Size of **numpy** arrays

Introduction

Different Python instances occupy different amounts of memory.

Regarding numpy arrays, the memory footprint mainly depends on the data type of the data (dtype). The knowledge of typical memory use of some dtypes can help estimate the memory use of any scientific problem.

nbytes attribute

The memory footprint of the data of np.ndarray objects is determined using the nbytes attribute.

Example: array of integers

Let's define an array with dtype uint8:

- 'u': unsigned
- 'int': integers
- 8: stored on 8 bits (8 bits=1 byte)

This data type can store integers from 0 to 255 (included) since 8 bits can store $2^8 = 256$ values.

Out[1]: 1000

arr has 1000 values each occupying 1 byte, thus the total is 1000 bytes.

Example: array of floating values

The default behaviour of numpy is storing data using a np.float64 dtype. This data type takes 8 bytes (hence 64 bits) per value.

```
In [2]: arr = np.random.rand(1000)
    print(arr.dtype)
    print(arr.nbytes)
float64
8000
```

Using this data type for an array of 125 million values would use 1 GB of memory.

Recall that a recent computer has typically 8 GB of memory yet **you must always keep some memory left to store intermediate results during computation**.

125 millions is a large number that can be obtained using multidimensionnal data: a 4 dimensions array with shape (100, 100, 100, 100) has 100 millions values.

Specifying *dtype* to reduce memory use?

What about changing the dtype to gain some memory? In most cases, given our skills in computer sciences, this is often a bad idea!

Let's inspect some reasons for this.

Smaller range of possible values

Let's see what are the numbers that can be represented using a specific dtype. For float values, this is done using numpy.finfo:

```
In [3]:
        finfo = np.finfo(np.float64)
         finfo
Out[3]:
           finfo(resolution=1e-15, min=-1.7976931348623157e+308, max=1.797693134862315
           7e+308, dtype=float64)
        float64 dtype can handle values from min to max. Let's see what happens in other cases:
In [4]:
         np.array([1.79e308], dtype=np.float64) # value is smaller than max possible value
Out[4]:
           array([1.79e+308])
In [5]:
         np.array([1.80e308], dtype=np.float64) # value is larger than max possible value
                                                    # seen as infinite
Out[5]:
           array([inf])
```

Advanced: Python floats are float64, and passing values in a float format have them evaluated by Python before numpy. Thus, a way to build arrays with more complete dtypes than float64 is to pass the values as strings:

```
In [6]: np.array(['1.80e308'], dtype=np.float128) # a float128 can handle this value...

Out[6]: array([1.8e+308], dtype=float128)

In [7]: np.array([1.80e308], dtype=np.float128) # but beware of prior evaluation to inf by P

Out[7]: array([inf], dtype=float128)
```

Lower numerical resolution

Storing a float value in a low memory footprint dtype comes with **a resolution loss**. Thus, going from np.float64 (default) to np.float32 divides the memory footprint by 2 but strongly deteriorates the resolution.

```
In [9]: np.array([1, 1e-15]).sum(dtype=np.float64) # result of the operation
# can be understood
# with the resolution of float64

Out[9]: 1.0000000000000001

In [10]: np.array([1, 1e-15]).sum(dtype=np.float32) # result of the operation
# comes with a loss of
# resolution if float32 is used
Out[10]: 1.0
```

Poorer CPU performances

Modern CPU are not optimized to work with unconventionnal floating points resolution. Thus, computation time can increase with memory efficient dtypes.

Unexpected casting

numpy casting rules may be complex and may lead in unexpected results and having a fine control over all dtypes in a large problem is very time consuming during the development phase.

Conclusion

For all the reasons presented above, the preferred way is **not to change the dtype**. In some cases, however, the astype method can be used.

References of Python instances (advanced)

Theory

A variable is only a name that is associated with a content in memory.

Sometimes this content is shared by several variables. All of these are references. Thus the two following operations are very different:

- copying all the memory content (deep copy)
- adding a reference to some memory content (reference)

Many functions and methods rely on references up to a point. For instance, np. ravel (my_array) will build a new array with some attributes different from the one of my_array (e.g. shape...) but keep the same memory content, i.e. a reference to the data of my_array.

That makes it pretty difficult to assign some memory use to a specific function call.

Howto

The getrefcount function of package sys returns the number of Python instances that share the same memory content.

Let's create an object stored in var .

The memory content of var is 2: one for var and one created during the call of getrefcount.

```
In [11]:
    from sys import getrefcount
    var = [(5, 4)]
    getrefcount(var)
```

Out[11]:

2

Let's add a reference to var . Its ref count is incremented since var2 now redirects to the memory content of var .

```
In [12]: var2 = var
getrefcount(var)
```

Out[12]:

What if a deep copy is performed? The var refcount does not change.

```
In [13]: var3 = var.copy()
  print(getrefcount(var))
  print(getrefcount(var3))
```

Note

When creating var3, a new list is created (different memory address than the one of var). Yet, this list shares the content of var (the tuple)!

```
In [14]:
         print(getrefcount(var[0]))
          print(getrefcount(var3[0]))
```

3

Garbage collection

Whenever no reference exists for a memory content, Python makes this space available for other use; This is called garbage collection.

```
In [15]: print(getrefcount(var))
    del var2
    print(getrefcount(var))
    del var
    # memory content of `var` no longer exists
3
2
```

In the every day life, **scoping rules** of Python are such that a variable defined inside a function is detached from its memory content when exiting the function, thus there are not many cases where del should be called.