# Homework 25

# Joe Baker, Brett Schreiber, Brian Knotten

### March 23, 2018

## 44

#### a

Given a one-way function f, it is possible to create a new one-way function g which runs in  $O(n^2)$  time as follows: On input x of size n:

Split the input x into log(n) chunks:  $x_1, x_2...x_{log(n)}$ . for each  $x_i$ :

Compute  $f(x_i)$ , keeping track of the number of steps f takes. After  $n^2$  steps, just output 0. Return  $f(x_1)||f(x_2)...||f(x_{log(n)})||f(x_2)...||f(x_{log(n)})||$  where || is the concatenation of the bitstrings.

Some of these substrings will be 0.

First, g runs in  $O(n^2)$  time, because f performing log(n) computations. So g is the complexity of f multiplied by log(n). Since we stop f after  $n^2$  steps, the total runtime is  $n^2 * log(n) = O(n^2)$ .

Second, g is a one way function, since  $g = f_U$ , and  $f_U$  is one-way as proved below.

### b

f is one way  $\Rightarrow f_U$  is one-way. This can be proved by contrapositive, that  $f_U$  is not one-way  $\Rightarrow f$  is not one way. Assume  $f_U$  is not one-way. Then there exists an algorithm  $A_U$  which given y can produce the x such that  $f_U(x') = y'$  in polynomial time. Then you can construct an algorithm A which given y can produce the x such that f(x) = y in polynomial time.

A =on input y:

- 1. Generate r = some number of random bits.
- 2. Construct the string y' := y||r.
- 3. Run A on y' to get x'.
- 4. If f(x') = y, return x, else, go back to step 1.

Since  $A_U$  exists, then  $f_U$  can be broken with greater than  $\epsilon$  probability. Since there is a  $1/2^{\log(n)} = 1/n$  chance that y' is picked correctly, those two probabilities multiplied together will mean that the probability of breaking f is also greater than  $\epsilon$  so, f being a OWF  $\Rightarrow f_U$  is a OWF.

# 45

#### $\mathbf{a}$

Let (E,D) be a semantically secure encryption scheme and let f(x) be a function that returns 1 if a bit of x is 1 and 0 otherwise. Then, by definition, for all probabilistic poly-time algorithms A:  $P(A(E_k(x)) = 1) \le P(B(1^n) = 1) + \epsilon(n)$ . Clearly, if A cannot determine if a bit of  $E_k(x) = 1$ , then by definition the  $P(A(E_k(x) = (i, b) \text{ s.t. } x_i = b)$  requirement of computational security is satisfied. Further, the probability of a random bit being 1 is  $\frac{1}{2}$  so  $P(B(1^n) = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then our definition becomes:  $P(A(E_k(x)) = 1) \le \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon(n)$  and semantic security satisfies computational security.

## b

Let G be a pseudo-random generator mapping  $\{0,1\}^n$  to  $\{0,1\}^m$ , and (E,D) be an encryption scheme where  $E_k(x) = x \oplus G(k)$  and  $D_k(y) = y \oplus G(k)$ . Since G is a pseudo-random generator, then G can be used to increase the key size to match the message size. When the key size matches the message size, then perfect secrecy can be achieved. Since the encryption function uses an xor scheme, the encrypted message is uninformly distributed over all binary strings of length m. Let A be any probabilistic poly-time algorithm and  $x \in X_n, k \in X_n$  and  $X \in X_n$  are  $X_n$  and  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  and  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  and  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  and  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  and  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  and  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  and  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  are  $X_n$  and

Consider the algorithm  $A(E_{U_n}(0^m))$ . Since G is a pseudo-random generator, decrypting  $E_k(x)$  will require guessing each bit of x. The probability of guessing 1 of  $2^{|x|}$  different bitstrings is uniformly distributed, so an optimal guessing strategy would be guessing all 0's since it is just as likely to be correct as any other guess. Thus  $P[A(E_k(x)) = f(x)] \leq P[A(E_{U_n}(0^m)) = f(x) + \epsilon(n)$  and this encryption scheme is semantically secure by definition of perfect secrecy.

#### $\mathbf{c}$

For the definitions to be equal, it must be proved in both directions.

The general definition to the special case is trivial, since the special case is an assignment to the general definition, namely that  $X_n$  is specifically the uniform distribution over a pair of strings  $x_0^n, x_1^n$ , and f is the function that maps  $x_0^n$  to 0 and  $x_1^n$  to 1.

Therefore the bulk of the proof is proving that the specific random variable and the specific function are sufficient for the general definition of semantic security. Since all possible B functions are equally powerful, let  $B = A(E_{U_n}(0^m))$ .