Secvisogram Author Guide

BSI

2022-08-25

Table of Contents

1 Document	4
1.1 Acknowledgments	5
1.2 Aggregate Severity	6
1.3 Distribution	6
1.3.1 TLP	6
1.4 Notes	7
1.5 Publisher	8
1.6 References	10
1.7 Tracking	11
1.7.1 Aliases	12
1.7.2 Generator	13
1.7.2.1 Engine	13
1.7.3 Revision History	14
1.7.3.1 Revision History	14
2 Product Tree	15
2.1 Branches	16
2.2 Full Product Name	16
2.3 Product Groups	16
2.3.1 Product Groups	17
2.3.1.1 Group ID	17
2.3.1.2 Product ID	17

	2.3.1.3 Summary	17
	2.4 Relationships	17
	2.4.1 Relationship	17
	2.4.1.1 Category	18
	2.4.1.2 Product Reference	18
	2.4.1.3 Relates To Product Reference	18
	2.4.1.4 Full Product Name	18
3	3 Types	18
	3.1 Product Groups	19
	3.2 Products	19
	3.3 Acknowledgments	20
	3.3.1 Acknowledgment	20
	3.3.1.1 Names	20
	3.3.1.2 URLs	20
	3.4 Branches	20
	3.4.1 Branch	20
	3.4.1.1 Product	22
	3.5 Full Product Name	22
	3.5.1 Product Identification Helper	22
	3.5.1.1 Hashes	23
	3.5.1.2 Model Numbers	24
	3.5.1.3 SBOM Urls	24
	3.5.1.4 Serial Numbers	25
	3.5.1.5 SKUs	25
	3.5.1.6 Generic URIs	25
	3.6 Notes	26
	3.6.1 Note	26

3.7 References2	27
3.7.1 Reference2	27
3.7.1.1 Category2	27
3.7.1.2 Summary2	27
3.7.1.3 Url2	17
4 Vulnerabilities2	28
4.1 Vulnerability2	28
4.1.1 Notes2	29
4.1.2 References2	29
4.1.3 Acknowledgments3	30
4.1.4 CWE3	30
4.1.5 Flags3	31
4.1.5.1 Flag3	31
4.1.6 Ids3	31
4.1.6.1 ID3	32
4.1.7 Involvements3	32
4.1.7.1 Involvement3	32
4.1.8 Product Status3	3
4.1.9 Remediations3	88
4.1.9.1 Remediation3	39
4.1.10 Scores4	<u>2</u>
4.1.10.1 Score4	<u>2</u>
4.1.11 Threats4	4
4.1.11.1 Threat4	4

1 Document

Contains all metadata that is required to identify the advisory. This includes, among other things, the title and category (profile) of the document, as well as the publisher and version history.

A machine-readable advisory requires the same - or more - information than the human-readable version. If more information is provided than in the human-readable format, this should be noted for the review of the document. The human-readable advisory must be derivable from the machine-readable one. The machine-readable document is superordinated to the human-readable document.

Category

This value determines the profile name for the CSAF document. It should always be the value of the profile defined in the specification.

The selected profile has an impact on how the document is validated. It is highly encouraged to use the defined CSAF profiles.

The available profiles are:

- csaf base
- csaf informational advisory
- csaf_security_incident_response
- csaf_security_advisory
- csaf_vex

As advisories with category csaf_base do not provide much value, it is recommended to use a higher profile. For validation, the profile csaf_base is always used as fallback. With this profile, fewer validations are carried out. In general, if in doubt, use a higher profile containing more information.

A company may introduce custom categories, which will also lead to csaf_base being used as fallback for validation.

For a document with the profile csaf_base the category value must not be equal to the (case insensitive) name (without the prefix csaf_) or value of any other profile than "CSAF Base". Any occurrences of dash, whitespace, and underscore characters are removed from the values on both sides before the match. Also, the value must not start with the reserved prefix csaf_ except if the value is csaf_base.

Csaf Version

Must be set to 2.0 which is currently the only valid value.

Lang

The language the document is written in.

Must not be the same as /document/source_lang.

Helps the consumer to automatically filter the documents according to his language. Can also be used to sort the documents by language within the distribution mechanism (see section 7 of the specification).

As part of the document creation process, the language helps to run a spell checker. Therefore, it should be as specific as possible and reasonable: e.g. use en-US or en-GB instead of just en.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Source Lang

This field must be present and set if the value translator is used in /document/publisher/categoy to indicate the language of the original document. If the document was not translated, this field should not be set.

The source language must not be the same as the document language in /document/lang.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Title

The title should provide a quick overview of what this document is about to the user.

Typically, a product name is referenced. For vulnerabilities that became more well known, several exemplary products may be listed.

Examples:

- Product A affected by RCE
- Multiple Products affected by Log4Shell Vulnerability
- Product Family X affected by Vulnerability Y

1.1 Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments of people and organizations that were involved in discovery, publication and coordination of the process that lead to the CSAF document.

The acknowledgments are an important tool to reward and honor security researchers and contribute to the reputation of an organization.

In contrast to the Vulnerability Acknowledgments (/vulnerabilities[]/acknowledgments) contributions to the whole document can be honored.

Listing coordinating entities is recommended but not required.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

1.2 Aggregate Severity

Vendor assessment of the overall criticality of the vulnerabilities in the document.

This could, for example, be the textual description of the base severity corresponding to the highest CVSS score.

Namespace

Reference to an explanation of the meaning of the severity rating.

Text

Represents overall assessment and should be a string from a closed set. The set may be vendor-specific or come from an applicable standard.

Examples:

- SSVC decision or vector
- Red Hat Severity Ratings
- Assessment according to the German Advisory Format (DAF)
- Rating between 1 and 5

1.3 Distribution

Specifies how and to whom the document can be distributed.

Classification according to TLP is preferred because it is machine-processable.

Text

A textual description of how the document may be distributed.

The use of TLP labels is preferred.

1.3.1TLP

The Traffic Light Protocol (TLP) is a standardized agreement for the exchange of information worthy of protection (see https://www.first.org/tlp/docs/tlp-v1.pdf).

Public Advisories should generally be classified as TLP:WHITE.

If there are prior obligations to inform a closed user group, these should be distributed as TLP: AMBER or TLP: RED.

In principle, the definition of FIRST applies. If a different definition has been agreed with the customer group, this must be specified with a URL (/document/distribution/tlp/url).

Label

The label should be set and must be valid. There are four traffic light values:

- RED Not for disclosure, restricted to participants only:
 - In the context of a meeting, for example, TLP:RED information is limited to those present at the meeting. The distribution of TLP:RED information will generally be via a defined list and in some circumstances may only be passed verbally or in person.
- AMBER Limited disclosure, restricted to participants' organizations:
 - The recipient may share TLP: AMBER information with others within their organization, but only on a 'need-to-know' basis. The originator may be expected to specify the intended limits of that sharing.
- GREEN Limited disclosure, restricted to the community:
 - Information in this category can be circulated widely within a particular community. However, the information may not be published or posted publicly on the Internet, nor released outside the community.
- WHITE Disclosure is not limited:
 - Subject to standard copyright rules, TLP: WHITE information may be distributed freely, without restriction.

For more information about the TLP, refer to the TLP website from FIRST.

Url

Reference to the meaning of the used TLP labels. The default value is a link to the definitions from FIRST. This default value should not be omitted.

The default value should only be deviated from in exceptional cases, since the default is easier to automate.

1.4 Notes

For CSAF advisories that contain a product_tree, a short description of the products should be included. This should have the category description and the title "Product Description". In this note, the product should be described in more detail in terms of functionality and benefits in a few sentences. This applies analogously to product families.

Another element with title "General Security Recommendations" and category general should be created.

Another element of the category summary that summarizes vulnerabilities should be created.

Adding a legal disclaimer for the document is encouraged.

FAQs, if not vulnerability specific, belong in this section.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Additional details for profile CSAF Informational Advisory

For the csaf_informational_advisory profile at least one note must exist which has a category of description, details, general or summary. It should contain information what the "issue" is about and describe it as well as countermeasures or recommended actions.

Additional details for profile CSAF Security Incident Response

For the csaf_security_incident_response profile at least one note must exist which has a category of description, details, general or summary. The response should be detailed. It may touch on impacts and recommended actions. The response should be clearly marked as such - e.g. through the title of the note.

1.5 Publisher

Contains all information about the issuing party of the CSAF document.

Category

The publisher's category is useful for the reader to understand the perspective of the publisher. This information enables the consumer to estimate the trustworthiness of the advisory.

The valid values are

- coordinator
- discoverer
- other
- translator
- user
- vendor

In most cases the value vendor is correct. If the value translator is used, the field /document/source lang must be set.

Contact Details

Lists options of contacting the publisher of the advisories.

At least one email address should be given for queries about the CSAF document. Email addresses of functions are preferred since people may change but the functional mailbox remains. If in doubt, a website with a contact form can also be given. For quick queries, a telephone number can also be provided. Listing postal addresses is unusual but possible.

It can be useful, especially if a telephone number is given, as it helps to get an idea what timezone the team is located in.

Issuing Authority

Used to define responsibilities. Can also be used to establish geographical responsibility, e.g. Cert only for national security researchers and/or vendors. It is mostly used for sectoral, geographical or organizational specialization.

Example:

• ICS-CERT may state that "[they] coordinate any ICS related vulnerabilities". This means that ICS-CERT is not the right team to talk with in regards to IT products (e.g. Microsoft Exchange).

Especially relevant for large companies/organizations or managed service providers. Also relevant if there are several teams that issue advisories within the same group.

Example:

• Despite the "similar" name of "Siemens" and "Siemens Energy", responsibilities can be described more clearly.

The value should be a full text description.

Example:

 "Example Company PSIRT is responsible for any vulnerabilities related to Example Company's products or services."

Name

The name of the issuing party. Typically, the company name or the name of the Product Security Team.

Examples:

- Example Company PSIRT (Product Security Incident Response Team)
- ProductCERT (Product Computer Emergency Response Team)
- Example Company

Namespace

The namespace is useful to unambiguously and globally identify the vendor or manufacturer.

Often times a URL of the company or issuing party is used which is recommended. Can also be a URL pointing to a page on which advisories are published.

In combination with the tracking ID (/document/tracking/id) the CSAF document is uniquely identifiable. If the document version is added to that combination, a single version of the document is globally uniquely identified.

1.6 References

There should be one reference item that provides a canonical URL for this document: It has the category self, the url starts with https:// and ends with a valid filename for the CSAF document according to the rules in section 5.1. of the specification.

All links that have any relevance in the overall context of the document should be listed here. This could include original advisories, advisories of the manufacturer or advisories of the coordinator. If other advisories (especially from suppliers) are referenced, they should be listed here.

It is recommended to include links to the recommended cybersecurity best practices documents of the organization and the website which lists the advisories.

CVE entries should only be linked in the corresponding vulnerability item.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Additional details for profile CSAF Informational Advisory

For the csaf_informational_advisory profile at least one reference must exist which has links to an external source. The sources linked in such external references may be documents or websites providing more details about the issue or its remediation (if possible). This could be a hardening quide, a manual, best practices or any other helpful information.

Additional details for profile CSAF Security Incident Response

For the csaf_security_incident_response profile at least one reference must exist which has links to an external source. The sources linked in such external references may be documents or websites providing more details about the incident.

1.7 Tracking

Provides all meta information required for tracking the document and its lifecycle. This includes the change history and the current state.

Current Release Date

Defines when the current version of the document was published.

Should not be older than the date of the newest item in Revision History (/document/tracking/revision_history).

The time should reference the point in time of the actual (planned) publication.

ID

The unique name of the document within the issuing party.

In combination with the publisher namespace (/document/publisher/namespace) the CSAF document is globally identifiable. If the document version is added to that combination, a single version of the document is globally uniquely identified.

The tracking ID remains constant when a new version of the document is released.

The filename of the document is derived from this ID as described in the specification.

The ID is typically a combination of a short identifier of the issuing party, year and a sequential number. The recommended format is "CompanyAbbreviation-YYYY-#####"

Examples:

• ECSA-2022-00123 (Example Company Security Advisory)

Initial Release Date

The date when the first version of the document was published. In prerelease versions the planned publication date is used.

The value should not be older than the date of the oldest item in Revision History (/document/tracking/revision_history). Typically, this is identical to the oldest element in the revision history. For published documents, the oldest item has the number value of 1 for integer versioning and 1.0.0 for semantic versioning. The value of initial release date does not change anymore after publication of that first version.

The time should reference the point in time of the actual (planned) publication.

Status

The working status of the document. Possible values are draft, interim and final.

Must be set to draft for all draft versions, i.e. whenever the version is 0 or 0.x.y, or contains a pre-release part.

If it is foreseeable that updates will come in high frequency within the next days, then the value interim should be selected. The status interim asks the consumer to check the advisory more often for updates. This is especially the case for vulnerabilities where there are frequent updates while the analysis is still running (e.g. like Log4Shell).

The value final should be chosen for all versions where no exceptionally high frequency of updates is expected. For example, if advisories are released once a month on a regular patch day, the value final can be specified. If it is generally not foreseeable that changes will be made at the time of release, the value final should be selected.

Version

The version of the present document.

The version may still contain pre-release information (e.g. 1.0.0-17) or build metadata for documents in draft status. For documents in interim or final status no pre-release information must be included.

In general, a distinction is made between integer versioning and semantic versioning. With integer versioning the version number is incremented by one for every public release. With semantic versioning the version number has the form MAJOR.MINOR.PATCH and the individual parts are incremented under certain conditions. Whenever the operator needs to do a new matching run on his asset database (matching the products from the CSAF product tree with deployed products) the MAJOR version is incremented. For small changes such as correcting typos, the PATCH version is incremented. All changes in between trigger an increase of the MINOR version. The exact rules of the versioning schemes are defined in section 3.1.11 of the specification.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

1.7.1 Aliases

Aliases can be used to indicate the number, identifier or name assigned to this advisory, case or issue by the coordinator, manufacturer, supplier or other party. This can also include internal ticket numbers.

The aliases should not be used to list identifiers of single vulnerabilities which are listed in /vulnerabilities[]/ids.

Examples:

- ICSA-20-168-01
- VU#257161
- Ripple20
- AMNESIA:33
- OT: ICEFALL

Alias

An alias name.

1.7.2 Generator

The generator that was used to generate the CSAF document.

Date

The date when the document was generated.

May be different from the current_release_date.

1.7.2.1 Engine

Filled in by the generating program.

Name

Filled in by the generating program, represents the name of the program.

Examples:

- Red Hat SDEngine
- Secvisogram
- TVCE

Version

Different versions of the generator may generate CSAF documents with potentially different structure. Based on this value, different paths may need to be used for matching.

Examples:

 A company may change their name and in consequence full_product_names and the generation of document changes. This would require an adjustment of matching.

A change in versioning (e.g. switching from integer versioning to semantic versioning) requires a change in matching.

Examples:

- 0.6.0
- 1.0.0-beta+exp.sha.a1c44f85
- 2

1.7.3 Revision History

The revision history is used to track the development of the document in at least all published versions. There must be an entry in the revision history for each published version. For pre-release versions there are no entries in the version history. However, before the first release, the field can be used to record changes.

The revision items sorted by date must have number values in ascending order.

The number of the revision item with the most recent date value must be the same as in /document/tracking/version. For this comparison build metadata is ignored. If the document status is draft any pre-release part is also ignored.

The revision items sorted by date must not omit a version number. In case of semantic versioning, this applies only to the major version. This sorted list of version numbers must start with (major) version 0 for documents in draft status or 1 in final or interim status.

The same version number must not be repeated for multiple revision items.

The oldest revision item should not have a newer date than the initial release date /document/tracking/initial_release_date.

1.7.3.1 Revision History

An item in the revision history.

Date

The date when this version was published. For pre-release versions the planned publication date is used. For final and interim versions the actual publication date is used.

The value does not change anymore after publication.

Example:

• The publication of an advisory was planned on 18.05. at 12:00. If the advisory is then published at 11:30, the time should be adjusted. If the time is not corrected before publication, it must not be changed afterwards anymore.

Legacy Version

The legacy version can be used to create a mapping to a human-readable version of the document that follows a version scheme other than integer versioning or semantic versioning. This should only be used as a temporary solution - a transition to the versioning scheme used in the CSAF document should be aimed for.

Number

The document version of the document this revision history element corresponds to is used as value here.

The value must not be 0 or 0.y.z if the document status (/document/tracking/status) is set to final or interim.

The value must not include pre-release information and should not include build metadata information.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Summary

The summary aids the reader in initial assessment during inspection.

For the initial version a short notice indicating that this refers to this first version is sufficient, e.g. "Initial version" or "Initial publication".

For all subsequent versions the difference to the last published version should be described as concrete as possible.

For pre-release versions, the changes are registered in the entry of the next version to be released.

Example:

For version 1.1.0-1 (draft for 1.1.0) the changes are registered in the entry for version 1.1.0.

2 Product Tree

The product tree holds all definitions of products referenced later on in the CSAF document. This is independent of the products' status.

It is recommended to model a hierarchical structure by means of branches in the product_tree. The branch structure typically consists of vendor, product name and product version. A product family can also be listed between the vendor and product name. This simplifies grouping and display in a human-readable advisory. The structure depends strongly on the structure of the products and how they are known by the consumers of the advisories.

Examples:

- There is a product family with only 2 products that are relevant for the advisory, then the product family does not necessarily have to be listed.
- For a product family with 120 products for which the advisory applies, the strong recommendation is to list the family as well.

In principle software and hardware components are to be represented separately, since hardware remains the same, even if software is updated. This also allows separation of software that may run on multiple hardware installations. The connections of hardware and software are to be represented over /product tree/relationships.

The product_identification_helper facilitates the matching between the advisory and the asset or SBOM database.

Example:

 If the name of a company changes due to an acquisition, the name would in principle be changed from a certain version of the product. In fact, however, other more far-reaching name changes may occur, which should be modelled here.

Additional for profile CSAF Informational Advisory

If a product_tree is listed in an advisory with profile CSAF Informational Advisory, then the listed products are all products to which the advisory applies.

2.1 Branches

Branches are used to model a hierarchical product tree.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

2.2 Full Product Name

Products which are used in relationships, such as hotfix or operating systems.

Used when branches are not assignable.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

2.3 Product Groups

There is no usage documentation yet.

2.3.1 Product Groups

There is no usage documentation yet.

2.3.1.1 Group ID

Uniquely identifies a group of products throughout the document.

To make it easier to find errors, it is recommended to use a prefix to distinguish from product IDs.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

2.3.1.2 *Product ID*

All IDs of products that belong to this group.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

2.3.1.3 *Summary*

Describes the common features of the products grouped together in the product group. Typical summaries are e.g. End of Life products, product families, affected products or patched products.

Reduces the effort needed to list products for remediations, flags and threats.

2.4 Relationships

Used to model relationships between two products of the product_tree. This could be for example a connection of hard- and software or firmware.

Hardware and corresponding software shall be listed separately with a relationship to connect them.

As a model number (usually) does not change as a result of an update it cannot be determined automatically whether an affected or unaffected part is present. Thus, a relationship is required to model corresponding software.

A relationship can also be used in cases of OS dependent vulnerabilities or to represent hotfixes.

2.4.1 Relationship

A relationship read as category>

Relationships are especially useful for hotfixes where the vulnerable product is installed_with the fix.

Example:

product A installed with product B

2.4.1.1 Category

Specifies the connection between the named products.

2.4.1.2 Product Reference

The ID of the product that is stated before the relationship's category.

There must be a corresponding full_product_name element with matching product id in the product tree.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

2.4.1.3 Relates To Product Reference

The ID of the product that is stated after the relationship's category.

There must be a corresponding full_product_name element with matching product_id in the product tree.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

2.4.1.4 Full Product Name

Denotes the new product formed by the relationship.

Multiple levels are allowed, such that the new product can be used again in a relationship.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

3 Types

This section contains usage information for elements that are used multiple times throughout the document. To prevent repetitions they will be described here once. They will be referenced in the document where needed.

Lang

The used value should be a valid language code and should not contain subtags reserved for private use.

Subtypes that are reserved for private use (e.g. qtx) and i-default should not be used.

Product Group ID

The same group_id must not be defined more than once in the same document.

3.1 Product Groups

Allows grouping of products with similarities. This is useful to reduce the effort required to list products, e.g. for remediations, flags and threats.

Product ID

The product ID is used to reference the product later in the document and there is no specified format. The value is usually assigned by the generator. To make it easier to find errors, it is recommended to use a prefix to distinguish from product group IDs.

The same product_id must not be defined more than once in the same document.

The product_id should be referenced somewhere within the same documents.

Additional for profile CSAF Informational Advisory

The requirement for the product_id to be referenced somewhere within the same documents does not hold for the csaf_informational_advisory profile. Per profile definition the information mentioned in such a CSAF document is applicable to all products listed in the product tree.

3.2 Products

There is no usage documentation yet.

Version

The version must follow either integer versioning or semantic versioning homogeneously within the same document.

3.3 Acknowledgments

There is no usage documentation yet.

3.3.1 Acknowledgment

An acknowledgment of a contributor or organization that contributed to the document or a vulnerability.

It is important that listed parties agreed to their mention. Mentions based on publicly available papers or the like do not require explicit consent. In accordance with applicable policies, internal employees of an organization may be listed individually (see example 3.1.1.5 of the specification).

Organization

Single organizations or affiliations of names to this organization.

Summary

Summarizes the contribution.

3.3.1.1 Names

Names of the individual contributors, no organization names.

Name

The name of the acknowledged person or entity.

3.3.1.2 URLs

A list of URLs.

URL

Link to a contributor's website.

Example:

https://cisa.gov

3.4 Branches

Branches are used to model a hierarchical product tree.

3.4.1 Branch

The branches are used to model a hierarchical product tree.

Branches are preferred over full_product_names.

Products should be listed according to their version. Specifying exact product versions is preferred over specifying version ranges. Enumerating the products is usually easier to match on the recipients side. Additionally, not all version ranges are deterministic.

Since not all required data may yet be available, version ranges are still supported. If version ranges are used, the Version Range Specifier (VERS) should be preferred. If this is not possible, the rules of the Version Range Like Specifier (VLS) apply.

It is possible that the exact version that is affected is not known. In this case a version range can be used.

Example:

Version 4.2 of a product may be affected and version 4.3 contains a patch.

- Version 4.3 listed as fixed product version
 - if it is not known since which version the product is affected
 - <=4.2 (range) listed as affected
 - or all versions below listed individually
 - if it is known since which version the product is affected, the given range or listed versions should be adjusted accordingly

Hotfixes should be represented through the relationships. The product without and with hotfix are listed, the first in the affected product status group, the latter in the fixed group.

Category

Specifies how the value in the adjacent name field should be understood.

If the value is product_version, the property name must not contain a version range. The following patterns are considered to indicate a version range:

```
* `<`
* `<=`
* `>=`
* `>=`
* `after`
* `all`
* `before`
* `earlier`
* `later`
* `prior`
* `versions`
```

Name

The value is further defined by the branch's category.

If the category is product_version_range, the name should conform to the VERS specification, i.e. match the regex $^{\circ}$:[a-z\\.\\-\\+][a-z0-9\\.\\-\\+]*/.+

3.4.1.1 *Product*

A leaf in the hierarchical structure of branches, includes exactly one product. This product can be a single version or a range of versions.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

3.5 Full Product Name

The property product_identification_helper should exist and contain at least one item.

Name

The name of the product as used in a human-readable advisory.

In the branch hierarchy this name can be formed by stringing the name elements along the path to the leaf.

Product Id

Further description of this type can be found under types.

3.5.1 Product Identification Helper

A collection of different possibilities to identify a product in the asset database or SBOM.

When it is used to identify a new product formed by a relationship no value must be the same to any of the products that make up the relationship. Otherwise, a matching would return misleading results.

Example:

There is an application (Product A) that has the same hash on Linux and Windows and a vulnerability is found that affects the program when being executed on Windows but not on Linux. If the same hash would be linked in the relationship that forms the products Product A installed on Windows and Product A installed on Linux, a matching algorithm would return the same list of assets for all 3 product IDs. However, that is an ambiguous match.

Cpe

Common Platform Enumeration, see cpe.mitre.org. Can be CPE 2.2 and CPE 2.3, while 2.3 is preferred.

When using CPE, please be aware of the limitations and that it might be deprecated in the future.

Purl

A purl is a URL composed of seven components which are separated by a specific character for unambiguous parsing:

scheme:type/namespace/name@version?qualifiers#subpath

The definition for each component is:

- scheme: this is the URL scheme with the constant value of "pkg". One of the primary reason for this single scheme is to facilitate the future official registration of the "pkg" scheme for package URLs. Required.
- type: the package "type" or package "protocol" such as maven, npm, nuget, gem, pypi, etc. Required.
- namespace: some name prefix such as a Maven groupid, a Docker image owner, a GitHub user or organization. Optional and typespecific.
- name: the name of the package. Required.
- version: the version of the package. Optional.
- qualifiers: extra qualifying data for a package such as an OS, architecture, a distro, etc. Optional and type-specific.
- subpath: extra subpath within a package, relative to the package root. Optional.

Components are designed such that they form a hierarchy from the most significant component on the left to the least significant component on the right.

A purl must NOT contain a URL Authority i.e. there is no support for username, password, host and port components. A namespace segment may sometimes look like a host but its interpretation is specific to a type. Also, a purl does not contain double slashes //, that is the scheme is pkg:. There

Purls are particularly well suited for identifying components within the package types supported by purl.

3.5.1.1 Hashes

Hashes are particularly well suited for the identification of software.

3.5.1.1.1 Hash

There is no usage documentation yet.

Filename

Specifies the file name over which the hash was formed.

3.5.1.1.1.1 File Hashes

Since collision attacks exist for md5 and sha1, these should not be the only hash algorithms present. Either of those should be accompanied by a second cryptographically stronger hash.

The same hash algorithm must not be used in multiple items in one item of hashes belonging to one file.

3.5.1.1.1.1 File Hash

The hash of a file consisting of the used algorithm and resulting hash value.

Algorithm

Cryptographically secure algorithms should be preferred to avoid misidentification through hash collision. Currently, the algorithms SHA256, SHA512 and SHA3 are recommended.

Value

The hash value should not be shorter than 64 characters.

3.5.1.2 Model Numbers

Model numbers are particularly well suited for the identification of hardware.

Software installed on the hardware must be mapped via relationships (/product_tree/relationships).

Model Number

Should not be version strings of products.

3.5.1.3 SBOM Urls

There is no usage documentation yet.

SBOM Url

If a SBOM is offered for download (publicly or protected), the URL can serve as a unique identifier for the version of the product that the SBOM describes. If it links to a protected area, the URL must still point to the canonical download value (not just to the portal where it is retrieved), otherwise uniqueness is lost.

A SBOM URL should not be used in combination with a product version range.

3.5.1.4 Serial Numbers

Serial numbers are particularly well suited for the identification of hardware. Software installed on that hardware must be mapped via relationships (/product_tree/relationships).

Serial numbers are especially useful for end-of-life products, or if a series of product instances cannot be provided with an update due to production or technical characteristics.

Serial Number

There is no usage documentation yet.

3.5.1.5 SKUs

SKUs are particularly well suited for products in direct sales.

SKUs provide the customer with the option to identify the product via the information available from the ordering process.

SKU

There is no usage documentation yet.

3.5.1.6 Generic URIs

Generic URIs are used to identify components within a SBOM (especially relevant for the VEX use case). For CycloneDX and SPDX examples refer to the specification.

It can also be used for manufacturer-specific product identifiers not yet supported.

3.5.1.6.1 Generic URI

There is no usage documentation yet.

Namespace

Specifies the URL where the value of the URI is valid and describes how to use the value. See examples 16 and 17 from the specification.

URI

Identifier for a product that is valid in the context of the specification given under namespace.

3.6 Notes

A note with category summary should consist of two to three sentences with barely any technical detail.

A note with category description should consist of one to three paragraphs with possibly some technical detail.

A note with category details should contain a detailed description, exceeding the length of a description and go deeper into technical details.

Basically, at least one summary should be listed, a description is preferred.

3.6.1 Note

There is no usage documentation yet.

Audience

Describes for whom this text was written.

Examples:

- all
- executives
- operational management and system administrators
- safety engineers

Should be specified in particular if different target groups are addressed in the CSAF document and different texts exist for these target groups.

Example:

An executive summary will have different content than a note on technical details.

Category

Defines the general category of the note.

Text

Content of the note. Scope and depth are determined by the note's category.

The format can be plain text or markdown. HTML is not allowed.

Title

Should describe the content of the note concisely.

3.7 References

There is no usage documentation yet.

3.7.1 Reference

There is no usage documentation yet.

3.7.1.1 Category

The category of a reference should always be specified to be explicit.

external: links e.g. to a support article describing installation and update procedures, where descriptions of hotfixes can be found or to a description of restart procedures

self: links e.g. to exactly the same vulnerability in the human readable advisory

For vulnerabilities, usually the category refers to an external reference. For the document references with category self are more common.

In the document references the canonical URL and reference of humanreadable advisory must have category self. All other references usually are external.

3.7.1.2 Summary

A meaningful title or short summary of the reference.

For web pages it's often the page title from the HTML metadata. For other documents it's the document's title.

For different formats of advisories the title or summary has the following format:

ID: TITLE - FORMAT

where ID is the value of /document/tracking/id, TITLE is optional and the value of /document/title and FORMAT is one of PDF, TXT, CSAF or HTML.

If different versions of the document reside under different URLs, the value of /document/tracking/version should be appended as - version VERSION after FORMAT. If the latest version is always at the same URL, the VERSION part can be identified as - latest version

3.7.1.3 Url

The URL of the reference.

4 Vulnerabilities

The list of vulnerabilities contains all the information that helps to describe vulnerabilities and their impact and remediations.

Additional for profile CSAF Informational Advisory

The csaf_informational_advisory profile deals with information that are not classified as vulnerabilities. Therefore, it must not have the /vulnerabilities element. If there is a vulnerability, another profile, e.g. csaf_security_advisory should be selected.

Additional for profile CSAF Security Advisory

The csaf_security_advisory profile deals with vulnerabilities. Therefore, it must have the /vulnerabilities element.

Additional for profile CSAF VEX

The csaf_vex profile deals with vulnerabilities. Therefore, it must have the /vulnerabilities element.

4.1 Vulnerability

It is recommended to provide a CVE number (cve) to support the users efforts to find more details about a vulnerability and potentially track it through multiple advisories. If no CVE exists for that vulnerability, it is recommended to get one assigned.

A CWE number (cwe) should be given.

Additional for profile CSAF Security Advisory

For the csaf_security_advisory profile there must be a notes and product_status property for a vulnerability.

Additional for profile CSAF VEX

For the csaf_vex profile there must be a notes and product_status property for a vulnerability.

For the csaf_vex profile at least one of the properties cve or ids must be present.

CVE

CVE numbers help to identify the vulnerability and ensure the same terms are used.

The same CVE must not be repeated for multiple vulnerability items.

CVE numbers should also be assigned for vulnerabilities found internally.

CVE numbers can be requested from MITRE or another CNA (CVE Numbering Authority). If organizations regularly publish vulnerabilities, they should consider becoming a CNA, as described here.

Discovery Date

The discovery date specifies when the vulnerability was discovered.

For logical reasons the value can not be a timestamp in the future.

4.1.1 Notes

The notes should contain information about the vulnerability. This can be available in different levels of detail.

A Note adding a CVE description is recommended. The title of this note should be CVE description and the category should be set as description. For a summary of the vulnerability, a note with title Vulnerability summary and category summary should be created.

The impact of the vulnerability should be listed as a threat (/vulnerabilities[]/threats) with category impact.

For vulnerabilities there should be no note with category legal_disclaimer.

For the csaf_security_advisory profile notes must exist.

For the csaf_vex profile notes must exist.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Additional for profile CSAF Security Advisory

For the csaf_security_advisory profile /vulnerabilities[]/notes must exist.

Additional for profile CSAF VEX

For the csaf_vex profile /vulnerabilities[]/notes must exist.

4.1.2 References

A reference to the JSON of the CVE entry should be listed.

Additional information about the vulnerability can be listed:

- additional help articles on the vulnerability
- Knowledge Base articles
- link to the bug tracking issue
- link to a pull/merge request if publicly available
- ...

A website of the discoverer of the vulnerability may also be linked.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Release Date

Specifies since when the vulnerability has been publicly known.

Title

The title of the vulnerability.

4.1.3 Acknowledgments

Acknowledgements of contributors specific to this vulnerability.

If a party would be mentioned in all vulnerabilities of the advisory, it should be considered to mention it in /document/acknowledgements. A double mention is valid, though.

The same notes apply as for /document/acknowledgments.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

4.1.4 CWE

CWE is a community-developed list of software and hardware weakness types. It serves as a common language, a measuring stick for security tools, and as a baseline for weakness identification, mitigation, and prevention efforts. (Cited from cwe.mitre.org)

The Vulnerability classification of CWE helps assess groups of vulnerabilities.

The CWE that most accurately identifies the vulnerability should be chosen and the given CWE must exist and be valid.

A link to the used CWE should be provided in the vulnerability's references.

Id

The ID from the CWE catalogue.

Name

The full name as given in the specification.

Examples:

 CWE-79: Improper Neutralization of Input During Web Page Generation ('Cross-site Scripting')

4.1.5 Flags

Machine-readable assessment of why a product is not affected.

Should not be set without a human-readable impact statement (in /vulneratbilities[]/threats).

The use of flags is recommended for more mature ProductCERTs.

May be extended in later versions of the standard.

4.1.5.1 Flag

Each flag must include at least one of the elements group_ids or product ids. Using the product ids is slightly preferred.

A product must not be listed as member of more than one flag item with a VEX justification code. This also includes indirect relations through product groups.

Date

The date when the flag was set or an analysis was carried out that led to setting the flag.

Group IDs

List of group IDs to which the flag applies.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Label

Describes the most appropriate reason why the vulnerability is not exploitable in machine-readable form.

If there is no machine-readable status justification available that fits the reason, the impact statement must be done through an element in /vulnerabilities[]/threats with category impact and the description in details.

Product Ids

List of product IDs to which the flag applies.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

4.1.6 lds

Lists more IDs apart from CVE.

Examples:

- ID of a ticket in a bug tracker
- ID of a Pull Request in a code repository

4.1.6.1 ID

System Name

Specifies the environment in which the ID under text is valid.

Text

Ticket number or identifier in the bug tracker.

It can also be an ID of support tickets or knowledge base articles.

The value should not be a CVE ID.

The CVE ID for a vulnerability must be listed in the cve property.

4.1.7 Involvements

The list of involvements must not contain the same party regardless of its status more than once at any date.

Can be used to document the disclosure timeline. Who contacted whom and when, who learned about the vulnerability and what actions were taken.

Should be used especially if the vendor did not respond to the contact attempts or denies the existence of the vulnerability or the CVD process failed.

4.1.7.1 Involvement

For temporal classification, a date should be given.

Date

The date when the action happened, the date when the contact was made, or the date when the producer contacted back and rejected the report.

Party

Defines the category to which the party belongs about which a statement is made.

Status

Defines the contact status the party is in at the time of writing.

 in_progress: Is suitable in many situations, e.g. when there is a hotfix, when feedback has been received, when more information is needed,

- contact_atempted: This status indicates that a contact has been attempted. If possible, it should be documented how the contact was attempted.
- not contacted: It should be documented why no contact was made.
- disputed: It should be indicated why the party does not consider this to be a vulnerability, or why the vulnerability has no implication on security.
- completed: The party asserts investigation of the vulnerability to be complete.
- open The party has acknowledged awareness of the vulnerability report.

The statuses contact_atempted and not_contacted should not be specified by the vendor.

Summary

A summary that briefly describes what the status is all about.

4.1.8 Product Status

The product status provides information on the status products in the product_tree are in.

Generally, vulnerable (known_affected) and fixed (fixes) versions of products should be given.

The different lists of product status groups contain the IDs of corresponding products. The same product ID must not be member of contradicting product status groups. I.e. a product listed in the group of *affected* products must not be listed again in the group of *not affected* products.

The contradicting groups are

- affected
 - product_status/first_affected[]
 - product_status/known_affected[]
 - product_status/last_affected[]
- not affected
 - product_status/known_not_affected[]
- fixed
 - product_status/first_fixed[]
 - product_status/fixed[]
- under investigation
 - product_status/under_investigation[]

Each product listed in the *affected* group should be referenced in at least one remediation item (/vulnerabilities[]/remediations).

For each product listed in the *not affected* group, the reason why it is not affected should be given. This reason, also called impact statement, should be listed in the threats (/vulnerabilities[]/threats) with category impact or as machine-readable status justification in the flags (/vulnerabilities[]/flags). It is recommended to use both, when applicable.

The status known_not_affected is used in the context of security advisories to exclude individual products. This is mainly used for products one could assume to be affected. To define general non-affectedness, the VEX profile should be used.

An issuer might recommend (product_status/recommended) a product version from any group. If more than one product version is patched, the author should also specify which version is recommended. A recommended product version can also come from the *affected* group, i.e. if it was discovered that fixed versions introduce a more severe vulnerability. If there are two patched versions of a vulnerability that contain different vulnerabilities, the recommended field can also be used to specify which version is recommended for use.

Version boundaries can be represented in the first_* and last_* fields. For simplification, elements from first_* and last_* should also be listed in the main groups known *.

For products listed in under_investigation it is expected that the outcome of analysis are reported in a later version of the document.

For the csaf_security_advisory profile the property product_status must exist.

For the csaf_vex profile at least one of the elements fixed, known_affected, known_not_affected or under_investigation must be present.

First Affected

The product version that was affected first or with which product version the vulnerability was introduced. All previous versions are assumed to be unaffected.

The first affected version can also be an "End of Life" version.

A product listed here must not be listed in any of the following

- product_status/known_not_affected[]
- product_status/first_fixed[]
- product_status/fixed[]

product status/under investigation[]

For each product ID listed here, a corresponding remediation should exist.

For each product ID listed here, a score object which covers the product should exist.

For each entry a corresponding full_product_name element with matching product_id must be defined.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

First Fixed

The version of a product which first contains a fix, or which product version closed the vulnerability. All previous versions are assumed to be affected.

A product listed here must not be listed in any of the following

- product_status/first_affected[]
- product status/known affected[]
- product_status/last_affected[]
- product_status/known_not_affected[]
- product_status/under_investigation[]

For each product ID listed here, a CVSS applying to this product should have an environmental score of θ .

For each entry a corresponding full_product_name element with matching product id must be defined.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Fixed

Listing fixed products facilitates the examination if products are affected.

A product listed here must not be listed in any of the following

- product_status/first_affected[]
- product_status/known_affected[]
- product_status/last_affected[]
- product_status/known_not_affected[]
- product_status/under_investigation[]

For each product ID listed here, a CVSS applying to this product should have an environmental score of θ

For each entry a corresponding full_product_name element with matching product_id must be defined.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Known Affected

Listing affected products facilitates the examination if products are affected.

A product listed here must not be listed in any of the following

- product status/known not affected[]
- product status/first fixed[]
- product status/fixed[]
- product_status/under_investigation[]

For each product ID listed here, a remediation should exist.

For each product ID listed here, a score object which covers the product should exist.

For all profiles other than csaf_vex, an action statement should be listed in the remediations - also for products for which there is no (planned) fix.

For each entry a corresponding full_product_name element with matching product id must be defined.

For the csaf_vex profile, for each item in known_affected a corresponding action statement in /vulnerabilities[]/remediations must exist.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Additional for profile CSAF VEX

For the csaf_vex profile, for each item in known_affected a corresponding action statement in /vulnerabilities[]/remediations must exist.

The action statement describes what a user should do. Optional, additional information MAY also be provided through /vulnerabilities[]/notes and /vulnerabilities[]/threats.

Known Not Affected

Lists only non-affected products.

Products that are only not affected in a certain configuration must not be listed here. These products are considered affected as the user has to check the configuration (which is a remediation and can be described as action statement).

It should always be stated why the products are not affected.

A product listed here must not be listed in any of the following

- product status/first affected[]
- product_status/known_affected[]

- product status/last affected[]
- product status/first fixed[]
- product status/fixed[]
- product_status/under_investigation[]

For each entry a corresponding full_product_name element with matching product_id must be defined.

For the csaf_vex profile, for each item in known_not_affected a corresponding impact statement must exist in /vulnerabilities[]/flags or vulnerabilities[]/threats. For the latter one, the category value for such a statement must be impact

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Additional for profile CSAF VEX

For the csaf_vex profile, for each item in known_not_affected a corresponding impact statement must exist in /vulnerabilities[]/flags or vulnerabilities[]/threats. For the latter one, the category value for such a statement must be impact and the details field SHALL contain a description why the vulnerability cannot be exploited.

Last Affected

Describes the last version that is affected by the vulnerability. All subsequent versions are either fixed or not affected.

A product listed here must not be listed in any of the following

- product_status/known_not_affected[]
- product status/first fixed[]
- product status/fixed[]
- product_status/under_investigation[]

For each product ID listed here, a remediation should exist.

For each product ID listed here, a score object which covers the product should exist.

For each entry a corresponding full_product_name element with matching product_id must be defined.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Recommended

If there are multiple fixes available, it is recommended to list the preferred one here.

If there is no fixed version, but several vulnerable ones where the characteristics of the vulnerability differs, the field can also be used to recommend less vulnerable versions.

If a patch fixes one vulnerability but introduces another, it is necessary to weigh which of the vulnerabilities is more acceptable and recommend the less vulnerable version accordingly.

Whenever a vulnerable version is specified as recommended, a textual description under /vulnerabilities[]/notes must indicate why it was recommended.

For each entry a corresponding full_product_name element with matching product id must be defined.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Under Investigation

For the products listed here, it is not yet clear whether they are affected or not affected. It is expected that in a later release the analysis result will be communicated.

If general mitigation guidance can be provided and is applicable to products currently under investigation, it should be provided. A valid remediation can also be of the category none_available or no_fix_planned.

Especially useful in cases of large Multi-Party Coordinated Vulnerability Disclosure to provide the current status of the investigation.

A product listed here must not be listed in any of the following

- product status/first affected[]
- product status/known affected[]
- product_status/last_affected[]
- product status/known not affected[]
- product status/first fixed[]
- product_status/fixed[]

For each entry a corresponding full_product_name element with matching product id must be defined.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

4.1.9 Remediations

All information on how to fix the vulnerability, or even if no fix is possible.

For End of Life products, typically, the category no_fix_planned is used.

If no patch or other remediation is available yet, this should be communicated via none_available. It should then be described why this is the case.

4.1.9.1 Remediation

Each remediation must include at least one of the elements group_ids or product_ids.

Category

The category indicates to which type the remediation belongs.

For end of life products the category no_fix_planned is common. A reference to the fact that these are end of life products is sufficient. It is best to include the date since when these products are no longer supported. A reference to compatible successor products is permissible and recommended. In other cases where no_fix_planned is used, it should be stated why no fix has been created or is planned. Permissible reasons include that the hardware requirements of the mitigation measure exceed the capabilities of the existing hardware.

Example:

 An embedded system uses 1024 RSA keys when RSA 3072 keys would be secure but there is not enough memory to execute the computations.

The value none_available must always be specified if no remediation is available. Can also be specified if no patch is available yet, but it is planned. In this case an expected release date of the patch should be specified. Once the patch is available, a remediation with the category vendor_fix will replace this remediation.

Unless otherwise specified, the category vendor_fix assumes that the patch fully remediates the vulnerability. If there are any effects on functionality, these must be entered in the details of the remediation. Additionally, installation instructions or prerequisites can also be specified.

For the value mitigation it is crucial that the exploitability is reduced, but the vulnerability is not completely fixed.

A workaround describes a configuration or special deployment that can be used to prevent the exploitability of the vulnerability.

Hotfixes are usually classified as workarounds because they prevent exploitability. However, hotfixes can also be classified as mitigation if they do not completely fix the vulnerability. Hotfixes can also be listed at vendor_fix if they completely fix the problem.

Date

The date from when on the remediation is/was available.

This information is helpful in audits to prove from when on one was aware of a certain remediation measure.

Details

The detailed description of the remediation.

The content is determined by the category.

4.1.9.1.1 Group Ids

List of group IDs to which the remediation applies.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Product Ids

List of product IDs to which the remediation applies.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Url

The URL where the remediation can be retrieved.

4.1.9.1.2 Entitlements

Conditions that must be fulfilled in order to receive the patch or implement the mitigation action.

Example:

An active support contract is required.

Entitlement

There is no usage documentation yet.

4.1.9.1.3 Restart Required

Specifies whether a restart is required for the mitigation measure, and if so, in what scope.

This is relevant as in some cases where connections exist the scope may be beyond a single machine.

This information may help in making better / risk-based decisions. A remediation with restart_required/category none may be applied faster than another one. The information is also especially relevant if the product

itself is modified with the mitigating measure (workaround, mitigation, or vendor fix).

If the product environment is modified (e.g., adjusting the rules of an external firewall), it is difficult if not impossible for vendors to determine if a restart is required.

Category

Specifies the type and scope of the required restart in machine-readable form.

Mitigation measures that do not require a restart and can therefore be executed during operation may be preferred.

none: No restart is necessary.

Example: "kill telnet process".

 vulnerable_component: Only the affected product needs to be restarted.

Example: natepad++ portable, exit, install new version or patch, and restart.

service: Services need to be restarted.

Example: CVE 2019-11043 remote code execution in a configuration of nginx and fpn. Service must be restarted when running nextcloud in default configuration.

- parent: Is always the case when the vulnerability is in a product running in a child process. If only the child program is restarted, the parent program does not know that a new binary exists.
- dependencies: The vulnerability in the program in the parent process and all programs depending on it must be restarted.

Example: pppd

• connected: All products connected to the affected product, as well as the affected product itself, must be restarted. The connection can be via network or other inter-process communication.

Example: A product that uses TLS only at the beginning and does not do session renegotiation.

machine: The entire machine must be rebooted.

Example: Windows 10 Semiannual Upgrade.

• zone: A zone consisting of multiple machines (e.g. security zone or broadcast zone) must be restarted.

Example: WannaCry infecting industrial PCs which have the Write Filter enabled. Windows reboots after infection when WannaCry tries to encrypt the disk which removes WannaCry from this PC. However, other PCs in the same security zone still have WannaCry and reinfect each other. To get a clean zone, all equipment must be turned off and be rebooted afterwards.

 system: A system usually consisting of multiple zones must be restarted.

Example: Update to a new (encrypted) version of an industry protocol.

Details

The details should describe which effects a restart has, or which procedures are to be considered with a restart.

Further descriptions of the scope of the restart should also be added here.

4.1.10 Scores

Provides an assessment of the severity of the vulnerabilities.

The same product must not be listed more than once with a CVSS-Vector with the same version.

Fixed products should not be listed here.

4.1.10.1 Score

For CVSS scores, the referenced schema must be adhered to.

For CVSS scores, the computed values must be correct according to their definition. For this computation, the vectorString takes precedence over the other properties.

For CVSS scores, the given properties must not contradict the CVSS vector.

CVSS v2 (cvss_v2) should not be the only scoring item used. Instead of using CVSS v3.0, an upgrade to CVSS v3.1 should be considered. Generally, it is recommended to use CVSS v3.1.

Products

Specifies the products to which the score applies.

If the score applies to all affected products within the vulnerability, a score element whose products resemble the *affected* product group should be specified.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Cvss V2

The schema at https://www.first.org/cvss/cvss-v2.0.json must be adhered to.

The computed values must be correct according to their definition. For this computation, the vectorString takes precedence over the other properties.

The given properties must not contradict the CVSS vector.

If the corresponding product is listed in /vulnerabilities[]/product_status/first_fixed[] or /vulnerabilities[]/product_status/fixed[], the environmental_score should be 0.

The exploitability should be given to convey at least if the vulnerability is already actively exploited.

The single fields are encoded in the vector string and thus may be redundant. However, adding them is encouraged as the vector string may be incomplete.

Using CVSS v3.1 is encouraged.

Cvss V3

The schema at https://www.first.org/cvss/cvss-v3.1.json respectively https://www.first.org/cvss/cvss-v3.0.json must be adhered to.

The computed values must be correct according to their definition. For this computation, the vectorString takes precedence over the other properties.

The given properties must not contradict the CVSS vector.

If the corresponding product is listed in /vulnerabilities[]/product_status/first_fixed[] or /vulnerabilities[]/product_status/fixed[], the environmental_score should be 0.

The exploitCodeMaturityType should be given to convey at least if the vulnerability is already actively exploited.

The single fields are encoded in the vector string and thus may be redundant. However, adding them is encouraged as the vector string may be incomplete.

Using CVSS v3.1 is encouraged.

4.1.11 Threats

Lists existing or possible threats like known exploits.

If a product is not affected an impact statement (threat with category impact) should be listed explaining the reason.

The threats should contain information about a vulnerability that is particularly exploited in a specific geographic area or industry (e.g. banks).

As the listed threats contains information that may change over time it should be assumed that the information is/was up-to-date at the time of writing.

4.1.11.1 Threat

There is no usage documentation yet.

Category

Specifies the type of information.

Date

The date when the information was entered, or was current.

Details

A detailed description of the information determined by the category.

Group Ids

The product groups which the information refers to.

Further description of this type can be found under types.

Product Ids

The products to which the information refers to.

A corresponding full_product_name element with matching product_id must be defined.

Further description of this type can be found under types.