

Analysis of Somewhat Homomorphic Encryption Over the Integer Ring

Bryan Kaperick

January 14, 2017

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Symmetric Modulus

Traditionally, the modulus operator can be defined as follows

Definition 1.1. Define $q_a(b) = \lfloor \frac{b}{a} \rfloor$. Then, define $a \pmod b = b - q_a(b)a$, which is equivalent to setting $a \pmod b$ to be the representative in $[0, b)$ for the residue class containing a for the congruence relation of congruence modulo b .

However, for the purposes of this paper, it will be seen that a slightly altered definition is much more convenient.

Definition 1.2. Define $q_a(b) = \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rceil$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rceil$ returns the nearest integer to the input value (rounding up for multiples of one-half). Again, define $a \pmod b = b - q_a(b)a$.

While notationally annoying, this approach makes much more sense once the *idea* of this scheme is understood. In general, the scheme relies on recovering a noisy approximation of a multiple of the secret key, so in this respect, it is more natural to allow a symmetric distribution of noisy approximations to all be in the same *class*. More on this later.

1.2 Rounding Operator

In these notes it is often necessary to round a number to the nearest integer. The following notation is used,

Definition 1.3. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\lfloor x \rceil$ is equal to the integer closest to x (rounding down if equidistant).

2 Goals of Scheme

This scheme is intended to be a homomorphic encryption scheme equipped to allow evaluation of the encrypted data on arbitrary binary addition and multiplication circuits (up to a pre-determined depth) such that the evaluated data almost surely decrypts correctly.

3 Motivation for Approach

The main idea is to map a bit to an arbitrary integer multiple of the secret key — also an integer — with some additional noise added. Let \mathcal{S} be the space of integer multiples of the secret key, s . Let $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$. Observe that with integer addition and multiplication, \mathcal{S} forms a ring.

Proof. $\mathcal{S} = \{x | \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, x = n \cdot s\}$. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$. If $x = n \cdot s$ and $y = m \cdot s$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then clearly $x + y = n \cdot s + m \cdot s = (n + m) \cdot s$, so the operation is closed. Integer addition is commutative. Every integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ has additive inverse $-n$, and both $n \cdot s$ and $-n \cdot s$ are in \mathcal{S} . Clearly $0 \cdot s$ is in \mathcal{S} , satisfying conditions for the identity. Thus, \mathcal{S} is a group under addition.

Multiplication is also closed with respect to the integers, is associative and distributes over addition. 1 satisfies as the identity element. Thus, multiplication acts as the second binary operation, and $(\mathcal{S}, +, \cdot)$ is a ring. \square

This fact is the foundational motivation behind this scheme. Since adding and multiplying elements of \mathcal{S} will also be elements of \mathcal{S} , so the goal is to develop a scheme which maps these operations of \mathcal{S} to the equivalent operations on the unencrypted bits corresponding to those elements of \mathcal{S} . The security of the scheme comes from adding noise to the elements of \mathcal{S} to make the act of retrieving s difficult.

3.1 Noisy Ring \mathcal{S}_n

To formalize the notion of noise in this ring, we will discuss a new ring, \mathcal{S}_n . First, we begin with the set of integers, \mathbb{Z} . We define a congruence relation on \mathbb{Z} ,

Definition 3.1. Fix $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will say a is equivalent to b , or $a \equiv b$, if $q_s(a) = q_s(b)$. That is, if $\lfloor \frac{a}{s} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{b}{s} \rfloor$. This is equivalent to defining the relation as the following: Decompose a and b into $a = xs + n$ and $b = ys + m$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m, n \in (-s/2, s/2]$. Then, $a \equiv b$ if and only if $x = y$.

This relation clearly satisfies symmetry, reflexivity and transitivity. The equivalency classes of this relation partition \mathbb{Z} into neighborhoods around each multiple of s . This can be enumerated by denoting \mathcal{C}_i to be the equivalency class around $i \cdot s$, so

$$\mathbb{Z} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}_i.$$

Now, let \mathcal{S}_n be the set of these equivalency classes.

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \{\dots, \mathcal{C}_{-2}, \mathcal{C}_{-1}, \mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots\}.$$

Now, define the following binary operations, \oplus and \odot .

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}_j \in \mathcal{S}_n$ be equivalency classes as described above. Then, define this operation as $\mathcal{C}_i \oplus \mathcal{C}_j = \mathcal{C}_{i+j}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}_j \in \mathcal{S}_n$ be equivalency classes as described above. Then, define this operation as $\mathcal{C}_i \odot \mathcal{C}_j = \mathcal{C}_{i \cdot j}$.

Since both operations return elements of \mathcal{S}_n , they are both closed. It is simple to show that these satisfy the necessary conditions to make $(\mathcal{S}_n, \oplus, \odot)$ a ring.

Now this serves as a stronger model for discussing the encryption scheme.

4 Implementation

4.1 Special Distribution, $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, \rho}(p)$

We define $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, \rho}(p)$ and analyze it prior to discussing the encryption scheme. We define $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, \rho}(p)$,

Definition 4.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ be odd and positive. Now define the distribution of interest as

$$\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, \rho}(p) = \{\text{choose } q \leftarrow \mathbb{Z} \cap [0, 2^\gamma/s), \quad r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z} \cap (2^{-\rho}, 2^\rho), \quad \text{output } x = sq + r\}.$$

Random variables drawn from $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma, \rho}(p)$ are simply noisy multiples of s with certain size restrictions. r is the *noise parameter*, with ρ dictating the size, in bits of r . Notice it is evenly distributed over sq . Since for $x \leftarrow \mathcal{D}_{\gamma, \rho}(p)$, $x = sq + r$, if $\rho = 0$ then $r = 0$ so $x \in \mathcal{S}$. However, with nonzero noise, we see that if $x = sq + r$, then $x \in \mathcal{C}_q \in \mathcal{S}_n$. So, this distribution can be seen as choosing a random element of \mathcal{S}_n and then a random element within a subset of that equivalency class.

4.2 Proof of Validity

5 Attacks

5.1 Least Significant Bit Guessing

5.2 Solving Approximate GCD