# Verification Neural Network

#### Nassim Arifette

26 March 2024

#### 1 Introduction to Verification Neural Network

In the fast-growing field of artificial intelligence (AI), neural networks are fundamental to many modern technologies, such as self-driving cars, medical diagnostic systems, and language processing tools. As these neural networks become more complex and widespread, ensuring they are reliable, safe, and trustworthy is increasingly challenging. This is where the technique of Abstraction-Based Verification (ABV) becomes essential. ABV helps in checking if neural networks behave correctly according to set standards, thus reducing risks and improving the safety of these systems.

Abstraction-Based Verification involves methods that analyze neural network models to confirm they work within set limits and make safe, accurate decisions. The main strength of ABV is its ability to simplify the complex details of neural networks into easier, more manageable models while maintaining important functionalities. This simplification makes it easier to thoroughly check and fix any issues like potential biases or errors that could cause unexpected or harmful results.

The importance of ABV in neural network applications is critical, especially as neural networks are used more in important areas like healthcare, finance, and transportation. The risks of mistakes in these areas are high, and ABV provides a way to ensure these systems work as expected, even under new or changing conditions.

This report will cover the basics of Abstraction-Based Verification, how it works, and some advanced method using tropical algebra.

#### 1.1 Motivation

At the heart of this method is the idea of overapproximating—a way to consider all possible states a neural network might reach with any input, but in a simplified way. The main goal here is to create a broad model that allows us to verify the network's behavior is correct.

This overapproximation has two main purposes. Firstly, it helps confirm the network's outputs are reliable and safe across different scenarios, ensuring the network behaves correctly. Secondly, it recognizes the challenges of dealing with complex systems. If the verification doesn't prove the network is correct, it doesn't mean the network is unreliable. Instead, it might indicate that our simplified model doesn't capture all the details needed. This is an important point because it prompts a careful check to see if the issue is with the network itself or just the model used to understand it.

Using this approximation approach, those working with neural networks have a robust way to manage the complexity of verifying these systems. This method balances the need for thorough analysis and the practicality of the computational efforts involved. Overapproximation not only helps in verifying the accuracy of neural networks but also helps in refining our understanding of these complex systems. Through ongoing refinement and testing, this method helps align theoretical models of how neural networks should work with the actual outcomes we see in real-world applications.

## 2 What we want to verify

Let  $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^m$  be a neural network with input  $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$  and output  $y=f(x)\in\mathbb{R}^m$ 

As an example we can take a neural network that take a grey scale image and outputs a real number.

Let's take  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to be the input image and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  to be the output vector. Let's say that we have  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  an image that is slightly different from x in the sense that it has a small amount of noise added to it (e.g. a few pixels are changed, brightness is altered, etc.).

We can define a set  $X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x - c|| < \epsilon\}$  that contains all images that are close to c in the sense that their  $L^2$  distance is less than  $\epsilon$ .

We take  $r \in \mathbb{R}^m$  to be the output of the neural network on the input x, i.e. r = f(x).

If we note class(r) to be the class of the output r (e.g. the digit that the neural network predicts in the case of a digit recognition network), we want to verify that the neural network is robust to small perturbations in the input, i.e. that if  $x \in X$  then class(f(x)) = class(r).

The issue with this method is that even the number of images is finite, the number of images that are close to c is infinite (or at least really) and it is not feasible to check the output of the neural network on all of them.

Let's imagine that we can lift the neural network to a higher dimension and work with sets instead of points and so here work over sets of images instead of single images. So we need to define:

$$f^S: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^m)$$

such that  $f^s(X) = \{y \mid x \in X, x = f(x)\}.$ 

We can now run it on the following input set:

$$X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x - c|| < \epsilon\}$$

By computing  $f^S(X)$  we get the prediction of the neural network on all images that are close to c and we can check if the class of the output is always the same.

So formaly we can simply check that  $class(f^S(X)) \subseteq \{y \mid y = class(r)\}.$ 

**Exemple 2.1:** Let's take a neural network that takes a grey scale image and outputs a real number. We want to verify that the neural network is robust to small perturbations in the input.

We define the following correctness property:

$$\{||x - c|| \le 0.1\}$$
$$r \leftarrow f(x)$$
$$\{class(r) = 1\}$$

If we pick any image x that is close to c but is slighly brighter or darker by at most 0.1, we want to verify that the neural network predicts the class 1 for all such images.

So we can define:

$$X = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x - c|| \le 0.1 \}$$

which is the set of all images that verify our property. By computing  $f^{S}(X)$  we can check if the class of the output is always 1:

$$f^S(X) \subseteq \{y \mid class(y) = 1\}$$

In other words, all runs of the neural network on images x that are close to c predict the class 1.

# 3 Verification using Zones

#### 3.1 Some definitions

The idea of zones is to represent the set of reachable states of a neural network by a set of inequalities. We are trying to approximate a ReLU feed-forward neural network.

**Définition 3.1 (ReLU):** The ReLU activation function is defined as:

$$ReLU(x) = max(0, x)$$

**Définition 3.2 (Layer):** A n-neurons ReLU network layer L with m inputs is a function  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  defined by, a weight matrix  $W \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$  and a bias vector  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and the ReLU activation function:

$$L(x) = \text{ReLU}(Wx + b)$$

**Définition 3.3 (Multilayer Perceptron):** A multilayer perceptron  $F_N$  is given by a list of network layers  $L_0, \ldots, L_N$ , where layers  $L_i(i = 0, \ldots, N-1)$  are  $n_{i+1}$ -neurons layers with  $n_i$  inputs. The action of  $F_N$  on inputs is defined by composing the action of successive layers:  $F_N = L_N \circ L_O$ .

**Définition 3.4:** Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The zone domain is defined by:

$$\left(\bigwedge_{i\leq i,j\leq n}^{n}x_{i}-x_{j}\leq c_{i,j}\right)\wedge\left(\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq n}a_{i}\leq x_{i}\leq b_{i}\right)$$

where  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ .

```
1 struct Zone
2    numinp::Int
3    numvars::Int
4    DBM::Matrix{Float64}
```

The variables  $a_i, b_i$  represent the lower and upper bounds of the *i*th coordinate of x. The variable  $c_{i,j}$  represents the difference between the *i*th and *j*th coordinates of x and this can be represented using the matrix  $C = (c_{i_j})$  named the difference bound matrix.

In order to encode interval constraints seamlessly, we need to introduce a special variable  $x_0$  that is always equal to 0.

**Définition 3.5:** The difference bound matrix C is a matrix of size  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  where n is the number of neurons in the neural network. The square matrix C is defined by the following set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$ :

$$\gamma(C) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}, x_i - x_j \le c_{i,j} \land x_0 = 0\}$$

**Exemple 3.1:** Let's say we have  $-3 \le x_1 \le 1$ ,  $-1 \le x_2 \le 3$  and  $-4 \le x_1 - x_2 \le 0$  then the difference bound matrix is:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A DBM might not be the "smallest" matrix what do we mean by that is for example if  $x_1 - x_2 \le 3$  and  $x_2 - x_3 \le -1$  and  $x_1 - x_3 \le 4$  then we can see that  $x_1 - x_3 \le 4$  is not the smallest matrix since we can deduce that  $x_1 - x_3 \le 2$  from the two other inequalities.

**Définition 3.6:** The closed matrix C\* is the smallest matrix that represents the same set of points as C. And can be computed by the Floy-Warshall algorithm to update  $(c_{i,j})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  as follows:

- 1. Initialize  $c_{i,j}^* = c_{i,j}$  for all  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ .
- 2. For all  $i, j, k \in \{0, \dots, n\}, c_{i,j}^* = \min(c_{i,j}^*, c_{i,k}^* + c_{k,j}^*).$

```
1 function zone_closure(input::Zone)
2
       c = input.DBM
      n = input.numvars + input.numinp + 1
3
4
      for k in 1:n
5
           for i in 1:n
6
               for j in 1:n
                   c[i, j] = min(c[i, j], c[i, k] + c[k, j])
7
8
               end
9
10
       end
11
       return Zone(input.numinp, input.numvars, c)
```

#### 3.2 Abstraction of linear maps

We want to abstract the graph  $\mathcal{G}_f = \{(x,y) \mid y = f(x)\}$  of a linear map f(x) = Wx + b with  $x \in [\underline{x}_1, \overline{x}_1] \times \ldots \times [\underline{x}_m, \overline{x}_m]$  and  $y \in [\underline{y}_1, \overline{y}_1] \times \ldots \times [\underline{y}_n, \overline{y}_n]$ , where  $W \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We want to implement the following proposition which correspond to Proposition 3 of SAS paper:

# Proposition 3.1 (Optimal approximation of a linear layer by a zone):

Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  an affine transformation defined, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $i \in [1, n]$ , by  $(f(x))_i = \sum_{j=1}^m w_{i,j} x_j + b_i$ . Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be an hypercube defined as  $K = \prod_{\{1 \leq j \leq m\}} [\underline{x}_j, \overline{x}_j]$ , with  $\underline{x}_j, \overline{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, the tightest zone  $\mathcal{H}_f$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $S := \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in K\}$  is the set of all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying

$$\left(\bigwedge_{1\leq j\leq m} \underline{x}_{j} \leq x_{j} \leq \overline{x}_{j}\right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq n} m_{i} \leq y_{i} \leq M_{i}\right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{1\leq i_{1}, i_{2}\leq n} y_{i_{1}} - y_{i_{2}} \leq \Delta_{i_{1}, i_{2}}\right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq n, 1\leq j\leq m} m_{i} - \overline{x}_{j} + \delta_{i, j} \leq y_{i} - x_{j} \leq M_{i} - \underline{x}_{j} - \delta_{i, j}\right),$$

where, for all  $i, i_1, i_2 \in [1, n]$  and  $j \in [1, m]$ :

$$\begin{split} m_i &= \sum_{w_{i,j} < 0} w_{i,j} \overline{x}_j + \sum_{w_{i,j} > 0} w_{i,j} \underline{x}_j + b_i, \\ M_i &= \sum_{w_{i,j} < 0} w_{i,j} \underline{x}_j + \sum_{w_{i,j} > 0} w_{i,j} \overline{x}_j + b_i, \\ \Delta_{i_1,i_2} &= \sum_{w_{i_1,j} < w_{i_2,j}} (w_{i_1,j} - w_{i_2,j}) \underline{x}_j + \sum_{w_{i_1,j} > w_{i_2,j}} (w_{i_1,j} - w_{i_2,j}) \overline{x}_j + (b_{i_1} - b_{i_2}), \\ \delta_{i,j} &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } w_{i,j} \leq 0 \\ w_{i,j} (\overline{x}_j - \underline{x}_j), & \text{if } 0 \leq w_{i,j} \leq 1 \\ (\overline{x}_j - \underline{x}_j), & \text{if } 1 \leq w_{i,j} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

#### 3.3 Abstraction of activation function ReLU

To interpret the ReLU activation function within the context of zones represented by difference bound matrices, we need to understand how it works on interval constraints.

For a given interval constraint  $x \in [l, u]$ , the ReLU function can be interpreted as follows:

$$\text{ReLU}([l, u]) = \begin{cases} [l, u] & \text{if } l \ge 0 \\ [0, 0] & \text{if } u \le 0 \\ [0, u] & \text{if } l < 0 < u \end{cases}$$

```
1 function zone_approximate_act_map(act::ActivationFunction, input::
        Zone)
2     if act == Id()
3        return input
4     elseif act == ReLU()
```

```
5
            db = copy(input.DBM)
 6
            n = input.numvars + input.numinp + 1
7
            for i in (input.numinp+2):(input.numinp+input.numvars+1)
                db[1, i] = max(db[1, i], 0)
if db[i, 1] < 0
db[i, 1] = 0
9
10
11
                 \verb"end"
12
                 for j in 1:n
13
                     if db[i, j] < 0</pre>
14
                         db[i, j] = 0
15
16
                     if db[j, i] < 0
                          db[j, i] = 0
17
                     end
18
19
                end
20
21
            return Zone(input.numinp, input.numvars, db)
22
23
        error("Activation function not supported")
24 end
```

# 4 Verification using Tropical Geometry

What's the idea behind tropical geometry?

The idea is to replace the usual operations of addition and multiplication by the tropical operations of taking the minimum and the sum.

**Définition 4.1:** Let's define  $\mathbb{R}_{\max} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\max}$ , we define the tropical sum and product by:

$$a \oplus b = \max(a, b)$$
$$a \otimes b = a + b$$

The neutral element for the tropical sum is  $-\infty$  and the neutral element for the tropical product is 0.

No inverse for the sum but inverse on  $\mathbb{R}$  (and not on  $\mathbb{R}_{\max}$ ) for the product.

The usual order on  $\mathbb{R}$  is the same as the order on  $\mathbb{R}_{\max}$  with  $-\infty$  being the smallest element.  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x \oplus y = \max(x, y) = y$ .

**Remarque 4.1:** Some definition of tropical geometry use the minimum instead of the maximum for the tropical sum. This is equivalent since the maximum is the minimum in the tropical semiring.

### 4.1 Tropical Polynomials

Just as classical polynomials are sums of monomials, tropical polynomials are tropical sums of tropical monomials.

**Définition 4.2 (Tropical Monomial):** A tropical monomial in variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  is an expression of the form

$$c \otimes x_1^{\otimes \alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^{\otimes \alpha_n}$$

which in classical notation is

$$c + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$$

where  $c, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Définition 4.3 (Tropical Polynomial):** A tropical polynomial is a tropical sum of tropical monomials:

$$p(x) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} (c_i \otimes x_1^{\otimes \alpha_{i1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^{\otimes \alpha_{in}})$$

which in classical notation is

$$p(x) = \max_{i=1}^{m} (c_i + \alpha_{i1}x_1 + \dots + \alpha_{in}x_n)$$

#### 4.2 Tropical Rational Functions

Tropical rational functions are particularly important for understanding the behavior of ReLU networks.

**Définition 4.4 (Tropical Rational Function):** A tropical rational function is a difference of two tropical polynomials:

$$r(x) = p(x) \oslash q(x) = p(x) - q(x)$$

where p and q are tropical polynomials.

#### 4.3 Relation to ReLU Neural Networks

ReLU neural networks can be represented as tropical rational functions, which provides a powerful framework for verification. Let's explore this connection in detail.

**Proposition 4.1:** The ReLU activation function can be expressed in tropical notation as:

$$ReLU(x) = max(0, x) = 0 \oplus x$$

**Theorème 4.1:** Any function computed by a ReLU neural network can be expressed as a tropical rational function of the form:

$$f(x) = \max_{j} (\alpha_j^0 + \sum_{i} \alpha_j^i x_i) - \max_{k} (\beta_k^0 + \sum_{l} \beta_k^l x_l)$$

*Proof.* The proof follows by induction on the number of layers. For a single layer with ReLU activation, we can directly express the output as a tropical polynomial. For multiple layers, we compose these functions and use the properties of tropical algebra to simplify the resulting expression.  $\Box$ 

# 4.4 Tropical Hypersurfaces and Neural Network Decision Boundaries

A key insight from tropical geometry is that the decision boundaries of ReLU neural networks correspond to tropical hypersurfaces.

**Définition 4.5 (Tropical Hypersurface):** The tropical hypersurface of a tropical polynomial p is the set of points where the maximum in p is achieved by at least two different terms.

**Proposition 4.2:** The decision boundaries of a ReLU neural network correspond to the tropical hypersurfaces of its tropical polynomial representation.

This correspondence allows us to analyze the geometric properties of neural network decision boundaries using tools from tropical geometry.

#### 4.5 Verification Algorithm using Tropical Geometry

Now, we present an algorithm for verifying properties of ReLU neural networks using tropical geometric methods.

Neural Network Verification via Tropical Geometry

- 1. Express the neural network as a tropical rational function f.
- 2. Express the property to be verified as a constraint g on the output of f.
- 3. Formulate the verification problem as checking whether f satisfies g for all inputs in a given domain D.
- 4. Use tropical geometric operations to compute the regions where f may violate g.
- 5. If no such regions exist in D, the property is verified.

#### 4.6 Implementation in Julia

Let's implement the basics of tropical algebra and verification in Julia:

```
1 module TropicalAlgebra
2
3 export TropicalNumber, , , tropical_sum, tropical_product
4
5 # Define a tropical number type
6 struct TropicalNumber
7 value::Float64
8
9 # Constructor with special handling for -Inf
10 TropicalNumber(x::Real) = x == -Inf ? new(-Inf) : new(convert( Float64, x))
```

```
11 end
12
13 # Define tropical addition (max)
14 tropical_sum(a::TropicalNumber, b::TropicalNumber) = TropicalNumber
       (max(a.value, b.value))
15
      (a::TropicalNumber, b::TropicalNumber) = tropical_sum(a, b)
16
17 # Define tropical multiplication (addition)
18 tropical_product(a::TropicalNumber, b::TropicalNumber) =
       TropicalNumber(a.value + b.value)
      (a::TropicalNumber, b::TropicalNumber) = tropical_product(a, b)
19
20
21 # Define pretty printing
22 Base.show(io::IO, x::TropicalNumber) = print(io, x.value == -Inf ?
           " : x.value)
23
24 end # module
26 module TropicalVerification
27
28 using LinearAlgebra
29 using ...TropicalAlgebra
31 export tropical_affine_transform, tropical_relu,
       tropical_network_forward
32
33 # Implement tropical affine transformation
34 function tropical_affine_transform(W::Matrix{Float64}, b::Vector{
       Float64}, x::Vector{TropicalNumber})
35
       n = size(W, 1)
36
       result = Vector{TropicalNumber}(undef, n)
37
38
       for i in 1:n
39
           result[i] = TropicalNumber(b[i])
40
           for j in 1:length(x)
41
               term = W[i,j]
               result[i] = result[i]
42
                                           term
43
           end
44
       end
45
46
       return result
47 end
48
49 # Implement tropical ReLU
50 function tropical_relu(x::TropicalNumber)
      zero = TropicalNumber(0)
51
52
       return x
                    zero
53 \, \, {\tt end}
54
55 function tropical_relu(x::Vector{TropicalNumber})
       return [tropical_relu(xi) for xi in x]
56
57 end
58
59 # Forward pass through a tropical neural network
60 function tropical_network_forward(weights::Vector{Matrix{Float64}},
                                       biases::Vector{Vector{Float64}},
61
62
                                       input::Vector{TropicalNumber})
```

```
63
        x = input
 64
         for i in 1:length(weights)
 65
             x = tropical_affine_transform(weights[i], biases[i], x)
 66
             if i < length(weights) # Apply ReLU to all but the last layer
 67
                  x = tropical_relu(x)
 68
             end
 69
         end
 70
        return x
 71 end
 72
 73 # Verify a property of a neural network
 74 \  \, \textbf{function} \  \, \textbf{verify\_property(weights::Vector\{Matrix\{Float64\}\}\,,}
 75
                                 biases::Vector{Vector{Float64}},
 76
                                 input_domain::Matrix{Float64},
 77
                                 property::Function)
 78
         # TODO: Implement verification using tropical geometry
 79
        # This would check if the network satisfies the property
 80
         # over the entire input domain
 81
 82
        # Placeholder for the actual implementation
83
         return true
84 end
 85
 86 end # module
87
88 # Example usage
89 using .TropicalAlgebra
90 using .TropicalVerification
91
92 # Define a simple neural network
93 weights = [
         [1.0 -1.0; 1.0 1.0], # First layer weights
94
 95
         [1.0 1.0; -1.0 1.0] # Second layer weights
96 1
97
98 biases = [
        [-1.0, 1.0], # First layer biases
99
100
         [0.0, 0.0]
                        # Second layer biases
101 ]
102
103 # Convert input to tropical numbers
104 input = [TropicalNumber(0.5), TropicalNumber(-0.5)]
105
106 # Forward pass
107 output = tropical_network_forward(weights, biases, input)
108 println("Network output: ", output)
110 # Define an input domain and a property to verify
111 input_domain = [-1.0 1.0; -1.0 1.0] # Bounds for each input dimension 112 property(output) = output[1].value \geq output[2].value # Class 1 always
        has higher score than class 2
113
114 # Verify the property
115 verified = verify_property(weights, biases, input_domain, property)
116 println("Property verified: ", verified)
```

### 4.7 Tropical Polyhedra for Verification

Tropical polyhedra provide a powerful abstraction for representing the behavior of ReLU networks.

**Définition 4.6 (Tropical Polyhedron):** A tropical polyhedron is a set of points satisfying a finite number of tropical linear inequalities:

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} a_j \otimes x_j \oplus c \leq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} b_j \otimes x_j \oplus d$$

To verify properties of a neural network, we can represent its behavior using tropical polyhedra and then check if the property holds for all points in the polyhedra.

```
1 struct TropicalPolyhedron
2
       A::Matrix{Float64} # Left-hand side coefficients
3
       b::Vector{Float64} # Left-hand side constants
       C::Matrix{Float64} # Right-hand side coefficients
4
       d::Vector{Float64} # Right-hand side constants
5
6
7
  function is_in_polyhedron(poly::TropicalPolyhedron, x::Vector{
       Float64})
9
       m = size(poly.A, 1)
10
       n = size(poly.A, 2)
11
12
       for i in 1:m
           lhs_max = poly.b[i]
13
           for j in 1:n
14
                lhs_max = max(lhs_max, poly.A[i,j] + x[j])
15
16
17
           rhs_max = poly.d[i]
18
           for j in 1:n
19
20
                rhs_max = max(rhs_max, poly.C[i,j] + x[j])
21
            end
22
23
            if lhs_max > rhs_max
24
                return false
25
            end
26
       end
27
28
       return true
29 end
30
31 function project_polyhedron(poly::TropicalPolyhedron, dims::Vector{
       Int})
32
       # Placeholder for projection algorithm
33
       # In practice, this would compute a new polyhedron that is the
34
       # projection of the original one onto the specified dimensions
35
       return poly
36 \, \, \mathrm{end}
37
```