Rev. Professor H. R. MACKINTOSH, D.D. Works by the

LIFE ON GOD'S PLAN, AND OTHER SERMONS SOME ASPECTS OF CHRISTIAN BELIEF IMMORTALITY AND THE FUTURE

HODDER AND STOUGHTON LTD.
PUBLISHERS LONDON, E.C. 4

SOME ASPECTS OF CHRISTIAN BELIEF

H. R. MACKINTOSH D.D., D.Phil.

PROFESSOR OF THEOLOGY, NEW COLLEGE EDINBURGH



sequences of transgression. might be shown once for all how dire are the conto the point of felt desertion, are a constitutive be without death, of which pain and horror, even punished, but that in His dying experience it And this could not

order to be saved we must be united with Christ ance, with all its inadequacy, it is taught that in substantial advance upon the notion of vicarious to righteousness manifested in judgment which a mistaken way of suggesting rather that homage idea of a penitence which Christ shares with us is ance he has part in the infinite pain of Christ. brings a man to peace, it is because in his repentwe become right with God. When penitence Him to the Father's condemnation of our guilt that in His attitude to sin. It is when we submit in we must share with Him. This surely marks a enjoyment of gifts won for us. Campbell reminds are exempted thereby from everything but the impression that Christ so took our place that we punishment, which seems fated to convey the false spirit in it which must become our spirit if we are it was one that made ours possible. There was a us that the sacrifice, the self-submission of Jesus to be the sons of God. in death, was not one that made ours superfluous; Finally, by the conception of vicarious repent-

The Unio Mystica as a Theological Conception

ment.2 and has expressed something like gratitude that one of which we should do well to clear our minds at all events its value as a doctrinal concept if not the Christian character of the 'mystic union altogether, as it appears to me, to the advantage of the idea in their theological constructions, not grounds of the sort I have indicated, make no use the idea of justification through trust in the historic mental associations of the phrase, and the ease the phrase is not to be found in the New Testajoins with Ritschl in protesting that the idea is of the whole. transcends brought in to describe something which professedly level, such as the union of nature with God, is rather of that which has not yet reached the moral the way in which the term 'mystical,' suggestive Christ; what Dr. Denney finds unsatisfactory is with which those who employ it rise superior to unrelenting critics of Ritschlianism in this country. Principal Denney, who has been one of the most part of some prominent theologians to question In recent years a tendency has been shown on the What Ritschl complains of is the sentimoral relations.4 Both writers,

¹ Expositor, February 1909. 2 Expositor, October 19 3 Justification and Reconciliation (Eng. Trans.), p. 112. ² Expositor, October 1903, p. 256.

⁴ Expositor, February 1904, pp. 155 ff.

IOI

orthodox writers of the post-Reformation period work that the Unio Mystica 'is the action of the felt free to indulge. Thus we read in a standard for these complaints, or for at least some part of say that this is usually followed up by an explicit of Christ.' 1 The conjunction is elsewhere charrepudiation of Pantheism. One can see elements essences becoming one; although it is only fair to acterised as 'special' and 'intrinsic'; it is set to the substance of the Holy Trinity and the flesh joined, most closely, though without intermixture, Holy Spirit, whereby the substance of believers is no doubt, this idea was put aside; for no one car Substance. In moments of personal devotion, it was indeed their loftiest idea of God Himself. of that day indicated the highest degree of reality; This was the category, of course, by which writers later age. Take the use of the term 'substance.' in such a description which were sure to offend a forth as being a case of consubstantiality, two really pray to a substance; 2 but when a need was Him as that He was the ultimate or universal Nothing so adequate or exalted could be said of being so, it is not surprising that men should have felt for the intellectual definitions of the text-books, it was resorted to unsuspiciously once more. It is of course impossible to deny that good cause furnished by the language in which

König; quoted by Rothe, Dogmatik, zweiter Theil, zweite

² A substance need not of course be physical or material, but the word inevitably suggests what is less than personal in its intrinsic

any that obtain between one man and another. relations of a kind more profoundly intimate than ethical. And even those who feel that they need an ethical one; or at least that the deepest and no relation can be more intimate or intense than now that if the term is taken in its highest sense, an intimacy and intensity to which ethical words substantial union was the deepest and most rea spoken of a substantial union of man to God. trast with 'ethical,' but rather, I think, ethical the word 'mystic' do not, or at least ought not most passionate experiences do not cease to be also fail to do justice. But it would be generally felt tar transcending all conscious ethical relations, with to have in it a secret or inexpressible somewhat that the human mind could imagine; it seemed to, mean by it anything which is defined by con-

of the mystic union, or its value for the interpregreat things. us from seeking a more worthy interpretation of ously united and identified, this ought not to deter mysterious impersonal substances—even if they century made the union of the believer and the inadequate conceptions has been the lot of most to be inadequate. To be described at first by to describe it by conceptions which are now felt tation of Christian truth, that people used once the real fact they had in view. the believer and the flesh of Christ are mysteriheld, at all events in some cases, that the flesh of Lord a 'substantial' one—existing between two It is therefore no argument against the reality Even if writers of the seventeenth There was a day

electricity to say that it is a property of amber; obsolete, holds that electricity does not exist. when it was thought a sufficient definition of that description as sufficient, or, because it is infinitely more real than a 'substantial' one. reality, a personal union must be regarded as of modern philosophy that there are degrees of terms of personality. On the accepted principle present subject, is to put aside the category of What we have to do, therefore, in regard to our menon should be named; but no one now receives that early idea indeed settled how the new phenosubstance' and try to think out the matter in

of post-Reformation systems of theology. Its depends upon the authority, be it great or small, conception of a mystic union is one that in no way on every page of St. Paul and St. John. We may much farther back in Christian history. roots go much deeper in spiritual life, as well as of man and wife that they two are one flesh, so, St. Paul in I Corinthians vi. 17: 'He who cleaves take, for example, a startling sentence like that of phrase is not in the New Testament, the thing is to the Lord is one spirit.' As it is said elsewhere saving faith between a man and his Redeemer. and close in its far higher sphere is established by the Apostle implies, a spiritual unity no less real is the ever recurrent form 'in Christ,' with its has effects the other can never have. Again, there converse 'Christ in you'; both to be found now It is a union that lasts as the other does not, and It is well to recall the fact, however, that the

> are made to sit with God in heavenly places 'in and then almost within the limits of a single verse ' in Him' before the foundation of the world; we but eternity before and after! We were chosen time! How they cover not the present merely, How the words 'in Christ' stretch through all ness of the verse betrays the pent-up feeling with crucified with Christ; and no longer do I live; locus classicus is of course Galatians ii. 20: 'I am in kindness towards us 'in Christ Jesus.' 1 The He might show the exceeding riches of His grace Christ'; and all in order that in the ages to come and all but changed his identity. There has been in his voice. He feels as if he had lost his old self which St. Paul wrote it. We can hear the triumph Christ liveth in me,' 2 where the very breathlesspower of sin by the forces of a divine life. As an once in sheer antagonism to it, and replaced the the life, the will of Christ has taken over what was the importation of another's personality into him; come to Paul's doors and ask, Who lives here? old writer quaintly puts it: 'If any one should Saul of Tarsus, but Jesus Christ.' 3 had ceased to be, and what remained had a better he would answer, In this body of mine lives not right to Christ's name than his own. No doubt What he was

¹ Eph. i. 4; ii. 6, 7.

² Cf. J. Weiss, Das Urchristentum (1917), p. 358.

and now I live another life. I live not now as Paul, but Paul is it were one person. . . . As touching my natural life I am dead, entirely and nearly joined to Christ, that He and thou art made as 3 Cf. Luther, in his exposition of the passage: 'Thou art so Who is it then that lives? The Christian

of absolute truth, and is infinitely nearer to that any connexion whatever. It is another thing one would claim even for a Pauline expression in we may claim here for these passionate apostolic truth than its negation would be; and this, surely to hold that a given formula looks in the direction coincides with the reality it represents; this no a fact that has no real parallel or analogy anywhere. must always be general are insufficient to express able strain, and that words which at their best It is one thing to assert that a given formula exactly that language has broken down under an intolernow to be indistinguishable; but this implies only that Christ and Paul were so utterly identical as the Apostle, if he had been cross-examined, would the verse was written at a white heat; no doubt have admitted that he did not mean, after all,

A full discussion of St. Paul's conception of union with Christ, however, would virtually mean the detailed treatment of his entire system of doctrine. His whole view of Redemption is implicitly present in it. It is a spiritual union; a mutual appropriation and interpenetration of spirit by spirit. The bond between them is sufficiently powerful to support the assignation of the same predicates to both. Our solidarity with Christ is such that in His death we also die; in His grave we are buried; with the Risen Lord, and in Him, we too rise to newness of life. Nor can an attentive reader fail to notice that St. Paul's greatest words on the subject of Atonement occur in this connexion.

our old man being crucified with Him; the law may be, its very heart is here. statement that 'one died for all.' It was His though there is a hint of substitution also in the in virtue of a personal incorporation. In such a members, not by a legal transference of rôle, but executed on the Head, takes effect eo ipso on the all, therefore all died.' The sentence of death, inthians v. 14: 'We thus judge, that one died for died is justified from sin. If the conception can demnation to them that are in Christ Jesus.' would concede that, wherever its circumference including and centring in his old self—have become that old things have passed away and all thingslived himself by faith into Christ's personal being he has an interest in Christ Himself, and has so familiar phrase, an interest in Christ's death because the mediation of faith. The believer has, in the death primarily, theirs only in Him, and through form of words more than substitution is implied, be put more clearly still, this is done in 2 Cortherefore has lost its rights over us, for he that has faith we have made Christ's death for sin our own, Romans viii. I is typical: 'There is now no I think most students of the Pauline theology

St. John, to whom it was given to speak the last and deepest word on the great Christian certainties, repeats still more convincingly the assertion that union with Christ is the secret of redemption. 'This doctrine of a mystical union,' says Professor E. F. Scott, 'in which the higher life flows uninterruptedly from Christ to the believer, contains the

to argue that a totally unethical and realistic factor ing in the Divine life being foreclosed until the secondary place, all possibility of man's participatmoral and religious categories of earlier Christian physical categories, in his opinion, have ousted the enters into the Johannine conception. Meta-Gospel.' 1 It is true that Professor Scott proceeds central and characteristic thought of the Fourth changed by the infusion of the higher essence very constitution of his nature has been radically thought, or at all events relegated them to a on faith. Clearly the experience of abiding in result, as well as the basis and foundation, of obviously the conception which pervades the First dogma, but as trust in a living Person. not in the sense of acquiescence in a prescribed the emphasis which the Evangelist uniformly lays if not quite impossible, to reconcile this view with present in Christ. But I feel it to be very difficult, self-committal that the impartation of the life that which ye heard from the beginning abide in personal apprehension of the 'word of life'; 'if ethical and spiritual experiences. It is relative to Christ is represented as conditioned by 'believing, in which the believer sinks himself when abiding which resides in Christ is mediated to His people. 'belief' in the sense of spiritual apprehension and you, ye also shall abide in the Son and in the Epistle of John; there, union with Christ is the As Bernhard Weiss has expressed it: 'The object Father' (ii. 24). So too in the Gospel it is through

1 The Fourth Gospel, p. 289.

in His words . . . always is just Christ Himself.' 1 The crowning proof, indeed, that it is a mistake to interpret St. John's symbolic phrases in a literal or realistic sense is the fact that these very phrases, or their equivalents, are used freely by every powerful religious writer to this day, not least by those—like Professor Scott himself 2—to whom the realistic view is abhorrent.

with Christ are familiar to every one. Christ is either side, and can be described equally by the animating principle, of their inmost being. Now element and medium; the second that He Himself in Christ and has in Him its encompassing vital appears to mean that the Christian's life is rooted phrases 'ye in Me' and 'I in you.' The former mystic union is contemplated alternately from which they live for ever. Just as in St. Paul, the living branches. He is the Bread of Life by eating the Vine, in which His followers are engrafted as in all such passages we feel that the distinction is present in His people as the living centre, the between Christology and soteriology, never more inward Life, while on the other hand it is because is definable as the Person who can thus be our than provisional anyhow, has disappeared. Christ them so that they have Him within and can hold unparalleled relation to men, to impart Himself to mutually interpret each other. of this interior kind. Personality and possession He is this Person that His relation to us can be The images by which St. John expresses union To sustain this

¹ Der johannische Lehrbegriff, p. 78. 2 Cf. op. cit., p. 294.

601

points to the real argument for the higher being signify our relations to God and Christ respectively as specifically Divine. Not only so; the fellowship of Christ which we feel to be implicit in the New souls, to which their indwelling in Him corresponds to sustain a relation of indwelling in unnumbered of 'His word.' The fact that Christ is thus felt mediated in each case by the trustful acceptance employed, in the Gospel and the First Epistle, to the Father also. Precisely identical phrases are fellowship with God. To have the Son is to have fellowship with Him as with their own souls-Testament as a whole. In both cases a mutual inherence is affirmed forth as being intrinsically, and purely in itself. thus established with Christ is in express terms set this is a capacity or act which we can only construe

Turning now to the doctrinal bearing of this great conception, I should like to put forward the plea that Union to Christ is the fundamental idea in the theory of redemption. It is from this centre alone, as it seems to me, that we can interpret luminously all the problems which gather round justification and sanctification, and which have so often been construed in a way that sacrificed either the moral or the religious interests at stake. The vital union is the pivotal and organising fact. If we start from the experimental certainty of spiritual coalescence between the Redeemer and the redeemed, we can understand some things about the Christian life, and its

¹ St. John xv. 7, 10; 1 John iii. 24

relation to God, which, at least to me, would otherwise remain darkly inscrutable. I do not mean that they cease to be mysteries, but only that they are no longer merely mysteries. Light penetrates them at least a certain way. We can draw lines of interpretation which go so far, and even if we soon have to stop, we can perceive that the lines have a real tendency to converge, and therefore may be presumed to meet somewhere, even if it be beyond our range.

ethics, which has not an ethical content or is not no experience is possible to man which gets above contrast with 'moral'? Now, as we have seen, one of these. First, what is meant by the term of Union with Christ in the theological scheme 'mystical,' and is it legitimate to define it in to be considered. We have already touched on are to enter into union with each other, then their words: 'When two persons, two moral natures fraught with ethical issues. In Principal Denney's there are two questions of a preliminary kind certain aspects of Union with Christ which are the core.' 1 But while this is so, I think there are moral. . . . We must not forget that personality may be, must at the same time be personal and union, no matter how intimate and profound it insufficiently described by the epithet 'moral,' and intense and passionate experiences are moral to lives only in a moral world, and that its most which many people have dimly in their minds But before we attempt to illustrate the centrality

Expositor, February 1904, p. 156.

and beyond anything they have experienced in they are personally identified with Christ is far when they still hanker for the word 'mystical. or that there may not be varying degrees of it; but persons that after all are separate, each possessing it appears to describe and regulate affairs between their relations to fellow men. To the term 'moral In the first place, they feel that the Union in which suppression but by transmutation. This analogy a living body there are always physical and chemiethical; they are religious. Between the parts of relations to Christ our experiences remain ethical assumed its presence. Hence, while even in our of its absence was far truer than language which theologians, have felt that language which spoke no longer there. I do not say it is non-existent, ness has disappeared; things happen as if it were Somehow in our relation to Christ that separatemany cases it is their duty to assert and enforce the solid rights of independent being, which in there always seems to cling a certain externality; may help us believe that there is a real sense in are the highest of all, because they take up the not abrogate; yet a rapidly growing number of cal relations, and these the presence of life does in the sense that it would never be right to call than moral. It is the experience, or the fact, in which we may say that Union with Christ is more rest into a richer unity, not by destruction or biologists would also hold that vital interrelations them unethical, yet they are also more than I do say that great saints, who were also great

Unio Mystica as a Theological Conception 111

which morality, carried up into its highest and purest form, passes beyond itself. And this is one aspect of the truth, I think, which many have tried to express by the word 'mystic.'

our hope lies not in our hold of Christ, but in His power. It is a commonplace of the preacher that fundamental truth that the Union is initiated on provision, or only a quite insufficient one, for the with Christ as moral, and no more, makes no whether consciously or not, that to describe Union dissatisfied with the word 'moral,' feel, I think, Those who plead for the word 'mystic,' and are hold of us; but is it not just in such certainties, soul dead and my gratitude dumb, with faith so consists in, and is exhausted by, the conscious Are we really to say that our connexion with Christ power and glory of the Christian Gospel dwells? familiar as the sunshine though they be, that the His side and sustained at every point by His end? By all means let us beware of construing my relation to Christ is, for the time being, at an darkened that I cannot utter a sincere prayer, minds; that if I get up some morning with my feelings and motives which pass through our is depends to an indefinite extent on what his soul like a stream of electric force; but do not let as if the life of God could be passed into the human personal religion in mechanical terms, or of speaking conscious thoughts and feelings have been. thoughts and feelings, though certainly what he us forget that a man is more than his conscious The second aspect is very much akin to the first.

other than, and yet one with, his own consciousness. that in the Christian there is a Divine presence cession for us with groanings which cannot be that regeneration makes a man Christ's in a deeper a larger and fuller indwelling of the Spirit of uttered'; the suggested truth being, apparently, fashion than he himself may ever dream. 'Ye are dead, and your life is hid with Christ in know not what to pray for as we ought,' says the nuisance to those who care for clear thinking, and consciousness,' as to which our information is so of Christ may be assigned; for 'the subliminal within the personal life to which the indwelling to suggest categorically that it supplies a sphere the idea of 'the subliminal consciousness,' or God.' I have no wish to introduce at this point Christ than he himself may as yet have awakened that the presence of Christ in our life at all, and in that direction; provided we make it clear the same time, I think part of the truth may lie is already populous with unsolved mysteries. largely hypothetical, threatens to become rather a on our side. But, however this problem may is always mediated by conscious ethical motives therefore also in that hidden region of personality, few passages in the New Testament suggest So again in the great Colossian passage: 'but the Spirit Himself maketh inter-

finally be solved, at all events the fact that Christ can and does breathe His life into us, taking the first step in this true miracle of a communication of spiritual life, is one aspect of the whole fact which the term 'mystic' is chosen to indicate rather than the term 'moral.'

called the adamantine theory of personality; the are far away now from the point of view of Strauss bad; so the 'underworld' or subliminal self in a believer is pervaded but by our power of transcending that isolation 'is not exclusive but inclusive. We are persons 'Personality, in fact,' writes Professor Lofthouse, more justice to the actual experiences of life have outlined a theory which, primâ facie, does thinkers like Dr. Moberly and Professor Lofthouse utterly and completely outside its neighbour. But truest thing we can say is that each of them is a number of marbles in a box, as to which the world of persons, it implies, is best illustrated by thereby excluding it from itself.' This may be which shuts itself up against everything else, when he wrote that 'Personality is that selfhood quite clearly towards something of the kind. We gestive writers on these topics have begun to point mystic union; indeed, some of the most sugmuch in a philosophical way of a fact like the personality must be revised before we can make by Christ because he has turned to Christ in conscious faith and because his conscious thoughts and feelings are, and have been that is to say, not by our power of self-isolation, It may of course be that our conception of

no sense to discount the spiritual character of our relation to Him. For that buried life also receives its quality from what goes on ir

It is indeed the permanent deposit of conscious

1 To say that Christ dwells also in the buried life of the soul is in

processes. Just as the 'underworld' in a bad man is likewise bad

¹ Die christl. Glaubenslehre, i. p. 504.

115

Dotting the shoreless watery wild, Yes! in the sea of life enisled, With echoing straits between us thrown We mortal millions live alone.2

have tasted the sacred joys of that human love part of the truth? I do not doubt that those who But is that the whole truth? Is it even the best selves, and mingle in love and thought and will in will feel that impenetrable solitude of spirit is not which is our best analogue to religious communion, possible, in some real degree, to escape from ourthe deepest thing in us. On the contrary, it is and exists perfectly in God only, may we not say pressively argued, personality in us is incomplete, the lives of others. And if, as Lotze has so imthat this self-communicating power which we possess only in part will have its perfection and may we not find that it is only an extension of is God apprehensible by us? And since this fulness in Him, and therefore also in Christ who solidarity of life, a spiritual coalescence, between as human beings when we go on to speak of a true principles already implied in our social existence interpenetration, if it is real at all, is reciprocal, Christ and His people?

the fact that the Union we are speaking of is, as It is of no slight importance to bring out clearly

1 Ethics and Atonement, p. 117.

1 Life and Letters, vol. i. p. 156.

any man? But, apart from this, to say that the whereby humankind is summed anew, and included on 'this mutual inherence, this spiritual indwelling, man is in Christ . . . except He were joined to unequivocally puts it: 'The truth is that every acquaintance with the Christian life, relation to experience. One can understand what race is in Christ is to say something that has no no condemnation to them that are in Christ Jesus, seriously that when St. Paul wrote, 'There is now just as little is it Pauline. Can it be maintained nine, and careful exegesis seems to prove that in Christ.' 2 Is this the teaching of the New hour.' And in the same way Moberly dwells Christ he could not think, breathe, live a single I have just said, a Union between Christ and revealed in His career on earth, the statement that psychological coefficients involved in it can be believers; for this is interpreted to us by first-hand is meant by a Christ who is vitally one with he meant that there is now no condemnation for Union between Christ and the race. Linlathen and Maurice in a past generation, and His people. For various writers, like Erskine of He is vitally one with all men, even a Caesan Christ, or to think away the ethical qualities pointed out. Testament? No one would say that it is Johan-Dr. Moberly in our own, have asserted rather a becomes, I submit, quite unintelligible But if we refuse to depersonalise As Maurice and the

² To Marguerite—continued

² Atonement and Personality, p. 90

salvation down to the level of a natural process. atmosphere, and in either case we may undergo spiritual on such terms? Are ethical experiences, the specific effects of the encompassing medium somewhere; and in the minds of those who resort or not? One feels that there is something wrong nothing to redemption whether they enter into it are faith and love, of so little value that it matters without knowing it. Can salvation be kept We are in Christ just as our bodies are in the The tendency of such a view, in short, is to bring are sure, in the long run, to be inserted here or faintly. This is shown by the qualifications which a consciousness of this seems at times to stir to these more sweeping and universal expressions own constitution, there is no reason at all why so far as God's will of love is concerned, or their simply in virtue of their being men, but only that when we scrutinise these adverbs closely, it turns out that what they mean is not that men are in Christ least ideally, or implicitly, or potentially. But worth while to note, ere we leave this point, that through faith they should not be in Christ. thing as saying that they have no relation to Him to deny that all men are in Christ is not the same All men are one with Christ, it is said, at

can be spoken of as being 'in Christ' is saved in virtue of that union. This is what the expression implies properly, as a designaquite clearly defined significance. It denotes that any one who tion of the believer's relationship to the Lord; and in accordance with the right usage of words it ought not to be wasted on any 1 I mean that 'in Christ' is a New Testament phrase, with a

Unio Mystica as a Theological Conception

centrality of the mystic union in the organism of Christian doctrine. In conclusion, a few words may be said upon the

one isolated person, and the Christian as another, sacrifice becomes quite another thing. The union, as separate from us as we are from each other of one person could benefit, or avail for, any other me of this point of view, a few sentences from a venture to quote, as the best statement known to self-identification with us involves consequences just because it is a union, has two sides. His this objection undoubtedly would be fatal, alike difficulty of perceiving how the expiatory suffering for Him, and it involves consequences for us. then the representative action of Christ in His if, with St. Paul, we refuse to think of Christ as from the standpoint of logic and morality. But And if Christ were just one more human individual, has always counted for most here has been the 1. As to the Atonement. The difficulty that

a man may resent the very thought of it, Christ is still seeking him religion from the New Testament to say that—in the right sense spiritual redemptive relationship of personal indwelling. living power and sufficiency, which resides in Christ alwaysaway from any and every relation to the Exalted Lord. Though 'in Christ.' But to insist on this truth is not to lift man as such of the words—a man who hates or despises the Cross is nevertheless lower idea. It ought not to be natural to those who take their continue to be in Him unless they definitely thrust themselves out experience than to say that all men are in Christ by birth, and seems to be truer to the facts of New Testament religion and personal yesterday, to-day and for ever--may spring up at any moment the the Kingdom of God on earth. And from that universality of blessing him, gathering round him all the appealing influences of

2. As to Christian morality. 'The ethics of the Sermon on the Mount,' said the late Dr. Dale, 'have their root in the mystical relations between

1 Jesus Christ the Son of God, pp. 74-75. Cf. Luther (ut supra): 'Thou may'st boldly say, I am now one with Christ, that is to say, Christ's righteousness, victory and life are mine. And again, Christ may say, I am that sinner, that is, his sins and his death are Mine, because he is united and joined unto Me, and I unto him.'

The moral resources of life are now in Christ into our being the germ and principle of perfection.2 cannot join ourselves to Him by faith, so admitting in Christ, we have also holiness in Him. Christ and His people.' 1 If we have forgiveness argument of this or that man that he does not This is an experimental truth, against which the Him to heart and life, without thereby receiving do pass out of themselves to make the will of have any such experience has no cogency. Men and communion. They share, they really share in the struggle with self and evil by His sympathy the relationship of sons of God, and are supported Him they also live with Him. In Him they share Christ theirs and their will His; having died with of His body they are His hands and His feet, doing that the law of life that is in Christ Jesus makes His conflict and His triumph. Not only is it true partake in His service to the world. As members them free from the law of sin and death, but they His will for men.

3. As to truth of the Christian Gospel. The consciousness of Union with Christ—a fact as real as the consciousness of right and wrong—is the greatest apologetic asset of the Church. It is unaffected by controversies as to the date or authorship of documents, though it has a very direct bearing on the question of the truth of their message. It is unaffected by differences of doctrinal interpretation. And as we look around us,

¹ Fellowship with Christ, p. 12.

² Cf. Simpson, Fact of Christ, p. 163 f.—a noble passage.

in the society of believers in Jesus, and mark the beauty and devotion of character displayed in thousands of His people, it is the mere instinct of truth to say, 'We know that He is alive from the dead, for He lives in them.'

The Philosophical Presuppositions of Ritschlianism¹

The present paper endeavours to review the most important presuppositions of a philosophical kind upon which the theological edifice known as Ritschlianism has been raised. No one can deny that the school of Ritschl is at once the most interesting and the most conspicuous feature of the theological landscape at the present moment, and that the contributions which its members have made to the religious thought of our time are fitted to enrich in a singular degree the intellectual heritage of Christian thinkers. It is all the more essential

original form, although many of its points would now be otherwise definite mode of apprehension and exhibit clearly the objective not always felicitously, after putting his methods in operation. And of knowledge with which Ritschl buttressed it. This was really for Not the Ritschiian theology, which has taught this generation so expressed and the perspective of the subject as a whole has changed January 1899. reality of spiritual values. vulnerable points. They emphasise the character of faith as a pretations by members of his school, especially by Reischle in his fact exposed to just such criticisms as I have offered. his early statements on the doctrine of value-judgments were in him an afterthought: he was apt to work out his methodology much, is the topic of discussion, but the Kantian or Lotzian theory Werturtheile und Glaubensurtheile, have strengthened the more 1 This paper appeared in the American Journal of Theology I have ventured to reprint it, virtually in its