Homework 3

This homework must be returned to Leslie Huang at the beginning of lecture at 11:00 AM on May 1, 2018. Late work will incur penalties of the equivalent of one third of a letter grade per day late.

It must be your own work, and your own work only—you must not copy anyone's work, or allow them to copy yours. This extends to writing code. You may consult with others, but when you write up, you must do so alone.

Your homework submission must be in one of the following formats: (1) A set of answers and a clearly commented R code appendix (use comments to identify code relevant to each answer you produced), (2) A report consisting of clearly marked answers, each accompanied by the relevant code (e.g., a report generated using rmarkdown, knitr, or similar). In either case, your code must be included in full, such that your understanding of the problems can be assessed. Homework that does not conform to these guidelines will not be graded.

For the following exercises, it is recommended that you use the following packages: topicmodels, lda, and stm.

1. Applying topic models to the news corpus:

- (a) To decrease the time it takes to fit a topic model, we will limit our analysis to a subset of the immigration corpus. Create a subset of data_corpus_immigrationnews that only contains articles from the 4 news sources with the most documents in the immigration news corpus. Create a table that shows how many documents are associated with each newspaper.
- (b) Create a document term matrix with your new immigration corpus in which punctuation is removed and words are set to lower case. Also, remove a custom set of stopwords custom_stopwords (available on GitHub) that is relevant to this particular data set. Finally, use quanteda's "dfm_trim" to remove words that occur fewer than 30 times or in fewer than 20 documents. Report the remaining number of features and the total number of documents in the DFM.
- (c) Preprocessing decisions can have substantive impacts on the topics created by topic model algorithms. Make a brief (1 paragraph) argument for or against removing rare terms from a dfm on which you plan to fit a topic model.

- (d) Fit a topic model with 30 topics using LDA(), with method = "Gibbs". Increase the number of iterations to 3000 to ensure that the model describes the underlying data well and set the seed to 10012 so that you can replicate your results. Report the @loglikelihood of your topic model object.
- (e) Examine the top 10 words that contribute the most to each topic using get_terms(). Report these words. Label each of the 5 topics that have the most articles in the corpus associated with them. Explain your choice of labels. You should save the top 10 words over all 30 topics, for later use.
- (f) Examine the topics that contribute the most to each document, using the code from Recitation 11 to visualize the top two topics per document for the Guardian and the Telegraph with separate graphs for each newspaper. Make sure that the documents are sorted by day of publication (the "day" variable in the data_corpus_immigrationnews corpus). Discuss your findings.
- (g) Finally, we can find the average contribution of a topic to an article from a particular newspaper, and compare newspapers on particular topics. For each of the 5 topics you've named, see how their prevalence varies among the different newspapers. To do so, estimate the mean contribution of each topic over each newspaper. Report the contribution of each of the top 5 topics to each of the 4 newspapers. Discuss your findings.
- 2. **Topic stability:** We want to see how stable these topics are, under two different sets of pre-processings.
 - (a) Re-run the model from question 1 with a different seed. Report the @loglikelihood of your topic model object.
 - (b) For each topic in the new model, find the topic that is the closest match in the original run in terms of cosine similarity of the topic distribution over words. Your answer should be a table.
 - (c) Calculate the average number of words in the top 10 words shared by each matched topic pair. Your answer should be a table.
 - (d) Now run two more models, but this time, use only 5 topics. Again, find the average number of words in the top ten shared by each matched topic pair. How stable are the models with 5 topics compared to the models with 30 topics?
- 3. **Topic Models with covariates:** The Structural Topic Model (STM) is designed to incorporate document-level variables into a standard topic model. Since, we have information about both the newspaper and the date of the articles, we can use an STM (from the stm package)

to model the effects of these covariates directly.

- (a) Using only articles from the Guardian and Telegraph, construct a numeric date variable from the "day" variable in the immigration news corpus. Use what preprocessing you believe to be appropriate for this problem. Discuss your preprocessing choice.
- (b) Fit an STM model where the topic content varies according to this binary variable, and where the prevalence varies according to both this binary variable and the spline of the date variable you've created. Be sure to use the spectral initialization and set k=0, which will allow the STM function to automatically select a number of topics using the *spectral learning* method. Keep in mind that this function is computationally demanding, so start with the minimum threshold document frequency threshold set to 10; if your computer takes an unreasonably long time to fit the STM model with this threshold, you can raise it to as high as 30.

Report the number of topics selected in the fitted model. Also report the number of iterations completed before the model converged.

(c) Identify and name each of the 5 topics that occur in the highest proportion of documents using the following code:¹

```
plot(fit.stm, type = "summary")
```

- (d) Using the visualization commands in the stm package, discuss one of these top 5 topics. How does the content vary with the paper discussing that topic? How does the prevalence change over time?
- 4. Non-Parametric Scaling Wordfish: Recall that the Wordfish algorithm allows us to scale political texts by a latent dimension. We will apply this function to analyze the inaugural addresses.
 - (a) First, create a corpus that is the subset of the data_corpus_inaugural that contains only speeches that occurred after 1900.
 - (b) Wordfish requires that we select anchors that lie at the extremes of the latent dimension; in this case, we are looking to estimate the latent left-right ideological dimension. Use Obama's 2009 speech and Ronald Reagan's 1981 speech as our anchors for a Wordfish model.
 - (c) Which of the documents is the most left wing? Which is the most right-wing? Are these results surprising? Why or why not?

¹fit.stm Represents the output of the STM model you fit in the preceding question.

- (d) Re-create the "guitar plot" from Recitation 9. Describe the parameters estimated by Wordfish that lie on the axes of the plot.
- (e) **Optional:** Estimate a linear regression with the Wordfish score as the dependent variable and binary variable indicating whether or not a President was a Democrat as an independent variable. Include a binary control variable for each president. If we use being a Democrat as a proxy for liberal ideology, how well did our Wordfish model do at capturing latent ideology?²
- 5. **Burstiness:** Here we evaluate the burstiness of several words using Arthur's corpus of treaties between Native American tribes and the U.S. government. To evaluate burstiness we will use the bursts package and the user-written function bursty from Recitation 12 that visualizes the results. You can download the treaty data from GitHub.
 - (a) Create a corpus from the treaties using the readtext command. For each of the words "Oneidas", "and", and "peaceably" use the bursty function to visualize the burst period(s) and levels. Also, for each of the plots include a brief interpretation about what the timing and level of the burst indicates about groups and events associated with the treaties.

Hint: Look at the events and parties affected by the Indian Removal Act of 1830. You can use the following synopsis as a reference: Indian Treaties and the Removal Act of 1830

²If it did well, then our proxy variable for ideology should be significant at at least a 5% level.