The use of simulations to identify operational improvements on deep level mine compressed air systems

Brandon Friedenstein

February 24, 2017

Abstract

As operational costs of deep level mines increases and gold ore grades decrease, profitability in the gold mining sector is becoming difficult. Electricity tariff increases have contributed a rise in cost to operate a mine. Compressed air systems utilise a large portion of a mine's total energy. It has been shown that many deep level mine compressed air networks have large inefficiencies and often can not meet performance set-points. Therefore improving the efficiency could result in a reduction of operational costs by reducing the energy required to produce compressed air. Additionally an improvement in service delivery could be achieved.

Previous studies have shown the use of simulation to develop improvements for large mining systems. Literature has shown identification compressed air inefficiencies as well their interventions.

A methodology was then developed to identify system inefficiencies and quantify improvement strategies through simulation. Two case studies were evaluated. Several scenarios were simulated in each case study. The studies showed that improvements in compressed air system efficiency. An application of the simulated scenarios verified the simulations.

Contents

\mathbf{A}	bstra	ıct	ii					
Li	st of	symbols	\mathbf{v}					
Acronyms								
G	lossa	$\mathbf{r}\mathbf{y}$	vii					
1		roduction and background	1					
	1.1	Preamble	1					
	1.2	Background on deep level mining	1					
	1.3	Mining compressed air systems	1					
	1.4	Simulations in industry	1					
	1.5	Problem statement and objectives	1					
	1.6	Dissertation overview	1					
2	Lite	Literature study						
	2.1	Preamble	2					
	2.2	Inefficiency identification methods	2					
	2.3	Review of operational improvements implemented in compressed air systems	2					
	2.4	Use of simulation to identify improvements in mining systems	2					
	2.5	Conclusion	2					
3	Developing a simulation methodology							
	3.1	Preamble	3					
	3.2	Investigation	3					
	3.3	Model development and verification	3					
	3.4	Implementation of method	3					
	3.5	Conclusion	3					
4	Res	Results and validation						
	4.1	Preamble	4					
	4.2	Case study: Mine A (Kusasalethu)	4					
	4.3	Case study: Mine B (Tshepong)	4					
	4.4	Validation of results	4					
	4.5	Conclusion	4					

5	Conclusion					
	5.1 Conclusion					
	5.2 Limits of this study					
	5.3 Recommendations for future studies					
\mathbf{A}	A Something					
В	B Something else					

List of symbols

Acronyms

Glossary

Introduction and background

- 1.1 Preamble
- 1.2 Background on deep level mining
- 1.2.1 Mining profitability

Background on rising mining costs (energy, wages, etc), falling ore grades and Eskom tariffs.[1]

1.2.2 Mining systems and energy

Focus an mine electrical energy users. Compressed air and its inefficiencies

- 1.2.3 Need to improve service delivery
- 1.3 Mining compressed air systems

v

- 1.3.1 Compressed air in operation
- 1.3.2 Characteristic inefficiencies
- 1.3.3 Inefficiency identification methods
- 1.3.4 Instrumentation and measurements
- 1.4 Simulations in industry
- 1.5 Problem statement and objectives
- 1.6 Dissertation overview

Literature study

- 2.1 Preamble
- 2.2 Inefficiency identification methods

Johan Marais benchmarking method.

- 2.2.1 Summary
- 2.3 Review of operational improvements implemented in compressed air systems
- 2.3.1 Summary
- 2.4 Use of simulation to identify improvements in mining systems

KY pipe -; PTB . DCS Kobus von tonder SW Matthews v. Niekerk Jeandre Jonker

- **2.4.1** Summary
- 2.5 Conclusion

Developing a simulation methodology

3.	1	Pream	h	ما
J.	· 上	ı ream	LD.	LE

- 3.2 Investigation
- 3.2.1 Layouts, Data from SCADA Instrumentation, etc.
- 3.2.2 Manual measurements, audits and approximations
- 3.2.3 Mining schedule philosophies (drilling, blasting shifts, etc.)
- 3.2.4 Summary
- 3.3 Model development and verification
- 3.3.1 Compressed air component models
- 3.3.2 Simulation inputs
- 3.3.3 Verification of model
- 3.3.4 Summary
- 3.4 Implementation of method
- 3.4.1 Analyses of data
- 3.4.2 Quantifying operational improvements
- 3.4.3 Summary
- 3.5 Conclusion

Results and validation

- 4.1 Preamble
- 4.2 Case study: Mine A (Kusasalethu)
- 4.2.1 Background
- 4.2.2 Scenario 1. Refuge bay simulation
- 4.2.3 Scenario 2. Closing off levels/stopes
- 4.2.4 Scenario 3. Periodic simulation
- **4.2.5** Summary
- 4.3 Case study: Mine B (Tshepong)
- 4.3.1 Background
- 4.3.2 Scenario 1.
- 4.3.3 Scenario 2.
- 4.3.4 Summary
- 4.4 Validation of results
- 4.5 Conclusion

Conclusion

- 5.1 Conclusion
- 5.2 Limits of this study
- 5.3 Recommendations for future studies

Appendix A
Something

Appendix B Something else

Bibliography

[1] P.N. Neingo and T. Tholana. Trends in productivity in the south african gold mining industry. The Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 2016.