Testing in Java

- Time: 6:30 to 9:00 then bar til 9:30. No rush, cover what we can.
- Format: Talk, (D)emo, (E)xercise, (S)olutions & "more"
- Agenda:
 - o Backgound and different kinds of testing
 - **Unit Testing**: JUnit
 - o Simplifying tests: Annotations, Hamcrest
 - o Test Dummies: Stubs, Mocks, verifying interaction

Testing

- Purpose: Prove that it (still) works
- Originally: Nasty afterthought, for somoeone else!
- Software **Engineering**: Cheaper to find faults **early** (cf recall, death!)
- Kinds:
 - o White box: Unit, Regression, Integration
 - o Black box: System, UAT
 - o Other: Performance, Security

Unit Testing

- Test each component separately
- "White box"- test can see innards of Class Under Test
- "Unit" is a single class, query, or similar chunk
- We test public methods, "the interface" of our CUT
 - o Several test-methods for each CUT method
 - o Might test package level features too
 - Might refactor CUT for testability (or subclass, eg car dipstick)
 - o Encourages modularity (Test is unit's first "interaction")
 - o Aids understanding (Test is a good example use-case)
 - o Coverage leads to reliability- and confidence to edit!

Early Unit Tests

- Just write some code that:
 - o Calls CUT's methods
 - o Checks & prints results
- Typically a main & other methods, removed for production build
 - o #if if /* comment */
- Messy! Pollutes production code with test code
- Who is going to run each test (and look at the output!)

JUnit to the rescue!

- Automated Unit Testing framework
- Self Verifying So we dont need to read the output, test can only:
 - o Pass, Fail, Error, Ignored
- Three basic **steps**:
 - \circ $\mbox{Setup:}$ create and arrange objects to test
 - ∘ Invoke: actual= anObject.aMethod(s)…
 - Verify: assertEquals(expected, actual)
- Demo & ex Eclipse: CUT> RightMouse> Create Test
 - o Same package (white box, can see innards)
 - o Different source folder (so as not to pollute main code)

Basis of a good test

- Fast
 - People avoid slow things / holdups
- Independent
 - \circ Shouldn't depend on other tests (usually)
- Repeatable
 - o Should give same outcome no matter how many runs
- Self validating
 - o Defines its own success criteria, automatic
- Timely and Thorough
 - Thorough **coverage**, written around same **time** as code (See **TDD** later)

Simplifying tests

- Essential! eg @Before / @After each test
- @Test(expected= AnExeption.class) Demo (ex later)

More:

- @BeforeClass , @AfterClass static methods (before all tests in class)
 - These run before all not before each
- Combining Test classes into Suites (also Categories & Groups)
 - @RunWith(Suite.class)
 - @Suite.SuiteClasses({...})
- Data-driven tests
 - @RunWith(Parametirized.class)
 - o @Parameters method returns values for ctor

Simplifying tests using Hamcrest Matchers

- assertThat(actual, aMatcher())
 - o static factory method, == new Matcher(expected)
 - o Matcher objects remember state (eg their role), subclass, agregate,...
- State allows descriptive failure message, cf "expected true got false"
 - even better than assertEquals ... descriptive arg1 string
- No more confusion between expected, actual args which have same type
- Can write our own Matcher classes
- Many built-in Matchers for us to combine eg:
 - \circ is() , not() , equalto() , sameInstance()
 - o allOf(m1,m2,m3) , anyOf()
 - $\circ~\mbox{Much more eg}$ contains , contains String , starts With
- Demo & ex

Timely Tests - Test Driven Development

- When to write tests
 - Soon after development of a feature
 While you have your head around it.
- OR just before writing a feature TDD
 - o Part of Agile **XP** (by Kent Beck, developer of JUnit).
 - Write a failing test
 - o Code only enough to make it pass (or fake it for now!)
 - o Refactor! (incremental code can get messy)
 - Keeps focus, Maximise amount of work not done. KISS, YAGNI
 Aids "top down" approach, write "spec" first
 Eases understanding of problem domain (small steps)
 Seems slow but saves a lot of later debugging (catch bugs early!)

Test Doubles

Dummy modules that mimic behaviour of code that our CUT interacts with [ie same interface]

- What if our Unit calls code that:
 - o Doesnt exist yet, Is unpredictable, Has rare or unpredictable behaviour
 - o Just like crash-dummy in car safety tests
- Dummies allow testing of rare behaviour (car crash, network failure)
- eg Stub class, just mimic "aspects" of behaviour relevant to this test. (Crash dummy doesnt need all Person features, maybe even just a torso.)
- Use MOCKS to alleviate plethora of stubs. Mocks are dummies created "on-the-fly"
 - Define mock's behaviour from code in the Test class eg using Mockito:
 when(mock.method(2)).thenReturn(7)
- EasyMock takes this further by verifying interactions that took place between CUT and mock. (eg expand airbag test by checking crash dummy's sensors.)

Further Techniques

- Other tools
 - o Selenium UI tests
 - Spring Test
 - o Jenkins- Continuous Integration
 - o Apache JMeter- performance
 - Java Microbenchmark Harness
- Behaviour Driven Development...
 - JBehave
 - o Cucumber

Behaviour Driven Development

- Scenario script from business user:
 - o Scenario:

Fat cat eats too much.

Given a cat named Sid weighing 4 kilos.

When we feed him 5 cans of tuna.

Then his weight should become 6.2 kilos.

- Feeds into Unit Test code:
 - @Given("a cat named \$name weighing \$wt kilos.")
 public void setup(String name, double wt) { ...
 @When("we feed him \$qty cans of tuna.")
 public void invoke(int qty) { ...
 @Then("his weight should become \$wt kilos.")
 public void verify(double wt) { ...

Thanks for listening!

Any Questions?

mike.burton@mycosystems.co.uk