



SOLIDProof
Bring trust into your projects


Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC

MADE IN GERMANY

Audit

Security Assessment
28. July, 2021

For



Disclaimer	3
Description	5
Project Engagement	5
Logo	5
Contract Link	5
Methodology	7
Used Code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports)	8
Tested Contract Files	9
Source Lines	11
Risk Level	12
Capabilities	13
Scope of Work	15
Inheritance Graph	15
Verify Claims	16
CallGraph	21
Source Units in Scope	22
Critical issues	23
High issues	23
Medium issues	23
Low issues	23
Informational issues	24
Audit Comments	24
SWC Attacks	25

Disclaimer

SolidProof.io reports are not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. These reports are not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team. SolidProof.io do not cover testing or auditing the integration with external contract or services (such as Uniswap, Uniswap, PancakeSwap etc’...)

SolidProof.io Audits do not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug- free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technology proprietors. SolidProof Audits should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. These reports in no way provide investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort.

SolidProof.io Reports represent an extensive auditing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology. Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. SolidProof’s position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. SolidProof in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

Version	Date	Description
1.0	26. July 2021	Layout project
	27. July 2021	Automated- /Manual-Security Testing
	27. July 2021	Summary
1.1	29. July 2021	Blacklist function added
1.2	31. July 2021	Contract address added

Network

Binance Smart Chain (BEP20)

Website

<https://robotoken.app/>

Telegram

<https://t.me/robotokenofficial>

Twitter

<https://twitter.com/tokenrobo?lang=en>

Github

<https://github.com/roboapp>

Medium

<https://medium.com/@RoboToken>

Instagram

<https://www.instagram.com/tokenrobo/>


Description

RoboToken is a modern eco-system with a BNB reward system, auto-claim, buy back, dex swap, and launchpad all under one token \$ROBO.

Project Engagement

During the 26th of July 2021, **RoboToken Team** engaged Solidproof.io to audit smart contracts that they created. The engagement was technical in nature and focused on identifying security flaws in the design and implementation of the contracts. **RoboToken Team** provided Solidproof.io with access to their code repository and whitepaper.

Logo



Contract Link

<https://bscscan.com/address/0x20313a22171273fbf908f1e9cf309da50d072e57#code>

v1.1

<https://bscscan.com/address/0xcf8CD76dF2202F5AfF61A16FcDA3E1C0756a7c93#code>

Vulnerability & Risk Level

Risk represents the probability that a certain source-threat will exploit vulnerability, and the impact of that event on the organization or system. Risk Level is computed based on CVSS version 3.0.

Level	Value	Vulnerability	Risk (Required Action)
Critical	9 - 10	A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios, or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.	Immediate action to reduce risk level.
High	7 - 8.9	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.	Implementation of corrective actions as soon as possible.
Medium	4 - 6.9	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.	Implementation of corrective actions in a certain period.
Low	2 - 3.9	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.	Implementation of certain corrective actions or accepting the risk.
Informational	0 - 1.9	A vulnerability that have informational character but is not effecting any of the code.	An observation that does not determine a level of risk

Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied

Throughout the review process, care was taken to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices. To do so, reviewed line-by-line by our team of expert pentesters and smart contract developers, documenting any issues as there were discovered.

Methodology

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

1. Code review that includes the following:
 - i) Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
 - ii) Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
 - iii) Comparison to specification, which is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof describe.
2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
 - i) Test coverage analysis, which is the process of determining whether the test cases are actually covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
 - ii) Symbolic execution, which is analysing a program to determine what inputs causes each part of a program to execute.
3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
4. Specific, itemized, actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts.

Used Code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports)

Imported packages:

- OpenZeppelin
 - Address
 - Ownable
 - SafeMatch
- Uniswap
 - UniswapV2Factory
 - UniswapV2Pair
 - UniswapV2Router01
 - UniswapV2Router02



Tested Contract Files

This audit covered the following files listed below with a SHA-1 Hash.

A file with a different Hash has been modified, intentionally or otherwise, after the security review. A different Hash could be (but not necessarily) an indication of a changed condition or potential vulnerability that was not within the scope of this review.

v1.0


File Name	SHA-1 Hash
contracts/DividendPayingToken.sol	633b4dff40098943e3725845028b7987be72ee83
contracts/IUniswapV2Pair.sol	05e647da1a2fa7934af97a8db4c9f78ad2c9d8d9
contracts/SafeMathUint.sol	7808353a4a6fe523b1c744e2572fe77582579725
contracts/Context.sol	3c3bf995d75c7830097481749c3bd2f6696d3026
contracts/IUniswapV2Factory.sol	91cbb4ccce3b151becf39e1dfe36c51c8907e791
contracts/DividendPayingTokenInterface.sol	e6bfbae79ab8cac72fcfa1813bf576a232543b8
contracts/IERC20Metadata.sol	538888ee2b512d81e4bc2e666d10ac9a2e3d499d
contracts/SafeMathInt.sol	3e72493239b14328c296eb20404dfa0de3a324c3
contracts/SafeMath.sol	96fc1b5e5afbed1c85e0c9b9b4edf61364b4e77b
contracts/Robo.sol	3d170a9c5ac19a07979a4145fb161aca8c4df607
contracts/IUniswapV2Router.sol	587ae175ab043b78a9bec57b3df8d315d2cf494c
contracts/Ownable.sol	f4e27b795348c1bbc5ee8055d19b7dd8cfe5ff70
contracts/IterableMapping.sol	c5a0c8df26c889d8003190632a9157210dd92619
contracts/ERC20.sol	280344751f8c458bd6b61586bb45a090b73f0eb7
contracts/DividendPayingTokenOptionalInterface.sol	145167f2f8b9ec0fb5b33f0a1dd9b268c9bb6cc2
contracts/IERC20.sol	71ce18b11f2db4fd80a99dc8acc7782beb9d69b7
contracts/ROBODividendTracker.sol	82abf804f3455566a786697c2d95dc7c42f53f20

File Name	SHA-1 Hash
contracts/DividendPayingToken.sol	633b4dff40098943e3725845028b7987be72ee83
contracts/IUniswapV2Pair.sol	05e647da1a2fa7934af97a8db4c9f78ad2c9d8d9
contracts/SafeMathUint.sol	7808353a4a6fe523b1c744e2572fe77582579725
contracts/Context.sol	3c3bf995d75c7830097481749c3bd2f6696d3026
contracts/IUniswapV2Factory.sol	91cbb4ccec3b151becf39e1dfe36c51c8907e791
contracts/DividendPayingTokenInterface.sol	e6bfbae79ab8cac72fcfea1813bf576a232543b8
contracts/IERC20Metadata.sol	538888ee2b512d81e4bc2e666d10ac9a2e3d499d
contracts/SafeMathInt.sol	3e72493239b14328c296eb20404dfa0de3a324c3
contracts/SafeMath.sol	96fc1b5e5afbed1c85e0c9b9b4edf61364b4e77b
contracts/Robo.sol	622431add8e366b7fee779d5dafb81223b257de9
contracts/IUniswapV2Router.sol	587ae175ab043b78a9bec57b3df8d315d2cf494c
contracts/Ownable.sol	f4e27b795348c1bbc5ee8055d19b7dd8cfe5ff70
contracts/IterableMapping.sol	c5a0c8df26c889d8003190632a9157210dd92619
contracts/ERC20.sol	280344751f8c458bd6b61586bb45a090b73f0eb7
contracts/DividendPayingTokenOptionalInterface.sol	145167f2f8b9ec0fb5b33f0a1dd9b268c9bb6cc2
contracts/IERC20.sol	71ce18b11f2db4fd80a99dc8acc7782beb9d69b7
contracts/ROBODividendTracker.sol	82abf804f3455566a786697c2d95dc7c42f53f20



Metrics

Source Lines

v1.0




V1.1




Risk Level

v1.0



v1.1



Capabilities

Components

Contracts	Libraries	Interfaces	Abstract
5	4	8	1

Exposed Functions

This section lists functions that are explicitly declared public or payable. Please note that getter methods for public stateVars are not included.

Version	Public	Payable
1.0	154	8
1.1	155	8

Version	External	Internal	Private	Pure	View
1.0	104	125	9	25	63
1.1	105	126	9	25	63

State Variables

Version	Total	Public
1.0	45	29
1.1	46	30

Capabilities

Solidity Versions observed	Experimental Features	Can Receive Funds	Uses Assembly	Has Destroyable Contracts
[^] 0.6.2 0.6.12		Yes	**** (0 asm blocks)	

Transfers ETH	Low- Level Calls	Delegate Call	Uses Hash Function s	ECRecov er	New/ Create/ Create2
yes					yes → NewCo ntract: ROBODiv idendTr acker




Scope of Work

The above token Team provided us with the files that needs to be tested (Github, Bscscan, Etherscan, files, etc.). The scope of the audit is the main contract (usual the same name as team appended with .sol).

We will verify the following claims:

1. Correct implementation of Token standard
2. Deployer cannot mint any new tokens
3. Deployer cannot burn or lock user funds
4. Deployer cannot pause the contract
5. Overall checkup (Smart Contract Security)

Inheritance Graph



Verify Claims

Correct implementation of Token standard

Tested	Verified
✓	✓

Function	Description	Exist	Tested	Verified
TotalSupply	provides information about the total token supply	✓	✓	✓
BalanceOf	provides account balance of the owner's account	✓	✓	✓
Transfer	executes transfers of a specified number of tokens to a specified address	✓	✓	✓
TransferFrom	executes transfers of a specified number of tokens from a specified address	✓	✓	✓
Approve	allow a spender to withdraw a set number of tokens from a specified account	✓	✓	✓
Allowance	returns a set number of tokens from a spender to the owner	✓	✓	✓

Optional implementations

Function	Description	Exist	Tested	Verified
renounceOwnership	Owner renounce ownership for more trust	✓	✓	✓

Deployer cannot mint any new tokens

Tested	Verified	File	Comment
✓	✓	Main	Line: -

Max / Total Supply: 1.000.000.000

```
constructor() public ERC20("RoboToken", "ROBO") {
    uint256 _BNBRewardsFee = 10;
    uint256 _liquidityFee = 2;
    uint256 _buyBackFee = 4;
    // Rewards Pool is to store BNB in case of low volume. This can be adjusted at a later date.
    uint256 _rewardsPoolFee = 0;

    BNBRewardsFee = _BNBRewardsFee;
    liquidityFee = _liquidityFee;
    buyBackFee = _buyBackFee;
    rewardsPoolFee = _rewardsPoolFee;

    totalFees = BNBRewardsFee.add(liquidityFee).add(buyBackFee).add(rewardsPoolFee);

    dividendTracker = new ROBODividendTracker();

    liquidityWallet = owner();

    IUniswapV2Router02 _uniswapV2Router = IUniswapV2Router02(0xD99D1c33F9fC3444f8101754aBC46c52416550D1);
    // Create a uniswap pair for this new token
    address _uniswapV2Pair = IUniswapV2Factory(_uniswapV2Router.factory())
        .createPair(address(this), _uniswapV2Router.WETH());

    uniswapV2Router = _uniswapV2Router;
    uniswapV2Pair = _uniswapV2Pair;

    _setAutomatedMarketMakerPair(_uniswapV2Pair, true);

    // Exclude from receiving dividends
    dividendTracker.excludeFromDividends(address(dividendTracker));
    dividendTracker.excludeFromDividends(address(this));
    dividendTracker.excludeFromDividends(owner());
    dividendTracker.excludeFromDividends(deadAddress);
    dividendTracker.excludeFromDividends(address(_uniswapV2Router));

    // Exclude from paying fees or having max transaction amount
    excludeFromFees(liquidityWallet, true);
    excludeFromFees(address(this), true);

    /*
    _mint is an internal function in ERC20.sol that is only called here,
    and CANNOT be called ever again
    */
    _mint(owner(), 1000000000 * (10**18));
}
```

```
function _mint(address account, uint256 amount) internal virtual {
    require(account != address(0), "ERC20: mint to the zero address");

    _beforeTokenTransfer(address(0), account, amount);

    _totalSupply = _totalSupply.add(amount);
    _balances[account] = _balances[account].add(amount);
    emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
}
```

Deployer cannot burn or lock user funds

Name	Tested	Exist	Verified
No Lock function	✓	✓	✓
No Burn function	✓	✓	✓



Deployer cannot pause the contract

Tested	Verified	No pause function
✓	✓	✓



Overall checkup (Smart Contract Security)

Tested	Verified
✓	✓

Legend

Attribute	Symbol
Verified / Checked	✓
Partly Verified	⚠
Unverified / Not checked	✗

CallGraph



Source Units in Scope

v1.0

Type	File	Logic Contracts	Interfaces	Lines	nLines	nSLOC	Comment Lines	Complex. Score	Capabilities
	contracts/DividendPayingToken.sol	1	_____	187	187	88	65	80	
	contracts/UniswapV2Pair.sol	_____	1	55	9	5	1	55	_____
	contracts/SafeMathUint.sol	1	_____	16	16	8	5	3	_____
	contracts/Context.sol	1	_____	25	25	10	12	1	_____
	contracts/UniswapV2Factory.sol	_____	1	20	8	4	1	17	_____
	contracts/DividendPayingTokenInterface.sol	_____	1	42	13	3	20	10	
	contracts/ERC20Metadata.sol	_____	1	28	16	4	15	9	
	contracts/SafeMathInt.sol	1	_____	93	93	33	47	16	_____
	contracts/SafeMath.sol	1	_____	147	147	39	93	10	
	contracts/Robo.sol	1	_____	585	563	390	45	390	
	contracts/UniswapV2Router.sol	_____	2	143	7	4	2	64	
	contracts/Ownable.sol	1	_____	58	58	27	21	25	_____
	contracts/IterableMapping.sol	1	_____	64	64	49	2	19	_____
	contracts/ERC20.sol	1	_____	311	295	85	178	82	_____
	contracts/DividendPayingTokenOptionalInterface.sol	_____	1	26	13	3	14	7	_____
	contracts/ERC20.sol	_____	1	82	27	17	57	13	
	contracts/ROBODividendTracker.sol	1	_____	222	204	145	2	94	_____
	Totals	10	8	2104	1745	914	580	895	

v1.1

Type	File	Logic Contracts	Interfaces	Lines	nLines	nSLOC	Comment Lines	Complex. Score	Capabilities
	contracts/DividendPayingToken.sol	1	_____	187	187	88	65	80	
	contracts/UniswapV2Pair.sol	_____	1	55	9	5	1	55	_____
	contracts/SafeMathUint.sol	1	_____	16	16	8	5	3	_____
	contracts/Context.sol	1	_____	25	25	10	12	1	_____
	contracts/UniswapV2Factory.sol	_____	1	20	8	4	1	17	_____
	contracts/DividendPayingTokenInterface.sol	_____	1	42	13	3	20	10	
	contracts/ERC20Metadata.sol	_____	1	28	16	4	15	9	
	contracts/SafeMathInt.sol	1	_____	93	93	33	47	16	_____
	contracts/SafeMath.sol	1	_____	147	147	39	93	10	
	contracts/Robo.sol	1	_____	607	585	393	59	395	
	contracts/UniswapV2Router.sol	_____	2	143	7	4	2	64	
	contracts/Ownable.sol	1	_____	58	58	27	21	25	_____
	contracts/IterableMapping.sol	1	_____	64	64	49	2	19	_____
	contracts/ERC20.sol	1	_____	311	295	85	178	82	_____
	contracts/DividendPayingTokenOptionalInterface.sol	_____	1	26	13	3	14	7	_____
	contracts/ERC20.sol	_____	1	82	27	17	57	13	
	contracts/ROBODividendTracker.sol	1	_____	222	204	145	2	94	_____
	Totals	10	8	2126	1767	917	594	900	

Legend

Attribute	Description
Lines	total lines of the source unit
nLines	normalized lines of the source unit (e.g. normalizes functions spanning multiple lines)
nSLOC	normalized source lines of code (only source-code lines; no comments, no blank lines)
Comment Lines	lines containing single or block comments
Complexity Score	a custom complexity score derived from code statements that are known to introduce code complexity (branches, loops, calls, external interfaces, ...)

Audit Results

AUDIT PASSED

Critical issues

- no critical issues found -

High issues

- no high issues found -

Medium issues

- no medium issues found -

Low issues

Issue	File	Type	Line	Description
#1	Main	Call with hardcoded gas amount.	396	rewardsPool.transfer(address (this).balance.div(2)) forwards a fixed amount of gas. This is discouraged as the gas cost of EVM instructions may change in the future, which could break this contract's assumptions.
#2	Main	Call with hardcoded gas amount.	513	rewardsPool.transfer(reward BNB) forwards a fixed amount of gas. This is discouraged as the gas cost of EVM instructions may change in the future, which could break this contract's assumptions.
#3	Main	Call with hardcoded gas amount.	575	rewardsPool.transfer(reward BNB) forwards a fixed amount of gas. This is discouraged as the gas cost of EVM instructions may change in the future, which could break this contract's assumptions.

Informational issues

- no informational issues found -

Audit Comments

27. July 2021

- Wrong Routeraddress were used (Testnet router address: 0xD99D1c33F9fC3444f8101754aBC46c52416550D1)

28. July 2021:

- Routeraddress fixed

05. August 2021:

- Contract address changed

SWC Attacks

ID	Title	Relationships	Status
SW C-13 6	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	CWE-767: Access to Critical Private Variable via Public Method	PASSED
SW C-13 5	Code With No Effects	CWE-1164: Irrelevant Code	PASSED
SW C-13 4	Message call with hardcoded gas amount	CWE-655: Improper Initialization	NOT PASSED
SW C-13 3	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments	CWE-294: Authentication Bypass by Capture-replay	PASSED
SW C-13 2	Unexpected Ether balance	CWE-667: Improper Locking	PASSED
SW C-13 1	Presence of unused variables	CWE-1164: Irrelevant Code	PASSED
SW C-13 0	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	CWE-451: User Interface (UI) Misrepresentation of Critical Information	PASSED
SW C-12 9	Typographical Error	CWE-480: Use of Incorrect Operator	PASSED
SW C-12 8	DoS With Block Gas Limit	CWE-400: Uncontrolled Resource Consumption	PASSED

SW C-12 7	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	CWE-695: Use of Low-Level Functionality	PASSED
SW C-12 5	Incorrect Inheritance Order	CWE-696: Incorrect Behavior Order	PASSED
SW C-12 4	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	CWE-123: Write-what-where Condition	PASSED
SW C-12 3	Requirement Violation	CWE-573: Improper Following of Specification by Caller	PASSED
SW C-12 2	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	CWE-345: Insufficient Verification of Data Authenticity	PASSED
SW C-12 1	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	CWE-347: Improper Verification of Cryptographic Signature	PASSED
SW C-12 0	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	CWE-330: Use of Insufficiently Random Values	PASSED
SW C-11 9	Shadowing State Variables	CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards	PASSED
SW C-11 8	Incorrect Constructor Name	CWE-665: Improper Initialization	PASSED
SW C-11 7	Signature Malleability	CWE-347: Improper Verification of Cryptographic Signature	PASSED

The logo features the words "SolidProof" in a white, handwritten-style script. The "P" is large and stylized, with a long horizontal stroke that extends to the left. The background is a solid blue color with a faint, large shield emblem. The shield has a grid-like pattern on its right side and a solid blue area on its left side.

SolidProof

Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC

A small horizontal bar representing the German flag, with black, red, and gold stripes.

MADE IN GERMANY

SW C-11 6	Timestamp Dependence	CWE-829: Inclusion of Functionality from Untrusted Control Sphere	PASSED
SW C-11 5	Authorization through tx.origin	CWE-477: Use of Obsolete Function	PASSED
SW C-11 4	Transaction Order Dependence	CWE-362: Concurrent Execution using Shared Resource with Improper Synchronization ('Race Condition')	PASSED
SW C-11 3	DoS with Failed Call	CWE-703: Improper Check or Handling of Exceptional Conditions	PASSED
SW C-11 2	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	CWE-829: Inclusion of Functionality from Untrusted Control Sphere	PASSED
SW C-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	CWE-477: Use of Obsolete Function	PASSED
SW C-11 0	Assert Violation	CWE-670: Always-Incorrect Control Flow Implementation	PASSED
SW C-10 9	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	CWE-824: Access of Uninitialized Pointer	PASSED
SW C-10 8	State Variable Default Visibility	CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards	PASSED
SW C-10 7	Reentrancy	CWE-841: Improper Enforcement of Behavioral Workflow	PASSED
SW C-10 6	Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	CWE-284: Improper Access Control	PASSED

SW C-10 5	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	CWE-284: Improper Access Control	PASSED
SW C-10 4	Unchecked Call Return Value	CWE-252: Unchecked Return Value	PASSED
SW C-10 3	Floating Pragma	CWE-664: Improper Control of a Resource Through its Lifetime	PASSED
SW C-10 2	Outdated Compiler Version	CWE-937: Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities	PASSED
SW C-10 1	Integer Overflow and Underflow	CWE-682: Incorrect Calculation	PASSED
SW C-10 0	Function Default Visibility	CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards	PASSED