

1. By definitions of H_e , the \hat{h} operator and the \hat{g} operator,
 $H_e = \sum_i \hat{h}(i) + \sum_{i < j} \hat{g}(i, j)$.

Let Φ be an arbitrary Slater Determinant of orthonormal orbitals with n electrons, and let \hat{a} be an arbitrary one-electron operator.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | \sum_i \hat{a}(i) | \Phi \rangle &= \sum_i \langle \Phi | \hat{a}(i) | \Phi \rangle = \\ \sum_i \langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma(j)}(j) | \hat{a}(i) | \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\sigma' \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma') \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma'(j)}(j) \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_i \langle \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma(j)}(j) | \hat{a}(i) | \sum_{\sigma' \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma') \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma'(j)}(j) \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i; \sigma, \sigma' \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\sigma') \langle \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma(j)}(j) | \hat{a}(i) | \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma'(j)}(j) \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i; \sigma, \sigma' \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\sigma') \langle \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) | \hat{a}(i) | \psi_{\sigma'(i)}(i) \rangle \Pi_{j \neq i} \langle \psi_{\sigma(j)}(j) | \psi_{\sigma'(j)}(j) \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i; \sigma, \sigma' \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\sigma') \langle \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) | \hat{a}(i) | \psi_{\sigma'(i)}(i) \rangle \Pi_{j \neq i} \delta_{\sigma(j) \sigma'(j)} &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i; \sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) | \hat{a}(i) | \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i; \sigma \in S_n} \langle \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) | \hat{a}(i) | \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i; \sigma \in S_n} \langle \psi_i(\sigma^{-1}(i)) | \hat{a}((\sigma^{-1}(i))) | \psi_i((\sigma^{-1}(i))) \rangle &= \\ \frac{|S_n|}{n!} \sum_i \langle \psi_i(i) | \hat{a}(i) | \psi_i(i) \rangle = \sum_i \langle \psi_i(i) | \hat{a}(i) | \psi_i(i) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

In this proof, we exploit that two permutations that are identical for all but one element are identical and the interchange of dummy variables. By this proof of one of the Slater-Condon rules, and the definition of the matrix h ,
 $\langle \Phi | \sum_i \hat{h}(i) | \Phi \rangle = \sum_i h_{ii}$.

Let Φ be an arbitrary Slater Determinant of orthonormal orbitals with n electrons, and let \hat{b} be an arbitrary two-electron operator.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | \sum_i \hat{b}(i, i') | \Phi \rangle &= \sum_{i < i'} \langle \Phi | \hat{b}(i, i') | \Phi \rangle = \\ \sum_{i < i'} \langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma(j)}(j) | \hat{b}(i, i') | \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{\sigma' \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma') \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma'(j)}(j) \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i < i'} \langle \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma(j)}(j) | \hat{b}(i, i') | \sum_{\sigma' \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma') \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma'(j)}(j) \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i < i'; \sigma, \sigma' \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\sigma') \langle \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma(j)}(j) | \hat{b}(i, i') | \Pi_j \psi_{\sigma'(j)}(j) \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i < i'; \sigma, \sigma' \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\sigma') \langle \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) \psi_{\sigma(i')}(i') | \hat{b}(i, i') | \psi_{\sigma'(i)}(i) \psi_{\sigma'(i')}(i') \rangle &= \\ \Pi_{j \neq i, i'} \langle \psi_{\sigma(j)}(j) | \psi_{\sigma'(j)}(j) \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i < i'; \sigma, \sigma' \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\sigma') \langle \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) \psi_{\sigma(i')}(i') | \hat{b}(i, i') | \psi_{\sigma'(i)}(i) \psi_{\sigma'(i')}(i') \rangle &= \\ \Pi_{j \neq i, i'} \delta_{\sigma(j) \sigma'(j)} &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i < i'; \sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\sigma) \langle \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) \psi_{\sigma(i')}(i') | \hat{b}(i, i') | \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) \psi_{\sigma(i')}(i') \rangle + &= \\ \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(T_{i, i'} \sigma) \langle \psi_{\sigma(i')}(i) \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i') | \hat{b}(i, i') | \psi_{\sigma(i')}(i) \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i') \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i < i'; \sigma \in S_n} \langle \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) \psi_{\sigma(i')}(i') | \hat{b}(i, i') | \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i) \psi_{\sigma(i')}(i') \rangle - &= \\ \langle \psi_{\sigma(i')}(i) \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i') | \hat{b}(i, i') | \psi_{\sigma(i')}(i) \psi_{\sigma(i)}(i') \rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i < i'; \sigma \in S_n} \langle \psi_i(\sigma^{-1}(i)) \psi_{i'}(\sigma^{-1}(i')) | \hat{b}(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i')) | \psi_i(\sigma^{-1}(i)) \psi_{i'}(\sigma^{-1}(i')) \rangle - &= \\ \langle \psi_{i'}(\sigma^{-1}(i')) \psi_i(\sigma^{-1}(i)) | \hat{b}(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i')) | \psi_{i'}(\sigma^{-1}(i')) \psi_i(\sigma^{-1}(i)) \rangle &= \\ \frac{|S_n|}{n!} \sum_{i < i'} \langle \psi_i(i) \psi_{i'}(i') | \hat{b}(i, i') | \psi_i(i) \psi_{i'}(i') \rangle - \langle \psi_{i'}(i) \psi_i(i') | \hat{b}(i, i') | \psi_{i'}(i) \psi_i(i') \rangle &= \\ \sum_{i < i'} \langle ii' | \hat{b} | ii' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

In this proof, we exploit that permutations that are identical for all but two elements are either the identity or differ only by swapping the two elements and

the interchange of dummy variables. By this proof of one of the Slater-Condon rules $\langle \Phi | \sum_i \hat{g}(i, j) | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{i < j} \langle ij || ij \rangle$.

This completes the proof.

2. Suppose two elements have opposite spins (off the diagonal blocks). Their spin components integrate to 0, so the matrix element is 0. Suppose two elements have the same spin (on the diagonal block). Their spin components integrate to 1, so the matrix element is just the spatial component, which is given by the corresponding entry in the spatial orbital basis.

3. By extension of the above answer, for each two orbitals integrated together, their spin components must be the same for the integral to possibly be non-zero. Per the above logic, this creates a block-diagonal structure with respect to each pair of indices. (Indices integrated together are paired in chemist's notation!)

4. The proof is unchanged from the restricted Hartree-Fock case.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{pq} &:= \langle \psi_p | \hat{f} | \psi_q \rangle \\ \hat{f} &= \hat{h} + \sum_i (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) \implies f_{pq} = \langle \psi_p | \hat{h} + \sum_i (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) | \psi_q \rangle = \\ &= \langle \psi_p | \hat{h} | \psi_q \rangle + \langle \psi_p | \sum_i (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) | \psi_q \rangle = \\ &= h_{pq} + \sum_i \langle \psi_p | (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) | \psi_q \rangle = h_{pq} + \sum_i \langle \psi_p \psi_i || \psi_q \psi_i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

5. The proof is unchanged from the restricted Hartree-Fock case.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mu\nu} &:= \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{f} | \xi_\nu \rangle \quad \hat{f} = \hat{h} + \sum_i (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) \implies f_{\mu\nu} = \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{h} + \sum_i (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) | \xi_\nu \rangle = \\ &= \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{h} | \xi_\nu \rangle + \langle \xi_\mu | \sum_i (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) | \xi_\nu \rangle = \\ &= h_{\mu\nu} + \sum_i \langle \xi_\mu | (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) | \xi_\nu \rangle = h_{\mu\nu} + \sum_i \langle \xi_\mu \psi_i || \xi_\nu \psi_i \rangle = \\ &= h_{\mu\nu} + \sum_i \langle \xi_\mu \sum_\rho \xi_\rho C_{\rho i} || \xi_\nu \sum_\sigma \xi_\sigma C_{\sigma i} \rangle = \\ &= h_{\mu\nu} + \sum_i \langle \xi_\mu \sum_\rho \xi_\rho || \xi_\nu \sum_\sigma \xi_\sigma C_{\sigma i} \rangle \sum_{\rho\sigma} C_{\rho i}^* C_{\sigma i} \end{aligned}$$

Within the definition of D given, this is the result to be shown.

$$\begin{aligned} 6. \quad \langle \Phi | H_e | \Phi \rangle &= \sum_i h_{ii} + \sum_{i < j} \langle ij || ij \rangle = \\ &= \sum_i \langle \psi_i | h_i | \psi_i \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle \psi_i \psi_j || \psi_i \psi_j \rangle = \\ &= \sum_i \langle \sum_\mu \xi_\mu C_{\mu i} | h_i | \sum_\nu \xi_\nu C_{\nu i} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle \sum_\mu \xi_\mu C_{\mu i} \psi_j || \sum_\mu \xi_\mu C_{\mu i} \psi_j \rangle = \\ &= \sum_i \langle \sum_\mu \xi_\mu | h_i | \sum_\nu \xi_\nu \rangle C_{\mu i}^* C_{\nu i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle \sum_\mu \xi_\mu \psi_j || \sum_\nu \xi_\nu \psi_j \rangle C_{\mu i}^* C_{\nu i} = \\ &= \sum_{\mu,\nu} \langle \xi_\mu | \sum_i h_i | \xi_\nu \rangle D_{\nu\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle \xi_\mu \psi_j || \xi_\nu \psi_j \rangle D_{\nu\mu} = \\ &= \sum_{\mu,\nu} h_{\mu\nu} D_{\nu\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\rho\sigma} \langle \xi_\mu \psi_\rho || \xi_\nu \psi_\sigma \rangle D_{\nu\mu} D_{\sigma\rho} \end{aligned}$$

We condense much of step 5 in the last step, our mixed AO and MO integral.