

Introduction to Deep Learning

Alvaro Soto

Computer Science Department, PUC

Deep Learning

Two main ingredients


- ① Feature learning
- ② A hierarchical compositional representation

Ingredient 1: Feature learning

Any relevant feature to recognize each individual ?



Any relevant feature to recognize each individual ?



Any relevant feature to recognize each individual ?



Figure 6-12. Some minutiae patterns used to analyze fingerprints.

Name	Visual Appearance
1. Ending ridge (including broken ridge)	1.
2. Fork (or bifurcation)	2.
3. Island ridge (or short ridge)	3.
4. Dot (of very short ridge)	4.
5. Bridge	5.
6. Spur (or hook)	6.
7. Eye (enclosure or island)	7.
8. Double bifurcation	8.
9. Delta	9.
10. Trifurcation	10.

Feature based machine learning

Handcrafted Features

d1 : Mary loves Movies, Cinema and Art

Class 1 : Arts

d2 : John went to the Football game

Class 2 : Sports


d3 : Robert went for the Movie Delicatessen

Class : Arts



	Mary	Loves	Movies	Cinema	Art	John	Went	to	the	Delicatessen	Robert	Football	Game	and	for
d1	1	1	1	1	1									1	
d2						1	1	1	1			1	1		
d3			1				1		1		1				1

Feature based machine learning

Handcrafted Features




Feature space




Feature learning

- Traditional pattern recognition approach since the 50's:



- Feature learning approach:



Ingred. 2: A hierarchical compositional repres.

Hierarchical and Composable Feature Represent.

- Hierarchical representations organized from low to high levels of abstraction are common in nature.
- Compositionality is key. Language is compositional, vision is compositional, etc.
- Examples:
 - Sounds → phonemes → syllables → words.
 - Pixels → edges → parts → objects
 - Characters → words → word groups → clauses → sentences.
 - Nucleotides → genes → proteins → cells → organs.



3rd layer
“Objects”



2nd layer
“Object parts”




1st layer
“edges”





Input







Intermediate representations/features










Compositionality is Key: Holistic vs Local Reps.



What is the right level of compositionality?

PHONETIC ALPHABET	
A -ALPHA	N -NOVEMBER
B -BRAVO	O -OSCAR
C -CHARLIE	P -PAPA
D -DELTA	Q -QUEBEC
E -ECHO	R -ROMEO
F -FOXTROT	S -SIERRA
G -GOLF	T -TANGO
H -HOTEL	U -UNIFORM
I -INDIA	V -VICTOR
J -JULIET	W -WHISKEY
K -KILO	X -X-RAY
L -LIMA	Y -YANKEE
M -MIKE	Z -ZULU

Aoccdrnig to a rscheearch at an Elingsh
uinervtisy, it deosn't mttaer in waht oredr the
ltteers in a wrod are, the olny iprmoetnt tihng
is taht frist and lsat ltteer is at the rghit pclae.
The rset can be a toatl mses and you can
stll raed it wouthit porbelm. Tihs is bcuseae
we do not raed ervey lteter by it slef but the
wrod as a wlohe

Now our honor guest:

Deep Learning

Deep Learning

- Deep learning: Neural network (NN) models that **learn hierarchical compositional representations** (hierarchies of feats).
- In general, NNs with several hidden layers.
- **Today, the most successful learning framework.** They hold records for best recognition performance on several difficult tasks, such as, voice, handwriting, and object recognition.
- What is the secret?


The magic is **learning** suitable **hierarchical and composable** feature representations

Hierarchical and Composable Feature Reps.

- Lower level layers learn **generic and local feature detectors** that operate as building blocks (part detectors). Ex.:
 - In the case of speech: first layers learn phonemes detectors.
 - In the case of vision: first layers learn edge (oriented gradients) and center surround (gabor filters).
- Higher level layers encode **specialized and global concept detectors** that are more robust to invariances. Ex.:
 - Robust to different speakers in the case of voice recognition.
 - Robust to different views in the case of visual recognition.



- Each module transforms its input representation into a higher-level of abstraction.
- High-level features are more global and more invariant.
- Low-level features are shared among categories.



Faces












Cars



Elephants




Chairs




Where should we have more “features”, at lower or higher level of the hierarchy?

Theoretician's dilemma: Why Deep?



Theory shows that a feedforward NW with 1 hidden layer can represent most input-output relations.

Let's introduce some notation




$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}^{(1)} & w_{21}^{(1)} & w_{31}^{(1)} \\ w_{12}^{(1)} & w_{22}^{(1)} & w_{32}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma (W^{(1)}X)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}^{(2)} & w_{21}^{(2)} & w_{31}^{(2)} \\ w_{12}^{(2)} & w_{22}^{(2)} & w_{32}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma (W^{(2)}H)$$

Let's introduce some notation



$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}^{(1)} & w_{21}^{(1)} & w_{31}^{(1)} \\ w_{12}^{(1)} & w_{22}^{(1)} & w_{32}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma(W^{(1)}X)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}^{(2)} & w_{21}^{(2)} & w_{31}^{(2)} \\ w_{12}^{(2)} & w_{22}^{(2)} & w_{32}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma(W^{(2)}H)$$

$$Y = \sigma \left(W^{(2)} \sigma \left(W^{(1)} \vec{X} \right) \right)$$

Theoretician's dilemma: Why Deep?

$$Y = \sigma \left(W^{(2)} \sigma \left(W^{(1)} \vec{X} \right) \right)$$


V/S

$$Y = \sigma \left(W^{(K)} \sigma \left(\dots \sigma \left(W^{(2)} \sigma \left(W^{(1)} \vec{X} \right) \right) \dots \right) \right)$$

Solution: Learning efficiency

A hierarchical compositional architecture provides an efficient learning framework, where lower level layers are **shared** by higher level layers.

A shared low level representation





DL in Action



Input sentence:	Translation (PBMT):	Translation (GNMT):	Translation (human):
李克強此行將啟動中加總理年度對話機制，與加拿大總理杜魯多舉行兩國總理首次年度對話。	Li Keqiang premier added this line to start the annual dialogue mechanism with the Canadian Prime Minister Trudeau two prime ministers held its first annual session.	Li Keqiang will start the annual dialogue mechanism with Prime Minister Trudeau of Canada and hold the first annual dialogue between the two premiers.	Li Keqiang will initiate the annual dialogue mechanism between premiers of China and Canada during this visit, and hold the first annual dialogue with Premier Trudeau of Canada.

DL records



© Facebook

Challenges


Model fitting

- How to adjust many parameters without overfitting.
 - We require lot of training data, even worse: labeled data.
- Tons of labeled data, How?
 - Use a creative, cheap, and/or fast ways to label data.
 - Self-supervision.
 - Apply training tricks (add noise, synthetic data, etc.).
 - Transfer learning.


Difficult optimization and scalability

- Layer-wise training using tons of data.
- Parallel training, use of high performance computing (GPUs).

Criticism



Humans are also fooled



Memory vs Reasoning

