

Welcome to

4. Integrity and Availability Policies

KEA Kompetence Computer Systems Security 2019

Henrik Lund Kramshøj hlk@zencurity.com @kramse 💆 🗘

Slides are available as PDF, kramse@Github 4-integrity-availability-policies.tex in the repo security-courses

Plan for today



Subjects

- Accuracy vs disclosure
- The Biba Model
- Clark-Wilson Integrity Model
- Trust models
- Deadlocks
- Availability and flooding attacks
- Protection against TCP Synfloods

Exercises

- Databases discussion about Relational Database Management System RDBMS Model and NoSQL
- SYN flooding exercise

Reading Summary



Bishop chapter 6: Integrity Policies

Bishop chapter 7: Availability Policies

TCP Synfloods - an old yet current problem, and improving pf's response to it, Henning Brauer, BSDCan 2017

Accuracy vs disclosure



Lipner five commercial requirements:

- 1. Users will not write their own programs, but use existing production software.
- 2. Programmers develop and test applications on a nonproduction system, possibly using contrived data.
- 3 Moving applications from development to production requires a special process.
- 4 This process must be controlled and audited.
- 5 Managers and auditors must have access to system state and system logs

Available from

https://csrc.nist.gov/CSRC/media/Publications/conference-paper/1982/05/24/proceedings-5th-seminar-dod-computer-security-initiative/documents/1982-5th-seminar-proceedings.pdf

Separation of duty ns function



Separation of duties (SoD; also known as Segregation of Duties) is the concept of having more than one person required to complete a task. In business the separation by sharing of more than one individual in one single task is an internal control intended to prevent fraud and error.

Quote from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Separation_of_duties

Separation of function. Developers do not develop new programs on production systems because of the potential threat to production data.

Computer Security, Matt Bishop, 2019

Danish: Funktionsadskillelse

auditing



The Biba Model



Example page 178



FreeBSD

Lipners Integrity Matrix Model



Lipner provides two security levels, in the following order (higher to lower):

- Audit Manager (AM): system audit and management functions are at this level.
- · System Low (SL): any process can read information at this level.

He similarly defined five categories:

- Development (D): production programs under development and testing, but not yet in production use
- · Production Code (PC): production processes and programs
- Production Data (PD): data covered by the integrity policy
- System Development (SD): system programs under development, but not yet in production use
- Software Tools (T): programs provided on the production system not related to the sensitive or protected data

Non-Discretionary Controls for Commercial Applications, Steven B. Lipner, IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, and Fifth Seminar on the DoD Computer Security Initiative, 1982

Lipners Integrity Matrix Model



Figure 7.			1	1	1 .	1	1
OBJECTS SUBJECTS	Prod. Data	Prod. Code	Dev. App. Prgm.	Dev. Sys. Prgm.	Tools	Sys. Prg.	Audit Trail
Systèm Mgt. & Audit	R	R	R	R	R	R	RW
Production Users	RW	R	1. 1, 1.			R	w
Application Programmers			RW	1	R	R	w
System Programmers				RW	R	R	W
System Control	RW	RW	RW	RW	RW	RW	w

Figure 7. Effects of the Commercial Lattice

Non-Discretionary Controls for Commercial Applications, Steven B. Lipner, IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, and Fifth Seminar on the DoD Computer Security Initiative, 1982

Lipners Integrity Matrix Model



\mathbf{a}	n	T	E.	~	Т	C
u	n	J	r.	u	1	S

SUBJECTS	Production Data	Production Code	Develop. Code & Test Data	Develop. Sys. Prog.	S/W Tools	Sys. Prog.	Re- pair Code	Audit Data
System Mgr.	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	RW
Prod. User	RW	R				R		w
App'n. Prog.			RW		R	R		W
Sys. Program				RW	R	R		w
Sys. Control	RW	ŔŴ	RW	RW	RW	RW	RW	W
Repair	RW	R				R	R	W

Figure 12. Effects of Commercial Lattice Model with Integrity

Non-Discretionary Controls for Commercial Applications, Steven B. Lipner, IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, and Fifth Seminar on the DoD Computer Security Initiative, 1982

One source of truth



Clark-Wilson Integrity Model



A **well-formed transaction** from one consistent state to another consistent state.

- Constrained Data Items: CDIs are the objects whose integrity is protected
- Unconstrained Data Items: UDIs are objects not covered by the integrity policy
- Transformation Procedures: TPs are the only procedures allowed to modify CDIs, or take arbitrary user input and create new CDIs. Designed to take the system from one valid state to another.
- Integrity Verification Procedures: IVPs are procedures meant to verify maintainance of integrity of CDIs.

A Comparison of Commercial and Military Computer Security Policies, David D. Clark and David R. Wilson, 1987

Clark-Wilson rules



- Certification rule 1 —When an IVP is executed, it must ensure the CDIs are valid.
- Certification rule 2 —For some associated set of CDIs, a TP must transform those CDIs from one valid state to another. Since we must make sure that these TPs are certified to operate on a particular CDI, we must have E1 and E2.
- Enforcement rule 1 —System must maintain a list of certified relations and ensure only TPs certified to run on a CDI change that CDI.
- Enforcement rule 2 —System must associate a user with each TP and set of CDIs. The TP may access the CDI on behalf of the user if it is "legal".
- Enforcement rule 3 The system must authenticate the identity of each user attempting to execute a TP. This requires keeping track of triples (user, TP, CDIs) called "allowed relations".
- Certification rule 3 —Allowed relations must meet the requirements of "separation of duty". We need authentication to keep track of this.
- Certification rule 4 —All TPs must append to a log enough information to reconstruct the operation. When information enters the system it need not be trusted or constrained (i.e. can be a UDI). We must deal with this appropriately.
- Certification rule 5 —Any TP that takes a UDI as input may only perform valid transactions for all possible values of the UDI. The TP will either accept (convert to CDI) or reject the UDI. Finally, to prevent people from gaining access by changing qualifications of a TP:
- Enforcement rule 4 —Only the certifier of a TP may change the list of entities associated with that TP.

Clark-Wilson Integrity Model



The model uses a three-part relationship of subject/program/object (where program is interchangeable with transaction) known as a triple or an access control triple. Within this relationship, subjects do not have direct access to objects. Objects can only be accessed through programs

A Comparison of Commercial and Military Computer Security Policies, David D. Clark and David R. Wilson, 1987

See also https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clark%E2%80%93Wilson_model

Trust models



Availability Policies



Deadlocks



Relational Database Management Systems RDBMS



introduction

Database deadlocks



Exercise





Now lets do the exercise

Database Security

which is number 11 in the exercise PDF.

Fairness and starvation



Old operating systems vs pre-emptive multitasking.

Availability and Network flooding attacks



SYN flood

Exercise





Now lets do the exercise

SYN flooding 101

which is number 12 in the exercise PDF.

Protection against TCP Synfloods



TCP Synfloods - an old yet current problem, and improving pf's response to it Henning Brauer, BSDCan 2017

For Next Time





Think about the subjects from this time, write down questions
Check the plan for chapters to read in the books
Most days have less than 100 pages, but some days may have more!
Visit web sites and download papers if needed
Retry the exercises to get more confident using the tools