Valorisation de publication

Pourquoi faire une these ?

Aghiles DJOUDI

PhD student
LIGM/ESIEE Paris & ECE Research Lab Paris

October 8, 2019

Outline

Why I started a PhD ?

3 main reasons

- Research methodology lecture.
- Bac+5 in networking ? not really !
- Being paid to study and develop yourself !

IoT devices

IoT devices are useless without a good communication capability





Figure 1. IoT devices [1].

IoT applications requirements

Each application has its own communication requirements

Challenges/Applications	Grids	EHealth	Transport	Cities	**Building**
Resources constraints	✗	✓	✗	-	✗
Mobility	✗	-	✓	✓	✗
Heterogeneity	-	-	-	✓	✗
Scalability	✓	-	✓	✓	-
QoS constraints	-	-	✓	✓	✓
Data management	-	✗	✓	✓	-
Lack of Standardization	-	-	-	-	✓
Amount of attacks	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
Safety	-	✓	✓	-	✓

Table 1. Main IoT challenges [2] [3]

Figure 2. IoT Applications.

IoT platforms

IoT platforms is a chain of communication process



Figure 3. IoT platform.

Figure 4. IoT challenges.

IoT applications requirements

Context

Use Case	Packet rate [pkt/day]	Min success rate [Ps,min]	Payload Size [Byte]
Wearables	10	90	
Smoke Detectors	2	90	
Smart Grid	10	90	10-20
White Goods	3	90	
Waste Management	24	90	
VIP/Pet Tracking	48	90	
Smart Bicycle	192	90	
Animal Tracking	100	90	
Environmental Monitoring	5	90	
Asset Tracking	100	90	50
Smart Parking	60	90	
Alarms/Actuators	5	90	
Home Automation	5	90	
Machinery Control	100	90	
Water/Gas Metering	8	90	
Environmental Data Collection	24	90	
Medical Assisted Living	8	90	
Micro-generation	2	90	
Safety Monitoring	2	90	100-200
Propane Tank Monitoring	2	90	
Stationary Monitoring	4	90	
Urban Lighting	5	90	
Vending Machines Payment	100	90	
Vending Machines General	1	90	1K

Table 2. Application requirements for the use cases of interest [4] [3].

IoT wireless communication

Wireless communication performance need to be evaluated to match applications requirements



Figure 5. Human voice.



Figure 6. SNR & RSSI.

Figure 7. Time on air.

IoT wireless communication

Exp: LPWAN in a new technology that satisfy IoT applications requirements



Figure 8. Wireless communication diversity.



Figure 9. LoRa and LoraWan stack.

Problematic

Network configuration is the problem number one actually



Figure 10. Key barriers in adopting IoT in the industry [5].

Problematic

Network configuration is the problem number one actually



How to adapt network configurations to applications ?

Figure 10. Key barriers in adopting IoT in the industry [5].

Problematic

Where is the problem ?

- Some network configuration are static and not adptive to the application
  - Decision and optimisation problem..
  - Various network acces
  - Various configuration of each network acces
  - Lake of selection tools
- Users have to select the network and the application
  - How to select the **best** network.
  - How to select the network required by the application.

Network slicing

Exp: 4G/5G, Content provider (GAFA) want to be directly connected to users devices



Figure 11. Network slicing [5].



(a) 4G without network slicing.



(b) 5G with network slicing.

Figure 12. Network slicing concept [6].

Conclusion

In the future, network administration function will disappear and will be replaced by a slice orchestrator



Figure 13. Slice orchestrator [7].

Conclusion

In the future, network administration function will disappear and will be replaced by a slice orchestrator



Figure 13. Slice orchestrator [7].

Challenges

Where is the difficulty ?

- jh
  - Allow heterogeneous network to communicate
  - QoS Analysis
  - Threats
- How to select the **best** access point
  - Allow heterogeneous network to communicate
  - QoS Analysis
  - Threats
- Reasonable and acceptable delay before the decision appears.
- Cope with the different view points and goals of the operators and the users.
- React to the changing environment conditions.
- Allow any type of inputs and to be applicable to any type of ANs.
- Handle the increasing number of RATs and the large number of criteria.

Outline

1. Related work
2. Discussion

Outline

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 3. An example table.

Outline

Discussion

- a
- b



Figure 14. .

Outline

1. Problem statement
2. Related work
3. Contagion process
4. Experimentation
5. Results exploitation
6. Discussion

Outline

Problem statement

Introduction

- a
- b



Figure 15. .

Outline

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 4. An example table.

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 5. An example table.

Outline

... (step 1)

Methods

... (step 2)

Methods

... (step 3)

Methods

... (step 4)

Methods

Results

Comparison



Table 6

Outline

Experimentation

Experimentation

- a
- b



Figure 16. .

Outline

Results

Comparison

- a
- b



Figure 17. .

Outline

Discussion

- a
- b



Figure 18. .

Outline

Outline

Problem statement

Introduction

- a
- b



Figure 19. .

Outline

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 7. An example table.

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 8. An example table.

Outline

... (step 2)

Methods

... (step 3)

Methods

... (step 4)

Methods

Results

Comparison



Table 9

Outline

Experimentation

Experimentation

- a
- b



Figure 20. .

Outline

Results

Comparison

- a
- b



Figure 21. .

Outline

Discussion

- a
- b



Figure 22. .

Outline

Outline

Problem statement

Introduction

- a
- b



Figure 23. .

Outline

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4
[8]				

Table 10. An example table.

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 11. An example table.

Outline

... (step 1)

Methods

... (step 2)

Methods

➠

➠

... (step 3)

Methods

... (step 4)

Methods

➠

➠

Results

Comparison



Table 12

Outline

Experimentation

Experimentation

- a
- b



Figure 24. .

Outline

Results

Comparison

- a
- b



Figure 25. .

Outline

Discussion

- a
- b



Figure 26. .

Outline

Outline

Problem statement

Introduction[2] ?

- Parameters
  - Bandwidth (*BW*)
  - Spreading Factor (*SF*)
  - Coding Rate (*CR*)
  - Transmission Power (*Tx*)
- Metrics
  - Receiver Sensitivity (*RS*)
  - Signal Noise Rate (*SNR*)
  - Data Rate (*DR*)
  - Air Time (*AT*)
  - Payload length (*PktL*)

Setting	Values	Rewards	Costs
BW	7.8 ➠ 500*kHz*	*DR*	*RS*, Range
SF	2^6 ➠ 2^{12}	*RS*, Range	*DR*, *SNR*, *PktL*, *Tx*
CR	$4/5$ ➠ $4/8$	Resilience	*PktL*, *Tx*, *AT*
Tx	-4 ➠ 20*dBm*	*SNR*	*Tx*

Table 13. [1]

[1] M. Cattani, C. Boano, and K. Römer, "An experimental evaluation of the reliability of lora long-range low-power wireless communication", *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 7, 2017, 00042.

[2] B. Di Martino, M. Rak, M. Ficco, *et al.*, "Internet of things reference architectures, security and interoperability: A survey", *Internet of Things*, vol. 1-2, pp. 99–112, Sep. 2018, 00006.

Outline

Outline

Outline

Multi-Armed-Bandit Algorithm

Related work

- Arms: K = 1, ... , K
- Decision: T = 1, ... , T
- Reward: X_t^k with μ_t^k = E $[X_t^k]$
  - Best reward: X_t^* with μ_t^* = max μ_t^k, k$\in$K

Outline

Genetic Algorithm

Related work **alkhawlani_access_2008a**

- Heterogeneous wireless network: (RAT 1 ,RAT 2 ,...,RAT n)
- Criteria up to i (c 1 ,c 2 ,...,c i) the operators, the applications, and the network conditions.
-
- The different sets of scores (d 1 , d 2 ,...,d i) are sent to the MCDM in the second component.
- GA component assigns a suitable weight (w 1 ,w 2 ,...,w i)

Genetic Algorithm

Related work

-
- S = SF12, BW125, 4/8, 17 dBm
- Input:
  - Problem: f(x) = max(x^2), x $\in$[0,32]
    - $x_1 : 01101_b$
    - $x_2 : 11000_b$
    - $x_3 : 01000_b$
    - $x_4 : 10011_b$
- Method: Genetic algorithm
  - Generate a set of random possible solution
  - Test each solution and see how good it is (ranking)
    - Remove some bad solutions
    - Duplicate some good solutions
    - Make small changes to some of them (Crossover, Mutation)
- Output:
  - x_1: 01101 (169) (14.4)
  - x_2: 11000 (576) (49.2)
  - x_3: 01000 (64) (5.5)
  - x_4: 10011 (361) (30.9)

Outline

Marcov chain

Related work

$$V(s,\pi) = \mathbb{E}_s^{\pi}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\inf} \gamma^k \cdot r(s_k, a_k)\right), s \in \mathbb{S} \tag{1}$$

$$r(s_k, a_k) = G_k \cdot PRR(a_k) \tag{2}$$

$$\pi^* = \arg\max_{\pi} V(s,\pi) \tag{3}$$

$$PRR = (1 - BER)^L \tag{4}$$

$$BER = 10^{\alpha e^{\beta SNR}} \tag{5}$$

Learning Iterative Steps:

- **Choose** action $a_k(t) \sim \pi_k(t)$.
- **Observe** game outcome, e.g., $\boldsymbol{a}_{-k}(t)$ $u_k(a_k(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{-k}(t))$.
- **Improve** $\pi_k(t+1)$.



Thus, we can expect that: $\forall k \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\pi_k(t) \xrightarrow{t\to\infty} \pi_k^* \quad (1)$$

$$\bar{u}_k(\pi_k(t), \pi_{-k}(t)) \xrightarrow{t\to\infty} \bar{u}_k(\pi_k^*, \pi_{-k}^*) \quad (2)$$

where, $\pi^* = (\pi_1^*, \ldots, \pi_K^*)$ is a NE strategy profile.

Figure 27. .

Outline

Game theory

Related work

- Players: $K = \{1, ..., K\}$
- Strategies: $S = S_1 \times \ldots \times S_K$
  - S_k is the strategy set of the k^{th} player.
- Rewards: $u_k : S \longrightarrow R_+$ and is denoted by $r_k(s_k, s_{-k})$
  - $s_{-k} = (s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1}, s_{k+1}, \ldots, s_K) \in S_1 \times \ldots \times S_{k-1} \times S_{k+1} \times \ldots \times S_K$

Outline

... (step 2)

Methods



Figure 28. HH.

... (step 3)

Methods

... (step 4)

Methods

Outline

Contribution

Contributions

- **Use cases (Application Requirements)**
  - Smart building: Voice, Images, Text.
- **Environments**
  - Rural/Urban
  - Static/Mobile
  - Temperature
- **Scenarios**
  - Application protocol (MQTT, COAP, XMPP)
  - Network protocol (Start, Mesh)
  - MAC protocol (LoraWan, Sigfox, ...)
- **Input:**
  - Service QoS metrics requirements
  - MAC configuration (SF, CR, BW, ...)
  - Network QoS metrics
- **Algorithms:**
  - MADM
    - Ranking methods
    - Ranking & weighted methods
  - Game theory
    - Users vs users
    - Users vs networks
    - Networks vs network
  - Fuzzy logic
    - as a score method
    - another theory
  - Utility function
    - 1
    - 2
- **Outputs:**
  - Ranked networks

Technical choice

Implementation

- ZOLERTIA RE-MOTE
  - Low consumption component
  - ADC port for placing sensors on it
- CONTIKI OS
  - Operating system for wireless and low power development
  - Support for newer standards (6LowPAN, RPL, CoAP, MQTT)
- 6LowPAN
  - Based on IPv6 and IEEE 802.15.4
  - IPv6-based network with low power consumption
  - Ability to create a mesh network
- Sending packages
  - UDP in the 6LowPAN network
  - MQTT between the cloud platform and the router

Experimentation

Experimentation

- a
- b



Figure 29. .

Outline

Results

Comparison

- a
- b



Figure 30. .

Outline

Discussion

- a
- b



Figure 31. .

Outline

Outline

Problem statement

Introduction

- a
- b



Figure 32. .

Outline

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 14. An example table.

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 15. An example table.

Outline

... (step 1)

Methods

... (step 2)

Methods

... (step 3)

Methods

... (step 4)

Methods

Results

Comparison

Table 16

Outline

Experimentation

Experimentation

- a
- b



Figure 33. .

Outline

Results

Comparison

- a
- b



Figure 34. .

Outline

Discussion

- a
- b



Figure 35. .

Outline

Outline

Problem statement

Introduction

- a
- b



Figure 36. .

Outline

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 17. An example table.

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 18. An example table.

Outline

... (step 1)

Methods

... (step 2)

Methods

... (step 3)

Methods

... (step 4)

Methods

Results

Comparison

Table 19

Outline

Experimentation

Experimentation

- a
- b



Figure 37. .

Outline

Results

Comparison

- a
- b



Figure 38. .

Outline

Discussion

- a
- b



Figure 39. .

Outline

Outline

Problem statement

Introduction

- a
- b



Figure 40. .

Outline

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 20. An example table.

Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 21. An example table.

Outline

... (step 1)

Methods

... (step 2)

Methods

➠

➠

... (step 3)

Methods

... (step 4)

Methods

Results

Comparison



Table 22

Outline

Experimentation

Experimentation

- a
- b



Figure 41. .

Outline

Results

Comparison

- a
- b



Figure 42. .

Outline

Discussion

- a
- b



Figure 43. .

Outline

Conclusion

Our main goal was

- .
- .

Our main contribution was

- .
- .

Our main results was

- .
- .

Future Challenges

Conclusion

Our future goal was

- .
- .

Outline

0]Social privacy score through vulnerability contagion process

Outline

1]Privacy Framework

Outline

2]Survey: Privacy

References

[1] C. Perera, P. P. Jayaraman, A. Zaslavsky, P. Christen, and D. Georgakopoulos, "MOSDEN: An Internet of Things Middleware for Resource Constrained Mobile Devices", , Oct. 15, 2013, 00107. arXiv: 1310.4038 [cs].

[2] D. E. Kouicem, A. Bouabdallah, and H. Lakhlef, "Internet of things security: A top-down survey", *Computer Networks*, vol. 141, pp. 199–221, Aug. 4, 2018, 00029.

[3] V. P. Venkatesan, C. P. Devi, and M. Sivaranjani, "Design of a smart gateway solution based on the exploration of specific challenges in IoT", in *2017 International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC)*, 00004, Palladam, Tamilnadu, India: IEEE, Feb. 2017, pp. 22–31.

[4] L. Feltrin, C. Buratti, E. Vinciarelli, R. De Bonis, and R. Verdone, "LoRaWAN: Evaluation of Link- and System-Level Performance", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 2249–2258, Jun. 2018, 00000.

[5] V. Sciancalepore, M. Di Renzo, and X. Costa-Perez, "STORNS: Stochastic Radio Access Network Slicing", , Jan. 16, 2019, 00001. arXiv: 1901.05336 [cs, math].

[6] M. R. Sama, S. Beker, W. Kiess, and S. Thakolsri, "Service-Based Slice Selection Function for 5G", in *2016 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*, 00016, Washington, DC, USA: IEEE, Dec. 2016, pp. 1–6.

[7] A. Ksentini and N. Nikaein, "Toward Enforcing Network Slicing on RAN: Flexibility and Resources Abstraction", *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 102–108, 2017, 00063.

[8] P. A. Barro, "A LoRaWAN coverage testBed and a multi-optional communication architecture for smart city feasibility in developing countries", , p. 12, 2019, 00000.

[9] M. Cattani, C. Boano, and K. Römer, "An experimental evaluation of the reliability of lora long-range low-power wireless communication", *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 7, 2017, 00042.

[10] B. Di Martino, M. Rak, M. Ficco, A. Esposito, S. Maisto, and S. Nacchia, "Internet of things reference architectures, security and interoperability: A survey", *Internet of Things*, vol. 1-2, pp. 99–112, Sep. 2018, 00006.