure SDLC

Following **secure coding standards** not only **reduces** the **probability of introducing vulnerabilities**, but also **reduces accidental inclusion of other flaws**.

Security engineering

- Secure Architecture & Design
 - *Threat Modeling/ Abuse Cases*
 - *Risk Analysis*
 - *Security Requirement Analysis*
 - *Security oriented design consideration*
- Secure Coding Practice
- Software Security Testing
 - *Code Review*
 - *Static Analysis*
 - *Dynamic Analysis*
 - *Penetration Testing*
 - *Fuzz Testing*

Note: It is **Easier and more Cost-Effective** to **Eliminate** security flaws **at the design level** than in any other phase of the secure SDLC

Following **secure coding standards** not only **reduces** the **probability of introducing vulnerabilities**, but also **reduces accidental inclusion of other flaws**.

Secure Architecture & Design



Designing secure systems

- **Model** your threats
- Define your **security requirements**
 - **What does it mean** to specify a security requirement from a typical “software feature”?
- Apply good security **design principles**

Threat Modeling

Threat Model


Threat Model

- The **threat model** makes explicit the **adversary's assumed powers**
 - Consequence: The threat model must match reality, otherwise the risk analysis of the system will be wrong

Threat Model


- The **threat model** makes explicit the **adversary's assumed powers**
 - Consequence: The threat model must match reality, otherwise the risk analysis of the system will be wrong
- The threat model is **critically**
 - **important** If you are not explicit about what the attacker can do, how can you assess whether your design will repel that attacker?

A few different network threat models




Malicious user

A few different network threat models




Malicious user




Co-located user



A few different network threat models



Malicious user




Co-located user



Compromised server

A few different network threat models



Threat-driven Design

Threat-driven Design

- Different threat models will elicit different responses

Threat-driven Design

- Different threat models will elicit different responses




- **Only malicious users:** implies **message traffic is safe**
 - No need to encrypt communications
 - This is what `telnet` remote login software assumed

Threat-driven Design

- Different threat models will elicit different responses



- **Only malicious users:** implies **message traffic is safe**
 - No need to encrypt communications
 - This is what `telnet` remote login software assumed




- **Snooping attackers:** means **message traffic is visible**
 - So use encrypted wifi (link layer), encrypted network layer (IPsec), or encrypted application layer (SSL)
 - Which is most appropriate for your system?

Threat-driven Design


- Different threat models will elicit different responses



- **Only malicious users:** implies **message traffic is safe**
 - No need to encrypt communications
 - This is what `telnet` remote login software assumed



- **Snooping attackers:** means **message traffic is visible**
 - So use encrypted wifi (link layer), encrypted network layer (IPsec), or encrypted application layer (SSL)
 - Which is most appropriate for your system?



- **Co-located attacker:** can **access local files, memory**
 - Cannot store unencrypted secrets, like passwords
 - Likewise with a compromised server

Threat-driven Design

- The **basic concept behind each vulnerability and attack** needs to be **understood** in order to create a general secure design.
- Then, the design can be constructed keeping in mind **all the security prerequisites** of the application.
- Developers can also make use of [Secure Design Patterns](#) to deal with security-related issues and solve known security problems.

Attack Surfaces

Consist of the
reachable and
exploitable
vulnerabilities
in a system

Examples:

- Open ports on outward facing Web and other servers, and code listening on those ports
- Services available on the inside of a firewall
- Code that processes incoming data, email, XML, office documents, and industry-specific custom data exchange formats
- Interfaces, SQL, and Web forms
- An employee with access to sensitive information vulnerable to a social engineering attack

Attack Surface Categories

Network Attack Surface

Vulnerabilities over an enterprise network, wide-area network, or the Internet

Included in this category are network protocol vulnerabilities, such as those used for a denial-of-service attack, disruption of communications links, and various forms of intruder attacks

Software Attack Surface

Vulnerabilities in application, utility, or operating system code

Particular focus is Web server software

Human Attack Surface

Vulnerabilities created by personnel or outsiders, such as social engineering, human error, and trusted insiders

Attack Trees

A branching, hierarchical data structure that represents a set of potential vulnerabilities

Objective: to effectively exploit the info available on attack patterns

- published on CERT or similar forums
- Security analysts can use the tree to guide design and strengthen countermeasures

An Attack Tree




Figure 1.4 An Attack Tree for Internet Banking Authentication

Attack Surface Analysis




Figure 1.3 Defense in Depth and Attack Surface

Abuse Cases

Abuse Cases

- Abuse cases **illustrate** security requirements

Abuse Cases

- Abuse cases **illustrate** security requirements
- Where **use cases** describe **what a system *should*** do, **abuse cases** describe **what it *should not* do**

Abuse Cases

- Abuse cases **illustrate** security requirements
- Where **use cases** describe **what a system *should*** do, **abuse cases** describe **what it *should not* do**
- Example **use case**: The system allows bank managers to modify an account's interest rate

Abuse Cases

- Abuse cases **illustrate** security requirements
- Where **use cases** describe **what a system *should*** do, **abuse cases** describe **what it *should not* do**
- Example **use case**: The system allows bank managers to modify an account's interest rate

Example **abuse case**: A user is able to spoof being a manager and thereby change the interest rate on an account

Defining Abuse Cases

Defining Abuse Cases

- Using attack patterns and likely scenarios, construct cases in which an **adversary's exercise of power** could **violate a security requirement**

Defining Abuse Cases

- Using attack patterns and likely scenarios, construct cases in which an **adversary's exercise of power** could **violate a security requirement**
 - Based on the threat model

Defining Abuse Cases

- Using attack patterns and likely scenarios, construct cases in which an **adversary's exercise of power** could **violate a security requirement**
 - Based on the threat model
 - What might occur if a security measure was removed?

Defining Abuse Cases

- Using attack patterns and likely scenarios, construct cases in which an **adversary's exercise of power** could **violate a security requirement**
 - Based on the threat model
 - What might occur if a security measure was removed?
- **Example:** *Co-located attacker* steals password file and learns all user passwords

Defining Abuse Cases

- Using attack patterns and likely scenarios, construct cases in which an **adversary's exercise of power** could **violate a security requirement**
 - Based on the threat model
 - What might occur if a security measure was removed?
- **Example:** *Co-located attacker steals password file and learns all user passwords*
 - Possible if password file is not encrypted

Defining Abuse Cases

- Using attack patterns and likely scenarios, construct cases in which an **adversary's exercise of power** could **violate a security requirement**
 - Based on the threat model
 - What might occur if a security measure was removed?
- **Example:** *Co-located attacker* steals password file and learns all user passwords
 - Possible if password file is not encrypted
- **Example:** *Snooping attacker* replays a captured message, effecting a bank withdrawal

Defining Abuse Cases

- Using attack patterns and likely scenarios, construct cases in which an **adversary's exercise of power** could **violate a security requirement**
 - Based on the threat model
 - What might occur if a security measure was removed?
- **Example:** *Co-located attacker* steals password file and learns all user passwords
 - Possible if password file is not encrypted
- **Example:** *Snooping attacker* replays a captured message, effecting a bank withdrawal
 - Possible if messages are have no *nonce*. [What is a cryptographic nonce](#)

Bad Model = Bad Security

- Any **assumptions** you make in your model are potential **holes that the adversary can exploit**

Bad Model = Bad Security

- Any **assumptions** you make in your model are potential **holes that the adversary can exploit**
- E.g.: **Assuming no snooping users no longer valid**
 - *Prevalence of wi-fi networks in most deployments*

Bad Model = Bad Security

- Any **assumptions** you make in your model are potential **holes that the adversary can exploit**
- E.g.: **Assuming no snooping users no longer valid**
 - *Prevalence of wi-fi networks in most deployments*
- Other mistaken assumptions
 - **Assumption: Encrypted traffic carries no information**
 - Not true! By analyzing the size and distribution of messages, you can infer application state

Bad Model = Bad Security

- Any **assumptions** you make in your model are potential **holes that the adversary can exploit**
- E.g.: **Assuming no snooping users no longer valid**
 - *Prevalence of wi-fi networks in most deployments*
- Other mistaken assumptions
 - **Assumption: Encrypted traffic carries no information**
 - Not true! By analyzing the size and distribution of messages, you can infer application state
 - **Assumption: Timing channels carry little information**
 - Not true! Timing measurements of previous RSA implementations could be used eventually reveal a remote SSL secret key

Examples of this entity include the interpacket delays of a packet stream, the reordering packets in a packet stream, or the resource access time of a cryptographic module

Finding a good model

Finding a good model

- **Compare against similar systems**
- What stacks does their design contend with?

Finding a good model

- **Compare against similar systems**
 - What attacks does their design contend with?
- **Understand past attacks and attack patterns**
 - Do they apply to your system?

Finding a good model

- **Compare against similar systems**
 - What attacks does their design contend with?
- **Understand past attacks and attack patterns**
 - Do they apply to your system?
- **Challenge assumptions in your design**
 - What happens if an assumption is untrue?
 - What would a breach potentially cost you?
 - How hard would it be to get rid of an assumption, allowing for a stronger adversary?
 - What would that development cost?

Security Requirements

Security Requirements

- **Software requirements** typically about **what** the **software should do**

Security Requirements

- **Software requirements** typically about **what** the **software should do**
- We also want to have **security requirements**

Security Requirements

- **Software requirements** typically about **what** the **software should do**
- We also want to have **security requirements**
 - **Security-related goals (or policies)**
Example: One user's bank account balance should not be learned by, or modified by, another user, unless authorized

Security Requirements

- **Software requirements** typically about **what** the software should do
- We also want to have **security requirements**
 - **Security-related goals (or policies)**
Example: One user's bank account balance should not be learned by, or modified by, another user, unless authorized
 - Required **mechanisms** for enforcing them

Security Requirements

- **Software requirements** typically about **what** the software should do
- We also want to have **security requirements**
 - **Security-related goals (or policies)**
Example: One user's bank account balance should not be learned by, or modified by, another user, unless authorized
 - **Required mechanisms for enforcing them**
 - **Example:**
 1. Users identify themselves using passwords,

Security Requirements

- **Software requirements** typically about **what** the software should do
- We also want to have **security requirements**
 - **Security-related goals (or policies)**
Example: One user's bank account balance should not be learned by, or modified by, another user, unless authorized
 - **Required mechanisms for enforcing them**
 - **Example:**
 - 1.Users identify themselves using passwords,
 - 2.Passwords must be “strong,” and

Security Requirements

- **Software requirements** typically about **what** the software should do
- We also want to have **security requirements**
 - **Security-related goals (or policies)**
Example: One user's bank account balance should not be learned by, or modified by, another user, unless authorized
 - **Required mechanisms for enforcing them**
 - **Example:**
 1. Users identify themselves using passwords,
 2. Passwords must be “strong,” and
 3. The password database is only accessible to login program.

Typical *Kinds* of Requirements

Typical *Kinds* of Requirements

- **Policies**
 - **Confidentiality** (and Privacy and Anonymity)
 - **Integrity**
 - **Availability**

Typical *Kinds* of Requirements

- **Policies**
 - **Confidentiality** (and Privacy and Anonymity)
 - **Integrity**
 - **Availability**
- Supporting **mechanisms**
 - **Authentication**
 - **Authorization**
 - **Audit-ability**

Supporting mechanisms

These relate identities (“**principals**”) to **actions**

Authentication

Authorization

Audit-ability

Supporting mechanisms

These relate identities (“**principals**”) to **actions**

Authentication

How can a system
tell *who a user is*

Authorization

Audit-ability

Supporting mechanisms

These relate identities (“**principals**”) to **actions**

Authentication

How can a system
tell *who a user is*

Authorization

Audit-ability

What we
know What
we have

What we are

>1 of the above =

Mult-factor authentication

Supporting mechanisms

These relate identities (“**principals**”) to **actions**

Authentication

How can a system
tell *who a user is*

Authorization

How can a system
tell *what a user is
allowed to do*

Audit-ability

What we
know What
we have

What we are

>1 of the above =

Mult-factor authentication

Supporting mechanisms

These relate identities (“**principals**”) to **actions**

Authentication

How can a system
tell *who a user is*

What we
know What
we have

What we are

>1 of the above =

Mult-factor authentication

Authorization

How can a system
tell *what a user is
allowed to do*

Access control policies
(defines)

+

Mediator

(checks)

Audit-ability

Supporting mechanisms

These relate identities (“**principals**”) to **actions**

Authentication

How can a system
tell *who a user is*

What we
know What
we have

What we are

>1 of the above =

Mult-factor authentication

Authorization

How can a system
tell *what a user is
allowed to do*

Access control policies
(defines)

+

Mediator

(checks)

Audit-ability

How can a system
tell *what a user
did*

Supporting mechanisms

These relate identities (“**principals**”) to **actions**

Authentication

How can a system
tell *who a user is*

What we
know What
we have

What we are

>1 of the above =

Mult-factor authentication

Authorization

How can a system
tell *what a user is
allowed to do*

Access control policies
(defines)

+

Mediator
(checks)

Audit-ability

How can a system
tell *what a user
did*

Retain enough info
to determine the
circumstances of a
breach

Defining Security Requirements

- Many processes for deciding security requirements

Defining Security Requirements

- Many processes for deciding security requirements
- Example: **General policy concerns**

Defining Security Requirements

- Many processes for deciding security requirements
- Example: **General policy concerns**
 - Due to **regulations**/standards (HIPAA, SOX, etc.)

Defining Security Requirements

- Many processes for deciding security requirements
- Example: **General policy concerns**
 - Due to **regulations**/standards (HIPAA, SOX, etc.)
 - Due **organizational values** (e.g., valuing privacy)

Defining Security Requirements

- Many processes for deciding security requirements
- Example: **General policy concerns**
 - Due to **regulations**/standards (HIPAA, SOX, etc.)
 - Due **organizational values** (e.g., valuing privacy)
- Example: **Policy arising from threat modeling**

Defining Security Requirements

- Many processes for deciding security requirements
- Example: **General policy concerns**
 - Due to **regulations**/standards (HIPAA, SOX, etc.)
 - Due **organizational values** (e.g., valuing privacy)
- Example: **Policy arising from threat modeling**
 - Which **attacks** cause the **greatest concern**?
 - Who are the likely adversaries and what are their goals and methods?

Defining Security Requirements

- Many processes for deciding security requirements
- Example: **General policy concerns**
 - Due to **regulations**/standards (HIPAA, SOX, etc.)
 - Due **organizational values** (e.g., valuing privacy)
- Example: **Policy arising from threat modeling**
 - Which **attacks** cause the **greatest concern**?
 - Who are the likely adversaries and what are their goals and methods?
 - Which **attacks** have **already occurred**?
 - Within the organization, or elsewhere on related systems?

Security design principles

Design Defects = Flaws

- Recall that software defects consist of both flaws and bugs
 - **Flaws** are problems in the **design**
 - **Bugs** are problems in the **implementation**
- **We avoid flaws during the design phase**
- According to Gary McGraw,
50% of security problems are flaws
 - So this phase is very important



Categories of Principles

Categories of Principles

- **Prevention**

Categories of Principles

- **Prevention**
 - **Goal:** Eliminate software defects entirely

Categories of Principles

- **Prevention**

- **Goal:** Eliminate software defects entirely
- **Example:** Heartbleed bug would have been prevented by using a type-safe language, like Java

Categories of Principles

- **Prevention**
 - **Goal:** Eliminate software defects entirely
 - **Example:** Heartbleed bug would have been prevented by using a type-safe language, like Java
- **Mitigation**

Categories of Principles

- **Prevention**

- **Goal:** Eliminate software defects entirely
- **Example:** Heartbleed bug would have been prevented by using a type-safe language, like Java

- **Mitigation**

- **Goal:** Reduce the harm from exploitation of unknown defects

Categories of Principles

- **Prevention**

- **Goal:** Eliminate software defects entirely
- **Example:** Heartbleed bug would have been prevented by using a type-safe language, like Java

- **Mitigation**

- **Goal:** Reduce the harm from exploitation of unknown defects
- **Example:** Run each browser tab in a separate process, so exploitation of one tab does not yield access to data in another

Categories of Principles

- **Prevention**
 - **Goal:** Eliminate software defects entirely
 - **Example:** Heartbleed bug would have been prevented by using a type-safe language, like Java
- **Mitigation**
 - **Goal:** Reduce the harm from exploitation of unknown defects
 - **Example:** Run each browser tab in a separate process, so exploitation of one tab does not yield access to data in another
- **Detection (and Recovery)**

Categories of Principles

- **Prevention**
 - **Goal:** Eliminate software defects entirely
 - **Example:** Heartbleed bug would have been prevented by using a type-safe language, like Java
- **Mitigation**
 - **Goal:** Reduce the harm from exploitation of unknown defects
 - **Example:** Run each browser tab in a separate process, so exploitation of one tab does not yield access to data in another
- **Detection (and Recovery)**
 - **Goal:** Identify and understand an attack (and undo damage)

Categories of Principles

- **Prevention**
 - **Goal:** Eliminate software defects entirely
 - **Example:** Heartbleed bug would have been prevented by using a type-safe language, like Java
- **Mitigation**
 - **Goal:** Reduce the harm from exploitation of unknown defects
 - **Example:** Run each browser tab in a separate process, so exploitation of one tab does not yield access to data in another
- **Detection (and Recovery)**
 - **Goal:** Identify and understand an attack (and undo damage)
 - **Example:** Monitoring (e.g., expected invariants), snapshotting


Principles for building secure systems

- Security is economics
- Principle of least privilege
- Use fail-safe defaults
- Use separation of responsibility
- Defend in depth
- Take human factors into account
- Ensure complete mediation
- Accept that threat models change
- If you can't prevent, detect
- Kerckhoff's principle (no security through obscurity)
- Design security from the ground up
- Prefer conservative designs
- Proactively study attacks

Secure Coding Practice



Your Assignment



Software Security Testing




Security Testing

EDITABLE STROKE

alamy

Image ID: 21030TH
www.alamy.com



Security Testing

EDITABLE STROKE

Code Review



Penetration Testing



Fuzz Testing



References

- Some of the slides and content are from Mike Hicks' Coursera course