

# Homework 22

Brian Knotten, Brett Schreiber, Brian Falkenstein

October 18, 2018

## 8

### **HamiltonianCycle $\leq_p$ DoubleFixedHamiltonianPath**

HamiltonianCycleAlgorithm( $G$ ):  
return  $\bigvee_{v \in G}$  DoubleFixedHamiltonianPathAlgorithm( $G, v, v$ )

A Hamiltonian Cycle is a special case of a Hamiltonian Path where the start and end vertices happen to be the same vertex. This algorithm takes polynomial time because it makes at most  $n$  calls to the (assumed polynomial) DoubleFixedHamiltonianPathAlgorithm.

### **SingleFixedHamiltonianPath $\leq_p$ DoubleFixedHamiltonianPath**

SingleFixedHamiltonianPath( $G, u$ ):  
return  $\bigvee_{v \in G}$  DoubleFixedHamiltonianPathAlgorithm( $G, u, v$ )

A Single Fixed Hamiltonian Path can be discovered with a Double Fixed Hamiltonian Path algorithm by trying all possible endpoint vertices and seeing if a hamiltonian path exists between those two vertices. This algorithm takes polynomial time because it makes at most  $n$  calls to the (assumed polynomial) DoubleFixedHamiltonianPathAlgorithm.

### **DoubleFixedHamiltonianPath $\leq_p$ HamiltonianCycle**

DoubleFixedHamiltonianPathAlgorithm( $G, u, v$ ):  
return  $\bigvee_{(u', v') \notin G} \text{HamiltonianCycleAlgorithm}(G + (u', v'))$

All Hamiltonian Cycles are simple Hamiltonian Paths with an extra edge from the start vertex to the end vertex. Therefore, if there exists a Hamiltonian Cycle in the graph  $G'$  which contains an extra edge from the start vertex to the end vertex, then there also contains a Hamiltonian Path in  $G$  without the extra edge. This algorithm takes polynomial time because it makes at most  $n$  calls to the (assumed polynomial) HamiltonianCycleAlgorithm..

## 10

Define  $HCD(G)$  to be the algorithm for the decision problem for if a Hamiltonian Cycle exists for a graph  $G$ , and  $HCO(G)$  to be optimization problem for actually finding the Hamiltonian Cycle in graph  $G$ . That is  $HCD(G)$  will output 1 if an HC exists in  $G$ , and a 0 if not, and  $HCO(G)$  will actually output the edges that constitute a HC in  $G$ , or 0 if one doesn't exist. The claim is that  $HCO(G) \leq_p HCD(G)$ , IE Hamiltonian Cycle is self reducible. In order to prove this, we must show that we can use  $HCD(G)$  to output a list of edges constituting a HC in  $G$ , in polynomial time.

First, assume graph  $G$  is defined as a list of vertices and a list of edges. Consider the following pseudo-code:

```
HCO(V, E):  
    if HCD(V, E):  
        HC = []  
        while E.hasNext():  
            testEdge = E.pop()  
            #initial check, make sure G has an HC  
            #initialize solution  
            #continue until no edges left  
            #remove an edge from the graph
```

```

        if HCD(V, E):
            HC.append(testEdge)
        return isHC(HC, V, E)
    return 0

```

#check if HC exists in G minus one edge  
 #if so, add the edge we removed to solution  
 #function to determine if a path is a HC for a graph

The general strategy of this algorithm is to look at an edge  $e$  in  $G$ , determine if we can still form an HC in  $G$  when we remove  $e$ . If so, we can safely add  $e$  to our solution. If not, we can exclude  $e$ . We repeat this until there are no edges left in  $G$ , and then we test if the cycle we've found is actually a HC. Note that *isHC* could be defined very simply by checking that:

- All edges in  $HC$  exist in  $E$
- No vertex in  $V$  is visited more than once in  $HC$
- $HC$  spans all vertices in  $V$

The number of times  $HCD$  will be called inside of  $HCO$  is at most  $n$ , where  $n$  is the number of edges in  $G$ , as each time it is called at least 1 edge is removed. Similarly, *isHC* will take at most  $n$  time, as if  $HC$  is a hamiltonian cycle, the max edges it could contain will be  $n$ . This results in a total run time of  $n + n = O(n)$ , a polynomial. Thus, we have proven that Hamiltonian Cycle is self reducible, and if we can determine whether a graph has an HC in polynomial time, we can find the HC in polynomial time.

## 12

Vertex Cover is self-reducible if Optimal Vertex Cover  $\leq_p$  Vertex Cover Decision. An algorithm for Optimal Vertex Cover takes as input a graph  $G$  and returns  $k$  vertices where  $k$  is the smallest number of vertices needed for a vertex cover.

```

OptimalVertexCoverAlgorithm( $G$ ):
# First, find the minimum number of vertices needed for a vertex cover by continually incrementing the number of
vertices allowed until a vertex cover possible.      Let  $k = 0$ 
    while !VertexCoverDecision( $G, k$ ):
         $k = k + 1$ 

    for each  $v \in G$ :
        # Try removing  $v$  and all edges adjacent to  $v$  in the graph. That is, assume  $v$  is in a solution to the
Vertex Cover.
        if VertexCoverDecisionAlgorithm( $G - v, k - 1$ ): # If a vertex cover is possible with the rest of the graph,
             $S = S \cup \{v\}$  # Then  $v$  was a viable vertex to cover in the optimal solution, so append it to the
solution set.

        # Continue with the reduced problem size
         $G = G - v$ 
         $k = k - 1$ 

    Return  $S$ 

```

The number of times VertexCoverDecisionAlgorithm will be called inside OptimalVertexCoverAlgorithm will be at most  $2n$ , where  $2n$  is the number of vertices in  $G$ . Thus, if VertexCoverDecisionAlgorithm has a polynomial time algorithm, then so does OptimalVertexCoverAlgorithm, since  $2n$  calls to a poly-time algorithm is still poly-time. So Vertex Cover is self reducible.