- 1 Evidence of tool use in a seabird?
- 2 Benjamin G. Farrar
- 3 Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- 4 Author email: <u>bgf22@cam.ac.uk</u>
- 5 01/01/2020

6 Fayet, Hansen and Biro (2019) provide two observations of Atlantic puffins, Fratercula

7 arctica, performing self-directed actions while holding a stick in their beaks. The authors

interpret this as evidence of tool use as they suggest that the stick was manipulated towards the

birds' plumage with the specific goal of scratching. While these are certainly interesting

observations, the authors do not address a simple and likely explanation of the self-directed

actions; that they occurred by chance.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Instead of tool use, the observations can be explained by the puffins engaging in common selfdirected behaviour, such as preening, whilst incidentally holding a stick in their beaks. If the stick did not impede self-directed behaviour, or produced similar sensory results to it, then the birds would have no need to drop the stick while preening. Under this explanation, the authors conclusions about seabird cognition are premature. And this alternative explanation is both plausible and likely. The explanation is plausible as birds often pick up sticks, even when not building nests (Heinrich & Smolker, 1998; Sazima, 2008), and they often engage in selfdirected preening. The explanation is likely as it would be surprising if the puffins did not occasionally engage in both behaviors simultaneously across the many years of observations in both locations. In fact, that Fayet, Hansen and Biro only reported two instances of the behavior across seven years by could even be taken as evidence against the hypothesis that puffins use stick tools for body care. The authors may have the data to probe this claim, specifically how frequently the puffins picked up sticks without then performing self-directed actions or nest building. If the only instances of stick pick-ups were followed by scratching then the data become more convincing evidence of tool use, but this analysis is necessary to support the authors' conclusions.

Furthermore, Fayet, Hansen and Biro (2019) implicitly present a strong argument as to why we

should be skeptical about the sticks being used as tools to scratch: Many birds can already reach

- 30 most of their bodies with their beaks. However, instead of this leading to uncertainty about
- 31 whether the observed behaviour was really tool use, Fayet, Hansen and Biro used it to make
- 32 the valid suggestion that this is why such tool use has not been observed in other wild birds.
- But this argument should lead to great uncertainty about whether their observations in puffins
- 34 did really represent tool use, rather than the co-occurrence of two common behaviors.
- In summary, Fayet, Hansen and Biro (2019) present two interesting observations of puffins
- 36 holding sticks and preening, which they interpret as tool use. However, the conclusion that this
- 37 is tool use is premature as the behavior can instead be explained by the puffins simply of
- 38 engaging in common self-directed behaviour whilst co-incidentally holding a stick.

40	References
41	Fayet, A. L., Hansen, E. S., & Biro, D. (2019). Evidence of tool use in a seabird. <i>Proceedings</i>
42	of the National Academy of Sciences, 201918060.
43	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1918060117
44	Heinrich, B., & Smolker, R. (1998). Play in common ravens (Corvus corax). In M. Bekoff &
45	J. A. Byers (Eds.), <i>Animal Play</i> (1st ed., pp. 27–44).
46	https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511608575.003
47	Sazima, I. (2008). Playful birds: Cormorants and herons play with objects and practice their
48	skills. Biota Neotropica, 8, 259–264. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1676-
49	06032008000200025
50	