# Plagiarism Scan Report

Summary		
Report Genrated Date	22 Apr, 2018	
Plagiarism Status	100% Unique	
Total Words	892	
Total Characters	5943	
Any Ignore Url Used		

# **Content Checked For Plagiarism:**

#### **ABSTRACT**

Many applications such as Text Summarization, Semantic Web, Search Engine Optimization, Sentiment Analysis, and such others make use of Document Classification as a key component in their realization. In order to aid the process of Document Classification, many of these applications rely on extracting domain specification, or when the existing techniques used are pure NLP and extraction based on only term for ment frequency. However, these do not always guarantee accurate results. In our far r, we present an ontological approach to extraction of keywords which will give mode provide results as they are based on the context of the search. This is done by creating formain-specific ontologies and using them to extract keywords present in the user's focument.

Keywords: - Ontology, keyword extr ... , n, entropy, domain analysis, contextual search

#### 1. Introduction

There is a need for context. 'dat classification as many applications such as Search Engines, Text summarization as pend on it. One important module of data classification is keyword extraction module of ata classification is a classification in the classification is a classification in the classification is a classification at a classification is a classification in the classification is a classification in the classification is a classification at a classification in the classification is a classification at a classification in the classification is a classification at a classification in the classification is a classification at a classific

Upon rese on we found that an ontological approach might give us better results. An ontology is boadly, representation of knowledge. All the concepts related to a particular entity are identified and a relation is established between them. Ontology is created such that the machine understands these relation between words in terms of classes, subclasses and properties associated with the concepts.

In the paper we discuss a method to incorporate ontology for keyword extraction. The document entered by user along with the domain of the document is pre-processed then scoring parameters are applied to obtain candidate words and later these candidate words are mapped with words/concepts in the ontology for a particular domain. The later sections describe this process in further detail.

### 2. Literature Survey

Ontology is an important module in our proposed system. In order to appreciate it better

and to understand how it facilitates keyword extraction, we did a literature survey to understand how an ontology is created and its various applications. The following paragraph briefly discusses few papers that explains various algorithms for ontology creation. In Paper [1] a keyword dictionary server is introduced that helps in keyword expansion using domain specific ontologies. It has been used to categorise web service keywords which have been classified on the basis of similarity calculation between two keywords. Paper [2] talks about the skeleton of a semantic search engine that allows automatic query expansion. Firstly, a SPARQL query is built and later it is fired on the knowledge base to find appropriate RDF triples. Then, Web documents relevant which specified in the triples are fetched and ranked according to their relevance to the user's query and then are sent to the user. In Paper [3] the authors have found out synonyms using WordNet for user's query. A technique called ontological indexing is used which is based on calculating the context of the words in the provided document using ontology.

In paper [4], domain experts have created an ontology which is then supply disc the system. Here authors have discussed two algorithms: "semantic information re-recognizing algorithm". Text of mation is then extracted using created ontology and the two proposed algorithms: Paper [5] talks about using Ontology Based Information Extractors(OBIE) which is used for cext grading. Authors highlight that the combination of OBIE which perform differe as functions provides a much better understanding of a graded text, and the ones with litter at functions can improve system performance.

In Paper [6] authors have used ontology to find relevant recent knowledge in the domain by exploiting their underlying knowledge as keyword. 'Sing ontology-based and pattern-based information extraction technique it extract in cances and statements from the documents. Then a confidence value is used a maintain the stability of the ontology. Finally, the paper discusses a way to expand the ontology with the newly extracted keywords to validate the knowledge inside contology.

Paper [8] reviews the concepts and r. hous related of ontology construction and extension, and also proposes ar authorize ontology extension method based on supervised learning and text clustering. Fig. [9] proposes a way to extract ontology directly from RDB in the form of OWL/RDF trip is, for semantic web using direct mapping rules. Then, SPARQL queries are rewritten from SQ. by translating the relational algebra.

In paper [7], authors here compared 11 ontology learning models. After proper analysis, five techniques for oncour, learning and creation stood out in terms of accuracy, f-measure and precine surey are-

Ontolearn [10] which uses a text mining and statistical approach to learn concepts and build taxc and relations.

The second resthod is Text2Onto [11] that makes use of a 'Probabilistic Ontology Model' and involves statistical and linguistic techniques to create an Ontology.

The CRCTOL [12] algorithm is also a statistical algorithm and extract concepts and relation using statistical approach

In OntoGain [14] which is an unsupervised algorithm a linguistic tool is used to preprocess text and extract relevant concepts.

HCHIRISM [13] is the other unsupervised algorithm which first crawls through a large number of websites to find relevant concepts for a given domain by using an initial keyword which is closely related to the domain.

Report generated by smallseotools.com

# Plagiarism Scan Report

Summary		
Report Genrated Date	22 Apr, 2018	
Plagiarism Status	100% Unique	
Total Words	783	
Total Characters	4705	
Any Ignore Url Used		

# **Content Checked For Plagiarism:**

### 3. Proposed Model

The goal of the system is to extracted domain specific keywords besed on ontological concepts. The proposed system consists of an ontology store initially. This ontology store can be created using web pages or existing downloadable of congress can be collected and stored. The user inputs the text document along with the home in she seeks to find the keywords pertaining to. The system scans user's document of look for domain related keywords using the specific domain ontology from the one ology store. An elaborate procedure is given in Figure 1. The system consists at two main modules: Creating ontologies and Extracting keywords using these consists.

#### 3.1 Ontology Store Creation

Ontology Store Creation can be done by 'cc mulation of ontologies of various domains which are available on the internet. 'In system will be able to access these ontologies based on the domain the user 'unt. 'I' ese will help speed up the process of ontology store creation. The results will be b. ' we consider the next approach.

The next approach to creat. If the untology store is by creation of ontologies using web pages. This system is sent an ematic since there is a need of expert intrusion when it comes to checking or verifying if a particular keyword belongs to the respective domain or not. This approach is tire consuming but can provide better results once the ontology store is created because 6. The availability of exhaustive ontologies. When scanned web pages are used, more by ords will be put into ontologies and thus, more keywords will be mapped from use 's document while extraction.

The basic op for this approach are:

Crawling of Comain specific web pages.

Extracting terms from web pages by scraping.

Formulating triplets of subject-verb-object or noun-verb-noun.

Identifying the classes, relations and individuals.

Creating the OWL ontology.

Saving the ontology

### 3.2 Keyword Extraction

The keyword extraction module os the main module of the system. Here, we map the words in user's document along with the words in the respective domain ontology from the ontology store. The system, initially, pre-processes the data given by the user. The pre-processing consists of the following steps:

Converting the entire piece of text into lowercase.

Removing special characters from text.

Removing stop words from text.

Lemmatizing the text. (i.e. converting the word to its root form)

Once the data is pre-processed, we apply three scoring parameters from [15] to identify the relevance of the word to the topic. They are:

Entropy: Using frequency directly for calculation can sometimes misguide us; there could be some noisy words which may have very high frequency while relevant words may have less. Thus, instead of using the parameter frequency, we have used entropy. The formula for calculating entropy is as follows:

W1 = FNlog2(FN)

where W1 = Entropy of word in given document.

F = Occurrence frequency of word in document

N = Total Number of words in document.

Position of sentence: We consider the position of sentence where the word  $\epsilon$  at some the document. The idea behind this is that words in initial paragraphs carry  $m_c > w$  ightage than words in last. This is given by:

W2 = (St + 1Sf + 1)

where W2 = weight of given word, due to index position of the ser ence in which the given word occurs first.

St = Total number of sentences in given document.

Sf = Sentence Index in which the given word occurs first.

Position related strength: Position related strength is colour, ed using two factors viz. Position of given word in the sentence and the length of that sentence. The idea behind this is that, a word has higher weightage when it compared initial part of the sentence than the rear.

Let, IK = Index position of Candidate Word  $\kappa$  in given sentence "S".

LS = Length of sentence "S" in which the candidate word "K" is present.

P(K) = I(K) if (I(K) < (L(S)/2))

= 2 (L(S) - I(K)) else

where P(K) = Partial Position re<sup>1</sup> tec cr ngth of given distinct word

We combine strength due to lorger of sentence with the formula:

W3 = log2(L(S) + 1P(K) + ...

where W3 = Weight value of government of sentence in which it exist.

After applying the above three parameters, we multiply them to get a final score. A threshold is set which is the average weights of all words, and candidate words are filtered which are above a prage. These candidate words are them mapped to the ontology, to get the domain specific keywords. The arrangement of words according to their scores gives us a proper that are of relevance of keywords in the document to the given domain.

Report generated by smallseotools.com

# Plagiarism Scan Report

Summary		
Report Genrated Date	22 Apr, 2018	
Plagiarism Status	100% Unique	
Total Words	393	
Total Characters	2540	
Any Ignore Url Used		

# **Content Checked For Plagiarism:**

### 4. Results

The above described approach is analysed on basis of accuracy, procision, recall and f-measure. Before discussing them in greater detail, it's important to realise that the accuracy of the approach depends heavily on the ontology. The second property will most definitely give much better result than an ontology with a volume second property. The domain selected to test the system is "Library". Are the same of the same of the same was taken from wikipedia and cle on library of roughly 700 words.

Accuracy is the ratio of correctly classified o' serve in and total no of observations. Precision deals with correctly classified observation by total positive observations. Recall is ratio of correctly classified observation are in all positively identified observation.F1 score is ratio of precision and recall. The corresponding values for our system are as follows:

Accuracy = TP+TN/(TP+FP+FN+TN) - 293

Precision = TP/(TP+FP) = 0.54

Recall = TP/(TP+FN) = 0.51

F1 Score = 2\*(Recall \* Precision) / ,Recall + Precision) = 2

Where.

TP is true positive, which is correctly classified positive observation.

TN is true negative, wn :h .s correctly classified negative observation.

FP is false positive v. 'cn is incorrectly classified positive observation.

FN is false negritive which is incorrectly classified negative observation.

For our dc \_\_in \_nt the values are:

True Positive(IP): 18 True Negative(TN): 668 False Positive(FP): 20 False Negative(FN): 15

### 5. Conclusion

We realized the need for a contextual extraction for keywords and found that an ontological approach gives accurate results. We have created a system which can be broadly classified into two modules- keyword extraction and mapping candidate words with those present in the ontology. This approach can be generalized for any domain and for multi domain systems also. The accuracy of the system lies in the ontology used for this purpose. Using gold standard ontology is expected to give best results but because only few are available we can create our own as defined briefly in this paper and make it as exhaustive as possible.

#### 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank our college Vivekanand Education Society's Institute of Technology for considering our project and extending help at all stages throughout the implementation of the project. We would like to extend our gratitude to Head of the Computer Department Dr. (Mrs.) Nupur Giri and our Principal Dr. (Mrs.) J.M. Nair, for giving us the valuable opportunity to do this project.

Report generated by smallseotools.com