## Homework 15

# Joe Baker, Brett Schreiber, Brian Knotten February 15, 2018

23

24

#### a

Problem 6.5: Show for every k > 0 that PH contains languages whose circuit complexity is  $\Omega(n^k)$ .

#### Proof:

Let C be a circuit with complexity at of at least  $n^k$ . We know that such a circuit must exist by Theorem 6.22 from the book. We can construct a boolean formula F from the gates of C with k quantifiers over the boolean formula. Now let L be the language of all variable assignments for k which satisfy F. Since |C| is polynomial, C can decide if an input is valid for F in polynomial time. So there must exist a TM M with k advice tapes (from the quantifiers) that can decide the input with its advice tapes in polynomial time. Thus for each k > 0, there is a language in PH whose circuit complexity is  $\Omega$  ( $n^k$ ).

## b

Problem 6.6: Show for every k > 0 that  $\Sigma_2^p$  contains languages whose circuit complexity is  $\Omega(n^k)$ .

## Proof:

Consider the circuit C from problem 6.5. Now construct the same formula F, but only use two quantifiers. One  $\exists$  over the tuple of some of the variables and one  $\forall$  for the remaining tuple of variables. Again let L be the language of all variable assignments for k which satisfy F. Since |C| is polynomial, C can decide if an input is valid for F in polynomial time. So there must exist a TM M with 2 advice tapes (from the quantifiers) that can decide the input with its advice tapes in polynomial time. Thus for each k > 0, there is a language in  $\Sigma_2^p$  whose circuit complexity is  $\Omega\left(n^k\right)$ .

## $\mathbf{c}$

Problem 6.7: Show that if P = NP, then there is a language in EXP that requires circuits of size  $\frac{2^n}{n}$ .

### Proof:

Assume P = NP and let  $L \in \text{EXP}$ . If L is decidable by a circuit of complexity  $n^k$ , then because the polynomial hierarchy is collapsible and by problem 6.6, L is in P. So any L in EXP that is not in P must have a circuit with complexity  $\frac{2^n}{n}$ .