Non-Financial Time Series Project Rubric

Category (Weight)	Insufficient (0-5)	Appropriate (6-7)	Good (8-9)	Excellent (10)	Grade
Dataset Selection and Uniqueness (10%) (Originality, manual collation, relevance)	- Common dataset, no effort in curation.	- Some uniqueness, minimal manual collation.	- Well-selected dataset with some manual effort.	- Unique dataset, manually compiled, highly relevant to the group's interests.	
Exploratory Data Analysis (10%) (Data description, visualizations, ACF/PACF)	- Minimal or missing EDA. No meaningful insights.	- Basic visualizations and statistics, lacks depth.	- Well-executed EDA covering major insights.	- Thorough and insightful EDA, effectively guiding the analysis.	
Modeling and Analysis (25%) (Model selection, justification, evaluation)	- No clear justification or incorrect model choice.	- Some justification but lacks depth in model selection.	- Well-justified selection with proper diagnostics.	- Strong justification, demonstrating deep understanding and model comparison.	
Forecasting and Interpretation (15%) (In-sample, out-of-sample forecasts, error metrics)	- No forecasts or incorrect methodology.	- Forecasts provided but with limited analysis.	- Well-executed forecasts with meaningful interpretation.	- Highly accurate forecasts with deep insights on model implications.	
Presentation - Visuals (15%) (Clarity, readability, and effectiveness of slides)	- Disorganized or difficult to read slides. Poor use of visuals.	- Basic visuals but lacks engagement or consistency.	- Clear and professional visuals with minor flaws.	- Highly engaging, well-structured slides enhancing communication.	
Presentation - Delivery (25%) (Structure, clarity, engagement)	- Unstructured, unclear, and lacks engagement.	- Some structure but lacks clarity and impact.	- Well-structured and engaging delivery with minor issues.	- Highly professional, well-paced, engaging, and effectively delivered.	