# MSc Computer Science

# Project Proposal

# SOME TITLE THAT I HAVEN?T DECIDED YET LOCATION, DATE FROM—TO

Supervisor: PROFESSOR GEORGE ROUSSOS Author: KEIMI OKAMOTO 2015

# Contents

| 1        | Intr                | roduction                               | 3  |
|----------|---------------------|---|----|
|          | 1.1                 | Background                              | 3  |
|          | 1.2                 | Problem                                 | 4  |
|          | 1.3                 | Current Measures to Combat Waste        | 5  |
|          | 1.4                 | Internet of Things and SmartCities      | 7  |
|          | 1.5                 | Importance of Item-level Identification | 8  |
| <b>2</b> | Aims and Objectives |   |    |
|          | 2.1                 | Aims                                    | 11 |
|          | 2.2                 | Objectives                              |    |
|          | 2.3                 |   | 11 |
| 3        | Development 12      |   |    |
|          | 3.1                 | Methodology                             | 12 |
|          | 3.2                 |   | 12 |
|          | 3.3                 | Architecture                            | 12 |
|          | 3.4                 | Tools                                   | 12 |
| 4        | Sch                 | edule                                   | 13 |
|          | 4.1                 | Timetable                               | 13 |

# Abstract

Abstract blah blah

# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Over production of produce is a global issue with food waste exceeding two billion tonnes annually. Such large quantities of waste has sever negative social, environmental and economical impacts. The multifaceted nature of the food supply chain gives ample opportunity for waste to occur and inadequate waste prevention methods could cause figures to rise. Further more, such complex pipelines poses great difficulties in the accurate quantification of waste generated, meaning that the figures are likely to be higher than reported. A clear understanding of the chain is necessary to identify the vulnerable components where waste can arise, below is a brief description of each of the three main entities that comprise of the chain and their accountability to the statistics.

**Producers** At the start exists the agriculturalists and farmers who cultivate plants and livestock. These entities serve as the primary source of supply to the market and respond to orders placed by retailers. Over production is often encouraged by the merchant to compensate for the possibility of an unfruitful harvest or an unexpected rise in demand.

Retailers The intermediator between producer and consumer are the retailers and vendors, the most dominant being Supermarkets, namely, Sainsbury?s, Tesco, Asda and Morrison. Perpetual competition for marketshare leads to aggressive advertising and competitive pricing wars, with millions of pounds at stake urgency for quantity control becomes less of an priority. Errors in sales forecasts can result to wastage or idle stock occupying valuable real-estate. Having more stock than necessary is unfavourable for business as the rental of warehouses can cost millions annually. Vendors Ascetically unpleasing but perfectly consumable food is is deemed unworthy of stocking and rejected.

Consumers At the end of the chain are the consumers that are regularly influenced by enticing ?buy-one-get-one-free? offers and other multi-buy promotions. Retailers markdown items that are nearing expiration to sell to the consumer as an attempt to compensate for potential losses. This method of damage control while beneficial to the supermarkets have negative implications on the consumers. Loss can also occur due to basic human errors of simply forgetting to consume the food in time. Fast paced lifestyles coupled with unpredictability attribute to the difficulties in keeping track of past purchases and expiration dates, contributing to overflowing landfills.

This project will take a consumer-centric approach to tackle the issue of waste. The United Kingdom alone is estimated to gener-

ate15million tonnes of food waste every year, 7 million of which is accountable to domestic households. Having stated this, retailers contribute largely to the figures as they strive to meet contrived demands, self-orchestrated by marketing to boost revenue, causing waste that would have resided at the retailer to be pushed down the chain and ultimately dumped with the consumers. By providing consumers tools to better manage their inventory that would aid a lifestyle of maximal resource utilisation with minimum waste, demand could be controlled keeping waste at bay with the retailers. Essentially creating an upstream ripple effect and discouraging the over production of food by targeting the root cause of the problem.

#### 1.2 Problem

**Environmental** When food is wasted, this is the direct repercussion of over production and a needless contribution to the expanding carbon foot print. Processes such as pesticide application, cooking, packaging creation and disposal, distribution and temperature controlled storage all require copious amounts of fuel and energy. Waste is being generated at such a rapid rate maintaining this in landfill sites is becoming unfeasible.

**Economical** Typically a UK household has been reported to throw away an average of £940 worth of food annually. Amounting to roughly 50kg of waste that must be collected, managed and recycled, putting pressure on councils, all of which can result in higher taxes and wasted resources.

**Social** Influenced by the retailers and succumbing to the bargain deals, customers frequently over purchase food causing over consumption. Needlessly consuming to avoid loss can pose serious health risks such as obesity, diabetes, high blood pressure, and cardiovascular diseases, these are potentially life threatening and can impact the populations life expectancy and add pressure on the health care system.

**Human memory** Failed stock keeping of household items is one of the primary causes of food expiring before consumption. The human brain has limited capacity to store and recall information. Research by George Armitage Miller, a prominent figure in the field of cognitive phycology discovered that the number of objects an average human can hold in working memory is seven, give or take two.[2] Foods vary in categories such as meats, fish, fruit, vegetables and nearly all come with different expiration dates, relying on memory alone is impractical.

LifeStyle Busy schedules dissuade people to use produces brought in advance and instead opt for the quick and easier choice of eating

out, thus items purchased with the intentions of consumption end up as waste. Combining compatible ingredient to create an appetising dish, in addition the complication of prioritising use-by-dates of fresh produces can be time-consuming and arduous task. Households usually have multiple residents and double purchasing of items is common due to lack of communication.

\*\*Image of pie goes here\*\* // put in Appendix Image will explain-Supermarkets and other retailers contribute almost 2 million tonnes to the statistics and Approximately 3.6 million tonnes of the waste comes from the manufactures such as farmers and the agricultural industry.

#### 1.3 Current Measures to Combat Waste

Governments Various campaigns have been launched with the purpose to educate consumers on the implications of food waste and waste prevention methods. Such organisations as Waste & Resources Action Programme (WRAP), a registered charity part funded by the UK Government, have been raising awareness by interacting with communities and working to promote waste avoidance. A campaign launched by WARP ?Love Food, Hate Waste? (LFHW), which primarily operates through an interactive website advocates recycling and provides the viewer with helpful tips. Utilisation of social media advertising of Twitter and Facebook are integral to the success of their operation together with the distribution of a mobile phone application with features such as shopping lists, recipe suggestions, portion size suggestion in accordance to the number of people serving. Other governments are also promoting the use of mobile applications to combat waste, ?Smart Cooking? developed by the Netherlands Nutrition Centre Foundation (NNCF) funded by the Dutch government incorporates similar features to LFHW.

Anaerobic Digestion Closed-loop solutions that convert bio-methane gas extracted from food waste into electricity have been introduced by Sainsbury?s and the waste management service Biffa. The primary goal being the powering of supermarkets with energy sourced form surplus and reducing strain on the landfill sites.

Wageningen University Dutch researchers form NXP Semiconductors are working with the Netherlands Packaging Centre (NPC) a packaging solutions company, have developed a sensor enabled RFID tag developed to monitor environmental changes in the supply chain. The Pasteur sensor tag has the capacity of measuring environmental conditions such shifts in temperature and gas conditions registered during transportation and various stages of storage. This data is then calculated to give an accurate reading of the shelf life of a product.

At the currents state this is only available at the producer-level and supplier-level for large crates of produces but item-level tagging is being considered for the future.

Peking University Scientists in Beijing have also developed a colour coded smart tag that uses nanotechnology to indicate when food is spoiling. The metallic nanorods in the gel mimic the length of time microbes propagate in foods, the more bacteria the further in the decomposition process it is. The tag can also react to varying tempters that can have an effect on the shelf life of a product, this could potentially remove the necessity of sell-by-dates. This is currently being meticulous tested to avoid any inaccuracy that could pose a potential health risk to consumers.

**Smart Refrigerator** This internet enabled appliance was designed for home food management including the automated replenishment of stock. The user will scan products using a reader and the fridge is able to keep track of foods and suggest recipes depending in accordance to the content.

TooSkee & LeanPath Food management mobile applications focusing on reducing waste and keep track of purchased items through a mobile application. Receipts are scanned and items are logged. Much like the other mobile applications it will suggest dishes and remind the user to consume products before the expiration date. LeanPath provides waste management solutions for the hospitality industry. Harvested data is analysed and losses and savings are reported to the users.

Physical interactions can be effective and inspirational but the labour force required to generate and sustain interest is costly and impractical, hence the movement towards digital mediums. Mobile application are an effective delivery method with most people owning smartphones, but in an over crowded market place where a single bad review can jeopardise the success of an App quality is paramount and users have become increasingly intolerant of a poor interface design or performance such as delayed content loading.

The eagerly anticipated smart fridge was somewhat anti-climatic as flaws in the practicality of the product surfaced. Items had to be manually entered due to the lack data and the recommendations were not as helpful as initially thought, together with the unit costing over \$20,000 many investors were reluctant to invest.

Cutting edge innovations such as the atmosphere and temperature sensing RFID tag and the nanotechnology tag are undeniably beneficial to the supply chain. The only bottleneck for the RFID tag is the cost of production at the individual item level. Nanotechnology could potentially replace printed use-by-dates on products and could provide users with the an accurate reading of the longevity of food but this requires the user to manually open the fridge and memorise the colour of the tags. Presently there is no method for the tags to communicate,

the data generated could be valuable for waste management and even retailers. Transparency throughout the supply chain is paramount at this moment in time and is achievable with the aid of machine to machine communication. Products and prototypes mentioned here can be enhanced and with the necessary infrastructure to support it. This leads on to the next topic of the movement of the Internet Of Things.

### 1.4 Internet of Things and SmartCities

A bit of history The first appliance to go online was a Coca-Cola vending machine developed in Carnegie Mellon University in 1982. Users were able to connect via the internet and check if the canned beverages were chilled, this information would be the deciding factor on whether the user would make the trip to the machine. This technological advancement gave an insight into a new era were objects were able to cater to our immediate needs, depending on the current circumstance and deliver us information with which we are able to make an informed decision. With the enablement of machine to machine communications, a once passive and inanimate object is able to actively communicate with other ?things? through a network, sharing data and working harmoniously to maximise efficiency to permissively aid our everyday lives.

SmartCities The motivation behind Smart Cities is the idea of a self sustaining ecosystem made up of active object, supporting the efficient and economical utilisation of resources. The digital regulation of sectors such as, mobility, home, energy and waste management assists a better quality of life for its citizens. Information and communications systems are designed to deal with autonomous fault detection and self-healing intelligence with minimal, if not, the complete elimination of human intervention.

This notion of efficiency driven, interconnected networks can be applied to the supply chain. Interleaving processes can be machine managed, making it less error prone and complex pipelines and components become transparent. Allowing the promulgation of waste occurrence to it?s counterparts so that preemptive waste prevention measures could be established in advance. An example of this is if a store shelf holding boxes of cornflakes can report to the start of the chain that there are still x-units to sell, so for the next x dates cornflakes need not be produced. Giving opportunity for demand to catch up and reducing back-log of stock.

Product identification: RFID vs Barcodes Product identification and registration is fundamental for retailers to monitor stock and analyse sales totals. To accomplish this task, barcodes have been

implemented in the supply chain since the 1970?s. This method of identification accelerate and digitalised the checkout process.

For the barcode to be read successfully there must be no obstructions between the laser and the barcode, this includes dirt or scratches that distort the image. The laser must be kept parallel to the barcode for a successful read and simultaneous scanning is not possible. It is also note worthy to mention that barcodes are unique to the product type but not at the item-level. For example in a crate filled with milk made by the same manufacture will all have the same barcode thus a machine would not be able to distinguish one item from another. However they are universally recognised and inexpensive to print.

Unlike barcodes lasers are not needed to for reads. RFID utilises electromagnetic radio fields for communication, so long long as the tag is within the vicinity of the field it is able be read or written and even allow multiple tags to be read simultaneously. Tag have varied memory capacity but typically very low. Usually the size of a URL can be written, this is enough to bridge between object and the web where additional data can be stored or updated as external data storage is abundant. URL?s also provide individuality to an object, allowing customised granular information to be stored and accessible at the item-level.

# 1.5 Importance of Item-level Identification

'The amount of food waste in the industrialised countries exceeds the total first production of the whole continent of Africa. This is an incredible waste of human effort and environmental and economic cost. I say, 'On some estimates', because we very rapidly found that the estimates in this field are rather difficult, which limits the degree to which the EU can play as effective a role as it perhaps ought. We found that measurement of food waste at different stages of the chain and between different countries was pretty incompatible. Until that is resolved, the EU level probably has to be aspirational, exculpatory and a matter of learning from best practice. 12'

Remote Amendment of human errors Foods is regularly recalled due to human errors in printing the incorrect information on the label or neglecting to provide information the doesn?t abide by regulations. Food perfectly fit for consupmtion is destined to become to waste by using RFID tags could remotely be updated, issuing immediate alerts to consumers of the mistake and the amended error. This provides a different approach to error management. With the information being online it can be accessible anywhere.

Food Safety and traceability In the past there has been numerous incidents where products have been recalled due to the pres-

ence of bacteria or abnormalities in foods. A notable incident is the 2013 meat adulteration scandal in the EU where traces of horse meat where discovered in foods such as minced meat and ready prepared meals. The time and resources to trace trough the supply chain was estimated to have cost the Food Standard Agency (FSA) £900,000 between 2011?2012. And a further £1.6 million between 2012?13 [3]. Other casualties include the reputations and integrity of the blameless producers falsely accused due to inaccurate data that implicated them as the guilty.

With item-level identification the contaminated produce could be traced back immediately and the products recalled. For example if an infected animal is used in various products, all items holding that particular code can be instantly traceable, compartmentalising the outbreak and maximising efficiency in damage control.

batches of meat may also be mixed. (In article 18 by the) (\*1)Environment, Food and Rural Affairs Committee: Evidence, example:Article 3 of Commission Implementing Regulation EU

**Transparency & Consumer Rights** The law enforces that labels of fresh meat must contain the country of origin, but this does not apply to the same meat that is processed, such as hamburgers, pies and sausages. (cite article 18) Meats may also be mixed providing that the animals are slaughtered in the same country, meaning a single hamburger could be made up of several cows.

The Regulations of the EU parliament and council state that abattoirs and agriculturalists must provide documentation containing country of birth, rearing, slaughter, cutting and slaughterhouse and cutting plant approval numbers for the product(cite) when sourcing retailers or informing officials. But this is not enforced at the consumer level, meaning the information is not being passed down the supply chain. It is evident that meats have unique backgrounds, ranging from the rearing environments, type of feed consumed and drugs administered. This information can help make consumers make better decisions whether it is for the health, environmental or ethically conscious individuals. The Food Standard Agency published a report on the labelling guidance of food

?It is clear that many consumers want more information on the origin of meat ingredients in meat products, and in the Agency?s consumer research the ingredients in dairy produce also score highly in this respect. The law requires an origin declaration on fresh beef but not on the same product when it has been seasoned. Providing information on the origin of all ingredients in all products would be disproportionately burdensome for industry, and would risk overloading the label with information that is not seen as important by consumers.? - Food Standard Agency ?country of origin labelling guidence?

With the use of RFID the overloading of the label would no longer be a reason to with hold information from the consumer and the consumers as individuals can decide what information is of importance to them rather than a collective opinion that can be washed over. Areas such as Japan where vegetables and livestock were exposed to radiation due to the nuclear leak[cite nuclear leak?] raises health concerns and articulate (transpicuous) detail of a product is high priority.

Supply Chain Intelligence As well as waste management itemlevel identification can benefit other areas of the supply chain. Data analysis can be carried out to better business intelligence. Accurate behavioural analysis of consumers could minimise As with any data collection the issue of privacy arises but this is not within the scope of this project.

Electronic product code (EPC)Developed in Massachusetts Institute of Technology Auto-ID Centre, with the aim of providing a unique identification for every physical object in the world. EPC Network

# 2 Aims and Objectives

# 2.1 Aims

**Senario** The user purchases food and stocks his/her fridge. Information such as the brand name, expire date, allergy information will be persisted externally. A reader installed in the fridge will identify the items. When a product is removed a it is time stamped with the inventory list updated and

# 2.2 Objectives

# 2.3 Limitations

- 3 Development
- 3.1 Methodology
- 3.2 Framework
- 3.3 Architecture
- 3.4 Tools

- 4 Schedule
- 4.1 Timetable

# References

- [1] fjdsfjds
- [2] G.A Miller, Magical Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two: Some Limits on Our Capacity for Processing Information, vol. 63. Cambridge, MA: The Psychological Review, 1956.
- [3] Environment, Food and Rural Affairs Committee: Evidence, example:Article 3 of Commission Implementing Regulation EU