## Do parties matter for local revenue policies? A comparison of Denmark and Norway

# JENS BLOM-HANSEN<sup>1</sup>, LARS CHRISTIAN MONKERUD<sup>2</sup> & RUNE SØRENSEN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Aarhus University, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>Norwegian School of Management, Sandvika, Norway

**Abstract.** This article investigates the impact of party ideology on revenue politics. Theoretically, claims can be made that party ideology should matter for revenue policies. First, leftist governments are more favourable towards government intervention and a large public sector. To accomplish this, leftist governments need more revenue than bourgeois governments. Second, revenue policy is a redistributive policy area well suited for ideological positioning. However, the claim that party ideology does not matter can also be made since raising revenue is unpopular and politicians may shy away from new initiatives. Empirically, the question is unsettled. The article investigates the problem by looking at three revenue policy areas (income and property taxation, and user charges) in two countries (Denmark and Norway). The data used is from the municipal level, providing several hundreds of units to compare. The evidence favours the 'parties matter' argument, particularly in the Danish case.

#### Introduction

Does it matter which party controls the government apparatus? Officially, different parties pursue different policies. This is evident from official statements such as party manifestos (Budge 2001). In reality, official policy goals may also be pursued to establish political credibility, which is vital in parties' competition for electoral support. Building a reputation may be in the rational self-interest of party leaders, but political leaders cannot always get what they want. They are not the only actors interested in public policy. Interest organizations, the bureaucracy and the voters have substantial policy interests too. Party leaders are also often constrained by economic or institutional factors, which may leave very little room for manoeuvre. Political parties are thus far from omnipotent actors, but they are, as noted by Schmidt (1996), omnipresent players in politics. It seems reasonable to expect that parties may have some influence on public policy.

This article investigates the impact of party ideology on revenue politics. This area has been largely ignored in studies of the impact of party ideology. In the meta-analysis by Imbeau et al. (2001) only three out of the 43 studies included focus on revenue policy. Boyne's (1996: Chapter 5) survey of the impact of party ideology in local government includes no studies of revenue policy. For some reason, political scientists have chosen to focus on the expenditure side of the public budget; a fact often lamented by the few political scientists who venture into the area of revenue politics (Royed & Borelli 1999: 87; Peters 1991: xiii; Steinmo 1993: 1).

The next section outlines the theoretical argument, and provides a brief review of the empirical literature. We then describe the Danish and Norwegian systems of local government and make an empirical investigation of the impact of party ideology on local revenue policies. We find that party ideology has a considerable impact, particularly in the Danish case. Finally, we discuss country similarities and differences in the empirical results.

#### The impact of parties' left-right position on revenue policies

Despite extensive debates over the past fifty years (for a review, see Blais et al. 1993: 42-43), most observers agree that the left-right policy dimension continues to be the most important in Western countries (Lijphart 1999: 78-87; Müller & Strøm 2000: 7–11). Consequently, if parties matter for public policy, it seems plausible to expect this influence to be related to the left-right ideological distinction. This is the basic hypothesis to be tested in this article. Left-right ideology can be expected to influence revenue policy in two ways. First, leftist parties are more favourable towards government intervention. In other words, the more leftist a government, the greater the size of government and hence the greater the need for public revenue. Consequently, we may expect revenue levels to be higher under leftist governments than under bourgeois governments. Second, revenue policy falls into the category of redistributive policies. This is exactly the type of public policy where the impact of party ideology is generally strongest (Boyne 1996: 132-135). Redistribution may be pursued by relying to varying degrees on revenue instruments with different distributional profiles - for instance, through the choice of tax structure. Ceteris paribus, leftist parties should prefer progressive to regressive revenue instruments. Consequently, we may expect leftist governments to use redistributive revenue instruments to a greater extent than bourgeois governments.

Political credibility is at the core of modern theorizing on parties (Persson & Tabellini 2000). The traditional vote-maximizing (Downsian) model assumes that policy commitments are both credible and flexible. A political party can adjust its programmes/promises in response to changes in electoral preferences,

and voters are assumed to believe that election promises will be kept if the party gets majority support. In a situation with two political parties, policy positions are expected to converge towards the position of the median voter, and we would not expect tax rates to increase as a result of a shift from a right-wing majority to a left-wing majority government. Research by Inman (1989) and others suggests that the 'representative' or median voter approach has serious shortcomings in explaining tax policies, even in the American-style two-party system. Moreover, the median-voter theorem does not apply in multiparty systems such as those studied here. Recent models of party competition in multiparty systems suggest that equilibrium positions will not converge towards the median of the voter distribution, but disperse across the relevant policy dimension (Adams & Merrill 1999; Merrill & Adams 2002).

Furthermore, credibility requires parties to offer voters clearly distinct programmes, commonly linked to the left-right dimension. A political party must maintain a stable ideological platform to build a reputation among voters; credibility would be diminished if policy promises were frequently altered. Party activitists are likely to induce party leaders to refrain from short-term office-seeking behaviour (Strom 1990). Credibility calls for party programmes to correspond to the preferences of party activists and elected representatives. To the extent that they have opinions that diverge from the party platform, credibility requires party discipline.

Election promises may *not* always be credible, which induces voters to hold governments responsible for their actual policies. One possible reason is the multiparty system itself, which frequently leads to situations where no single party has a majority in the elected assembly. Budget making tends to involve complex negotiations involving numerous parties, and the resulting outcome is uncertain. Citizens will not necessarily believe parties' election promises, and they may prefer to appraise actual policy results: whether taxes are increased or not. For example, Norwegian voters tend to turn against the ruling party in local government when infrastructure fees increase more than in other governments (Martinussen 2004). If voters punish left- and rightwing incumbents equally hard, we would not expect ideology to affect revenue policies. If voters punish right-wing incumbents harder than left-wing governments, retrospective voting will induce ideological differences in revenue policies.

Most government revenue is routinely raised by established tax laws (Rose 1985: 293), and regulations are particularly important for local governments. One interpretation suggests that politicians shy away from tax initiatives because of negative electoral reactions – the expectation that all incumbents (left or right) are punished for tax increases on election day. The most ardent advocate of this position is Rose (1985; see also Rose & Karran 1987: Chapter

1) who argues that politicians follow a non-decision-making approach to tax policy due to the political costs. If the inertia argument is correct, we would expect no particular partisan pattern in revenue policy at any given point of time since 'whatever the bias in tax laws today, it is more a reflection of the nation's past than of its current configuration of political interests' (Rose & Karran 1987: 12). Another interpretation suggests that ideology has little bearing at the local government level due to central regulations. Local governments are simply not allowed to implement their ideological revenue policies. Others suggest that ideologies prevail even at the local level: central regulation of one tax instrument induces local governments to exploit other sources of public revenue (Shadbegian 1999; Skidmore 1999).

We are left with contradictory theoretical expectations about the impact of left-right party ideology on revenue policy. Nor have empirical studies resolved the issue. Schmidt's (1996: 169) review of left-right partisan influence concludes: '[I]t can safely be concluded from these studies that the hypothesis of partisan influence on public policy passes the empirical test reasonably well.' Boyne's (1996: Chapter 5) survey of the effect of left-right party ideology in local government reaches a similar conclusion. On the other hand, Imbeau et al. (2001) identified more than 600 studies containing empirical results relevant to this question. In a meta-analysis of 43 of these studies, they found that the impact of party ideology is not significantly different from zero. The influence of left-right ideology is thus far from certain.

Relatively few empirical studies focus directly on the impact of party ideology on revenue policy. In Table 1, we summarize the findings of these studies. About half the studies find no effect of party ideology. The typical research design uses national data from the OECD countries. This naturally creates a small-N problem (which in some studies is mitigated by pooling data across a longer time period). Some studies avail themselves of the opportunity to focus on the sub-national level and thus increase the number of cases, but they are all one-country studies. This design makes it difficult to handle country-specific effects. Furthermore, most studies focus on total revenue or a single revenue policy area (at most two). This design makes it difficult to handle policy-area-specific factors. In this study, we want to take a fresh look. We maximize our leverage by looking at three revenue policy areas in two countries. Data about local government allows us to analyze hundreds of political units.

## The institutional setting: Local government in Norway and Denmark

We explore the relationship between left-right political ideology and revenue policy in two Scandinavian countries: Norway and Denmark. Both countries

Table 1. Studies of the impact of party ideology on revenue policy

Study	Research design	Measure of party ideology	Measure of revenue policy	Finding
Allers et al. 2001	Regression analysis of 593 Dutch municipalities	Left-wing party control of local council and executive board	Property tax revenue per capita	Left-wing municipalities have higher property taxes
Ashworth & Heyndels 2002	Regression analysis of 20 OECD countries	5-point scale of left-right control of government	Tax structure	No effect of party ideology
Berry & Berry 1994	Regression analysis of 48 American states	Liberal party control of government institutions	Increase in sales tax rate and personal income tax rate	No effect of party ideology
Borge 1995	Regression analysis of 414 Norwegian municipalities	Share of socialists in local council	Local fee income per capita	Left-wing municipalities have higher fee income
Borge 2000	Regression analysis of a subset of Norwegian municipalities	Share of socialists in local council	Utility charges in NOK	Left-wing municipalities have higher utility charges
Borge & Rattsø 2004	Regression analysis of 434 Norwegian municipalities	Share of socialists in local council	Tax structure (property tax versus poll tax)	Left-wing municipalities have higher property tax shares
Cameron 1978	Regression analysis of 18 OECD countries	Left-wing party control of the central government	Change in general government revenue	Left-wing governments are more likely to increase revenue
Hallerberg & Basinger 1998	Regression analysis of 19 OECD countries	10-point scale of left-right control of government	Reduction of marginal corporate and personal income tax rate	Mixed evidence

Table 1. Continued.

Study	Research design	Measure of party ideology	Measure of revenue policy	Finding
Reid 1998	Regression analysis of 10 Canadian provinces	Left-of-centre party control of government	Annual change in revenue per capita	No effect of party ideology
Rose & Karran 1987: Chapter 6	Regression analysis of taxation in postwar Britain	Labour versus Conservative control of government	Tax rate and revenue	Mixed evidence
Royed & Borelli 1999	Regression analysis of 14 OECD countries	5-point scale of right-left control of government	Annual change in central government revenue	No effect of party ideology
Schmidt 1982	Correlation analysis of 21 OECD countries	Left-wing parties' time in government; left-wing prime minister; and left-wing parties' share of cabinet seats	General government revenue as percentage of GDP	Left wing governments have higher tax burdens and faster growth in tax levels
Steinmo 1993	Comparative historical analysis of Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States	Close inspection of party composition of government	Revenue and tax structure	No important effect of party ideology
Swank 1992	Regression analysis of 16 OECD countries	Cabinet portfolios held by left-wing, centrist and right-wing parties	Corporate and personal income tax rate	Left-wing governments have lower corporate income tax rates and higher personal income tax rates

have a three-tiered public sector. In order to maximize the number of cases we focus on the lowest tier: the municipal level. In Norway there are 435 municipal units, in Denmark 273. In both countries, the municipalities are important implementing agencies of the welfare state. They provide services in the areas of childcare, primary education and old age care. Further, they are responsible for public utilities and local infrastructure. They enjoy considerable autonomy in these areas, and both countries represent cases of 'legal localism' (Page 1991: 13–42) – that is, systems in which local governments enjoy power over wide areas of public policy (see Fevolden & Sørensen 1987; Bogason 1987; Albæk et al. 1996).

Local branches of national political parties govern municipalities in both countries. The distribution of seats in the election period under investigation is shown in Table 2, where the parties are ordered on the left-right scale. This is done according to our own understanding of Norwegian and Danish politics, but the ordering corresponds to well-known expert interpretations of party locations (Castles & Mair 1984; Huber & Inglehart 1995). The table shows that the local government system in both countries contains several parties, but that three parties dominate. In both countries, the left wing is dominated by the Labour Party (Social Democrats), which holds about a third of the seats in local councils. In both countries, the right wing is dominated by two large parties that together hold another third of local seats. The remainder in both countries is distributed among minor parties. Local lists (i.e., non-nationwide parties) used to be influential in both countries, but account for less than 10 per cent of the seats in local councils today.

The local revenue system is dominated by three types of income sources in both countries (cf. Table 3). First, local taxes constitute about 40–50 per cent of all local revenue in both countries, and the main tax source is local income tax. The central government defines the income tax base, while local authorities in principle decide the local income tax rate (same rate for all income ranges – i.e., proportional income taxation). However, in both countries, the central government imposes constraints on this decision. In Norway, the local income tax rate is subject to an upper and lower limit. In practice, all Norwegian local authorities use the upper limit. In Denmark, the local income tax level is negotiated annually between the local government association and the central government - the so-called 'budget cooperation' between central and local government. These negotiations normally lead to a recommendation to the individual local authorities to keep income taxation within a specified limit. Since the recommendation is not legally binding and only specifies the average local income tax rate, there is room for local variation. In addition to the income tax, local authorities in both countries can also levy property taxes. In Norway, municipalities are not obliged to levy property taxes, but if they

Table 2. The local party system in Norway and Denmark

	Norway	ay		Denmark	ıark	
		Seats in local councils, 1999–2003	councils, 03		Seats in local councils, 1997–2001	al councils,
Left-right orientation	Name of party	z	%	Name of party	z	%
Socialist	Red Electoral Alliance*	89	1	Unitary List*	14	0
•	Communist Party*	ю	0	Socialist People's Party*	233	5
•	Socialist Left Party*	673	5	Social Democrats*	1,648	35
	Labour Party*	3,804	31	Radical Liberals	88	2
	Centre Party	1,798	15	Centre Democrats	4	0
	Liberal Party	522	4	Christian People's Party	30	
	Christian Democratic Party	1,200	10	Conservatives	480	10
	Conservative Party	2,086	17	Agrarian Liberals	1,557	33
•	Progress Party	686	∞	Danish People's Party	119	8
Bourgeois				Progress Party	4	1
	Local Lists	1,098	6	Local lists	468	10
Total		12,241	100		4,685	100

Note: \* Classified as socialist in the empirical analyses.

choose to do so, the rate must be kept within 0.2 and 0.7 per cent of property values. Further, property taxes may be restricted to certain areas within the municipality in Norway. In Denmark, the municipalities are obliged to levy a property tax. The rate must be kept within a range of 0.6 to 2.4 per cent of property values.

Second, local fees and user charges are quite important in both countries, although more so in Denmark. User charges are used to finance local public utilities (water supply, sewerage, garbage disposal), but are also used to partly finance welfare areas such as childcare and old age care. The central government imposes restrictions on the setting of user charges. In both countries, public utilities must generally be fully financed by user charges. In the welfare areas, local authorities have greater, but far from full, autonomy. In Denmark, the highest degree of local autonomy is found in the area of childcare arrangements for school children (6–9 year olds). In Norway, local autonomy is highest in the area of preschool child care (1–5 year olds) and childcare arrangements for schoolchildren (6–9 year olds). In these areas in the two countries, user charges may cover from 0 to 100 per cent of the costs. Third, grants from the central government are important in both countries, but more so in Norway where they constitute a third of all revenue.

### Research design

In order to test the relationship between left-right party ideology and revenue policy, we focus on three revenue areas. We analyze the two most important tax sources of Norwegian and Danish local authorities – income and property

Table 3. The local revenue system in Norway and Denmark, 2001

		Norway	Ι	Denmark
	%	% of GDP	%	% of GDP
Taxes	40	5	54	10
- of which local income tax	38	5	46	8
- of which local property tax	1	0	3	0
Fees and user charges	16	2	24	4
Central government grants	34	4	20	4
Other	10	1	2	0
Total	100	13	100	18

Sources: Statistics Norway; Statistics Denmark.

taxation – plus a selected example of user charges. We have selected a user charge in the two countries, which is important in three respects: budget share, degree of local autonomy and political saliency. The last criterion means that we look at welfare areas rather than public utilities. The first two criteria mean that in Norway we select preschool child care (1–5 year olds) where parents pay to have their children looked after in municipal kindergardens. This area has a large budget share, and local authorities are free to set the user charge as long as it does not exceed the municipal costs of running the child care facilities. In Denmark, we analyze municipal childcare arrangements for schoolchildren (6–9 year olds), where parents pay to have their children taken care of after school. This is the only major area of user payment in Denmark where local authorities are free to set the user charge (as long as it does not exceed the costs of running the service).

The strength of left-right party ideology can be measured in various ways and, as demonstrated by Schmidt (1996:157–162, cf. also Boyne 1996:125–131), the choice of a particular indicator can make a very large difference. A first question to consider is whether party strength should be measured as proportion of seats held or simply by control of a council (i.e., control of more than 50 per cent of the seats). Proportion of seats may be a better measure than control if the parties give fuller expression to their ideology as their majority increases and restrain their ideological urges as their majority decreases. However, if parties exercise no restraint in using a small majority, control is a better measure. In the empirical analysis, we report results from both types of measures.

A second question is which political body to focus upon when measuring party ideology. At the central government level, relevant bodies are the government and parliament. At the local level in Denmark and Norway there is no precise functional equivalent to these bodies, but a related distinction can be made between the mayor and the council. The mayor is responsible for day-to-day administration, the council for broader policy decisions. Once elected, the council cannot remove the mayor from office. Therefore, both the mayor and the council may be relevant to analyze. In the empirical analysis, we report results from both types of measures.

A third question concerns the classification of parties on the left-right scale. The left-right scale may be divided into any number of units (e.g., a left-centre-right trichotomy). However, we follow the convention of classifying parties as either socialist or bourgeois. The precise classification is evident from the asterisks in Table 2. This classification is, of course, debatable, especially in the case of Denmark where the political centre is held by a number of small parties. However, in practice, the empirical analyses are quite robust to the exact placement of the dividing line between socialist and bourgeois parties.

The dependent variable – revenue policy – is measured as the rate. In the case of income and property taxation, this means using local income and property tax rates. The Danish case presents no particular measurement problems since local authorities must levy income and property taxes at uniform rates within their jurisdiction. However, in Norway both income and property tax rates are more difficult to measure. In the income tax area, Norwegian local authorities must, as already noted, levy the tax within centrally specified lower and upper limits, but all local authorities use the upper limit and have done so since 1979. There is thus no variation in income tax rates across local authorities. This situation is probably best understood as a rational anticipation of the central government's reaction to a reduction of the local income tax rate. Local authorities fear that the central government will interpret a local tax cut as a sign of affluence and react by cutting central grants. In other words, the absence of variation in local income tax rates is a product of central government discretion in the distribution of grants and does not reflect local ideological preferences. To measure the suppressed tax preferences of Norwegian local authorities, we rely on questionnaires to councilors in 113 local authorities and analyze the share of them in favour of increasing the local income tax rate.

In the property tax area, the Norwegian situation is complicated too. While Danish local authorities must levy a property tax rate between 0.6 and 2.4 per cent of property values, Norwegian local authorities can choose whether or not to levy the property tax. In practice, the share of Norwegian local authorities that levies property taxes has remained relatively stable around 50 per cent over time. If levied, the property tax rate must be kept between 0.2 to 0.7 per cent of property values in urban areas or in areas that are undergoing urbanization. However, local authorities may choose to restrict taxation to certain areas within their jurisdiction, or apply it throughout. This complicated system makes it difficult to establish a single indicator of a given local authority's property tax rate. Instead, we have chosen to analyze Norwegian local property tax policy as the municipality's decision whether or not to levy the tax at all.

Finally, in the case of user charges there is no obvious 'rate' to measure. However, we use the functional equivalent of measuring the ratio of the user charge to the costs of the service. This ratio varies from zero to 41 in Norway and from 1 to 108 in Denmark. These basic methodological choices are summarized in Table 4.

## **Empirical model**

In order to test the relationship between left-right party ideology and revenue policy, we utilize cross-sectional data from Norwegian and Danish local

authorities from the local election period 1999–2003 (Norway) and 1997–2001 (Denmark). Our general model is:

$$P_{Ak} = C_A + \beta_{IA} \cdot PARTYPOL_k + \beta_{2A} \cdot CONTROLS_{Ak} + e_{Ak}$$
  
(k = municipality, A = income tax, property tax, user charge),

where P is our measure of policy outcome, PARTYPOL denotes a vector of variables describing the party ideology of the municipal council and CONTROLS is a vector of relevant control variables. The dependent variable – tax policy – is, as noted above, measured as the income tax rate, property tax rate and rate of user charge. Party ideology is measured as socialist share of seats in the local council, socialist control of the local council and socialist mayor (cf. the above discussion of this question).

The control variables are the following six sets of variables that have all been demonstrated in the literature to influence local tax decisions. First, an unequal income distribution has been demonstrated to have an impact on local tax levels and tax structure (Borge & Rattsø 2004). This is measured as the ratio of median to mean income. Second, population size is included to capture structural differences across the municipalities (cf. Borge 1995; Allers et al. 2001). Third, expenditure needs and the availability of central funds to finance these needs have been demonstrated to influence local tax decisions (Allers et al. 2001; Borge & Rattsø 2004). We control for this by

Table 1	Racic	methodol	logical	choices
Table 4.	Dasic	memodo	iogica:	cnoices

Revenue areas to be investigated	Measure of left-right party ideology	Measure of revenue policy
Local income taxation	Proportion of socialist seats in local council	Local income tax rate (Denmark: actual rate; Norway: hypothetical tax rate)
Local property taxation	Socialist control of local council (dummy)	Local property taxation (Denmark: property tax rate; Norway: probability of levying property taxes)
Local user charges in the areas of preschool child care (Norway) and child care arrangements for school children (Denmark)	Socialist mayor (dummy)	User charge as percentage of costs of running the user-financed service

including a variable for exogenous income. Fourth, the tax base is likely to influence the choice of tax rate, although the direction of the relationship is theoretically indeterminate. A large base requires a lower tax rate to generate a given revenue, but a large base may also make it attractive to maintain similar, or slightly higher, tax levels than comparable municipalities with smaller tax bases. Such a strategy would have a relatively large income effect and may be cheap politically since voters may be expected to focus on rates. The literature has found both types of results (Sørensen 2002; Allers et al. 2001). We use private income to control for the tax base in the analysis of income tax and user charges, and property values in the property tax analysis. Fifth, collective action problems have been demonstrated to be present in taxation (Ashworth & Heyndels 2002; Borge 1995; 2000; Allers et al, 2001; Sørensen 2003). Low general taxes may be considered a public good for political parties, while increased service to specific voter groups constitutes private goods to the parties. According to this reasoning, general taxes resemble common goods and may be over-utilized in fragmented party systems. We include a Herfindahl index to control for this factor. Finally, the ability to export taxes has been demonstrated to have an upward effect on taxation (Allers et al. 2001; Blom-Hansen, 2005). For this reason, we include two specific property types in the analysis of property taxation (further details on the specification of variables are available from the authors).

If the 'parties matter' argument is correct, we expect an empirical pattern as outlined in Table 5. First, left-right party ideology should matter for revenue levels because leftist governments need more income. In the local Scandinavian setting this must, if true, manifest itself in the local income tax area. This is by far the most important revenue source for Norwegian and Danish local authorities. In both countries, this single income source accounts for almost 60 per cent of local authorities' own revenue (i.e., total revenue net of central government grants; cf. Table 3). So, if leftist local authorities need more income, their local income tax rates should be higher. Consequently, we expect

Table 5. Empirical expectations of the 'parties matter' argument (effect of socialist strength)

	Norway	Denmark
Income tax		
- actual tax rate	Invariant, not analyzed	Positive
- preferred tax rate	Positive	No data, not analyzed
Property tax rate	Positive	Positive
User charge (rate)	?	Negative
Total revenue effect	Positive, very small	Positive, substantial

a positive relationship between local socialism and local income tax rates (in Norway measured as preferred income tax rates).

Second, left-right party ideology should matter for the choice of local revenue instruments because leftist governments use redistributive revenue instruments more than bourgeois governments do. In the local Scandinavian setting this, if true, must manifest itself in the relative use of taxes and user charges. Income and property taxes are roughly proportional, while user charges are regressive. For leftist local authorities in Denmark, this should make the choice of revenue instruments easy: proportional taxes can be used to finance local welfare programmes while regressive user charges can be kept low. In the Danish case, we thus expect a positive sign between local socialism and income and property taxes, but a negative sign between local socialism and user charges. For leftist local authorities in Norway, the choice is not so simple. Since local income tax rates cannot be increased beyond the maximum rate allowed by the central government, leftist local authorities may be forced to rely on user charges for financial purposes to a greater extent than their Danish counterparts. This means that the relationship between local socialism and user charges is theoretically indeterminate in Norway. Redistribution motives point toward a negative relationship, whereas financial motives point toward a positive relationship (see also Borge 1995: 365, who – contrary to our position – expects the financial motive to be larger than the redistributional one).

If the 'taxation by inertia' argument is correct (i.e., politicians prefer a non-decision-making approach because active tax policies are unpopular), then we would expect no statistically significant relationship between socialist strength and tax rates in the two countries. If central regulations matter, we would observe larger ideological effects in Denmark than in Norway. In contrast to Norwegian municipalities, Danish authorities are allowed to set income tax rates. Property taxes are a more important revenue source in Denmark. Norwegian authorities may employ user fees as tax instruments, perhaps forcing socialist councils to set higher user charges than non-socialist councils. Danish municipalities can make an ideological trade-off between taxes and user charges, and socialist councils can collect relatively higher taxes and lower user fees.

#### **Empirical results**

The results of the empirical analyses are reported in Table 6. It presents comparable regression results for income tax rates, property tax rates and user

Table 6. Analysis of local revenue policy

	A1 – Norway	A2 – Denmark	B1 – Norway	B2 – Denmark	C1 – Norway	C2 – Denmark
Dependent variable	Income tax preference (share of councilors favouring tax increase)	Income tax rate	Probability of levying property tax	Property tax rate	User charge as percentage of service cost (municipal pre-school child care arrangements)	User charge as percentage of service cost (municipal child care arrangements for school children)
Proportion of socialist seats in local council	0.636*** (5.34)	1.374*** (3.34)	2.518*** (6.83)	18.669*** (7.32)	3.387 (1.21)	-15.415*** (-3.10)
Ratio of median to mean taxable income	-0.044 (0.13)	3.983 (1.49)	0.275 (0.02)	-3.179 (-0.19)	13.163*** (3.55)	-40.671 (-1.26)
Population size (log) Exogenous income and	-0.004 (-0.25) $0.177 (0.93)$	-0.123* $(-1.46)$ $0.0002**$ $(2.42)$	0.463** (6.36)	-1.038** (-2.03) $0.001**$ (2.47)	-0.381 (-0.73) -18.193**** (-5.07)	1.779* (1.75) 0.0004 (0.38)
expenditure need						(2000)
Mean private taxable income (log)	0.092 (0.75)	-2.727*** (-4.07)	1.062 (0.63)	-10.052** (-2.09)	14.459*** (4.29)	-8.120 (-0.98)
Party fragmentation	-0.739*** (-3.35)	-1.555** (-2.12)	1.137 (0.46)	-6.269 (-1.37)	-5.765 (-1.05)	5.301 (0.61)
Property values	ı	ı	-1.904*** (10.00)	0.00005*** (4.61)	1	ı
Hydroelectric power plants	1	1	2.5620*** (82.69)	I	ı	ı
Summer cottages (log)	ı	I	ı	0.689*** (4.38)	I	I
Adjusted R²/gamma/log likelihood	0.258	0.279	0.639	-718	0.221	0.036
Z	113	273	433	273	275	249
Estimation method	OLS	OLS	Logistic	Tobit	OLS	OLS

Notes: \*\*\* p < 0.01; \*\* p < 0.05, \* p < 0.1 (Wald chi²/T-values in parenthesis). Alternative specification of left-right party ideology (results available upon request from the authors): Income taxation (A1, A2): socialist control: positive and significant in Norway; positive but insignificant in Denmark; socialist mayor: positive, but insignificant in both Norway and Denmark. Property taxation (B1, B2): socialist control: positive and significant in both Norway and Denmark; socialist mayor: positive and significant in both Norway and Denmark. User charges (C1, C2): socialist control: negative and significant in Denmark; positive, but insignificant in Norway; socialist mayor: negative and significant in Denmark; positive, but insignificant in Norway.

charges. The estimates of party ideology are not much affected because of inclusion or exclusion of particular control variables. As indicated in the note under the table, alternative specifications of left-right party ideology yield slightly different results for income taxes.

The first part of the 'parties matter' argument suggests that income and property tax rates are higher in socialist municipalities. The coefficient for proportion of socialist seats in local councils is positive and strongly significant in both countries for both income and property taxes. Note that the Herfindahl index has a negative effect on income taxes, which means that a non-fragmented party structure reduces taxes. Since right-wing local councils are somewhat more fragmented than left-wing councils (due to the large Social Democratic Party), fragmentation tends to dampen the actual revenue impact of party ideology. The second part of the 'parties matter' argument (i.e., that socialist local authorities rely less on regressive revenue instruments) is investigated by comparing the sign of the coefficient measuring left-right party ideology for taxes and user fees. The coefficient should be positive in the analysis of income and property taxation and negative in the analysis of user charges. This is what we find in the Danish case: Left-right party ideology has a positive effect on income and property taxation, and a negative in relation to user charges. In Norway, local socialism has a positive effect in the income and property tax areas, but no statistically significant effect on user charges. Despite the fact that socialist authorities have an aversion to user charges due to their regressive nature, central regulations of income taxation may lead Norwegian municipalities to apply charges as an ordinary tax.

The control variables show varying results. The ratio of median to mean income measures the extent of local income inequality. This variable appears not to matter much for the specified local revenue choices in Denmark and Norway. Borge and Rattsø (2004) find that local income disparities affect the usage of tax instruments. An unequal income distribution induces Norwegian municipalities to collect a larger share of revenue as property taxes and less by means of user charges in the infrastructure sector. Further analysis should explore whether this trade-off is related to party ideology as well.

Population size is a summary variable that captures various conditions for the production of local services such as population density and urban-rural characteristics. The precise effect of this variable is difficult to hypothesize. Table 6 shows that the effect varies from area to area. Exogenous income and expenditure needs do not generally contribute much to explaining local revenue choices, but in Denmark an upward effect of expenditure needs is found in relation to property taxation. In Norway, exogenous income has a

dampening effect on user charges (Borge 1995, 2000), but no certain influence on income and property taxation. The effect of the variables measuring tax base (mean private taxable income; property values) varies across areas and countries. The importance of party fragmentation is verified in both countries in the income tax area, but not in relation to property taxation and user charges. Finally, two special types of property are included in the property tax analysis to control for the special incentives they provide. In Norway, hydroelectric power plants constitute a considerable property tax incentive, while local authorities in Denmark may use summer cottages to export property taxes since these are typically owned by non-residents. These property types are important for property taxation.

Many studies of party effects on government spending and taxes comment on the statistical significance of parameter estimates only. This can be extremely misleading as significance does not imply that estimates are sufficiently large to warrant a substantial interpretation (McCloskey & Ziliak 1996). Furthermore, the 'parties matter' argument not only implies that party ideology has a statistically significant impact on taxation, but also that this impact is large enough to be of practical interest. In Table 7, we have estimated the actual revenue effect resulting from a shift in the socialist share from 0 to 1, measured in Danish and Norwegian Kroner (DKK and NOK) per capita. These numbers can be compared to the private taxable incomes in the two countries. Since the mean share of socialist representatives and standard deviations are also comparable in Denmark and Norway, it makes

*Table 7.* The revenue impact of local party ideology in Denmark and Norway: Estimated municipal revenue increase per capita as a result of the socialist share of seats in the local council shifting from 0 to 1

	Denmark	Norway
Income tax effect	1,418	0
Property tax effect	1,730	12
User charges effect	-157	_
Effect on total revenue	2,991	12
Total revenue effect as percentage of mean taxable income	1.90	0.01
Potential income tax effect for Norway		1,322
Effect on total revenue, including hypothetical effect		1,334
Total revenue effect as percentage of mean taxable income		0.90

Note: Norway: NOK, Denmark: DKK. Source: Regression estimates in Table 6. sense to compare a completely non-socialist council with a council consisting of socialists only.

The major observation in Table 7 is that ideology has an important effect on tax revenues in Denmark. Both income and property taxes yield substantial public revenues. Greater socialist strength only yields a very small reduction in per capita revenue from user charges. Overall, we suggest that a socialist council would collect about DKK3,000 per capita more that a non-socialist council. The actual revenue estimates are considerably smaller in Norway: less than NOK100 per capita. As income tax rates are controlled by the central government, socialist councils take in more property taxes, but it yields very little money. Neither do user charges. We have not analyzed user fees in the infrastructure sector. Based on prior regression estimates (Borge 1995, 2000; Sørensen 2003), we find that socialism generates about NOK670 per capita in Norwegian municipalities. Though this is of some (substantial) significance, we cannot escape the conclusion that party ideology has a considerably larger revenue impact in Denmark as compared to Norway. The observed difference could be due to the fact that Norway has a large number of small and relatively ideologically homogenous municipalities. Additional regression analyses of the Norwegian data suggest that party ideology has comparable effects in small and large local governments (less versus more than 5,000 inhabitants). Furthermore, Table 7 demonstrates a large revenue effect of lifting central tax regulations. Overall, our estimates suggest that ideological differences among elected politicians in Norwegian local government would generate revenues similar to those in Denmark. More importantly, however: the Danish system of local government involves less redistribution than the Norwegian case. Danish local governments are less reliant on government grants than Norwegian authorities (cf. Table 3), which means that tax bases are relatively small in Norwegian municipalities. A given tax rate increase yields less revenue than in Danish municipalities.

Despite so many similarities in political and institutional structures, Denmark and Norway are quite different when it comes to local democracy. There is a potential for ideological effects on revenue policies in both countries; national constraints explain why local parties have a more visible impact in the Danish case. The central government in Norway is more willing to limit local tax bases and constrain local tax rates. Though assistance to peripheral municipalities has a role in both Denmark and Norway, the latter system includes more grants and tax regulations that benefit the rural municipalities (Rattsø & Sørensen 1998). Equalization and regional policy plays a lesser role in Norway, while local self-rule is allowed to unfold in Denmark to a greater extent.

#### Conclusion

We have investigated two implications of the 'parties matter' argument for revenue policies. First, if parties matter, revenue levels should be higher under leftist governments. Second, leftist governments should use redistributive revenue instruments to a greater extent than rightist governments. Our empirical analysis has focused on local authorities in Norway and Denmark. Although alternative specifications of left-right party ideology yield slightly different results, the evidence generally supports the two implications of the 'parties matter' argument. As a result of central regulations, local parties matter considerably more in Denmark than in Norway. However, given the opportunity, local parties have no hesitations about making active revenue choices. This means that our analyses are troublesome evidence for the alternative 'taxation by inertia' argument, which holds that the tax policy area is characterized by non-decisions.

#### References

- Adams, J. & Merrill, S. (1999). Modelling party strategies and policy representation in multiparty elections: Why are strategies so extreme? *American Journal of Political Science* 43: 765–791.
- Albæk, E. et al. (1996). *Nordic local government*. Helsinki: Association of Finnish Local Authorities.
- Allers, M., De Haan, J. & Sterks, C. (2001). Partisan influence on the local tax burden in the Netherlands. *Public Choice* 106: 351–363.
- Ashworth, J. & Heyndels, B. (2002). Tax structure turbulence in OECD countries. *Public Choice* 111: 347–376.
- Berry, F.S. & Berry, W.D. (1994). The politics of tax increases in the States. *American Journal of Political Science* 38: 855–859.
- Blais, A., Blake, D. & Dion, S. (1993). Do parties make a difference? Parties and the size of government in liberal democracies. *American Journal of Political Science* 37: 40–62.
- Blom-Hansen, J. (2005). Renter illusion: Fact or fiction? Urban Studies 42: 127-140.
- Bogason, P. (1987). Denmark. In E.C. Page & M.J. Goldsmith (eds), *Central and local government relations*. London: Sage.
- Borge, L.-E. (1995). Economic and political determinants of fee income in Norwegian local government. *Public Choice* 83: 353–373.
- Borge, L.-E. (2000). Charging for public services: The case of utilities in Norwegian local government. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 30: 703–718.
- Borge, L.-E. & Rattsø, J. (2004). Income distribution and tax structure: Empirical test of the Meltzer-Richard Hypothesis. *Europan Economic Review* 48: 805–826.
- Boyne, G.A. (1996). Constraints, choices and public policies. Greenwich, CT.: JAI Press.
- Budge, I. (2001). Validating party policy placements. *British Journal of Political Science* 31: 210–224.
- Cameron, D.R. (1978). The expansion of the public economy: A comparative analysis. *American Political Science Review* 72: 1243–1261.

- Castles, F.G. & Mair, P. (1984). Left-right political scales: Some 'expert' judgments. *European Journal of Political Research* 12: 73–88.
- Fevolden, T. & Sørensen, R. (1987). Norway. In E.C. Page & M.J. Goldsmith (eds), *Central and local government relations*. London: Sage.
- Hallerberg, M. & Basinger, S. (1998). Internationalization and changes in tax policy in OECD countries: The importance of domestic veto players. *Comparative Political Studies* 31: 321–352.
- Huber, J. & Inglehart, R. (1995). Expert interpretations of party space and party locations in 42 countries. *Party Politics* 1: 73–111.
- Inman, R.P. (1989). The local decision to tax: Evidence from large US cities. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 19: 455–491.
- Imbeau, L.M., Petry, F. & Lamari, M. (2001). Left-right party ideology and government policies: A meta-analysis. *European Journal of Political Research* 40: 1–29.
- Lijphart, A. (1999). Patterns of democracy. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- McCloskey, D.N. & Ziliak, S.T. (1996). The standard error of regressions. *Journal of Economic Literature* 34: 97–114.
- Martinussen, P.E. (2004). Government performance and political accountability at subnational level: The electoral fate of local incumbents in Norway. *Scandinavian Political Studies* 27: 227–259.
- Merrill, S. & Adams, J. (2002). Centrifugal incentives in multi-candidate elections. *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 14: 275–300.
- Müller, W.C. & Strøm, K. (2000). Coalition governance in Western Europe: An introduction. In *Coalition governments in Western Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Page, E.C. (1991). Localism and centralism in Europe. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Persson, T. & Tabellini, G. (2000). Political economics: Explaining public policy. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Peters, G.B. (1991). The politics of taxation. Cambridge, MA.: Basil Blackwell.
- Rattsø, J. & Sørensen, R.J. (1998). Local governments integrated in a welfare state: A review of Norwegian local government performance. In J. Rattsø (ed.), *Fiscal federalism and state-local finance: The Scandinavian perspective*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Reid, B.G. (1998). Endogenous elections, electoral budget cycles and Canadian provincial governments. *Public Choice* 97: 35–48.
- Rose, R. (1985). Maximizing tax revenue while minimizing political costs. *Journal of Public Policy* 5: 289–320.
- Rose, R. & Karran, T. (1987). Taxation by political inertia. London: Allen & Unwin.
- Royed, T.J. & Borelli, S.A. (1999). The politics and economics of revenue growth: A crossnational analysis. *European Journal of Political Research* 36: 87–118.
- Schmidt, M.G. (1982). The role of parties in shaping macroeconomic policy. In F.G. Castles (ed.), *The impact of parties*. London: Sage.
- Schmidt, M.G. (1996). When parties matter: A review of the possibilities and limits of partisan influence on public policy. *European Journal of Political Research* 30: 155–183.
- Shadbegian, R.J. (1999). The effect of tax and expenditure limitations on the revenue structure of local government, 1962–1987. *National Tax Journal* 52: 221–237.
- Skidmore, M. (1999). Tax and expenditure limitations and the fiscal relationships between state and local governments. *Public Choice* 99: 77–102.
- Steinmo, S. (1993). Taxation and democracy. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Strom, K. (1990). A behavioral theory of competitive political parties. *American Political Science Review* 34: 565–598.

- Sørensen, R. (2002). Should the 'little leviathan' be constrained? Tax policy in Norwegian local government (Working Paper). Sandvika: Norwegian School of Management.
- Sørensen, R. (2003). Does party fragmentation matter? The micro-foundation of the 'common pool' model in public spending analysis (Working Paper). Sandvika: Norwegian School of Management.
- Swank, D. (1992). Politics and the structural dependence of the state in democratic capitalist nations. *American Political Science Review* 86: 38–55.

Address for correspondence: Jens Blom-Hansen, Department of Political Science, Aarhus University, Universitetsparken, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. E-mail: jbh@ps.au.dk.