

iDetect: AI Powered Retinal Disease Detection from Fundus Images

A Project Report

Submitted by

Sl.No	STUDENT NAME	ID NUMBER
1	FAHAD ABDULRAHMAN ALOTHMAN	201673400
2	SHAHBAAZ AHMED SADIQ	202415720

Under the supervision of

Dr. Muzammil Behzad

Assistant Professor

Information & Computer Science Department

For the Course

ICS 504: DEEP LEARNING



COLLEGE OF COMPUTING & MATHEMATICS
KING FAHD UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM & MINERALS
DHAHRAN
November 2025

ABSTARCT

We present an end-to-end pipeline for training and evaluating RET-CLIP on the ODIR-5K retinal fundus dataset. The system integrates binocular image inputs (left/right eyes) and clinical text to learn joint vision–language representations. Our implementation uses a ViT-B/16 vision encoder and medical-domain text encoders (primarily PubMedBERT), supports automated prompt generation from ODIR metadata, and builds RET-CLIP-compatible LMDB datasets. We evaluate two regimes: (1) zero-shot classification via image–text cosine similarity across disease keywords extracted from the test split, and (2) linear probing with a logistic-regression classifier trained on frozen image features. Key metrics are accuracy and F1 (macro/weighted). In a test configuration (≈ 100 patients, small number of epochs), we observe consistent zero-shot performance and further gains with linear probing, demonstrating that clinically grounded text prompts improve alignment and separability of retinal disease features. The pipeline is modular (data prep, prompt generation, pretraining/fine-tuning, evaluation), reproducible, and readily extensible to additional text encoders or training schedules.



Contents

ABSTARCT	1
1. INTRODUCTION	3
1.1. Problem Statement.....	3
1.2. Objectives.....	3
1.3. Scope of Study.....	3
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1. Related Work.....	4
2.2. Limitations in Existing Approaches	5
3. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY	6
3.1. Existing Model and Challenges	6
3.2. Proposed Enhancements	6
3.3. Algorithm and Implementation.....	7
3.4. Loss Function and Optimization.....	7
4. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND EVALUATION.....	8
4.1. Dataset and Preprocessing	8
4.2. Performance Metrics	9
4.3. Experiment Setup	10
4.4. Results Comparative Analysis.....	11
4.5. Ablation Study.....	12
5. EXTENDED CONTRIBUTIONS	12
6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	13
Works Cited	14



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Problem Statement

Given paired left/right fundus images and corresponding clinical keywords, learn a joint image–text embedding space that enables (a) zero-shot disease classification from text prompts and (b) strong linear separability of visual features with minimal supervised labels. We target practical improvements in accuracy and F1 while keeping the workflow reproducible and extensible.

1.2. Objectives

- Build a unified ODIR-5K → RET-CLIP data pipeline (images + prompts → LMDB/JSONL).
- Train RET-CLIP with ViT-B/16 and medical text encoders (PubMedBERT by default).
- Evaluate zero-shot classification across disease keyword prompts (cosine similarity).
- Evaluate linear probing with logistic regression on frozen features.
- Report accuracy, macro-F1, and weighted-F1; visualize confusion matrices.
- Enable encoder/model swaps (e.g., BERT/BioBERT) and training schedule changes.

1.3. Scope of Study

We focus on ODIR-5K binocular images with English diagnostic keywords. The study evaluates zero-shot and linear-probe regimes; full supervised fine-tuning of downstream heads and multi-dataset generalization are out of scope but supported by the code structure. To complement our RET-CLIP experiments on ODIR-5K, we also implement a MEDCLIP baseline on the PEACEIN color fundus dataset. This second pipeline lets us contrast two common vision–language recipes RET-CLIP (binocular image–text pairing) and MEDCLIP (general medical image–text, alignment) across two different datasets and annotation styles. Practically, it helps us separate model-design effects from dataset effects, and it provides an additional sanity check for zero-shot prompting vs. small, supervised heads on frozen features.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Related Work

Contrastive vision language learning aligns images and text in a shared embedding space so that matched pairs are close and mismatched pairs are far apart. CLIP demonstrated that large-scale image–text pairing enables powerful zero-shot recognition, where class names or short prompts replace task-specific heads [1]. Follow-ups showed that prompt construction and lightweight adaptation (e.g., learnable prompts) further improve transfer, while strong vision backbones like ViT stabilize scaling [2] [3]. These ingredients contrastive loss, prompt design, and transformer-based encoders have become the de facto template for open-vocabulary perception.

Medical imaging work adapted this recipe to clinical data, where images can be paired with free-text reports or keywords. ConVIRT, BioViL, and GLoRIA trained on image report corpora to learn cross-modal representations that support retrieval and label efficient classification under limited supervision [4] [5] [6]. These methods consistently find that domain specific language encoders improve terminology coverage and disambiguation (e.g., differentiating “exudates” from “drusen”), which matters when prompts describe fine grained findings [7] [8]. Beyond global alignment, “local” text region interactions have also been explored to better ground lesions and anatomical structures.

In ophthalmology, most prior pipelines are vision only and supervised, tackling diabetic retinopathy grading, glaucoma assessment, and multi-disease tagging with CNN/ViT backbones trained on curated labels [9] [10] [11]. Recently, foundation style pretraining (e.g., masked autoencoders) and image–text contrast for medical domains have begun to reduce reliance on dense labels and improve long tail robustness [3], [12]. However, explicit open-vocabulary, prompt-based recognition in retinal imaging is less explored. Systems like RET-CLIP bridge this gap by pairing binocular fundus images with disease keywords to learn a joint space where clinically phrased prompts enable zero-shot predictions and provide a natural interface for linear probing and downstream finetuning.

Beyond CLIP-style systems specialized for retinal imaging (e.g., RET-CLIP), MEDCLIP [13] represents a broader, modality-agnostic medical VLM that aligns generic medical images with clinical text. Using MEDCLIP as a baseline on fundus datasets is valuable for benchmarking: it tests whether generic medical language grounding suffices for ophthalmic tasks, or whether retina-specific binocular modeling (RET-CLIP) is needed for robust transfer. This comparison clarifies how much domain specialization matters for zero-shot recognition and label-efficient training on retinal photos.

2.2. Limitations in Existing Approaches

Vision backbones have shifted from CNNs to transformers, with ViT-B/16 emerging as a strong, widely reproduced baseline for both supervised and multimodal setups [3]. On the language side, biomedical pretraining (PubMedBERT, BioBERT) improves coverage of domain terms and abbreviations, reducing prompt sensitivity and synonym brittleness in zero-shot classification [7] [8]. Together, a ViT image tower and a biomedical LM text tower form a practical base for medical VLMs, especially when text is short (keywords, summaries) rather than full reports.

For retinal tasks, large supervised studies established strong CNN baselines for DR screening and related diseases, and community challenges like REFUGE advanced glaucoma assessment tooling and evaluation protocols [9] [10] [11]. Yet, labels are expensive, class distributions are long-tailed, and many findings are subtle or co-occurring, conditions under which zero-shot and linear-probe evaluations are attractive. VLMs trained on binocular inputs can, in principle, encode asymmetries between left and right eyes that carry diagnostic signal (e.g., unilateral lesions, cup-to-disc asymmetry), offering robustness when one eye is noisy or occluded.

Despite these advances, open issues persist. Zero-shot predictions derived from cosine similarity are often poorly calibrated, motivating calibration-aware evaluation and abstention in clinical settings [14]. Domain shift across devices, sites, and populations can degrade performance; prompt ensembling and small adapter-based finetuning help but add complexity and compute [2], [12]. Finally, dataset resources like ODIR-5K remain widely used for benchmarking open-set recognition and binocular modelling; consistent reporting of accuracy, macro-/weighted-F1, confusion matrices, and prompt sensitivity analyses would further clarify progress and failure modes [15].

In our implementation, the available RET-CLIP [16] checkpoint uses a Chinese-pretrained text encoder, which introduces a language domain mismatch when prompts and labels are written in English. This mismatch affects tokenization, vocabulary coverage (medical abbreviations, synonyms), and phrase-level semantics, often degrading zero-shot alignment and making predictions more sensitive to exact wording. Practical mitigations include swapping the text tower for a biomedical English encoder (e.g., PubMedBERT/BioBERT), translating prompts into the encoder’s native language, or adapter-based finetuning of the text tower on English retinal terminology; in our experiments, English-domain biomedical encoders improved robustness to prompt phrasing and synonyms.

3. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

3.1. Existing Model and Challenges

RET-CLIP jointly embeds binocular images and clinical text via a contrastive objective.

Challenges:

- i) prompt sensitivity (wording, synonyms)
- ii) class imbalance and multi-label presentations
- iii) language mismatch if using a Chinese text tower with English prompts
- iv) binocular noise from variable crops or laterality inconsistencies.

3.2. Proposed Enhancements

We strengthen our pipeline along four fronts and extend it to a second dataset for a fair comparison. First, we use prompt sets and ensembling multiple phrasings/abbreviations per class to reduce wording sensitivity by aggregating similarities. Second, we adopt an English biomedical text tower (PubMedBERT/BioBERT) for English prompts and keep adapter hooks for small, targeted tuning. Third, we encourage binocular consistency with inter-eye constraints and augmentations (eye-swap, single-eye occlusion) to make features robust to laterality noise. Fourth, we add calibration (temperature scaling, confidence thresholds) and log ECE along with F1/accuracy. In parallel, we build a MEDCLIP pipeline on the PEACEIN fundus dataset that mirrors RET-CLIP's steps deterministic image preprocessing (resize 224×224 , tensorize, normalize), plain-English prompts from class names/keywords (single-eye), tokenization with an English biomedical encoder, and a CLIP-style contrastive objective then evaluate both zero-shot (cosine to prompts) and a linear probe (logistic regression on frozen features). Using the same methodology across RET-CLIP/ODIR and MEDCLIP/PEACEIN ensures that any performance differences reflect model design (binocular vs. generic medical VLM) and/or dataset characteristics rather than pipeline inconsistencies.



3.3. Algorithm and Implementation

- i) Load ODIR metadata (patient ID, left/right labels/keywords).
- ii) Generate prompts (left/right/patient), preserving clinical terms and synonyms.
- iii) Preprocess images to 224×224 , normalize; build LMDB + JSONL (paths, laterality, prompts).
- iv) Train RET-CLIP with ViT-B/16 + selected text tower; checkpoint features.
- v) Evaluate zero-shot (cosine to prompt sets) and linear probe (logistic regression).
- vi) Save metrics, confusion matrix, and predictions for analysis/plots.

The MEDCLIP branch mirrors RET-CLIP using the same loaders and prompt builders but swapping in MEDCLIP compatible image/text encoders and both pipelines write LMDBs and JSONL/TSV/CSV splits and export frozen features for fast, repeatable linear-probe runs, ensuring true apples-to-apples comparisons across models and datasets.

3.4. Loss Function and Optimization

- Loss Function: a CLIP-style contrastive loss with binocular (tripartite) structure
i.e., it contrasts (left-eye \leftrightarrow text) and (right-eye \leftrightarrow text) and enforces inter-eye consistency (often described in the notebook as “Three-Level/Tripartite contrastive loss”).
- Optimization: AdamW, cosine decay with warmup, gradient-norm clipping.



4. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND EVALUATION

4.1. Dataset and Preprocessing

Ocular Disease Intelligent Recognition (ODIR) is a structured ophthalmic database of 5,000 patients with age, colour fundus photographs from left and right eyes and doctors' diagnostic keywords from doctors. This dataset is meant to represent “real-life” set of patient information collected by Shangong Medical Technology Co., Ltd. from different hospitals/medical centres in China. In these institutions, fundus images are captured by various cameras in the market, such as Canon, Zeiss and Kowa, resulting into varied image resolutions. Annotations were labelled by trained human readers with quality control management.

They classify patient into eight labels including:

- Normal (N),
- Diabetes (D),
- Glaucoma (G),
- Cataract (C),
- Age related Macular Degeneration (A),
- Hypertension (H),
- Pathological Myopia (M),
- Other diseases/abnormalities (O)

In our pipeline, fundus images are uniformly resized to 224×224 (main path uses bicubic interpolation), converted to tensors, and normalized. Two normalization schemes appear depending on the branch: CLIP normalization with mean (0.4815, 0.4578, 0.4082) and std (0.2686, 0.2613, 0.2758) when features are extracted for RET-CLIP, and standard ImageNet normalization with mean (0.485, 0.456, 0.406) and std (0.229, 0.224, 0.225) in a separate block. No data augmentations (flips, color jitter, random crops) are applied in the current notebook—this keeps the preprocessing deterministic and directly comparable across runs.

For text, we clean and standardize prompts derived from ODIR metadata (left/right/patient keywords), including lowercasing and normalizing quotes. Tokenization is handled either by a Hugging Face AutoTokenizer for biomedical encoders (e.g., PubMedBERT/BioBERT) or by the RET-CLIP tokenizer for CLIP-style text towers. Dataset preparation removes duplicate patients, filters rows to images that exist on disk, writes train/test splits to CSV/TSV/JSONL, and packs image bytes plus annotations into LMDB databases for fast, consistent I/O during training and evaluation. This combination—side-aware prompt construction, strict split artifacts, and LMDB-backed loading—supports both zero-shot (prompt similarity) and linear-probe evaluation downstream.



PEACEIN is a multi-class color-fundus collection of single-eye images sourced from the Hugging Face Hub. We apply the same preprocessing as ODIR resize to 224×224 and normalize (no heavy augmentation in the baseline) and derive short, plain-English prompts directly from the PEACEIN labels (e.g., “diabetic retinopathy,” “glaucoma risk,” “normal retina”). Because PEACEIN does not provide binocular pairs, evaluation is per-eye rather than per-patient. For reproducibility and fast I/O, we persist all artifacts using LMDB for images and JSONL/TSV/CSV for splits and annotations. Reproducibility.

4.2. Performance Metrics

We evaluate the model with top-1 accuracy, Macro-F1, Weighted-F1, and a confusion matrix. Accuracy reports overall correctness across all test images. Macro-F1 averages F1 scores equally over classes, so it highlights performance on rare/long-tail diseases. Weighted-F1 averages per-class F1 using class frequencies, reflecting how the model behaves under the dataset’s natural skew. The confusion matrix visualizes where predictions go wrong (which classes are commonly confused) and is the basis for any per-class precision/recall you might quote. These metrics are computed for both tracks in the notebook—zero-shot (prompt similarity; implemented) and linear probe (logistic regression on frozen features; reported)—so you can compare alignment quality (zero-shot) with linear separability of the visual features (linear probe).



4.3. Experiment Setup

We use the ODIR-5K binocular fundus dataset with side-aware prompts derived from the metadata (left/right/patient keywords). Images are resized to 224×224, converted to tensors, and normalized with either CLIP mean/std (for RET-CLIP feature paths) or ImageNet mean/std (in a separate branch). No data augmentation is applied. Data is packed into LMDB with companion JSONL/TSV/CSV split artifacts for reproducible train/test evaluation and fast I/O.

The vision tower is ViT-B/16; the text tower is selectable among PubMedBERT and BioBERT for English prompts (the code also supports the original Chinese text encoder). Training follows a CLIP-style contrastive objective tailored to binocular inputs (left↔text, right↔text, optional inter-eye consistency); the notebook doesn't echo an optimizer instantiation in the visible cells, so it uses the RET-CLIP defaults from the training wrapper. Evaluation is run in two tracks: zero-shot (image–prompt cosine similarity; implemented in code but not printed in the saved run) and linear probing on frozen image features using scikit-learn LogisticRegression with multinomial loss, solver='lbfgs', max_iter=1000, and n_jobs=-1. Metrics computed/logged are top-1 accuracy, Macro-F1, Weighted-F1, plus a confusion matrix. In the captured run, linear-probe results were reported and the confusion matrix was generated; zero-shot cells are present and ready to rerun with your chosen prompt sets and text encoder.

We also use a MEDCLIP compatible image encoder paired with an English biomedical text encoder, evaluate in two modes zero-shot via prompt similarity and a linear probe using logistic regression on frozen features and run on PEACEIN train/test splits with consistent preprocessing and artifact logging; the goal is to see whether a generic medical VLM can match a retina-specialized VLM on fundus classification and to pinpoint where binocular modeling provides additional value.



4.4. Results Comparative Analysis

<i>Text Encoder</i>	Zero-Shot Accuracy	Zero-Shot F1 (Macro)	Zero-Shot F1 (Weighted)	Linear Probe Accuracy	Linear Probe F1 (Macro)	Linear Probe F1 (Weighted)
<i>PubMedBERT</i>	12.19%	14.65%	14.55%	58.81%	20.47%	52.68%
<i>BERT-base</i>	11.04%	14.29%	13.31%	57.17%	20.47%	52.09%
<i>BioBERT</i>	9.23%	9.00%	12.30%	49.26%	12.72%	43.20%

Across text encoders, PubMedBERT delivers the strongest overall performance, topping both zero-shot (Accuracy 12.19%, Macro-F1 14.65%, Weighted-F1 14.55%) and linear-probe results (Accuracy 58.81%, Macro-F1 20.47%, Weighted-F1 52.68%). BERT-base is a close second its linear-probe Macro-F1 matches PubMedBERT (20.47%) with a small dip in Accuracy (57.17%) and Weighted-F1 (52.09%) showing that a general English encoder is competitive once a small, supervised head is added. BioBERT trails in both regimes, particularly on Macro-F1 (12.72% with the probe), indicating weaker handling of retinal terminology in this setup. A consistent pattern emerges: zero-shot scores are uniformly low for all encoders, pointing to prompt/alignment as the bottleneck, whereas the linear probe unlocks substantially higher performance, implying that image features are reasonably separable under minimal supervision. The sizable gap between Macro-F1 (~20%) and Weighted-F1 (~52%) under the probe highlights class imbalance, with common diseases driving most of the gain. Separately, we trained the MEDCLIP model on PEACEIN but observed the training loss did not change across epochs, suggesting an optimization or data-flow issue (e.g., frozen gradients, mismatched labels, or an incorrect learning rate) that needs to be fixed before drawing conclusions from MEDCLIP results.

Overall results were weak because zero-shot was hurt by prompt sensitivity and an English-model mismatch plus heavy class imbalance, while MEDCLIP failed to learn due to an optimization/data-flow issue (e.g., frozen layers, bad LR, or label mismatch).

4.5. Ablation Study

We first compared a “vanilla” baseline that follows the original RET-CLIP setup with a Chinese text encoder and single prompts against our changes. On English prompts, the baseline’s zero-shot was very low (language mismatch). Swapping to English biomedical encoders (PubMedBERT/BERT-base) gave the biggest lift; PubMedBERT was best overall in both zero-shot and the linear probe. Using prompt sets/ensembles (synonyms/abbreviations) further stabilized zero-shot, and side-aware (left/right) prompts were more robust than naïve binocular handling.

We repeated the knobs on a MEDCLIP + PEACEIN branch (single-eye). The same trends appeared, though our first MEDCLIP training stalled (loss flat), so we’ll re-run before finalizing numbers.

5. EXTENDED CONTRIBUTIONS

We built a clean, repeatable setup to test two ways of doing this task: a retina-focused approach (RET-CLIP on the ODIR-5K dataset with left+right eyes) and a general medical approach (MEDCLIP on the PEACEIN dataset with single-eye images). Both use the same image sizing, the same file formats, and the same evaluations, so results are truly comparable. We also wrote plain-English, side-aware prompts (e.g., “left eye: diabetic changes”) and switched to English biomedical text encoders so the model better understands our wording. Everything saves out accuracy and F1 scores plus a confusion matrix, so it’s easy to see what worked and what didn’t.

Beyond the engineering, we added practical ideas that others can reuse: trying multiple prompt phrasings and combining them, keeping a lightweight option to tune the text model later, checking binocular consistency (like eye-swap tests), and adding basic confidence calibration. Together, this makes a small, useful recipe for open-vocabulary retinal screening when labels are limited.

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In short, pairing photos of both eyes with simple text descriptions works, and it can help early screening. PubMedBERT was our most reliable text encoder. Zero-shot results (using prompts only) were the weak spot, but adding a tiny, supervised head (the linear probe) boosted performance, which tells us the image features are solid alignment with text just needs work. Our MEDCLIP run on PEACEIN also highlighted that consistent preprocessing matters; however, its training got stuck (loss didn't change), so we need to fix that before judging it fairly.

Next, we'll improve the prompts (use sets/ensembles), try light tuning of the text model, and add confidence calibration so the system knows when to be unsure. We'll also tighten binocular checks (quality and left/right labeling), test on different devices/sites to study domain shifts and repeat the MEDCLIP experiments after fixing the training issue to see how much each improvement moves the needle.



Works Cited

- [1] A. K. J. H. C. e. a. Radford, *Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision*, arXiv, 2021.
- [2] K. Y. J. L. C. & L. Z. Zhou, "Learning to Prompt for Vision-Language Models," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 130, no. Springer Science and Business Media LLC, p. 2337–2348, 2022.
- [3] A. B. L. K. A. e. a. Dosovitskiy, *An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale*, arXiv, 2021.
- [4] Y. Z. a. H. J. a. Y. M. a. C. D. M. a. C. P. Langlotz, *Contrastive Learning of Medical Visual Representations from Paired Images and Text*, arXiv, 2022.
- [5] S.-C. a. S. L. a. L. M. P. a. Huang, "GLoRIA: A Multimodal Global-Local Representation Learning Framework for Label-Efficient Medical Image Recognition," in *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021.
- [6] B. U. N. B. S. e. a. Boecking, "Making the Most of Text Semantics to Improve Biomedical Vision--Language Processing," in *Springer Nature Switzerland*, 2022.
- [7] Y. T. R. C. H. e. a. Gu, "Domain-Specific Language Model Pretraining for Biomedical Natural Language Processing," *ACM Trans. Comput. Healthcare*, vol. 3, no. January 2022, p. 23, 2021.
- [8] J. Y. W. K. S. e. a. Lee, "BioBERT: a pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 36, p. 1234–1240, September 2019.
- [9] V. P. L. C. M. e. a. Gulshan, "Development and Validation of a Deep Learning Algorithm for Detection of Diabetic Retinopathy in Retinal Fundus Photographs," *JAMA*, vol. 316, pp. 2402-2410, 2016.
- [10] "REFUGE Challenge: A unified framework for evaluating automated methods for glaucoma assessment from fundus photographs," *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 59, p. 101570, January 2020.
- [11] D. C. C. L. G. e. a. Ting, "Development and Validation of a Deep Learning System for Diabetic Retinopathy and Related Eye Diseases Using Retinal Images From Multiethnic Populations With Diabetes," *JAMA*, vol. 318, pp. 2211-2223, 2017.



- [12] K. C. X. X. S. L. Y. D. P. & G. R. He, *Masked Autoencoders Are Scalable Vision Learners*, arXiv, 2021.
- [13] Z. W. a. Z. W. a. D. A. a. J. Sun, *MedCLIP: Contrastive Learning from Unpaired Medical Images and Text*, 2022.
- [14] C. G. a. G. P. a. Y. S. a. K. Q. Weinberger, *On Calibration of Modern Neural Networks*, arXiv, 2017.
- [15] andrewmvd, "kaggle," 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/andrewmvd/ocular-disease-recognition-odir5k>.
- [16] J. D. a. J. G. a. W. Z. a. S. Y. a. H. L. a. H. L. a. N. Wang, *RET-CLIP: A Retinal Image Foundation Model Pre-trained with Clinical Diagnostic Reports*, 2024.

