Porting OpenBSD

Niall O'Higgins <niallo@openbsd.org>
Uwe Stühler <uwe@openbsd.org>

OpenCON, 2005

Outline

- Porting OpenBSD
 - What It Takes
 - Preparation
 - Cross-Development
 - The Boot Loader
 - Building The Kernel
 - Adapting Startup Code
 - Writing Device Drivers
 - Going Native
 - Subsequent Work
- 2 OpenBSD/zaurus
 - History
 - What It Took
 - What Was Done
 - Tricky Parts
 - Current Status
 - Future Plans

motivation, some experience, time and persistence

- motivation, some experience, time and persistence
- about 20 developers having the machines

- motivation, some experience, time and persistence
- about 20 developers having the machines
- a user community

- motivation, some experience, time and persistence
- about 20 developers having the machines
- a user community
- "full" support includes that:
 - release install media is known to work
 - architecture can compile itself
 - most of the basic tools exist on the architecture
 - snapshots are made available on a regular basis
 - packages exist

get a hold of documentation

- get a hold of documentation
- familiarise yourself with the architecture

- get a hold of documentation
- familiarise yourself with the architecture
- start from an existing port that is very similar

- get a hold of documentation
- familiarise yourself with the architecture
- start from an existing port that is very similar
- copy and rename machine-dependent sources
 - sys/arch/machine/...
 - share/man/mann.machine/...
 - etc/etc.machine/...
 - distrib/...

- get a hold of documentation
- familiarise yourself with the architecture
- start from an existing port that is very similar
- copy and rename machine-dependent sources
 - sys/arch/machine/...
 - share/man/mann/mann.machine/...
 - etc/etc.machine/...
 - distrib/...
- poke around in interesting places
 - opportunity to learn about things

- get a hold of documentation
- familiarise yourself with the architecture
- start from an existing port that is very similar
- copy and rename machine-dependent sources
 - sys/arch/machine/...
 - share/man/man*n*.*machine*/...
 - etc/etc.machine/...
 - ☐ distrib/...
- poke around in interesting places and try to remember what you've changed
 - opportunity to learn about things
 - it's easy to make mistakes and some things can't be tested immediately

to start the port, normally you have to cross-compile

- to start the port, normally you have to cross-compile
- we have to use the GNU compiler toolchain (binutils, gcc, gdb, ...)
 - makes it difficult to port OpenBSD to architectures not already supported by the toolchain

- to start the port, normally you have to cross-compile we have to use the GNU compiler toolchain (binutils, gcc, gdb, ...)
 - makes it difficult to port OpenBSD to architectures not already supported by the toolchain
- "make cross-tools" and "make cross-distrib" are there to aid the porter

- to start the port, normally you have to cross-compile
- we have to use the GNU compiler toolchain (binutils, gcc, gdb, ...)
 - makes it difficult to port OpenBSD to architectures not already supported by the toolchain
- "make cross-tools" and "make cross-distrib" are there to aid the porter
- cross-compiling is not used once the port can compile itself

- to start the port, normally you have to cross-compile we have to use the GNU compiler toolchain (binutils, gcc, gdb, ...)
 - makes it difficult to port OpenBSD to architectures not already supported by the toolchain
- "make cross-tools" and "make cross-distrib" are there to aid the porter
- cross-compiling is not used once the port can compile itself native builds are a good way to test the machine and a new port

- to start the port, normally you have to cross-compile we have to use the GNU compiler toolchain (binutils, gcc, gdb, ...)
 - makes it difficult to port OpenBSD to architectures not already supported by the toolchain
- "make cross-tools" and "make cross-distrib" are there to aid the porter
- cross-compiling is not used once the port can compile itself
- native builds are a good way to test the machine and a new port
- as a result, we switch to native builds as soon as possible

need a way to load the kernel (JTAG is nice, but not always available)

- need a way to load the kernel (JTAG is nice, but not always available)
- loader can be 50 lines of assembly or a big C program

- need a way to load the kernel (JTAG is nice, but not always available)
- loader can be 50 lines of assembly or a big C program
- in the long run you want to port **boot(8)** the stand-alone kernel
 - easier to port than the BSD kernel: does not use the full build infrastructure
 - harder if you have no BIOS, Open Firmware-compliant or similarly sophisticated firmware to call out to (for console and disk access, device tree traversal, etc.)
 - but **boot(8)** can run on and replace another operating system in memory e.g. Linux:

- need a way to load the kernel (JTAG is nice, but not always available)
- loader can be 50 lines of assembly or a big C program
- in the long run you want to port **boot(8)** the stand-alone kernel
 - easier to port than the BSD kernel: does not use the full build infrastructure
 - harder if you have no BIOS, Open Firmware-compliant or similarly sophisticated firmware to call out to (for console and disk access, device tree traversal, etc.)
 - but **boot(8)** can run on and replace another operating system in memory e.g. Linux:
- good firmware can be used to simplify things at runtime
 - like OpenBoot callouts on "sparc" to traverse the device tree or print characters on the console

get familiar with config(8) and files.conf(5)

- get familiar with config(8) and files.conf(5)
- "multi-arch" platforms (e.g. cats, macppc, sgi, solbourne, zaurus) vs. "single-arch" platforms (amd64, i386, hppa, sparc, sparc64)

- get familiar with config(8) and files.conf(5)
- "multi-arch" platforms (e.g. cats, macppc, sgi, solbourne, zaurus) vs. "single-arch" platforms (amd64, i386, hppa, sparc, sparc64)
- work on RAMDISK first, then on GENERIC
 - with bsd.rd you can interactively test and debug the kernel and drivers

- get familiar with config(8) and files.conf(5)
- "multi-arch" platforms (e.g. cats, macppc, sgi, solbourne, zaurus) vs. "single-arch" platforms (amd64, i386, hppa, sparc, sparc64)
- work on RAMDISK first, then on GENERIC
 - with bsd.rd you can interactively test and debug the kernel and drivers
- building bsd.rd is only slightly more complicatd
 - □ install crunch tools from distrib/crunch
 - run make in distrib/machine/ramdisk
 - rdsetroot may give you problems during cross-development

Adapting Startup Code

- begin with start() (locore.S)
 - disable interrupts
 - bring the processor into a known state
 - initialise or disable MMU and caching
 - relocate the kernel image
 - initialise interrupt controller
 - pick up boot arguments
 - initialise early console (serial)
 - find memory and initialise *pmap(9)* backend
 - map the kernel
 - set up stack(s)
 - trap/vector tables
 - call main()

Adapting Startup Code

- begin with start() (locore.S)
 - disable interrupts
 - bring the processor into a known state
 - initialise or disable MMU and caching
 - relocate the kernel image
 - initialise interrupt controller
 - pick up boot arguments
 - initialise early console (serial)
 - find memory and initialise *pmap(9)* backend
 - map the kernel
 - set up stack(s)
 - trap/vector tables
 - call main()

Adapting Startup Code

- begin with *start()* (locore.S)
 - disable interrupts
 - bring the processor into a known state
 - initialise or disable MMU and caching
 - relocate the kernel image
 - initialise interrupt controller
 - pick up boot arguments
 - initialise early console (serial)
 - find memory and initialise *pmap(9)* backend
 - map the kernel
 - set up stack(s)
 - trap/vector tables
 - call main()
- use reliable, unbuffered indicators for debugging (LED)

- some drivers have to be done first:
 - serial port (or another console device)
 - interrupt controller
 - crucial machine-dependent bus drivers such as mainbus(4) or pxaip(4)

- some drivers have to be done first:
 - serial port (or another console device)
 - interrupt controller
 - crucial machine-dependent bus drivers such as mainbus(4) or pxaip(4)
- BSD has the *autoconf(9)* framework
 - basically, there is direct and indirect configuration

- some drivers have to be done first:
 - serial port (or another console device)
 - interrupt controller
 - crucial machine-dependent bus drivers such as mainbus(4) or pxaip(4)
- BSD has the *autoconf(9)* framework
 - basically, there is direct and indirect configuration
 - direct configuration is used where enumeration is possible (PCI, PCMCIA, ...) - drivers can easily be matched with hardware by device class, vendor ID and prduct ID

- some drivers have to be done first:
 - serial port (or another console device)
 - interrupt controller
 - crucial machine-dependent bus drivers such as mainbus(4) or pxaip(4)
- BSD has the *autoconf(9)* framework
 - basically, there is direct and indirect configuration
 - direct configuration is used where enumeration is possible (PCI, PCMCIA, ...) - drivers can easily be matched with hardware by device class, vendor ID and prduct ID
 - indirect configuration is used where busses have to way to see what devices are attached and how (ISA, I²C, ...) drivers have to probe for the hardware

- some drivers have to be done first:
 - serial port (or another console device)
 - interrupt controller
 - crucial machine-dependent bus drivers such as mainbus(4) or pxaip(4)
- BSD has the *autoconf(9)* framework
 - basically, there is direct and indirect configuration
 - direct configuration is used where enumeration is possible (PCI, PCMCIA, ...) - drivers can easily be matched with hardware by device class, vendor ID and prduct ID
 - indirect configuration is used where busses have to way to see what devices are attached and how (ISA, I²C, ...) drivers have to probe for the hardware
- layered drivers and attachment drivers
 - apm(4), lcd(4), ohci(4), pcmcia(4), more?
 - because of some obscure chips (scoop(4), backlight control, ...)

- some drivers have to be done first:
 - serial port (or another console device)
 - interrupt controller
 - crucial machine-dependent bus drivers such as mainbus(4) or pxaip(4)
- BSD has the *autoconf(9)* framework
 - basically, there is direct and indirect configuration
 - direct configuration is used where enumeration is possible (PCI, PCMCIA, ...) - drivers can easily be matched with hardware by device class, vendor ID and prduct ID
 - indirect configuration is used where busses have to way to see what devices are attached and how (ISA, I²C, ...) drivers have to probe for the hardware
- layered drivers and attachment drivers
 - apm(4), lcd(4), ohci(4), pcmcia(4), more?
 - because of some obscure chips (scoop(4), backlight control, ...)
 - you can use drivers from other BSDs

Going Native

you can mount an NFS root until the disk driver works ("make cross-distrib" can build a minimal distribution)

Going Native

- you can mount an NFS root until the disk driver works ("make cross-distrib" can build a minimal distribution)
- you have to cheat, but it's done only once:
 - use the natively-built distribution from another port with the same CPU architecture (cats for zaurus)
 - worst case: cross-compile the native compiler

fix most annoying bugs

- fix most annoying bugs
- port *boot(8)*

- fix most annoying bugs
- port *boot(8)*
- document the boot process (boot_zaurus(8), ...)

- fix most annoying bugs
- port *boot(8)*
- document the boot process (boot_zaurus(8), ...)
- document already supported devices (intro(4), ...)

- fix most annoying bugs
- port *boot(8)*
- document the boot process (**boot_zaurus(8)**, ...)
- document already supported devices (intro(4), ...)
- build snapshots, announce the port and make it available
 - update web pages
 - set up a mailing list
 - make other people do these things :)

- fix most annoying bugs
- port *boot(8)*
- document the boot process (boot_zaurus(8), ...)
- document already supported devices (intro(4), ...)
- build snapshots, announce the port and make it available
 - update web pages
 - set up a mailing list
 - make other people do these things :)
- write and document new device drivers

- fix most annoying bugs
- port *boot(8)*
- document the boot process (**boot_zaurus(8)**, ...)
- document already supported devices (intro(4), ...)
- build snapshots, announce the port and make it available
 - update web pages
 - set up a mailing list
 - make other people do these things :)
- write and document new device drivers
- fix more bugs

- fix most annoying bugs
- port *boot(8)*
- document the boot process (**boot_zaurus(8)**, ...)
- document already supported devices (intro(4), ...)
- build snapshots, announce the port and make it available
 - update web pages
 - set up a mailing list
 - make other people do these things :)
- write and document new device drivers
- fix more bugs
- make the ports tree aware of the new platform, eg create plists

Outline

- Porting OpenBSD
 - What It Takes
 - Preparation
 - Cross-Development
 - The Boot Loader
 - Building The Kernel
 - Adapting Startup Code
 - Writing Device Drivers
 - Going Native
 - Subsequent Work
- 2 OpenBSD/zaurus
 - History
 - What It Took
 - What Was Done
 - Tricky Parts
 - Current Status
 - Future Plans

History

- cats" and "zaurus" are "multi-arch" ports
- NetBSD/cats ported to OpenBSD by Dale Rahn (drahn@) to support ARM processors
- Dale started in December 2004 based on OpenBSD/cats (but worked on some stuff before, like *lcd(4)*)
- kind-of usable for Theo in January 2005
- first release was 3.7 (released in May 2005)
 - only a few things missing, like audio support
- work is ongoing

ball really started rollng at OpenCON 2004

- ball really started rollng at OpenCON 2004
- more than 10 developers who contributed Zaurus-specific code in different areas (some of them didn't even have one)
 - eg pascoe@ worked on zaudio(4)ithout even having a zaurus, all remotely

- ball really started rollng at OpenCON 2004
- more than 10 developers who contributed Zaurus-specific code in different areas (some of them didn't even have one)
 - eg pascoe@ worked on zaudio(4)ithout even having a zaurus, all remotely
- good documentation

- ball really started rollng at OpenCON 2004
- more than 10 developers who contributed Zaurus-specific code in different areas (some of them didn't even have one)
 - eg pascoe@ worked on zaudio(4)ithout even having a zaurus, all remotely
- good documentation
 - processor documentation was was extremely useful, even for writing device drivers - it is a System-on-Chip design

- ball really started rollng at OpenCON 2004
- more than 10 developers who contributed Zaurus-specific code in different areas (some of them didn't even have one)
 - eg pascoe@ worked on zaudio(4)ithout even having a zaurus, all remotely
- good documentation
 - processor documentation was was extremely useful, even for writing device drivers - it is a System-on-Chip design
 - touch-screen controller, audio controller and the microdrive were also documented

- ball really started rollng at OpenCON 2004
- more than 10 developers who contributed Zaurus-specific code in different areas (some of them didn't even have one)
 - eg pascoe@ worked on zaudio(4)ithout even having a zaurus, all remotely
- good documentation
 - processor documentation was was extremely useful, even for writing device drivers - it is a System-on-Chip design
 - touch-screen controller, audio controller and the microdrive were also documented
 - but some Sharp-made chips are not, and depending on Linux source code as documentation is horrifying

- ball really started rollng at OpenCON 2004
- more than 10 developers who contributed Zaurus-specific code in different areas (some of them didn't even have one)
 - eg pascoe@ worked on zaudio(4)ithout even having a zaurus, all remotely
- good documentation
 - processor documentation was was extremely useful, even for writing device drivers - it is a System-on-Chip design
 - touch-screen controller, audio controller and the microdrive were also documented
 - but some Sharp-made chips are not, and depending on Linux source code as documentation is horrifying
- money for machines for developers

- ball really started rollng at OpenCON 2004
- more than 10 developers who contributed Zaurus-specific code in different areas (some of them didn't even have one)
 - eg pascoe@ worked on zaudio(4)ithout even having a zaurus, all remotely
- good documentation
 - processor documentation was was extremely useful, even for writing device drivers - it is a System-on-Chip design
 - touch-screen controller, audio controller and the microdrive were also documented
 - but some Sharp-made chips are not, and depending on Linux source code as documentation is horrifying
- money for machines for developers
- an unknown amount of beer:) to start things

processor startup code adapted for the Zaurus (arm/arm/locore.S is shared between different machines)

- processor startup code adapted for the Zaurus (arm/arm/locore.S is shared between different machines)
- boot(8) ported to Linux

- processor startup code adapted for the Zaurus (arm/arm/locore.S is shared between different machines)
- **boot(8)** ported to Linux
 - hacked up *com(4)* driver for PXA27x
 - integrated *pcic(4)* driver for PXA27x from NetBSD
 - integrated *lcd(4)* for PXA27x

- processor startup code adapted for the Zaurus (arm/arm/locore.S is shared between different machines)
- boot(8) ported to Linux
- hacked up *com(4)* driver for PXA27x
 - integrated *pcic(4)* driver for PXA27x from NetBSD
 - integrated *lcd(4)* for PXA27x
- fake *apm(4)* backend to use the existing framework

- processor startup code adapted for the Zaurus (arm/arm/locore.S is shared between different machines)
- **boot(8)** ported to Linux
 - hacked up *com(4)* driver for PXA27x
 - integrated *pcic(4)* driver for PXA27x from NetBSD
 - integrated *lcd(4)* for PXA27x
- fake apm(4) backend to use the existing framework
- and many little things...

in general, porting went on fairly quickly and straightforward

- in general, porting went on fairly quickly and straightforward
- nlist() on non-native objects a cross-development (non-)issue in elfrdsetroot

- in general, porting went on fairly quickly and straightforward
- nlist() on non-native objects a cross-development (non-)issue in elfrdsetroot
- undocumented chips and circuitry (**scoop(4)**, backlight controller, power circuit)

- in general, porting went on fairly quickly and straightforward
- nlist() on non-native objects a cross-development (non-)issue in elfrdsetroot
- undocumented chips and circuitry (**scoop(4)**, backlight controller, power circuit)
- we couldn't support C860 machines
 - no disk drive; just raw flash
 - too many differences between models
 - more developers have the C3x00

- in general, porting went on fairly quickly and straightforward
- nlist() on non-native objects a cross-development (non-)issue in elfrdsetroot
- undocumented chips and circuitry (**scoop(4)**, backlight controller, power circuit)
- we couldn't support C860 machines
 - no disk drive; just raw flash
 - too many differences between models
 - more developers have the C3x00
- zkbd(4) is just a bunch of GPIO inputs

- in general, porting went on fairly quickly and straightforward
- nlist() on non-native objects a cross-development (non-)issue in elfrdsetroot
- undocumented chips and circuitry (**scoop(4)**, backlight controller, power circuit)
- we couldn't support C860 machines
 - no disk drive; just raw flash
 - too many differences between models
 - more developers have the C3x00
- zkbd(4) is just a bunch of GPIO inputs
- UART was not completely compatible to a standard 16550A or 16750

- in general, porting went on fairly quickly and straightforward
- nlist() on non-native objects a cross-development (non-)issue in elfrdsetroot
- undocumented chips and circuitry (**scoop(4)**, backlight controller, power circuit)
- we couldn't support C860 machines
 - no disk drive; just raw flash
 - too many differences between models
 - more developers have the C3x00
- zkbd(4) is just a bunch of GPIO inputs
- UART was not completely compatible to a standard 16550A or 16750
- screen rotation on framebuffer console not in 3.7

- in general, porting went on fairly quickly and straightforward
- nlist() on non-native objects a cross-development (non-)issue in elfrdsetroot
- undocumented chips and circuitry (**scoop(4)**, backlight controller, power circuit)
 we couldn't support C860 machines
 - no disk drive; just raw flash
 - too many differences between modelsmore developers have the C3x00
 - **zkbd(4)** is just a bunch of GPIO inputs

programs where possible)

- UART was not completely compatible to a standard 16550A or 16750
- screen rotation on framebuffer console not in 3.7
 no hardware floating-point unit creates performance
 problems with some software (e.g. xmms, mplayer; on
 zaurus we use integer math decoders for these kinds

mostly feature-complete

- mostly feature-complete
 - we support wired and infrared serial ports

- mostly feature-complete
- we support wired and infrared serial ports
- all existing USB and PCMCIA cards should just work

- mostly feature-complete
- we support wired and infrared serial ports
- all existing USB and PCMCIA cards should just work
- LCD works in portrait and landscape mode (under X)
 - but you have to restart X
 - on-the-fly rotation is hard no API in X to do that

Current Status

- mostly feature-complete
- we support wired and infrared serial ports
- all existing USB and PCMCIA cards should just work
- LCD works in portrait and landscape mode (under X)
 - but you have to restart X
 - on-the-fly rotation is hard no API in X to do that
- audio playback works well, with occasional glitches

Current Status

- mostly feature-complete
- we support wired and infrared serial ports
- all existing USB and PCMCIA cards should just work
- LCD works in portrait and landscape mode (under X)
 - but you have to restart X
 - on-the-fly rotation is hard no API in X to do that
- audio playback works well, with occasional glitches
- even Java works

- of course, continue to fix and improve stuff
 - better keyboard support (*zkbd(4)*)
 - "xdm=YES" should work out of the box
 - PCMCIA bugfixes (some detection problems, voltage switching)
 - anything else?

- of course, continue to fix and improve stuff
 - better keyboard support (*zkbd(4)*)
 - "xdm=YES" should work out of the box
 - PCMCIA bugfixes (some detection problems, voltage switching)
 - anything else?
- add "sysctl hw.cpuspeed" support for running at 91 Mhz, 208 Mhz or 416 Mhz

- of course, continue to fix and improve stuff
 - better keyboard support (zkbd(4))
 - "xdm=YES" should work out of the box
 - PCMCIA bugfixes (some detection problems, voltage switching)
 - anything else?
- add "sysctl hw.cpuspeed" support for running at 91 Mhz, 208 Mhz or 416 Mhz
- wsdisplay(4) is improving thanks to miod@

- of course, continue to fix and improve stuff
 - better keyboard support (zkbd(4))
 - "xdm=YES" should work out of the box
 - PCMCIA bugfixes (some detection problems, voltage switching)
 - anything else?
- add "sysctl hw.cpuspeed" support for running at 91 Mhz, 208 Mhz or 416 Mhz
- wsdisplay(4) is improving thanks to miod@
- support CF XGA cards (miod@ and matthieu@ are working on this)

- of course, continue to fix and improve stuff
 - better keyboard support (zkbd(4))
 - "xdm=YES" should work out of the box
 - PCMCIA bugfixes (some detection problems, voltage switching)
 - anything else?
- add "sysctl hw.cpuspeed" support for running at 91 Mhz, 208 Mhz or 416 Mhz
- wsdisplay(4) is improving thanks to miod@
- support CF XGA cards (miod@ and matthieu@ are working on this)
- apm(4) improvements
 - turn off some more chips when suspended
 - extended power-saving measures, perhaps

- of course, continue to fix and improve stuff
 - better keyboard support (zkbd(4))
 - "xdm=YES" should work out of the box
 - PCMCIA bugfixes (some detection problems, voltage switching)
 - anything else?
- add "sysctl hw.cpuspeed" support for running at 91 Mhz, 208 Mhz or 416 Mhz
- wsdisplay(4) is improving thanks to miod@
- support CF XGA cards (miod@ and matthieu@ are working on this)
- apm(4) improvements
 - turn off some more chips when suspended
 - extended power-saving measures, perhaps
- gpioctl(8) support (LED, hinge state?)

- of course, continue to fix and improve stuff
 - better keyboard support (zkbd(4))
 - "xdm=YES" should work out of the box
 - PCMCIA bugfixes (some detection problems, voltage switching)
 - anything else?
- add "sysctl hw.cpuspeed" support for running at 91 Mhz, 208 Mhz or 416 Mhz
- wsdisplay(4) is improving thanks to miod@
- support CF XGA cards (miod@ and matthieu@ are working on this)
- apm(4) improvements
 - turn off some more chips when suspended
 - extended power-saving measures, perhaps
 - gpioctl(8) support (LED, hinge state?)
 - Fix the ARM pmap issue with write-back caching

audio recording

- audio recording
- BlueTooth support via USB dongles grange@ already ported *ubt(4)* from FreeBSD and created net/bluetooth-tools

- audio recording
- BlueTooth support via USB dongles grange@ already ported *ubt(4)* from FreeBSD and created net/bluetooth-tools
- support more Zaurus models (C860, probably even StrongARM-based SL-5500)

- audio recording
- BlueTooth support via USB dongles grange@ already ported *ubt(4)* from FreeBSD and created net/bluetooth-tools
- support more Zaurus models (C860, probably even StrongARM-based SL-5500)
- SDIO support new framework

- audio recording
- BlueTooth support via USB dongles grange@ already ported *ubt(4)* from FreeBSD and created net/bluetooth-tools
- support more Zaurus models (C860, probably even StrongARM-based SL-5500)
- SDIO support new framework
- USB device framework
 - client-side cdce(4)
 - storage class device support needs to be thought through. is it useful after all?

- audio recording
- BlueTooth support via USB dongles grange@ already ported *ubt(4)* from FreeBSD and created net/bluetooth-tools
- support more Zaurus models (C860, probably even StrongARM-based SL-5500)
- SDIO support new framework
- USB device framework
 - client-side cdce(4)
 - storage class device support needs to be thought through. is it useful after all?
- what can you think of?

Last Page

- need donations (time, money, bugfixes, beer)
- thanks