

## International Regulators' Forum Lifting Working Group

# IRF Lifting intervention programme Maintenance of lifting equipment Inspection templates

MAINT1 - Strategy for Crane Maintenance

MAINT2 - Crane Pre-Use Checks & In-Service Inspections

MAINT3 - Crane Maintenance Activities

MAINT4 - Thorough Examination & Expert Verification

MAINT5 - Management of Lifting Accessories

Advice on the expected benchmarks and references to appropriate guidance are given in *Guidance on using the IRF lifting inspection templates.* 

General Guidance on the use of templates section 3.1

MAINT1 template section 3.2.1.2

MAINT2 template section 3.2.1.3

MAINT3 template section 3.2.1.4

MAINT4 template section 3.2.1.5

MAINT5 template section 3.2.1.6

Page 1 of 6 21 Nov 2007



Template MAINT1 (onshore)	STRATEGY FOR CRANE MAINTENANCE		
Handbook section 3.2.1.2			
Confirm that the duty holder has appointed a technical authority responsible for maintenance of lifting equipment. Interview the technical authority responsible for crane maintenance and probe to establish the rationale behind the crane maintenance strategy. Discuss how the maintenance strategy takes			

manufacturer and or a competent authority been consulted.

Supplementary lines of enquiry that may be followed at your discretion to explore the above issues.

account of: the crane duty, crane usage, operational experience, maintenance history, and the consequence of failure. **Explore the extent to which the crane** 

cappionionally into or original that may be removed at your algorithm to explore the above reduced.
Inspect a copy of the maintenance strategy document(s).  Discuss the outcomes of any associated Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) and or Failure Mode, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) studies. How have these influenced the maintenance work orders?  Explore how crane maintenance tasks have been prioritised. Inspect sample work orders for a typical safety critical component such as a hoist or slew bearing. Discuss with the technical authority how these PMRs are reviewed.  Request a copy of the report of the last audit of crane maintenance. Have the recommendations in the audit report been closed out? What was the outcome of the last review of the crane maintenance system?
NOTES
PUWER Reg 5
-

#### STRATEGY FOR CRANE MAINTENANCE MAINT1 **Template Response Box** COUNTRY DUTY HOLDER INSTALLATION DATE ISOLATED FAILURE / IN COMPLIANCE / Non compliance / NOT EVALUATED MAJOR FAILING INCOMPLETE SYSTEM ENFORCEMENT ACTION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT MEETS EXPECTATIONS Description of any non-compliance issues Action taken Examples of best practice

Page 2 of 6 21 Nov 2007



Template MAINT2 (offshore)	CRANE PRE-USE CHECKS & INSPECTIONS		
Handbook section 3.2.1.3			
Witness the crane operator carrying out their pre-use checks of the crane. Request the crane operator to test the function of some of the crane safety devices such as upper hoist limits, upper boom travel limits, slew limits.			
Review a sample of pre-use check sheets to identify any persistent faults or defects that have not been remedied. (i.e. fed back into the maintenance system as a corrective work order)			
Supplementary lines of enq	uiry that may be followed at your discretion to explore the above issues.		
Does the safe load indicator indicate a change in load radius when the boom is raised or lowered?			
Verify that the correct load-rating chart for the configuration in use is visible to the crane operator in the crane cab?			
NOTES  PUWER Reg 5 & LOLER Reg 9(3)(b)			

MAINT2 PRE-USE CHECKS & IN-SERVICE INSPECTIONS Template Response Box			
COUNTRY	DUTY HOLDER	INSTALLATION	DATE
Non compliance / Major failing ENFORCEMENT ACTION	ISOLATED FAILURE / INCOMPLETE SYSTEM  NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	IN COMPLIANCE / OK MEETS EXPECTATIONS	NOT EVALUATED
Description of any non-compliance issues			
Action taken			
Examples of best practice			

Page 3 of 6 21 Nov 2007



PUWER Reg 5

Template MAINT3 (offshore & onshore)	CRANE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES		
	Handbook section 3.2.1.4		
describe how they de found. When would	s) who carry out maintenance on the crane. Ask them to ecide upon the significance of any defect and / or anomaly the onshore support team be consulted? When are nder the 'management of change' process?		
the backlog and the	ny backlog of crane maintenance. Explore the reasons for safety criticality of delayed items.  w decisions are made to justify deferring crane		
maintenance. When would the technical authority be consulted?			
Supplementary lines of end	quiry that may be followed at your discretion to explore the above issues.		
	el of corrective maintenance compared against planned maintenance and is upward or downward and the reasons why.		
Request sample work orders for part of the crane. Discuss whether the work orders provide sufficient information for them to understand what is required. Does the work order provide any acceptance criteria?			
How are the results of mair recorded?	ntenance checks and tests etc recorded? Is the 'as found' condition		
NOTES			

MAINT3 CRANE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES Template Response Box			
COUNTRY	DUTY HOLDER	INSTALLATION	DATE
Non compliance /	ISOLATED FAILURE /	IN COMPLIANCE /	
MAJOR FAILING	INCOMPLETE SYSTEM	OK	NOT EVALUATED
IVIAJOR FAILING	INCOMPLETE SYSTEM	OK	NOTEVALUATED
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	
Description of any			
non-compliance			
issues			
Action taken			
Examples of best			
practice			
practice			

Page 4 of 6 21 Nov 2007



Template MAINT4 (onshore)	THOROUGH EXAMINATION & EXPERT VERIFICATION	
Handbook section 3.2.1.5		
	al authority responsible for cranes to inspect the ee for the thorough examination / expert verification of	
Supplementary lines of enq	uiry that may be followed at your discretion to explore the above issues.	
Supplementary lines of enquiry that may be followed at your discretion to explore the above issues.  What factors influenced the duty holder's assessment and selection of the independent third party organisation responsible for thorough examination / verification of the cranes.  Inspect a copy of the scheme of thorough examination.  Request copies of recent reports of thorough examination. Establish how recommendations made by the competent person in their report of examination are closed out.  How are findings of the thorough examinations fed back into the maintenance system?  Is a formal "Enterprise of competence" scheme in place or has it been considered?  NOTES		
LOLER Reg 9		

MAINT4 THOROUGH EXAMINATION & EXPERT VERIFICATION			
Template Response Box			
COUNTRY	DUTY HOLDER	INSTALLATION	DATE
Non compliance / Major failing	ISOLATED FAILURE / INCOMPLETE SYSTEM	In COMPLIANCE / OK	NOT EVALUATED
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	
Description of any non-compliance issues			
Action taken			
Examples of best practice			

Page 5 of 6 21 Nov 2007



NOTES

#### Template MAINT5 MANAGEMENT OF LIFTING ACCESSORIES (offshore) Handbook section 3.2.1.6 Confirm that the duty holder has a documented process in place for certification and control of lifting accessories? Supplementary lines of enquiry that may be followed at your discretion to explore the above issues. Certification For a sample of lifting accessories, request copies of the certification (e.g. EC Declaration of Conformity / test certicates) provided by the manufacturer / supplier. For a sample of lifting accessories, establish when they were last thoroughly examined (colour coding, certificates of thorough examination). Is the safe working load clearly marked on each accessory? Control of lifting accessories Inspect the rigging loft to assess how lifting accessories are stored. Examine the condition of a sample of lifting accessories. Are they free from obvious visual defects that would lead to discard? Explore who has access to the loft and the procedures for issuing and returning lifting accessories. How is the condition of the lifting accessories checked prior to use? Explore the arrangements for quarantining accessories. Enquire if there are any other lifting accessories on the installation that are not under the control of the rigging loft. If so, how they controlled?

### SoM(S)R12 LOLER Reg 9(1) LOLER Reg 9(3)

MAINT5 MANAGEMENT OF LIFTING ACCESSORIES Template Response Box			
COUNTRY	DUTY HOLDER	INSTALLATION	DATE
Non compliance / Major failing ENFORCEMENT ACTION	ISOLATED FAILURE / INCOMPLETE SYSTEM  NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	IN COMPLIANCE / OK MEETS EXPECTATIONS	NOT EVALUATED
Description of any non-compliance issues			
Action taken			
Examples of best practice			

Page 6 of 6 21 Nov 2007