

Part IV

Minimizing *DFA*s

An interesting *DFA* minimization function is the one due to Brzozowski (appearing in [Wat93b, Section 2]).

User function: *DFA::min_Brzozowski*

Files: `dfa.h`

Uses: see the entry for class *DFA*

Description: Brzozowski's minimization algorithm differs from all of the other minimization algorithms, and appears as inline *DFA* member function *min_Brzozowski*. The member function simply minimizes the *DFA*.

Implementation: The implementation appears in file `dfa.h`. The implementation follows directly from [Wat93b, Section 2].

□

The remainder of Part IV deals with the other minimization functions, based upon their derivations in [Wat93b].

17 Helper functions

Two member functions of class *DFA* are used as helpers in those minimization functions which are based upon an equivalence relation (see [Wat93b, Section 3]).

Implementation function: *DFA::compress*

Files: *dfa.cpp*

Uses: see the entry for class *DFA*

Description: Member function *compress* is overloaded to take either a *StateEqRel* (an equivalence relation on *States*), or a *SymRel* (a symmetrical relation on *States*). The relation is assumed to be a refinement of relation *E* [Wat93b, Definition 3.3]. The member function implements function *merge* defined in [Wat93b, Transformation 3.1].

Implementation: The implementation appears in file *dfa.cpp*. The implementation follows directly from [Wat93b, Transformation 3.1].

□

Implementation function: *DFA::split*

Files: *min.cpp*

Uses: *CharRange*, *DFA*, *State*, *StateEqRel*, *StateSet*

Description: Member function *split* takes two *States* (*p* and *q*), a *CharRange* *a*, and a *StateEqRel*. The two *States* are assumed to be representatives in the *StateEqRel*. The equivalence class of *p* is then split into those *States* (equivalent to *p*) which transition on *a* to a *State* equivalent to *q*, and those that do not. If in fact there was a split (the equivalence class of *p* is split into two equivalence classes), *p* will still be the unique representative of one of the two new equivalence classes; in this case, the (unique) representative of the other equivalence class is returned. If no such split occurred, function *split* returns *Invalid*.

Implementation: The implementation is a simple, iterative one. It implements the equivalent of the assignment to Q'_0 in Algorithm 4.4 of [Wat93b].

```

/* (c) Copyright 1994 by Bruce W. Watson */
// $Revision: 1.1 $
// $Date: 1994/05/02 15:59:06 $
#include "charrang.h"
#include "state.h"
#include "stateset.h"
#include "st-eqrel.h"
#include "dfa.h"

State DFA::split( const State p, const State q, const CharRange a, StateEqRel& P ) const {      10
    assert( class_invariant() );
    assert( P.class_invariant() );

    // p and q must be representatives of their eq. classes.
    assert( p == P.eq_class_representative( p ) );
    assert( q == P.eq_class_representative( q ) );

    // Split [p] with respect to [q] and CharRange a.
    auto StateSet part;
    part.set_domain( Q.size() );                                     20

    // Iterate over [p], and see whether each member transitions into [q]
    // on CharRange a.
    auto State st;
    for( P.equiv_class( p ).iter_start( st );

```

```

        !P.equiv_class( p ).iter_end( st );
        P.equiv_class( p ).iter_next( st ) ) {
    auto State dest( T.transition_on_range( st, a ) );
    // It could be that dest == Invalid.
    //     if not, check if dest is in [q].
    if( dest != Invalid && P.equivalent( dest, q ) ) {
        part.add( st );
    }
}

// The following containment must hold after the splitting.
assert( P.equiv_class( p ).contains( part ) );

// Return non-zero if something was split.
if( (part != P.equiv_class( p )) && !part.empty() ) {
    // Now figure out what the other piece is.
    auto StateSet otherpiece( P.equiv_class( p ) );
    otherpiece.remove( part );
    assert( !otherpiece.empty() );

    P.split( part );
    assert( class_invariant() );

    // Now, we must return the representative of the newly created
    // equivalence class.
    auto State x( P.eq_class_representative( otherpiece.smallest() ) );
    assert( x != Invalid );
    // It could be that p is not in part.
    auto State y( P.eq_class_representative( part.smallest() ) );
    assert( y != Invalid );
    assert( x != y );

    if( p == x ) {
        // If p is the representative of 'otherpiece', then
        // return the representative of 'part'.
        assert( otherpiece.contains( p ) );
        return( y );
    } else {
        // If p is the representative of 'part', then return the
        // representative of 'otherpiece'.
        assert( part.contains( p ) );
        return( x );
    }
} else {
    assert( (part == P.equiv_class( p )) || (part.empty()) );
    // No splitting to be done.
    return( Invalid );
}
}

```

□

18 Aho, Sethi, and Ullman's algorithm

User function: *DFA::min_dragon*

Files: min-asu.cpp

Uses: *CRSet, DFA, State, StateEqRel, StateSet*

Description: Member function *min_dragon* is an implementation of Algorithm 4.6 in [Wat93b]. It is named the “dragon” function after Aho, Sethi, and Ullman’s “dragon book” [ASU86].

Implementation: The algorithm begins with the approximation E_0 to the *State* equivalence relation E . It then repeatedly partitions the equivalence classes until the greatest fixed point (E) is reached.

```

/* (c) Copyright 1994 by Bruce W. Watson */
// $Revision: 1.1 $
// $Date: 1994/05/02 15:58:59 $
#include "crset.h"
#include "state.h"
#include "stateset.h"
#include "st-eqrel.h"
#include "dfa.h"

// Implement Algorithm 4.6 of the Taxonomy.
DFA& DFA::min_dragon() {
    assert( class_invariant() );
    assert( Useful() );

    // We'll need the combination of all of the out-transitions of all of the
    // States, when splitting equivalence classes.
    auto CRSet C;
    auto State st;
    for( st = 0; st < Q.size(); st++ ) {
        C.combine( T.out_labels( st ) );
    }

    // P is the equivalence relation approximation to E. It is initialized
    // to the total relation with domain Q.size().
    auto StateEqRel P( Q.size() );
    // We now initialize it to E_0.
    P.split( F );

    // reps is the set of representatives of P.
    auto StateSet reps( P.representatives() );

    // [st] is going to be split w.r.t. something.

    // The following is slightly convoluted.
    reps.iter_start( st );
    while( !reps.iter_end( st ) ) {
        // Try to split [st] w.r.t. every class [q].
        auto State q;

        // Keep track of whether something was indeed split.
        // Having to use this variable could be avoided with a goto
        auto int something_split( 0 );

        // Iterate over all q, and try to split [st] w.r.t. all other
        // equivalence classes [q].
        // Stop as early as possible.
        for( reps.iter_start( q ); !reps.iter_end( q )
            && !something_split; reps.iter_next( q ) ) {
            // Iterate over the possible transition labels, and
            // do a split if possible.
            auto int i;

```

```

        for( i = 0; !C.iter_end( i ) && !something_split; i++ ) {
            something_split = (split( st, q, C.iterator( i ), P ) != Invalid);
        }

        // If something was split, restart the outer repetition.
        if( something_split ) {
            // The set of representatives will have changed due to
            // the split.
            reps = P.representatives();
            reps.iter_start( st );
            // Now continue the outer repetition with the restarted
            // representatives.
        } else {
            // Just go on as usual.
            reps.iter_next( st );
        }
    }

    compress( P );
    assert( class_invariant() );

    return( *this );
}

```

□

19 Hopcroft and Ullman's algorithm

User function: *DFA::min_HopcroftUllman*

Files: min-hu.cpp

Uses: *CRSet, DFA, State, StateSet, SymRel*

Description: This member function provides a very convoluted implementation of Algorithm 4.7 of [Wat93b]. It computes the distinguishability relation (relation D in [Wat93b]).

Implementation: The algorithm is not quite the same as that presented in [Wat93b, Algorithm 4.7]. The member function computes the equivalence relation (on *States*) by first computing distinguishability relation D . Initially, the pairs of distinguishable *States* are those pairs p, q where one is a final *State* and the other is not. Pairs of *States* that reach p, q are then also distinguishable (according to the efficiency improvement property given in [Wat93b, Section 4.7, p. 16]). Iteration terminates when all distinguishable *States* have been considered.

Performance: This algorithm can be expected to run quite slowly, since it makes use of reverse transitions in the deterministic transition relation (*DTransRel*). The transition relations (*TransRel* and *DTransRel*) are optimized for forward transitions.

```

/* (c) Copyright 1994 by Bruce W. Watson */
// $Revision: 1.1 $
// $Date: 1994/05/02 15:59:05 $
#include "crset.h"
#include "state.h"
#include "stateset.h"
#include "symrel.h"
#include "dfa.h"

// Implement (a version of) Algorithm 4.7 of the minimization Taxonomy.
DFA& DFA::min_HopcroftUllman() {
    assert( class_invariant() );
    assert( Useful() );

    // We need the combination of all transition labels, to iterate over
    // transitions.
    auto State st;
    auto CRSet C;
    for( st = 0; st < Q.size(); st++ ) {
        C.combine( T.out_labels( st ) );
    }

    // First, figure out which are the non-final States.
    auto StateSet nonfinal( F );
    nonfinal.complement();

    // Use Z to keep track of the pairs that still need to be considered for distinguishedness.
    auto SymRel Z;
    Z.set_domain( Q.size() );

    // We begin with those pairs that are definitely distinguished.
    // this includes pairs with different parity.
    Z.add_pairs( F, nonfinal );

    // It also includes pairs with differing out-transitions.
    // iterate over C (the CRSet) and check the have and have-nots
    auto StateSet have;
    have.set_domain( Q.size() );
    auto StateSet havenot;
    havenot.set_domain( Q.size() );

    auto int it;

```

```

for( it = 0; !C.iter_end( it ); it++ ) {
    // have and havenot must be empty for the update to be correct.
    assert( have.empty() && havenot.empty() );
    auto State p;
    // Iterate over the States and check which have a transition.
    for( p = 0; p < Q.size(); p++ ) {
        // Does p have the transition?
        if( T.transition_on_range( p, C.iterator( it ) ) != Invalid ) {
            have.add( p );
        } else {
            havenot.add( p );
        }
    }
    // have and havenot are distinguished from one another.
    // (under the assumption that Useful() holds)
    Z.add_pairs( have, havenot );

    have.clear();
    havenot.clear();
}

// G will be use to accumulate the (distinguishability) relation D.
auto SymRel G;
G.set_domain( Q.size() );

// Now consider all of the pairs until nothing changes.
while( 1 ) {
    auto State p;
    // Go looking for the next pair to do.
    for( p = 0; p < Q.size() && Z.image( p ).empty(); p++ );

    // There may be nothing left to do.
    if( p == Q.size() ) {
        break;
    } else {
        assert( !Z.image( p ).empty() );
        // Choose q such that {p,q} is in Z.
        // We know that such a q exists.
        auto State q( Z.image( p ).smallest() );
        assert( q != Invalid );

        // Move {p,q} from Z across to G.
        Z.remove_pair( p, q );
        G.add_pair( p, q );

        // Now, check out the reverse transitions from p and q.
        auto int i;
        // Iterate over all of the labels.
        for( i = 0; !C.iter_end( i ); i++ ) {
            auto StateSet pprime( T.reverse_transition( p,
                C.iterator( i ) ) );
            auto StateSet qprime( T.reverse_transition( q,
                C.iterator( i ) ) );
            // pprime and qprime are all distinguished.
            // Iterate over both sets and flag them as distinguished.
            auto State pp;
            for( pprime.iter_start( pp ); !pprime.iter_end( pp );
                pprime.iter_next( pp ) ) {
                auto State qp;
                for( qprime.iter_start( qp );
                    !qprime.iter_end( qp );
                    qprime.iter_next( qp ) ) {
                    // Mark pp, qp for processing if they
                    // haven't already been done.
                    if( !G.contains_pair( pp, qp ) ) {
                        Z.add_pair( pp, qp );
                    } // if
                }
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```

    } // for
  } // for
} // if
} // while

// Now, compute E from D
G.complement();
// And use it to compress the DFA.
compress( G );

assert( class_invariant() );
return( *this );
}

```

□

20 Hopcroft's algorithm

User function: *DFA::min_Hopcroft*

Files: min-hop.cpp

Uses: *CRSet, DFA, State, StateEqRel, StateSet*

Description: Member function *min_Hopcroft* implements Hopcroft's $n \log n$ minimization algorithm, as presented in [Wat93b, Algorithm 4.8].

Implementation: The member function uses some encoding tricks to effectively implement the abstract algorithm. The combination of the out-transitions of all of the *States* is stored in a *CRSet* *C*. Set *L* from the abstract algorithm is implemented as a mapping from *States* to **int** (an array of **int** is used). Array *L* should be interpreted as follows: if *State* *q* a representative, then the following pairs still require processing (are still in abstract set *L*):

$$([q], C_0), \dots, ([q], C_{L(q)-1})$$

The remaining pairs do not require processing:

$$([q], C_{L(q)}), \dots, ([q], C_{|C|-1})$$

This implementation facilitates quick scanning of *L* for the next valid *State-CharRange* pair.

```

/* (c) Copyright 1994 by Bruce W. Watson */
// $Revision: 1.1 $
// $Date: 1994/05/02 15:59:03 $
#include "crset.h"
#include "state.h"
#include "stateset.h"
#include "st-eqrel.h"
#include "dfa.h"

// Implement Algorithm 4.8 (Hopcroft's O(n log n) algorithm).
DFA& DFA::min_Hopcroft() {
    assert( class_invariant() );

    // This algorithm requires that the DFA not have any final unreachable
    // States.
    assert( Useful() );

    auto State q;

    // Keep track of the combination of all of the out labels of State's.
    auto CRSet C;
    for( q = 0; q < Q.size(); q++ ) {
        C.combine( T.out_labels( q ) );
    }

    // Encode set L as a mapping from State to [0,|C|] where:
    //     if q is a representative of a class in the partition P, then
    //     L (the abstract list) contains
    //         ([q],C_0), ([q],C_1), ..., ([q],C_{L(q)-1})
    //     but not
    //         ([q],C_{L(q)}), ..., ([q],C_{|C|-1})
    auto int *const L( new int [Q.size()] );
    for( q = 0; q < Q.size(); q++ ) {
        L[q] = 0;
    }

    // Initialize P to be the total equivalence relation.
    auto StateEqRel P( Q.size() );
    // Now set P to be E_0.

```

```

P.split( F );
// Now, build the set of representatives and initialize L.
auto StateSet repr( P.representatives() );
if( F.size() <= (Q.size() - F.size()) ) {
    repr.intersection( F );
} else {
    repr.remove( F );
}

// Do the final set up of L
for( repr.iter_start( q ); !repr.iter_end( q ); repr.iter_next( q ) ) {
    L[q] = C.size();
}

// Use a break to get of this loop.
while( 1 ) {
    // Find the first pair in L that still needs processing.
    for( q = 0; q < Q.size() && !L[q]; q++ );

    // It may be that we're at the end of the processing.
    if( q == Q.size() ) {
        break;
    } else {
        // Mark this element of L as processed.
        L[q]--;

        // Iterate over all eq. classes, and try to split them.
        auto State p;
        repr = P.representatives();
        for( repr.iter_start( p ); !repr.iter_end( p ); repr.iter_next( p ) ) {
            // Now split [p] w.r.t. (q, C_{L[q]})
            auto State r( split( p, q, C.iterator( L[q] ), P ) );
            // r is the representative of the new split of the
            // eq. class that was represented by p.

            if( r != Invalid ) {
                // p and r are the new representatives.
                // Now update L with the smallest of
                // [p] and [r].
                if( P.equiv_class( p ).size()
                    <= P.equiv_class( r ).size() ) {
                    L[r] = L[p];
                    L[p] = C.size();
                } else {
                    L[r] = C.size();
                } // if
            } // if
        } // for
    } // if
} // while

// L is no longer needed.
delete L;

// We can now use P to compress the DFA.
compress( P );

assert( class_invariant() );
return( *this );
}

```

21 A new minimization algorithm

User function: *DFA::min_Watson*

Files: min-bww.cpp

Uses: *CRSet, DFA, State, StateEqRel, SymRel*

Description: Member function *min_Watson* implements the new minimization algorithm appearing in [Wat93b, Sections 4.6–4.7]. The algorithm computes the equivalence relation E (on states) from below. The importance of this is explained in [Wat93b, p. 16].

Implementation: Function *min_Watson* is an implementation of Algorithm 4.10 (of [Wat93b]). The helper function *are_eq* is an implementation of the second algorithm appearing in Section 4.6 of [Wat93b]. Function *are_eq* takes two parameters more than the version appearing in [Wat93b]: H (a *StateEqRel*) and Z (a *SymRel*). These parameters are used to discover equivalence (or distinguishability) of *States* earlier than the abstract algorithm would.

Performance: Memoization in function *are_eq* would provide a great speedup.

```

/* (c) Copyright 1994 by Bruce W. Watson */
// $Revision: 1.1 $
// $Date: 1994/05/02 15:59:01 $
#include "state.h"
#include "crset.h"
#include "symrel.h"
#include "st-eqrel.h"
#include "dfa.h"
#include <assert.h>
10

// The following is function equiv from Section 4.6 of the min. taxonomy.
int DFA::are_eq( State p, State q, SymRel& S, const StateEqRel& H, const SymRel& Z ) const {
    if( S.contains_pair( p, q ) || H.equivalent( p, q ) ) {
        // p and q are definitely equivalent.
        return( 1 );
    } else if( !Z.contains_pair( p, q ) ) {
        assert( !S.contains_pair( p, q ) );
        assert( !H.equivalent( p, q ) );
        // p and q were already compared (in the past) and found to be
        // inequivalent.
        return( 0 );
        20
    } else {
        assert( !H.equivalent( p, q ) );
        assert( Z.contains_pair( p, q ) );

        // Now figure out all of the valid out-transitions from p and q.
        auto CRSet C( T.out_labels( p ) );
        C.combine( T.out_labels( q ) );

        // Just make sure that p and q have the same out-transitions.
        30
        auto int it;
        for( it = 0; !C.iter_end( it ); it++ ) {
            if( ( T.transition_on_range( p, C.iterator( it ) ) == Invalid )
                || ( T.transition_on_range( q, C.iterator( it ) )
                    == Invalid ) ) {
                // There's something that one transitions on, but
                // the other doesn't
                return( 0 );
            }
        } // if
        40
    } // for

    // p and q have out-transitions on equivalent labels.
    // Keep track of whether checking needs to continue.
    S.add_pair( p, q );
    for( it = 0; !C.iter_end( it ); it++ ) {

```