

Guidelines for presentations:

Each person has 10 minutes to present, plus 1-2 minutes for questions.

Time goes fast when you are presenting, so I suggest planning on no more than 5 slides:

Slide 1: question being asked (you can provide some background, but not much)

Slide 2: conceptual model overview

Slide 3: some example of model inputs and outputs (e.g. a plot of outputs vs. time or some of your inputs)

Slide 4: results

Slide 5: more results/key conclusions and/or remaining questions

Guidelines for final report:

Please hand in your report as a single pdf via canvas. Again, brevity is important. No need to repeat background material that was on your midterm report. The final reports will be graded out of 15:

Question: Brief recap of the study question (.5 pts)

Model: include conceptual model and describe equations, source of parameter and input values (3 pts)

Results: for most of you, this will mainly be some examples of model simulations and results from sensitivity analysis. (8 pts)

Conclusions: what did you learn about the answer to your initial question? What would you do if you had more time? What did you learn about the modeling process and how did it change your life? (3.5 pts)

Appendix: include your code

Rubric:

	Excellent (100%)	Adequate (50%)	Poor (0%)
Presentation (5 pts total)	-Question is clearly stated and brief motivation is provided -Conceptual model is clearly defined -results are clearly presented -conclusions are clearly justified -length is not over 10 minutes	-ambiguous question -results and figures are somewhat hard to follow -conclusions not entirely justified based on results -length is over 10 minutes	-no evidence of any effort on project or presentation
Report (15 pts)	-The original question of the project is clearly restated and addressed by the results of the study.	-aspects of question, model, results, and/or interpretation are not clear	-no evidence of any effort on project or write-up

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -equations, sources of parameter estimates and inputs, and their ranges are clearly described -Figures are clear and to the point -conclusions are insightful and supported by the analysis -Length is reasonable (5-10 pages) -Code is provided in appendix and clearly commented -Any relevant references are provided 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -mistakes are apparent in code or interpretation of results -figures are too busy or hard to read, or too many figures are used to make a point that could be made more succinctly -paper is too long -code or references not provided 	
--	---	---	--