

# BLM2502

# Theory of

# Computation

Spring 2015

# BLM2502 Theory of Computation

<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	Course Outline	
<b>»</b>	Week	Content
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	1	Introduction to Course
<b>»</b>	2	Computability Theory, Complexity Theory, Automata Theory, Set Theory, Relations, Proofs, Pigeonhole Principle
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	3	Regular Expressions
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	4	Finite Automata
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	5	Deterministic and Nondeterministic Finite Automata
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	6	Epsilon Transition, Equivalence of Automata
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	7	Pumping Theorem
<b>»</b>	8	April 10 - 14 week is the first midterm week
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	9	Context Free Grammars
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	10	Parse Tree, Ambiguity,
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	11	Pumping Theorem
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	12	Turing Machines, Recognition and Computation, Church-Turing Hypothesis
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	13	Turing Machines, Recognition and Computation, Church-Turing Hypothesis
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	14	May 22 – 27 week is the second midterm week
<b>&gt;&gt;</b>	15	Review
<b>»</b>	16	Final Exam date will be announced



# Context-Free Languages

**>>** 

# Context-Free Languages


$$\{\underline{a}^n b^n : n \ge 0\}$$

$$\{\underline{ww}^R\}$$

# Regular Languages


$$a*b*$$
  $(a+b)*$ 

# Context-Free Languages



Context-Free Grammars

Pushdown Automata





## Context-Free Grammars

#### Grammars

- » Grammars express languages
- » Example: the English language grammar

$$\langle sentence \rangle \rightarrow \langle noun\_phrase \rangle \langle predicate \rangle$$

$$\langle noun\_phrase \rangle \rightarrow \langle article \rangle \langle noun \rangle$$

$$\langle predicate \rangle \rightarrow \langle verb \rangle$$

$$\langle article \rangle \rightarrow a$$
  
 $\langle article \rangle \rightarrow the$ 

$$\langle noun \rangle \rightarrow cat$$
  
 $\langle noun \rangle \rightarrow dog$ 

$$\langle verb \rangle \rightarrow runs$$
  
 $\langle verb \rangle \rightarrow sleeps$ 

» Derivation of string "the dog walks" :

```
\langle sentence \rangle \Rightarrow \langle noun\_phrase \rangle \langle predicate \rangle
                        \Rightarrow \langle noun\_phrase \rangle \langle verb \rangle
                        \Rightarrow \langle article \rangle \langle noun \rangle \langle verb \rangle
                        \Rightarrow the \langle noun \rangle \langle verb \rangle
                        \Rightarrow the dog \langle verb \rangle
                        \Rightarrow the dog sleeps
```

» Derivation of string "a cat runs" :

```
\langle sentence \rangle \Rightarrow \langle noun\_phrase \rangle \langle predicate \rangle
                         \Rightarrow \langle noun\_phrase \rangle \langle verb \rangle
                         \Rightarrow \langle article \rangle \langle noun \rangle \langle verb \rangle
                         \Rightarrow a \langle noun \rangle \langle verb \rangle
                         \Rightarrow a cat \langle verb \rangle
                         \Rightarrow a cat runs
```

#### » Language of the grammar:

```
L = \{ \text{"a cat runs"}, 
      "a cat sleeps",
      "the cat runs",
      "the cat sleeps",
      "a dog runs",
      "a dog sleeps",
      "the dog runs",
      "the dog sleeps" }
```

Productions Sequence of Terminals (symbols)


$$\langle noun \rangle \rightarrow cat$$

$$\langle sentence \rangle \rightarrow \langle noun\_phrase \rangle \langle predicate \rangle$$

Sequence of Variables

# Another Example

Sequence of terminals and variables



» Grammar:

$$S \to aSb$$

$$S \to \varepsilon^{-\gamma}$$

» Derivation of string :ab

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow ab$$

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

» Grammar:

$$S \to aSb$$
$$S \to \varepsilon$$

» Derivation of string :

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aabb$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow \varepsilon$$

Grammar: 
$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \to \varepsilon$$

#### Other derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aaaSbbb \Rightarrow aaabbb$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aaaSbbb$$

$$\Rightarrow aaaaSbbbb \Rightarrow aaaabbbb$$

Grammar: 
$$S \to aSb$$

$$S \to \varepsilon$$

# Language of the grammar:

$$L = \{\underline{a^n}b^n : n \ge 0\}$$

#### A Convenient Notation


» We write:

$$S \Rightarrow \overbrace{aaabbb}$$


for one or more derivation steps

» Instead of:

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aaaSbbb \Rightarrow aaabbb$$



in zero or more derivation steps



# Example Grammar

### Possible Derivations

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \to \varepsilon$$

$$S \Longrightarrow \varepsilon$$

$$S \Rightarrow ab$$

$$S \Rightarrow aaabbb$$


$$S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} aaSbb \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} aaaaaSbbbbb$$

#### Another convenient notation:

$$S \to aSb \mid \varepsilon$$

$$S \to \varepsilon$$

$$S \to \varepsilon$$



# Formal Definition

Grammar: G = (V, T, S, P)

Set of variables


Set of terminal symbols

Start

Set of productions

# Context-Free Grammar: G = (V, T, S, P)

All productions in P are of the form



# Example for Context-Free Grammar

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid \varepsilon$$

### productions

$$P = \{S \to aSb, S \to \varepsilon\}$$

$$G = (V, T, S, P)$$

$$V = \{S\}$$

$$V = \{S\}$$
 variables 
$$T = \{a,b\}$$
 terminals

start variable

# Language of a Grammar:

» For a grammar G with start variable S

$$L(G) = \{w \colon S \Rightarrow w, w \in T^*\}$$

$$\uparrow$$
String of terminals or  $\mathcal{E}$ 

String of terminals or  $\mathcal{E}$ 

## Example:

context-free grammar 
$$G: |S \rightarrow aSb| \varepsilon$$

$$L(G) = \{a^nb^n : n \ge 0\}$$

Since, there is derivation

$$S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a^n b^n$$
 for any  $n \ge 0$ 

L = { ot 1 }

# Context-Free Language:

- » A language L is context-free
- » if there is a context-free grammar  $\,G\,$
- $^{\text{w}}$  with L = L(G)

## Example:

$$L = \{a^n b^n : n \ge 0\}$$

is a context-free language since context-free grammar G:

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid \varepsilon$$

generates 
$$L(G) = L$$

# Another Example

Context-free grammar  $G: \mathcal{A}^{p_1 \dots p_n}$ 

$$S \rightarrow aSa \mid bSb \mid \underline{\varepsilon} \mid s \mid b$$

Example derivations: automations automatio

$$S \Rightarrow aSa \Rightarrow abSba \Rightarrow abba$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSa \Rightarrow abSba \Rightarrow abaSaba \Rightarrow abaaba$$


$$L(G) = \{ww^R : w \in \{a,b\}^*\}$$

Palindromes of even length

# Another Example

# Context-free grammar G:

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid SS \mid \varepsilon$$



#### Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow aSbS \Rightarrow abS \Rightarrow ab$$

$$S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow aSbS \Rightarrow abS \Rightarrow abaSb \Rightarrow abab$$

$$L(G) = \{w : n_a(w) = n_b(w),$$

and  $n_a(v) \ge n_b(v)$ Describes in any prefix v}

matched

parentheses: ()((()))(())
$$a = ($$
,





# Derivation Trees

# Derivation Order

Consider the following example grammar with 5 productions:

1. 
$$S \rightarrow AB$$

1. 
$$S \rightarrow AB$$
 2.  $A \rightarrow aaA$ 

4. 
$$B \rightarrow Bb$$

3. 
$$A \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

5. 
$$B \rightarrow \varepsilon$$



1. 
$$S \rightarrow AB$$
 2.  $A \rightarrow aaA$ 

4.  $B \rightarrow Bb$ 

3. 
$$A \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

3.  $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$ 5.  $B \rightarrow \varepsilon$ Solven basinerus

Leftmost derivation order of string aab:

$$1 \qquad 2 \qquad 3 \qquad 4 \qquad 5$$
$$S \Rightarrow AB \Rightarrow aaAB \Rightarrow aaB \Rightarrow aaBb \Rightarrow aab$$

At each step, we substitute the leftmost variable

1) De-ivation Zrees -ORDER

1. 
$$S \rightarrow AB$$

1. 
$$S \rightarrow AB$$
 2.  $A \rightarrow aaA$ 

$$A. B \rightarrow Bb$$

3. 
$$A \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

3. 
$$A \rightarrow \varepsilon$$
 5.  $B \rightarrow \varepsilon$ 

Rightmost derivation order of string aab:

At each step, we substitute the rightmost variable

1. 
$$S \rightarrow AB$$

2. 
$$A \rightarrow aaA$$

4. 
$$B \rightarrow Bb$$

3. 
$$A \rightarrow \underline{\varepsilon}$$

5. 
$$B \rightarrow \underline{\varepsilon}$$

#### eftmost derivation of aab:

Rightmost derivation of aab:

# Consider the same example grammar:


$$S \to AB$$
  $A \to aaA \mid \varepsilon \quad B \to Bb \mid \varepsilon$ 

#### And a derivation of aab:

$$S \Rightarrow AB \Rightarrow aaAB \Rightarrow aaABb \Rightarrow aaBb \Rightarrow aab$$

# Derivation Tree

$$S \to AB \qquad A \to aaA \mid \varepsilon \quad B \to Bb \mid \varepsilon$$
$$S \Rightarrow AB$$




yield AB

 $S \rightarrow AB$ 


 $A \rightarrow aaA \mid \varepsilon \quad B \rightarrow Bb \mid \varepsilon$ 

 $S \Rightarrow AB \Rightarrow aaAB$ 




 $S \to AB$   $A \to aaA \mid \varepsilon \quad B \to Bb \mid \varepsilon$ 

 $S \Rightarrow AB \Rightarrow aaAB \Rightarrow aaABb$ 



 $S \to AB \qquad A \to aaA \mid \varepsilon \quad B \to Bb \mid \varepsilon$  $S \Rightarrow AB \Rightarrow aaAB \Rightarrow aaABb \Rightarrow aaBb$ 



#### Sometimes, derivation order doesn't matter


#### Leftmost derivation:

$$S \Rightarrow AB \Rightarrow aaAB \Rightarrow aaB \Rightarrow aaBb \Rightarrow aab$$

### Rightmost derivation:

$$S \Rightarrow AB \Rightarrow ABb \Rightarrow Ab \Rightarrow aaAb \Rightarrow aab$$

Give same derivation tree





-> Azvelw benzer Lezilso

Ambiguity


# Grammar for mathematical expressions

$$E \to E + E \mid E * E \mid (E) \mid a$$

# Example strings:

Denotes any number

$$E \to E + E \mid E * E \mid (E) \mid a$$




$$E \rightarrow E + E \mid E * E \mid (E) \mid a$$

$$E\Rightarrow E*E\Rightarrow E+E*E\Rightarrow a+E*E$$

$$\Rightarrow a+a*E\Rightarrow a+a*a$$
Another
leftmost derivation
for  $a+a*a$ 


$$E \rightarrow E + E \mid E + E \mid (E) \mid a$$

#### Two derivation trees



take 
$$a=2$$

$$a + a * a = 2 + 2 * 2$$




#### Good Tree

#### Bad Tree

$$2 + 2 * 2 = 6$$

$$2 + 2 * 2 = 8$$



Two different derivation trees may cause problems in applications which use the derivation trees:

· Evaluating expressions

 In general, in compilers for programming languages

# Ambiguous Grammar: > 2 facht. ague sitingues

A context-free grammar G is ambiguous if there is a string  $w \in L(G)$  which has:


two different derivation trees or


two leftmost derivations

(Two different derivation trees give two different leftmost derivations and vice-versa)

Example: 
$$E \rightarrow E + E \mid E * E \mid (E) \mid a$$

this grammar is ambiguous since string a + a \* a has two derivation trees





$$E \rightarrow E + E \mid E * E \mid (E) \mid a$$

this grammar is ambiguous also because string a + a \* a has two leftmost derivations


$$E \Rightarrow E + E \Rightarrow a + E \Rightarrow a + E * E$$
  
 $\Rightarrow a + a * E \Rightarrow a + a * a$ 

$$E \Rightarrow E * E \Rightarrow E + E * E \Rightarrow a + E * E$$
$$\Rightarrow a + a * E \Rightarrow a + a * a$$

# Another ambiguous grammar:

Very common piece of grammar in programming languages

# If expr1 then if expr2 then stmt1 else stmt2



# In general, ambiguity is bad and we want to remove it

Sometimes it is possible to find a non-ambiguous grammar for a language

But, in general we cannot do so

# A successful example:

Ambiguous Grammar

$$\begin{array}{c}
E \rightarrow E + E \\
E \rightarrow E * E
\end{array}$$

$$E \rightarrow (E) \circ \circ$$

$$E \rightarrow a$$

Equivalent
Non-Ambiguous
Grammar

$$E \rightarrow E + T \mid T$$

$$T \rightarrow T * F \mid F$$

$$F \rightarrow (E) \mid a$$

generates the same language


$$E \Rightarrow E + T \Rightarrow T + T \Rightarrow F + T \Rightarrow a + T \Rightarrow a + T * F$$
$$\Rightarrow a + F * F \Rightarrow a + a * F \Rightarrow a + a * a$$

$$E \to E + T \mid T$$

$$T \to T * F \mid F$$

$$F \to (E) \mid a$$

Unique derivation tree for a + a \* a



# An un-successful example:

$$L = \{a^n b^n c^m\} \cup \{a^n b^m c^m\}$$
$$n, m \ge 0$$

L is inherently ambiguous:

every grammar that generates this language is ambiguous


# Example (ambiguous) grammar for L:

$$L = \{a^{n}b^{n}c^{m}\} \cup \{a^{n}b^{m}c^{m}\}$$

$$S \to S_{1} | S_{2} \qquad S_{1} \to S_{1}c | A \qquad S_{2} \to aS_{2} | B$$

$$A \to aAb | \lambda \qquad B \to bBc | \lambda$$

The string  $a^nb^nc^n \in L$  has always two different derivation trees (for any grammar)



# BLM2502 Theory of Computation

