

ForgeTrace Executive Summary

ForgeTrace Client • Not Specified

Engagement Details

- **Prepared By:** Peter Kolawole, BAMG Studio LLC
- **Generated:** 2025-11-18 18:19 UTC
- **Primary Contact:** peter@beaconagile.net
- **Classification:** Confidential - M&A Audit Report

Recommendation

KEEP

No material blockers identified; continue routine IP hygiene checks.

Negotiation Watchlist

- No material blockers detected; maintain watchlist as new code lands.

Engagement Scope

ForgeTrace executed automated scanners and manual analytics to surface provenance, license, and security risks.

- Source code, licenses, and dependency manifests supplied in audit bundle.
- Historical git metadata (authors, churn, timeline).
- ForgeTrace scanners across secrets, SAST, similarity, and licenses.

Narrative Highlights

Executive Lens

- Recommendation: Keep — No material blockers identified; continue routine IP hygiene checks..
- IP composition is 0.0% foreground and 0.0% third-party across 1 audited components.
- No high-risk licenses surfaced across sampled manifests.
- Dependency scan cleared noise-floor filters; no actionable vulnerabilities in scope.
- Security, similarity, and dependency scanners reported a clean baseline.

Board Lens

- Foreground ownership at 0.0% signals clear provenance; 0.0% external footprint requires contract alignment.
- No clean-room blockers identified in this pass; keep hygiene checks active.
- Dependency risk nominal; OSV noise filters suppressed all non-actionable hits.
- Risk snapshot: 0 open findings, primary theme is none dependencies exposure.

Engineering Lens

- Average rewriteability score: 0.00. Focus on 0 low-score modules for isolation.
- Vulnerability queue: 0 items (noise rejection 0.0%). Patch highest-weight findings (0.00 avg score).
- Secrets + SAST backlog totals 0 items. Prioritise rotation and triage workflows next sprint.
- License scan flagged 0 reciprocal obligations; confirm NOTICE files before pushing release builds.
- Vulnerability coverage: OSV, GitHub Advisory, OWASP Dependency-Check.

Key Performance Indicators

OPEN VULNERABILITIES
0

VULN DENSITY
0.0%

WEIGHTED VULN SCORE
0.00

FOREGROUND LOC
0

BACKGROUND LOC
0

THIRD-PARTY COMPONENTS
1

HIGH-RISK LICENSES

0

IP Composition

Origin	Files	Share
unknown	0	0.0%

Third-Party Snapshot

- Detected 1 package entry across 0 manifests.
- python ecosystem represents 1 component in scope.

Top Packages

- requests (1 reference)

Risk Overview

Area	Findings	Severity	Notes
Dependencies	0	NONE	Noise filters cleared the OSV backlog.
Secrets	0	NONE	No hardcoded secrets detected.
SAST	0	NONE	Static analysis returned no actionable findings.
Similarity	0	LOW	No significant code duplication detected beyond thresholds.

Primary Signals

Total Open Items: 0

Key Theme: No material risks flagged in this pass

Duplicate Hotspots

File A	File B	Similarity
-	-	0.00

Rewriteability Outlook

AVERAGE SCORE
0.00

LOW SCORE FOREGROUND
0

CLEAN-ROOM CANDIDATES
0

Recommendations

- No critical rewrite blockers detected; maintain periodic reassessment alongside refactors.

Priority Modules

No critical modules identified.

Playbook

- Document clean-room brief per high priority module.
- Assign independent implementers for guarded rewrites.
- Track escrow-ready deliverables with counsel oversight.

Quadrant View

Easy/Low-risk

- No modules identified

Easy/High-risk

- No modules identified

Hard/Low-risk

- No modules identified

Hard/High-risk

- No modules identified

IP Composition

Origin Share

Foreground: 0%

Background: 0%

Third-party: 0%

Top Modules Requiring Attention

Module	Origin	Rewriteable	Score	LOC
No modules analysed	-	-	0.00	0

License and Compliance

Heatmap

	Foreground	Background	Third-party
Permissive	0	0	0
Weak Copyleft	0	0	0
Strong Copyleft	0	0	0

High-Risk Identifiers

No GPL-family or reciprocal licenses detected.

License Summary

License	Count	Files
None Detected	0	

Required Actions

Obligations

- Ensure SPDX attributions accompany third-party packages in outbound deliverables.
- Track dependency provenance in data rooms alongside SBOM exports.
- Confirm permissive-license notices remain within corporate policy thresholds.

Counsel Follow-Ups

- Review updated SBOM with legal counsel before diligence disclosures.

Provenance Insights

Summary

Sample User leads with 1 commits across 3 files.

Commit Window: 2025-11-05

Commit Activity (Weekly)

Peak weekly commits: 1

Milestones

- Initial commit observed 2025-11-05.

Signals

- Net code delta for lead contributor: +16 lines.

Deliverables

- Executive summary narrative (PDF/HTML).
- Machine-readable SBOM extracts (JSON).
- Risk, license, and rewriteability analytics.

Similarity and Background IP

Signals

- 0 similarity pairs exceeded diligence threshold.
- No public code matches surfaced in this pass.

Public Matches

No public code overlaps were surfaced in this pass.

Duplicate Highlights

File A	File B	Similarity
-	-	0.00

Policy Engine Outcomes

BLOCK
0

WARN
0

INFO
0

No policy violations were triggered.

Recommended Next Steps

1. Maintain continuous SBOM updates as dependencies evolve across sprints.

Prepared for ForgeTrace Client by Peter Kolawole, BAMG Studio LLC (peter@beaconagile.net).

Generated 2025-11-18 18:19 UTC. Confidential analysis – coordinate with counsel before disclosure.