# CS 1510 Homework 5

### Brian Falkenstein, Brian Knotten, Brett Schreiber

### September 6, 2018

## 11

#### a

SJF is not optimal on the following input I:

 $J_1 = (0, 2)$ 

 $J_2 = (1, 2)$ 

For each time t:

- 1. Run  $J_1$  (it is the only choice)
- 2. Run  $J_2$  (arbitrarily, since  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are the same size.)
- 3. Run  $J_2$  (arbitrarily).  $J_2$  is completed now, so  $C_2=3$
- 4. Run  $J_1$  (it is the only choice).  $J_1$  is completed now, so  $C_1 = 4$

The total completion time for SJF(I) is  $C_1 + C_2 = 4 + 3 = 7$ But a more optimal solution opt(I) exists. For each time t:

- 1. Run  $J_1$
- 2. Run  $J_1$ .  $J_1$  is now finished, so  $C_1 = 2$ .
- 3. Run  $J_2$ .
- 4. Run  $J_2$ .  $J_2$  is now finished, so  $C_2 = 4$ .

The total completion time for opt(I) is  $C_1 + C_2 = 2 + 4 = 6$ SJF(I) is not optimal therefore SJF is incorrect.

#### b

This proof does not consider the possibility of job j completing between times t and u. If this were the case, and j was initially scheduled to complete before time u, moving it back to u will increase its completion time, making its output not optimal.

#### $\mathbf{c}$

Assume that A, the algorithm that implements SRPT, is incorrect and has some input I that makes it give the incorrect output. Define Opt(I) to be the correct output that agrees with A(I), the output from A on I, for the most steps. Also define the first "step", or time interval that A(I) and Opt(I) disagree, to be t. At time t, say that A(I) schedules job  $J_A$  with tuple  $(r_A, x_A)$ , and Opt(I) schedules job  $J_O$  with tuple  $(r_O, x_O)$ . We can construct Opt'(I) by simply swapping  $J_O$  with the next instance of Opt(I) scheduling  $J_A$ , say at time u.

Opt'(I) clearly agrees with A(I) for one more step, as it now also schedules  $J_A$  at time t. The problem addressed in part b of this problem can also be disproven here. We know that  $J_A$  has a shorter time left until completion than  $J_O$ , because of the definition of A. Thus, if  $J_O$  were to complete between times t and u, we could simply swap the entirety of  $J_A$  into the spots that  $J_O$  is ran, and have it complete even earlier, lowering the total completion time. The increased completion time added by having to shift  $J_O$  down would be less than the decreased time from completing  $J_A$  earlier, because  $J_O$  could also be scheduled into the additional slots that  $J_A$  does not need.