

CSCI 1102 Computer Science 2

Spring 2021

Lecture Notes

Week 7: Priority Queues, Binary Heaps, Sets & Relations & Orders

Topics:

1. Priority Queues; Binary Heaps
 2. Sets, Relations & Orders
-

1. Priority Queues; Binary Heaps

See the slides

2. Sets & Relations

The Idea

- Computer software is often required to keep track of "collections" of things.
- Mathematicians have thought carefully about these collections, and know them as *sets*.
- Software is also often required to keep track of the association between items from one set (the "keys") and another (the "values").

Preliminaries

Basic Sets

- A set is a collection of items with no duplicates.

Examples: $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ $B = \{\text{Bob}, \text{Alice}, \text{Joe}\}$ $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ natural numbers

- NB: Only restriction on elements is identity.

Notation

alpha	beta	Gamma	gamma	delta	epsilon	lambda	sigma	tau
α	β	Γ	γ	δ	ϵ	λ	σ	τ

- A, B, C, \dots, X, Y, Z for sets;
- \emptyset or $\{\}$ for the empty set;
- a, b, c, \dots for elements of sets;
- $a \in A$ means that a is an element of set A ;
- (a_1, \dots, a_n) is an n -tuple.

Variables and Quantifiers

- x, y, z for variables (which *vary* over sets!)
- $\forall x \in A . \text{statement}$

asserts that *statement* holds for every element of A . For example, $\forall x \in \{1, 2, 3\}. x < 4$ means $1 < 4$ and $2 < 4$ and $3 < 4$. The occurrence of x adjacent to the quantifier is called a *binding occurrence* of x ; the occurrence of x to the right of the dot is called an *applied occurrence* or *use* of x . Note that we obtained the relation $1 < 4$ by plugging-in (or substituting) 1 for x in the statement $x < 4$.

- $\exists x \in A . \text{statement}$

asserts that *statement* holds for some element of A .

Set Comprehensions

- $\{x \mid \text{statement}\}$ means set of all x such that *statement* holds;

Example

$$\text{Evens} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } x = 2y\}$$

or equivalently

$$\text{Evens} = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \exists y \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } x = 2y\}$$

Basic Sets

- Notation:
 - Subset: $A \subseteq B$ means $\forall x \in A. x \in B$;
 - Set Equality: $A = B$ means $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.

Operations on Sets

- *Union*: $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n = \{a \mid a \in A_i \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$;
- *Intersection*: $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n = \{a \mid a \in A_i \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$;
- *Disjoint Union*: $A_1 + \dots + A_n = \{(i, a) \mid a \in A_i \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$;
- *Product*: $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in A_i\}$;
- *Sequences*: Let A be a set and let ϵ denote the empty sequence.

$$A^* = \{w \mid w = \epsilon \text{ or } w = aw' \text{ with } a \in A \text{ and } w' \in A^*\}$$

$$\text{Example: } \{a, b\}^* = \{\epsilon, a\epsilon, b\epsilon, aa\epsilon, ab\epsilon, \dots\}$$

Relations

- R is a(n n -ary) relation on sets A_1, \dots, A_n if $R \subseteq A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$.
- When R is an n -ary relation on sets A_1, \dots, A_n and $A_1 = \dots = A_n$ we say that R is an n -ary relation on A_1 ;
- When R is a finite set we say it is a finite relation.

Binary Relations

Let A and B be sets and let R be a relation on A, B .

Domain of Definition: $\text{DomDef}(R) = \{a \in A \mid \text{for some } b \in B, (a, b) \in R\}$

Example Relations

$A = \{1, 2, 3\}, B = \{\text{Bob}, \text{Alice}\}$

- $R_1 = A \times B = \{(1, \text{Bob}), (1, \text{Alice}), (2, \text{Bob}), (2, \text{Alice}), (3, \text{Bob}), (3, \text{Alice})\}$
 - $R_2 = \{\}$
 - $R_3 = \{(1, \text{Bob}), (3, \text{Alice})\}$ // e.g., $\text{DomDef}(R_3) = \{1, 3\}$
 - $R_4 = \{(1, \text{Alice}), (2, \text{Alice}), (3, \text{Alice})\}$
-

Orders

Preorder

- Let R be a relation on A . R is *reflexive* iff $\forall x \in A. (x, x) \in R$;
- Let R be a relation on A . R is *transitive* iff $\forall x, y, z \in A. \text{ If } (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in R \text{ then } (x, z) \in R$.
- A relation that is both reflexive and transitive is called a *preorder*.

Partial Orders

- Let R be a binary relation on A . R is *symmetric* iff $\forall x, y \in A. \text{ if } (x, y) \in R \text{ then } (y, x) \in R$;
- Let R be as above. R is *antisymmetric* iff $\forall x, y \in A. \text{ if } (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, x) \in R \text{ then } x = y$.
- A symmetric preorder is called an *equivalence relation*.
- An antisymmetric preorder is called a *partial order*.

Partially Ordered Sets

If R is a reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive binary relation on A , we say that

- R is a partial order on set A
- The set A is partially ordered by R
- A is a partially ordered set (not mentioning R)
- A is a poset

Notation

- If set A is partially ordered by R , we write (A, R) or more often (A, \leq_R) or (A, \leq) if R is implied by context;
- For $a, a' \in A$, instead of writing $(a, a') \in R$ we usually write $a \leq_R a'$ or $a \leq a'$ if R is implied.

- If $a \leq a'$ and $a \neq a'$ we write $a < a'$.

Example

$A = \{\text{Bob}, \text{Alice}\}$

$R_5 = \{(\text{Bob}, \text{Bob}), (\text{Alice}, \text{Alice}), (\text{Bob}, \text{Alice})\}$

Hasse Diagram of a Relation

```

Alice
 |
Bob

```

Example

$A = P(\{\text{Bob}, \text{Alice}, \text{Joe}\}) = \{ \{\},$
 $\{\text{Bob}\}, \{\text{Alice}\}, \{\text{Joe}\},$
 $\{\text{Bob}, \text{Alice}\}, \{\text{Bob}, \text{Joe}\}, \{\text{Alice}, \text{Joe}\},$
 $\{\text{Bob}, \text{Alice}, \text{Joe}\}$
 $\}$

$R_6 = (A, \subseteq) = \{(\{\}, \{\}), (\{\}, \{\text{Bob}\}), (\{\}, \{\text{Bob}, \text{Alice}\}), \dots\}$

```

          {Bob, Alice, Joe}
        /   |   \
{Bob, Alice} {Bob, Joe} {Alice, Joe}
  |   /\       /\   |
{Bob} {Alice} {Joe}
      \   |   /
          {}

```

Total Order

- Let R be a partial order on A . R is a total order on A iff $\forall x, y \in A$. either $(x, y) \in R$ or $(y, x) \in R$.
- Example : (\mathbb{N}, \leq) .

Lexicographic Ordering

Let A be a set and let \leq_A be a partial order on A . We derive a partial order \leq_{A^*} on A^* the sequences of elements from A .

$w \leq_{A^*} w'$ iff either $w = \epsilon$ or $w = av$, $w' = a'v'$ and either $a <_A a'$ or $a =_A a'$ and $v \leq_{A^*} v'$.

Example:

Let $A = \{p, q\}$. Then $A^* = \{\epsilon, p, q, pq, ppq, \dots\}$ and $pq \leq_{A^*} ppq$ because $a = p, v = q, a' = p, v' = pq, a = a'$ and $v \leq_{A^*} v'$ because $a = q, v = \epsilon, a' = p, v' = q$ and $v \leq_{A^*} v'$ because $v = \epsilon$.

Note: If \leq is a partial order, then so is \leq_{A^*} .

Summary

In summary: we have type constructors: union, intersection, sum, product, sequence, $-o->$ and \longrightarrow . Of these, sum, product, sequence, $-o->$ and \longrightarrow have direct computational interpretations.