

Unit 1 Linear Classifiers and

<u>Course</u> > <u>Generalizations (2 weeks)</u> 6. The Realizable Case - Quadratic program

Lecture 4. Linear Classification and

> Generalization

>

Audit Access Expires May 11, 2020

You lose all access to this course, including your progress, on May 11, 2020. Upgrade by Mar 25, 2020 to get unlimited access to the course as long as it exists on the site. **Upgrade now**

6. The Realizable Case - Quadratic program The Realizable Case - Quadratic program





Video

Download video file

Transcripts

<u>Download SubRip (.srt) file</u> Download Text (.txt) file

The realizable case 1

1/1 point (graded)

In the realizable case, which of the following is true?

- There is exactly one $(heta, heta_0)$ that satisfies $y^{(i)}$ $(heta\cdot x^{(i)}+ heta_0)>=1$ for $i=1,\dots n$.
- There are more than one, but finite number of $(heta, heta_0)$ that satisfy $y^{(i)}$ $(heta\cdot x^{(i)}+ heta_0)>=1$ for $i=1,\dots n$.
- lacktriangledown There are infinitely many $(heta, heta_0)$ that satisfy $y^{(i)}\,(heta\cdot x^{(i)}+ heta_0)>=1$ for $i=1,\dots n$.



Solution:

Without any additional constraint, because θ and θ_0 are continuous, there are numerously many (θ,θ_0) that satisfy the zero-error case.

Submit

You have used 1 of 2 attempts

1 Answers are displayed within the problem

The realizable case 2

1/1 point (graded)

Remember the objective function

$$J\left(heta, heta_{0}
ight)=rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Loss}_{h}\left(y^{\left(i
ight)}\left(heta\cdot x^{\left(i
ight)}+ heta_{0}
ight)
ight)+rac{\lambda}{2}\mid\mid heta\mid\mid^{2}$$

In the realizable case, we can always find (θ,θ_0) such that the sum of the hinge losses is 0. In this case, what does the objective function J reduce to?

$$igcup_{n} rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Loss}_h \left(y^{(i)} \left(heta \cdot x^{(i)} + heta_0
ight)
ight)$$

$$igcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Loss}_h (y^{(i)} \left(heta \cdot x^{(i)} + heta_0
ight)) + rac{\lambda}{2} \mid\mid heta \mid\mid^2$$

$$ullet$$
 $\frac{1}{2} \mid\mid \theta \mid\mid^2$



Solution:

In the realizable case, we can always find a decision boundary such that the first term of $J\left(\theta,\theta_0\right)$ is 0. Thus $J\left(\theta,\theta_0\right)$ reduces to $\frac{\lambda}{2}\mid\mid\theta\mid\mid^2$. Our goal is to find θ that minimizes J anyways, so J reduces to $\frac{1}{2}\mid\mid\theta\mid\mid^2$

Submit

You have used 1 of 2 attempts

Answers are displayed within the problem

Support Vectors

1/1 point (graded)

Support vectors refer to points that are exactly on the margin boundary. Which of the following is true? Choose all those apply.

Show all posts by recent activity

Not sure about the answer of "Realisable case 2"

Community TA

Show all posts by recent activity

	. 3
? [staff]: Support Vectors problem 1 ne	ew_ 3
what is "realizable case"? You've never defined the term "realizable case". In fact, the lecture includes this sentence: "In.	. 3
 The "simple" quadratic case exposed is equivalent to the full minimisation of the J(θ) function with very small λ Community TA 	1
Question 4 Third option of question 4 may be a little confusing without additional information. What hap.	3
? About the last question about exercise 4 My question is, if you mean "removing support vector", it means that there are more than 1 s.	. 2
? Are Linear Support Vector Machines good only for linearly separable sets of data Since we constrain the SVM to hard margins without hinge loss does that mean we do not all	2
[staff]: Support Vectors - I don't agree with the correct answer.	2
Points that are not support vectors Hi, Is it true to say that the points that are not support vectors will only affect the convergenc	. 2
Lecture never shows us how to solve theta	1

Learn About Verified Certificates

© All Rights Reserved