

Welcome to

8. Secure Systems Design and Implementation

KEA Kompetence Computer Systems Security 2019

Henrik Lund Kramshøj hlk@zencurity.com @kramse 💆 🗘

Slides are available as PDF, kramse@Github 8-secure-systems-design.tex in the repo security-courses

Plan for today



Subjects

- Principle of least privilege, fail-safe defaults, separation of privilege etc.
- Files, objects, users, groups and roles
- Naming and Certificates
- Access Control Lists
- DNSSEC

Exercises

• DNSSEC, SPF, DMARC - DNS based updates to your email domain security

Reading Summary



Bishop chapter 14: Design Principles

Bishop chapter 15: Representing Identity

Bishop chapter 16: Access Control Mechanisms

Skim, Setuid demystified

Some thoughts on security after ten years of qmail 1.0

Wedge: Splitting Applications into Reduced-Privilege Compartments

Principle of least privilege, fail-safe defaults, separation of privilege etc.



Files, objects, users, groups and roles



Naming and Certificates



Access Control Lists



DNSSEC



Hardenize - web site with testing



Exercise





Now lets do the exercise

Email Security 2019

which is number 19 in the exercise PDF.

Setuid demystified



Access control in Unix systems is mainly based on user IDs, yet the system calls that modify user IDs (uidsetting system calls), such as setuid, are poorly designed, insufficiently documented, and widely misunderstood and misused. This has caused many security vulnerabilities in application programs.

Setuid Demystified Hao Chen, David Wagner, and Drew Dean, Proceedings of the 11th USENIX Security Symposium, August 05 - 09, 2002

- Sometimes a user need to modify resources not owned by themselves
- Most common example is changing their password in the user database
- So while the program passwd runs it has the privileges of the root user, setuid-root program
- Previously Unix systems would have several 100s of setuid programs,
 today OpenBSD has less than 30 I think, and privilege seperated see OpenSSH
- Note also the many differences in Unix variants!



setuid() Although setuid is the only uid-setting sys- tem call standardized in POSIX 1003.1-1988, it is also the most confusing one. First, the required permission differs among Unix systems. Both Linux and Solaris require the parameter newuid to be equal to either the real uid or saved uid if the effective uid is not zero. As a surprising result, setuid(geteuid()), which a program- mer might reasonably expect to be always permitted, can fail in some cases, e.g., when ruid=100, euid=200, and suid=100. On the other hand, setuid(geteuid()) always succeeds in FreeBSD. Second, the action of setuid dif- fers not only among different operating systems but also between privileged and unprivileged processes. In So- laris and Linux, if the effective uid is zero, a successful setuid(newuid) call sets all three user IDs to newuid; oth- erwise, it sets only the effective user ID to newuid. On the other hand, in FreeBSD a successful setuid(newuid) call sets all three user IDs to newuid regardless of the effective uid.

Setuid Demystified Hao Chen, David Wagner, and Drew Dean, Proceedings of the 11th USENIX Security Symposium, August 05 - 09, 2002

This is reality, and very confusing.

Setuid example CVE-2018-14665



The three required commands, Hickey said, are:

```
cd /etc; Xorg -fp
"Root::16431:0:99999:7:::" -logfile
shadow :1;su
```

Source: Matthew Hickey, cofounder of security firm Hacker House

- The X11 Window System is often setuid root
- Requires access to screen memory, keyboard, mouse etc.
- Not the only problem found in X11 over the years, incomplete list at:
 https://www.cvedetails.com/vulnerability-list/vendor_id-88/product_id-147/X.org-X11.html

Formal verification



Fortunately, we can note that there is a lot of symme- try present. If we have a non-root user ID, the behav- ior of the operating system is essentially independent of the actual value of this user ID, and depends only on the fact that it is non-zero. For example, the states (ruid, euid, suid) = (100, 100, 100) and (200, 200, 200) are isomorphic up to a substitution of the value 100 by the value 200, since the OS will behave similarly in both cases (e.g., setuid(0) will fail in both cases).

Setuid Demystified Hao Chen, David Wagner, and Drew Dean, Proceedings of the 11th USENIX Security Symposium, August 05 - 09, 2002

- The Setuid Demystified paper moves on to a formal model, but Reality bites again: https://thehackernews.com/2018/12/linux-user-privilege-policykit.html
- Red Hat has recommended system administrators not to allow any negative UIDs or UIDs greater than 2147483646 in order to mitigate the issue until the patch is released.
- \fliptable everything is insecure

Qmail Security



The qmail security guarantee In March 1997, I took the unusual step of publicly offering \$500 to the first person to publish a verifiable security hole in the latest version of qmail: for example, a way for a user to exploit qmail to take over another account. My offer still stands. Nobody has found any security holes in qmail. I hereby increase the offer to \$1000.

Some thoughts on security after ten years of qmail 1.0, Daniel J. Bernstein

- Started out of need and security problems in existing Sendmail
- Bug bounty early on. Donald Knuth has similar for his books

Qmail Security Paper, some answers



- Answer 1: eliminating bugs -> Enforcing explicit data flow, Simplifying integer semantics, Avoiding parsing
- ullet Answer 2: eliminating code -> Identifying common functions, Reusing network tools, Reusing access controls, Reusing the filesystem
- Answer 3: eliminating trusted code -> Accurately measuring the TCB, Isolating single-source transformations, Delaying multiple-source merges, Do we really need a small TCB?

Qmail vs Postfix



I failed to place any of the qmail code into untrusted prisons. Bugs anywhere in the code could have been security holes. The way that qmail survived this failure was by having very few bugs, as discussed in Sections 3 and 4.

Some thoughts on security after ten years of qmail 1.0, Daniel J. Bernstein

- This is NOT a comlete comparison of Qmail and Postfix http://www.postfix.org/!
- Postfix is comprised of many processes and modules. These modules typically are also chrooted and report back status only through very restricted interfaces
- It is also possible to turn off many components, allowing the system run with less code
- No Postfix program is setuid, all things are run by a master control process. A small setgid program used for mail submission writing into the queue directory

Source: being a Postfix user and Secure Coding: Principles and Practices Eftir Mark Graff, Kenneth R. Van Wyk, June 2009

Wedge Reduced-Privilege Compartments



We present Wedge, a system well suited to the splitting of complex, legacy, monolithic applications into fine-grained, least-privilege compartments. Wedge consists of two synergistic parts: OS primitives that create compartments with default-deny semantics, which force the programmer to make compartments' privileges explicit; and Crowbar, a pair of run-time analysis tools that assist the programmer in determining which code needs which privileges for which memory objects.

Wedge: Splitting Applications into Reduced-Privilege Compartments Andrea Bittau, Petr Marchenko, Mark Handley, Brad Karp NSDI'08 Proceedings of the 5th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation, San Francisco, California — April 16 - 18, 2008

Pledge, and Unveil, in OpenBSD



Compare to Pledge, and Unveil, in OpenBSD

- Applies to multiple different sorts of programs, privsep, privdrop unpriviledged
- Illegal operations crash the program. (SIGABRT)
- Pledge: Realistic subsets of POSIX functionality
- The pledge system call forces the current process into a restricted-service operating mode https://man.openbsd.org/pledge.2
- Ping pledges "stdio inet dns" only need these, no read, write, create-path need to access file system!
- Unveil limit filesystem access. Many very simple: unveil("/dev", "rw")
- The first call to unveil removes visibility of the entire filesystem from all other filesystem-related system calls (such as open(2), chmod(2) and rename(2)), except for the specified path and permissions.

https://man.openbsd.org/unveil.2

Source: man-pages and

https://www.openbsd.org/papers/BeckPledgeUnveilBSDCan2018.pdf

For Next Time





Think about the subjects from this time, write down questions
Check the plan for chapters to read in the books
Most days have less than 100 pages, but some days may have more!
Visit web sites and download papers if needed
Retry the exercises to get more confident using the tools