

Submission Number 16368-809-301-165 was submitted on Saturday, November 13, 2021 at 07:54:50 AM EST

This PDF was generated on Saturday, November 13, 2021 at 07:54:57 AM EST

Thank you for contacting the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. This automated response confirms that your submission has been received successfully. We are always interested in hearing from the public, and your submission will be given careful consideration in view of the Commission's overall responsibilities under the federal securities laws. Please note, however, that it is the Commission's policy to conduct its investigations on a non-public basis in order to preserve the integrity of its investigative process. Subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, we cannot disclose to you any information which we may gather, nor can we confirm the existence or non-existence of an investigation, unless such information is made a matter of public record in proceedings brought before the Commission or the courts. Therefore, this may be the only response that you receive. If you want to learn more about how the Commission handles inquiries or complaints, please visit http://www.sec.gov/complaint/info_tipscomplaint.shtml.

What is your complaint about?

Q: Please select the option that best describes your complaint.

A: Material misstatement or omission in a company's public filings or financial statements, or a failure to file

Q: Please select the specific category that best describes your complaint.

A: Internal Controls/Books and Records

Q: Is this supplemental information to a previous complaint?

A: No

Q: In your own words, describe the conduct or situation you are complaining about.

A: HERE ARE SOME NOTES - YOUR TIME IS VALUABLE - SO IS MINE... BUT IF I TOOK IT THIS FAR... I AM 100% SO I MAKE SURE IT IS EXECUTED PROPERLY. Re: NYSCEF 158143 / ADMISSIONS / AFFIDAVITS / E-SERVICE NYSCEF 153974 / ADMISSIONS/ AFFIDAVITS / E-SERVICE William McKenzie Part Clerk to the Honorable Shlomo S. Hagler, J.S.C. New York Supreme Court, Civil Branch - Part 17 60 Centre Street, Room 335 New York, NY 10007 TEL.: 646-386-3283 THE PRIMARY SUBSTANCE WHICH IS CRITICAL, IS PRECEDENCE AND GRANTING A BROAD RANGE TO ALL REAL ESTATE PROPERTY OWNERS WHO HAVE TENANTS, NOT

SLAVE QUARTERS TO ACT ON EMOTION, WITHOUT REASONABLE NOTICE, AND WITHOUT ANY ETHICAL PROCEDURES AS SEEN IN THE COURT'S EVIDENCE - NAMELY ENTERED BY REPRESENTATIVES ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, WHICH I PRINTED, ANNOTATED/HIGHLIGHTED AND RE-ENTERED AS A PRIMARY RESOURCE. These matters were left to me, by the Honorable Shlomo S. Hagler, J.S.C. to file Cross-Motion after having exhausted all of the Plaintiff claims. I filed a motion to amend the Caption on 8.10.2020 to include all material parties, including the Zucker Family Businesses (Manhattan Skyline, etc.). In any event, I am aware of the scope of violations, first filed in the County of Kings by Paul Regan obo The Zucker Family/ Foundation. Sound nice, but trust me - they aren't. In the matter of Sullivan Properties, LP vs bd Indexed as 153974/ NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1, has not changed in substance as the SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT, addendums, and transcripts from the MANDATORY COURT APPEARANCES with 72-hours' notice in New York Supreme Court were ordered and I was forced to appear under duress, threatened to be held in contempt for a failure to appear. The nefarious and "traverse-like" behavior has not changed, as exhibited by Ms. Shari Laskowitz and Mr. Paul Regan on behalf of Plaintiffs; having presented this to my father for a third time as a threat which I dually settled in two instances, exhausting all claims as alleged by the Plaintiff in this matter. FOR PROCEDURAL STATE PROTOCOL IN NYS... REVIEW NOTICE FOR NYS SUPREME COURT APPEARANCE... Indexed as NYSCEF Index #153143, and #158143, and the 'disappearance of interest' in a continuance of my filing a caption change resulted in the Honorable Shlomo S. Hagler who closed on their arguments and presented me, initially, with 180 to file a counter-suit [xxxCROSS MOTION xxx] My option to file was extended ("INDEFINITELY") in light of my "fee waiver" status I assume, a miscommunication between himself and his Clerk at the time of mandatory E-Filings would also be very likely. The email I sent to Richman dated Fri, Nov 5, 3:07 PM was provided as an informal notice of the Plaintiff's construction, who enjoys structural work - created a reasonably large hole in my restroom - while I was there - and at one point they caused an upward 'explosion of particle matter' - to keep matters provided a visual to the unit below me, and was something that I was forced to permit - and I don't recall specifically the language used during the court hearing. Having said this, and beyond the extreme allegations in the prior [158143 / 153974] - Miss Laskowitz presented the building as "old" and attested to watching me in the midnight hours havin scaled the fire escape to enter my unit from the exterior window. Her description was concise, and without denial, I was locked out and no doorman or concierge there to greet me at the front desk. The use of THAT camera that was mounted on the second floor on a 4'x6' piece of hardwood was directly at my windows (clearly in 24-hour operation, night-vision - as entered by Miss Laskowitz) for the terrible things that I did while residing as a tenant at 111 Sullivan Street, APT 2BR, New York, NY 10012. I dealt with the procedures, claims, and allegations ALL pro se, and with a 'fee waiver. After all claims were exhausted to no avail, the judge ordered Plaintiff to post a \$10.00 (ten dollar) bond and left it on me. Please note, prior to their bringing forth any damage to a court - I even took the initiative to alert the Plaintiffs of an accident while mounting a vanity mirror in the bathroom, as those damages were invoiced, paid for (collectively with the rent), however, were added to the schedule of claims as another \$500,000.00 damage - the total damages and rent combined was approximately \$8,500.00 (eight thousand four hundred dollars) The newly threatened damages were not entered as 'Material' in the countless claims to the excess of one hundred allegations, which derived to zero dollars in damages, however firm actions by the Honorable that I abide by the terms of the lease. I was constantly harassed, paid my rent on time, and it gets crowded in a 200 SQFT apartment when a pair of two hundred pounds repairmen/ housekeepers deliberate about how to fix a lightbulb. They claimed that I would not permit entry, however, they had keys and also this was written in the lease "they had the right in the event of an emergency" - however, had to request separately for there to concrete and plumbing and demolition corporation to enter (dressed as Plumbers). Interestingly, this followed an inspection by the NYFD/NYPD, who entered with my permission, I permitted them to survey the premises and they found no damage or leak, and without my knowledge may have attempted to traverse myself (as tenant) without my notice (obo THE ZUCKER CORP) and no notice was provided to me of the same. To spare you a mockery and my disdain of Plaintiff, it's choice of representatives and strategy in this

matter has created complications beyond the scope of an edifice, but has created a mockery of the procedural foundations of The New York State Supreme Court System, at the discretion of the Zucker Family was violated and during a time when "housing" was a "protected/sensitive" area of jurisprudence during a pandemic - created a criminal procedure of liabilities to traverse the protections of "EVICTION MEMORANDUM" because we paid the rent on time. Representatives, Attorneys, and even "concierge" services were presented in an attempt to hold me liable for ALL OF ITS TENANTS, which also provided me a letter of "forgiveness" and invitation to "remain" as a tenant following my motion to enjoin the material parties in a change of caption – the following day by Ingram LLP (obo Zucker / Manhattan Skyline). THAT arbitrarily computed value no less than \$500,000.00 is nowhere on the rent roster, not the one that I entered from public record in the tax-abatement documents between STATE FARM and The Zucker's transferring rights under the DOB. Albeit this sounds farfetched, it is 100% honest as their allegations accused myself as the "SOLE CAUSE" of a reduction in their tenants "LEAVING MYSELF AND ONE OTHER" as a "MASS EXODUS" of all units available at 111 Sullivan Street, 10012 (FRONT AND BACK) – in the words of Paul Regan, general counsel obo Manhattan Skyline & CO. "MOSTLY COMPRISED OF WOMEN" ## in writing. As Tenants, absent of my downstairs neighbor who moved to Connecticut with her boyfriend as moved in - 111 Sullivan Street located in New York, NY 10012 [#2BR] held no Certificate of Occupancy for any unit – inclusive of my residence #2BR n Where no reports of construction were approved, entered, signage, or any typical DOB construction safety notices. The newly-alleged \$500,000.00 in damages, unlicensed structural repairs, disregard for the procedures of the Department of Buildings, and a constant target of \$500,000.00 is not what I am looking for. This is/was the approximate balance held in custody by my Father in his investment account during the onboarding period where a gentleman from Wisconsin required these statements for a Guarantor. As a full time student, a guarantor was mandatory as my wages are limited to the Scholarships, Grants, and Loans which pay for this while considering a holistic view of all costs, opportunity costs, privacy, and so on. The value of the building, in context, all rent rolls as entered and reported for insurance transfers and liabilities would far surpass this \$500,000.00 demand, so why the fixation on this figure? The basis of their "inclusive" concierge was a "SKY IS THE LIMIT" experience... however, combined with the use of a trained PTSD expert, as entered to support a "DISRUPTION" on my part, a "MASS EXODUS" of three tax lots and all were struck, absent of the lease where rent was timely paid, and I moved prior to the termination of the lease end date 12/31/2020 also should be barred to practice. Having cleared "grounding" of meritorious cause - Honorable Shlomo ruled null (or void) in the case of the Plaintiff, The Honorable provided me with an INDEFINITE time to file a CROSS MOTION and sue for damages - and now I have other things to do which take precedence, which is where you come

Barring the Consitutional Rights that are in question, as a 24 hour surveillance camera with night-vision was pointed at my windows (where I sleep, etc.) without my consent, against my will, also resulted in myself snipping the ethernet cord. This was personal action after a dozen calls / emails to remove the filming of my bedroom and living-room, as evidenced in the details of Plaintiff's claims - CALLED THE POLICE TO REPORT ME WHEN I CUT THE ETHERNET CORD? Case was immediately dismissed and unfortunately, the officers caused a contusion to my left hand which rendered me in the Hospital [not that type of case] - I will tell the truth to this effect, however I believe I am owed reparations for monies I paid forward, and forfeit all additional damages, or economic interest as deemed appropriate to the Trustees at the University of Columbia, solely in their discretion and without personal gain absent of paid rents (1-YEAR RENT, AS ADVERISED – PRE-EXISTING PAID, SECURITY, AND MOVING COSTS) ALL ELSE TO THE TRUSTEES. I WILL ONE PAGE YOU THIS ALL ENCOMPASSED. As stated previously, Zucker / Manhattan Skyline / MEWS / all of whom I tried to enjoin... are "Moguls" by definition and if the exhibits and affidavits as entered go unpunished in this matter will grant them this privilege, without any repercussion or restraint. However, and more sincerely, others would use as precedent as an invasion of privacy of a Human Being and in the sanctity of their home. I am not an attorney, and it is clearly a breach of constitutional rights. I believe in my institution, which is why in "good faith" and as "well-versed" as the entourage of "in-house

counsel" for Plaintiffs (The Zucker family) must understand that this is illegal. If you permit for an entity of that size to self-regulate, control the NYS Court System, others will also follow. Clearly, the damages in previous cases were not large enough to deter Zucker & Co. from this type of conduct, as a simplified example the \$55 Million dollars awarded to Erin Andrews naked body, without her consent. # ERIN ANDREWS DIDN'T STOP THEM FROM TAPING ME @\$55MM # A jury of seven women and five men found Barrett, whose 2013 deposition in which he explained how he filmed Andrews was played in court, responsible for 51 percent and the hotel companies for the rest. According to the Associated Press, several jurors hugged Andrews after the Monday verdict was announced and one appeared to be seeking her autograph. The jury awarded Erin Andrews \$55 million in her civil lawsuit over the secret recording and release of a video showing her naked, and if they can see me at 2AM (footsteps, playby-play) no doubt they can see me laying there naked all day long, which is why I cut the ethernet cord. . HERE ARE SOME NOTES - YOUR TIME IS VALUABLE - BUT IF I TOOK IT THIS FAR... WHEN I AM 100%, I MAKE SURE IT IS EXECUTED PROPERLY AS A PRINCIPLE, BUT IT HAS BE MORE THAN # 50 # I ONLY WANT TO KNOW THE AMOUNT (NO CLOUT, NO ECONOMIC BENEFIT, and NO RECOGNITION) / / A1. Judicial Review, Welcome Home 'Sky's the LIMIT' Procedural Due Process also requires that a state provide for the judicial review of punitive damages awards. Absent an available opportunity for review, or an adequate substitute, a punitive damages award may act as an arbitrary deprivation of property in violation of the Due Process Clause. Moreover, an appellate court's review of the constitutionality of individual punitive damage awards must be de novo. De novo review represents a searching review in which the appellate court owes no deference to the lower court's decision on matters of law. The Court has held that no lesser standard of review is sufficient to protect the interests of defendants challenging large punitive damages awards. A2. Adequate Notice, ref.: direct to HAGGLER The Due Process clause also imposes a notice requirement on the imposition of punitive damages. In order to assess punitive damages against a defendant, a state must first have "fairly indicated" that the defendant's conduct could potentially be subject to punitive punishment. In addition, the defendant must have notice of the "severity of the penalty that a state may impose." A3. Substantive Due Process Substantive Due Process ensures that certain fundamental aspects of an individual's interest in life, liberty, and property are protected from arbitrary or unjustified government interference by subjecting government intrusion into those interests to increased scrutiny. Although the Court has implied that substantive due process prohibits the imposition of excessive or arbitrary punitive damages, a majority opinion has never explicitly invoked substantive due process to invalidate a punitive damages award. Moreover, the Court has been unwilling to lay out a "mathematical" bright line rule on what constitutes an excessive award—instead opting to establish an extensive analytical framework to be applied in making such a judgment. Most commentators, however, characterize the framework utilized in BMW and State Farm as based on the dictates of substantive due process.79

Q: Are you having or have you had difficulty getting access to your funds or securities?

A: Yes

Q: Did you suffer a loss?

A: Yes

Q: Enter amount of loss to nearest dollar without characters (e.g., 15000, not \$15,000.00).

A: 875000

Q: When did you become aware of the conduct? (mm/dd/yyyy)

A: 11/10/2021

Q: When did the conduct begin? (mm/dd/yyyy)

A: 11/10/2021

Q: Is the conduct ongoing?

A: Unknown

Q: Has the individual or firm acknowledged the conduct?

A: No

Q: How did you learn about the conduct? You may select more than one answer.

A: Account statements; Conversations; Publicly available information; SEC filings

Q: Have you taken any action regarding your complaint? You may select more than one answer.

A: Complained to firm; Complained to other regulator; Complained to SEC; Legal action

Q: Provide details.

A: [NYSCEF DOC. NO. 312] I had requested a change to the caption earlier in this matter, prior to the closing of Plaintiff's arguments. On the following day I received a letter OBO ZUCKER'S W/EXTENSION APOLOGY.

Who are you complaining about?

Subject #1

Q: Are you complaining about a person or a firm?

A: Person

Q: Zip / Postal Code

A: 10019

Q: Select the title that best describes the person or firm that you are complaining about. A: Other Q: For Other Person, please specify. A: REAL PROPERTY ASSET Q: Where is the person that you are complaining about employed? A: Zucker Organization Q: Are you or were you associated with the person or firm when the alleged conduct occurred? A: No Q: Person's Title A: Ms Q: First Name A: Laurie **Q: Last Name** A: Zucker **Q: Street Address** A: 101 West 55th Street

Q: City

A: NEW YORK

Q: State / Province

A: NY

Q: Country

A: US

Q: Home Phone

A: 212 977 4813

Q: Work Phone

A: 212-925-5550

Q: Mobile Phone

A: 917-627-8486

Q: Other Phone

A: 212-925-5550

Q: Email Address

A: lzucker@mskyline.com

Q: Website

A: https://manhattanskyline.com/

Q: If the complaint is about an entity or person that has custody or control of your investments, have you had difficulty contacting that entity or person? A: Yes Subject # 2 Q: Are you complaining about a person or a firm? A: Firm Q: Select the title that best describes the person or firm that you are complaining about. A: Private/Closely Held Company Q: Are you or were you associated with the person or firm when the alleged conduct occurred? A: No Q: Identifier Type A: Unknown Q: Are you a current or former Employee, Officer, Partner, or Employee Director of any entity you are complaining about? A: No

Q: Are you a current or former Non-Employee Director, Consultant, Contractor or Trustee of any entity you are complaining about?

A: No

Q: Firm Name

A: Real Estate Board of New York

Q: Street Address

A: 570 Lexington Avenue

Q: Address (Continued)

A: 2ND FLOOR

Q: Zip / Postal Code

A: 10022

Q: City

A: NEW YORK

Q: State / Province

A: NY

Q: Country

A: US

Q: Home Phone

A: (212) 616-5200

Q: Work Phone

A: (212) 616-5200

Q: Mobile Phone

A: (212) 616-5200

Q: Ticker Symbol

A: STFGX

Q: Other Phone A: (212) 616-5200 Q: Email Address A: OwnersandManagers@rebny.com Q: Website A: https://manhattanskyline.com/about-manhattan-skyline Q: If the complaint is about an entity or person that has custody or control of your investments, have you had difficulty contacting that entity or person? A: Yes Subject #3 Q: Are you complaining about a person or a firm? A: Firm Q: Select the title that best describes the person or firm that you are complaining about. A: Transfer agent/paying agent/registrar Q: Are you or were you associated with the person or firm when the alleged conduct occurred? A: No Q: Identifier Type A: Ticker Symbol

Q: Are you a current or former Employee, Officer, Partner, or Employee Director of any entity you are complaining about?

A: No

Q: Are you a current or former Non-Employee Director, Consultant, Contractor or Trustee of any entity you are complaining about?

A: No

Q: Firm Name

A: STATE FARM VP MANAGEMENT CORP.

Q: If the complaint is about an entity or person that has custody or control of your investments, have you had difficulty contacting that entity or person?

A: Yes

Subject #4

Q: Are you complaining about a person or a firm?

A: Firm

Q: Select the title that best describes the person or firm that you are complaining about.

A: Private/Closely Held Company

Q: Are you or were you associated with the person or firm when the alleged conduct occurred?

A: No

Q: Identifier Type

A: Unknown

Q: Firm Name

A: STATE FARM REALTY MORTGAGE, L.L.C.

Q: Street Address

A: ONE STATE FARM PLAZA

Q: Zip / Postal Code

A: 61710

Q: City

A: BLOOMINGTON

Q: State / Province

A: IL

Q: Country

A: US

Q: Home Phone

A: (646) 934-6290

Q: Work Phone

A: 866-207-9079

Q: Other Phone

A: 866-207-9079

Q: Email Address

A: replacementprogram@statefarm.com

Q: Website

A: https://b2b.statefarm.com/b2b-content/contact-us

Q: If the complaint is about an entity or person that has custody or control of your investments, have you had difficulty contacting that entity or person?

A: Yes

Subject #5

Q: Are you complaining about a person or a firm?

A: Firm

Q: Select the title that best describes the person or firm that you are complaining about.

A: Private/Closely Held Company

Q: Are you or were you associated with the person or firm when the alleged conduct occurred?

A: No

Q: Identifier Type

A: Unknown

Q: Are you a current or former Employee, Officer, Partner, or Employee Director of any entity you are complaining about?

A: No

Q: Are you a current or former Non-Employee Director, Consultant, Contractor or Trustee of any entity you are complaining about?

A: No
Q: Firm Name
A: THE ZUCKER ORGANIZATION
Q: Street Address
A: 101 WEST 55TH STREET
Q: Zip / Postal Code
A : 10019
Q: City
A: NEW YORK
Q: State / Province
A: NY
Q: Country
A: US

Q: Website

A: https://www.rebny.com/content/rebny/en/directory/member.html/81208555.html

Q: If the complaint is about an entity or person that has custody or control of your investments, have you had difficulty contacting that entity or person?

A: Yes

Subject #6

Q: Zip / Postal Code

A: 10019

Q: Are you complaining about a person or a firm? A: Person
Q: Select the title that best describes the person or firm that you are complaining about. A: Broker
Q: Where is the person that you are complaining about employed? A: New York
Q: Are you or were you associated with the person or firm when the alleged conduct occurred? A: No
Q: Person's Title A: Mr
Q: First Name A: Donald
Q: Last Name A: Zucker
Q: Street Address A: 101 WEST 55TH STREET

Q: City

A: NEW YORK
Q: State / Province
A: NY
Q: Country
A: US
Q: Work Phone
A: 212-616-5210
Q: Email Address
A: ResidentialBrokerage@rebny.com
Q: Website
A: https://www.rebny.com/content/rebny/en/directory/member.html/81208555.html
Q: If the complaint is about an entity or person that has custody or control of your investments, have you had difficulty contacting that entity or person?
A: Yes
Subject # 7
Q: Are you complaining about a person or a firm?
A: Firm
Q: Select the title that best describes the person or firm that you are complaining about.
A: Private fund company (including hedge fund, private equity fund, venture capital fund or real estate fund)

Q: Are you or were you associated with the person or firm when the alleged conduct occurred? A: No Q: Identifier Type A: Unknown Q: Are you a current or former Employee, Officer, Partner, or Employee Director of any entity you are complaining about? A: No Q: Are you a current or former Non-Employee Director, Consultant, Contractor or Trustee of any entity you are complaining about? A: No Q: Firm Name A: Zucker Donald Company Q: Zip / Postal Code A: 10019 Q: City A: NEW YORK Q: State / Province A: NY Q: Country A: US

Q: Website

A: https://manhattanskyline.com/contact-manhattan-skyline

Q: If the complaint is about an entity or person that has custody or control of your investments, have you had difficulty contacting that entity or person?

A: Yes

Subject #8

Q: Are you complaining about a person or a firm?

A: Firm

Q: Select the title that best describes the person or firm that you are complaining about.

A: Private fund company (including hedge fund, private equity fund, venture capital fund or real estate fund)

Q: Are you or were you associated with the person or firm when the alleged conduct occurred?

A: No

Q: Identifier Type

A: Unknown

Q: Are you a current or former Employee, Officer, Partner, or Employee Director of any entity you are complaining about?

A: No

Q: Are you a current or former Non-Employee Director, Consultant, Contractor or Trustee of any entity you are complaining about?

A: No

Q: Firm Name

A: MANHATTAN SKYLINE MANAGEMENT

Q: Street Address

A: 101 WEST 55TH STREET

Q: Address (Continued)

A: 103 W 55th St, New York, NY 10019

Q: Zip / Postal Code

A: 10019

Q: City

A: NEW YORK

Q: State / Province

A: NY

Q: Country

A: US

Q: Home Phone

A: (212) 977-4813

Q: Work Phone

A: (518) 474-4429

are complaining about?

Q: Mobile Phone A: (844) 862-8703 Q: Email Address A: licensing@dos.ny.gov Q: Website A: https://manhattanskyline.com/about-manhattan-skyline Subject #9 Q: Are you complaining about a person or a firm? A: Firm Q: Select the title that best describes the person or firm that you are complaining about. A: Unknown Q: Are you or were you associated with the person or firm when the alleged conduct occurred? A: No **Q: Identifier Type** A: Unknown Q: Are you a current or former Employee, Officer, Partner, or Employee Director of any entity you are complaining about? A: No

Q: Are you a current or former Non-Employee Director, Consultant, Contractor or Trustee of any entity you

Which investment products are involved?

A: No		
Q: Firm Name		
A: SULLIVAN PROPERTIES		
Q: Street Address		
A: 101 WEST 55TH STREET		
Q: Zip / Postal Code		
A : 10019		
Q: City		
A: NEW YORK		
Q: State / Province		
A: NY		
Q: Country		
A: US		
Q: Website		
A: https://opencorporates.com/companies/us_ny/1386030		
Q: If the complaint is about an entity or person that has custody or control of your investments, have you had difficulty contacting that entity or person?A: Yes		

A: BARIS

Q: Select the type of product involved in your complaint. A: Real Estate Q: Please select the category that best describes the security product. A: Other real estate investments Q: For other real estate investments, please provide more information. A: bondstrt@protonmail.com Q: Enter the ticker symbol, if known. A: SULLIVAN MEWS trademark Q: Enter the product name(s) A: bondstrt@protonmail.com **About you** Submitter #1 Q: Are you filing this tip under the SEC's whistleblower program? A: No Q: Are you submitting this tip, complaint or referral anonymously? Being able to contact you for further information or clarification may be helpful. A: No Q: First Name

Q: Last Name

A: DINCER

Q: Street Address

A: 111 Sullivan Street

Q: Address (Continued)

A: APT 2BR

Q: Zip / Postal Code

A: 10012

Q: City

A: NEW YORK

Q: State / Province

A: NY

Q: Country

A: US

Q: Home Telephone

A: 6462563609

Q: Mobile Telephone

A: 6462563609

Q: Email Address

A: bondstrt@protonmail.com

Q: Are you represented by an attorney in connection with this matter, or would you like to provide your attorney's contact information?

A: No

Q: Select the profession that best represents you.

A: Student

Documents

Document Name	Document Type
CCF_000031.pdf	application/pdf
2020.08.10[Myscan_2020081014343276].PDF	application/pdf
REPO Mail - Fwd_ A courtesy for you and yours at the Zucker Corporation.pdf	application/pdf
NYC NO FEE Luxury Apartments for Rent _ Manhattan Skyline ®.pdf	application/pdf
2020.08.11-COUNTEROFFER.pdf	application/pdf
30273546-4EC1-4DBF-B7E3-A3BA87C7A3CE.jpg	image/jpeg
2020.07.17_response BREACH.pdf	application/pdf
sullivan MEWS.png	image/png