# Homework 22

Brian Knotten, Brett Schreiber, Brian Falkenstein

October 18, 2018

8

## $HamiltonianCycle \leq_p DoubleFixedHamiltonianPath$

```
\begin{aligned} \text{HamiltonianCycleAlgorithm}(G) \colon \\ \text{return } \bigvee_{v \in G} \text{DoubleFixedHamiltonianPathAlgorithm}(G, v, v) \end{aligned}
```

A Hamiltonian Cycle is a special case of a Hamiltonian Path where the start and end vertices happen to be the same vertex. This algorithm takes polynomial time because it makes at most n calls to the (assumed polynomial) DoubleFixedHamiltonianPathAlgorithm.

### SingleFixedHamiltonianPath $\leq_p$ DoubleFixedHamiltonianPath

```
SingleFixedHamiltonianPath(G, u):
return \bigvee_{v \in G} DoubleFixedHamiltonianPathAlgorithm(G, u, v)
```

A Single Fixed Hamiltonian Path can be discovered with a Double Fixed Hamiltonian Path algorithm by trying all possible endpoint vertices and seeing if a hamiltonian path exists between those two vertices. This algorithm takes polynomial time because it makes at most n calls to the (assumed polynomial) DoubleFixedHamiltonian-PathAlgorithm.

#### DoubleFixedHamiltonianPath $\leq_p$ HamiltonianCycle

```
 \begin{aligned} \text{DoubleFixedHamiltonianPathAlgorithm}(G, u, v) \colon \\ \text{return } \bigvee_{(u', v') \notin G} HamiltonianCycleAlgorithm}(G + (u', v')) \end{aligned}
```

All Hamiltonian Cycles are simple Hamiltonian Paths with an extra edge from the start vertex to the end vertex. Therefore, if there exists a Hamiltonian Cycle in the graph G' which contains an extra edge from the start vertex to the end vertex, then there also contains a Hamiltonian Path in G without the extra edge. This algorithm takes polynomial time because it makes at most n calls to the (assumed polynomial) Hamiltonian Cycle Algorithm.

#### 10

Define HCD(G) to be the algorithm for the decision problem for if a Hamiltonian Cycle exists for a graph G, and HCO(G) to be optimization problem for actually finding the Hamiltonian Cycle in graph G. That is HCD(G) will output 1 if an HC exists in G, and a 0 if not, and HCO(G) will actually output the edges that constitute a HC in G, or 0 if one doesn't exist. The claim is that  $HCO(G) \leq_p HCD(G)$ , IE Hamiltonian Cycle is self reducible. In order to prove this, we must show that we can use HCD(G) to output a list of edges constituting a HC in G, in polynomial time.

First, assume graph G is defined as a list of vertices and a list of edges. Consider the following pseudo-code:

#check if HC exists in G minus one edge
#if so, add the edge we removed to solution
 #function to determine if a path is a HC for a graph

The general strategy of this algorithm is to look at an edge e in G, determine if we can still form an HC in G when we remove e. If so, we can safely add e to our solution. If not, we can exclude e. We repeat this until there are no edges left in G, and then we test if the cycle we've found is actually a HC. Note that isHC could be defined very simply by checking that:

- All edges in HC exist in E
- No vertex in V is visited more than once in HC
- HC spans all vertices in V

The number of times HCD will be called inside of HCO is at most n, where n is the number of edges in G, as each time it is called at least 1 edge is removed. Similarly, isHC will take at most n time, as if HC is a hamiltonian cycle, the max edges it could contain will be n. This results in a total run time of n + n = O(n), a polynomial. Thus, we have proven that Hamiltonian Cycle is self reducible, and if we can determine whether a graph has an HC in polynomial time, we can find the HC in polynomial time.

#### 12

Vertex Cover is self-reducible if Optimal Vertex Cover  $\leq_p$  Vertex Cover Decision. An algorithm for Optimal Vertex Cover takes as input a graph G and returns k vertices where k is the smallest number of vertices needed for a vertex cover.

OptimalVertexCoverAlgorithm(G):

# First, find the minimum number of vertices needed for a vertex cover by continually incrementing the number of vertices allowed until a vertex cover possible. Let k = 0

while !VertexCoverDecision(G, k):

$$k = k + 1$$

for each  $v \in G$ :

# Try removing v and all edges adjacent to v in the graph. That is, assume v is in a solution to the Vertex Cover.

if VertexCoverDecisionAlgorithm(G-v,k-1): # If a vertex cover is possible with the rest of the graph,  $S=S\cup\{v\}$  # Then v was a viable vertex to cover in the optimal solution, so append it to the solution set.

# Continue with the reduced problem size

$$G = G - v$$

$$k = k - 1$$

Return S

The number of times VertexCoverDecisionAlgorithm will be called inside OptimalVertexCoverAlgorithm will be at most 2n, where 2n is the number of vertices in G. Thus, if VertexCoverDecisionAlgorithm has a polynomial time algorithm, then so does OptimalVertexCoverAlgorithm, since 2n calls to a poly-time algorithm is still poly-time. So VertexCover is self-reducible.