



三维点云处理 第一节作业讲解

主讲人 贾清源



纲要



- 第一部分: Kdtree & Octree
- 第二部分: benchmark

Kdtree & Octree

●黎老师github实现:<https://github.com/lijx10/NN-Trees>

●[作业1]Kdtree的构建&自适应切割维度

```
# 作业1
# 屏蔽开始
middle_left_idx = math.ceil(point_indices_sorted.shape[0] / 2) - 1
middle_left_point_idx = point_indices_sorted[middle_left_idx]
middle_left_point_value = db[middle_left_point_idx, axis]

middle_right_idx = middle_left_idx + 1
middle_right_point_idx = point_indices_sorted[middle_right_idx]
middle_right_point_value = db[middle_right_point_idx, axis]

root.value = (middle_left_point_value + middle_right_point_value) * 0.5
# == get the split position ==
root.left = kdtree_recursive_build(root.left,
                                   db,
                                   point_indices_sorted[0:middle_right_idx],
                                   axis_round_robin(axis, dim=db.shape[1]),
                                   leaf_size)
root.right = kdtree_recursive_build(root.right,
                                   db,
                                   point_indices_sorted[middle_right_idx:],
                                   axis_round_robin(axis, dim=db.shape[1]),
                                   leaf_size)
```

```
58 #自适应切割维度
59 def axis_round_robin_adaptive(db, point_indices):
60     data = db[point_indices, :]
61     var = np.max(data, axis=0) - np.min(data, axis=0)
62     axis_var_max = max(var[0], var[1], var[2])
63     if axis_var_max == var[0]:
64         return 0
65     elif axis_var_max == var[1]:
66         return 1
67     elif axis_var_max == var[2]:
68         return 2
```

kdtree和octree的实现代码在黎老师的github上都可以找到，作业代码跟里面的实现对比一下就可以了，建议大家至少看一遍实现逻辑；自适应切割维度这块是我单独实现了一个函数，求取当前数据的范围，取范围最大的一个维度作为下一轮的切分维度。

输入参数db是原始的数据，然后point_indices是递归步骤传入的索引，也就是point_indices_sorted[0:middle_right_idx]和point_indices_sorted[middle_right_idx:]

Kdtree & Octree

●[作业4]Octree的构建

莫顿码对点的归属进行编码

| 或运算, & 与运算

000, 001 \rightarrow x

000, 010 \rightarrow y

000, 100 \rightarrow z

从而确定点所对应的象限

```
# 作业4
# 屏蔽开始
root.is_leaf = False
children_point_indices = [[] for i in range(8)]
for point_idx in point_indices:
    point_db = db[point_idx]
    morton_code = 0
    if point_db[0] > center[0]:
        morton_code = morton_code | 1
    if point_db[1] > center[1]:
        morton_code = morton_code | 2
    if point_db[2] > center[2]:
        morton_code = morton_code | 4
    children_point_indices[morton_code].append(point_idx)
# create children
factor = [-0.5, 0.5]
for i in range(8):
    child_center_x = center[0] + factor[(i & 1) > 0] * extent
    child_center_y = center[1] + factor[(i & 2) > 0] * extent
    child_center_z = center[2] + factor[(i & 4) > 0] * extent
    child_extent = 0.5 * extent
    child_center = np.asarray([child_center_x, child_center_y, child_center_z])
    root.children[i] = octree_recursive_build(root.children[i],
                                              db,
                                              child_center,
                                              child_extent,
                                              children_point_indices[i],
                                              leaf_size,
                                              min_extent)
```

Octree这块有一个莫顿编码的一个操作, 它用来确定点属于哪个立方体网格中所属哪个象限。或运算就是有一个数是1的话, 他就取到1, 如果没有1的话, 就是0。然后与运算就是只有当两个数字都为1, 然后它才可以取成1。比如这里就是跟X轴, 就是跟001进行位运算。然后Y轴就是跟2也就是二进制010进行位运算。然后Z轴和4也就是100进行位运算

Kdtree & Octree



●[作业5]Octree fast radius search

如果当前节点被包含在worstDist当中，就需要将每个点都压入result_set.

如果该节点没有被完全包住，同时它是叶子节点，就需要将该节点的点都压入result_set.

Radius search normal:
Search takes 8587.214ms

Radius search fast:
Search takes 5818.956ms

```
# 作业5
# 提示: 尽量利用上面的inside, overlaps, contains等函数
# 屏蔽开始
if contains(query, result_set.worstDist(), root):
    # compare the contents of the octant
    leaf_points = db[root.point_indices, :]
    diff = np.linalg.norm(np.expand_dims(query, 0) - leaf_points, axis=1)
    for i in range(diff.shape[0]):
        result_set.add_point(diff[i], root.point_indices[i])
    # don't need to check any child
    return False

if root.is_leaf and len(root.point_indices) > 0:
    # compare the contents of a leaf
    leaf_points = db[root.point_indices, :]
    diff = np.linalg.norm(np.expand_dims(query, 0) - leaf_points, axis=1)
    for i in range(diff.shape[0]):
        result_set.add_point(diff[i], root.point_indices[i])
    # check whether we can stop search now
    return inside(query, result_set.worstDist(), root)

# no need to go to most relevant child first, because anyway we will go through all children
for c, child in enumerate(root.children):
    if child is None:
        continue
    if False == overlaps(query, result_set.worstDist(), child):
        continue
    if octree_radius_search_fast(child, db, result_set, query):
        return True
```

在fast radius search里头就是做了一个提前剪枝操作。如果当前节点被完全包含在worstdist当中，就需要将它的每个点都压入这个result set。

如果这个节点没有被完全包住，然后它还是叶子节点的话，将该节点的点都压入result set

benchmark



- 数据范围
- 调整min_extent

```
33 def main():
34     # configuration
35     leaf_size = 32
36     min_extent = 1
37     k = 8
38     radius = 1
39
40     root_dir = '000000.bin' # 数据集路径
41     db_np = read_velodyne_bin(root_dir) # (124668, 3)
42     print(db_np.shape)
43     print(np.min(db_np,axis=0))
44     print(np.max(db_np,axis=0))
```

```
(124668, 3)
[-78.087395 -55.72341 -11.556541]
[77.96733 44.878613 2.8253412]
```

在这里我大概算了一下这个数据范围，它的是有12万多个点，然后它的最大和最小范围是以米来计算，大概x方向150m，y方向100m，z方向14m，因此我调整了一下octree的min extent值，不要太小

benchmark



● 搜索时间为0?

修改query点的索引，0点可能会被直接找到。

```
88     print("kdtree -----")
89     construction_time_sum = 0
90     knn_time_sum = 0
91     radius_time_sum = 0
92     brute_time_sum = 0
93     # for i in range(iteration_num):
94     #     filename = os.path.join(root_dir, cat[i])
95     #     db_np = read_velodyne_bin(filename)
96
97     begin_t = time.time()
98     root = kdtree.kdtree_construction(db_np, leaf_size)
99     construction_time_sum += time.time() - begin_t
100
101     query = db_np[10000,:]
```

然后有些同学是有搜索时间为0的情况。在KDtree里头，第0个点有可能被一下子就找到这块，建议就是改一下这个query的索引值

benchmark



● 运行结果

- Kdtree建树比Octree快
- 搜索速度与参数相关，Kdtree一般较快
- Kdtree和Octree的knn是暴力算法耗时的1/4以下即可

```
octree -----  
Octree: build 5105.153, knn 1.996, radius 4.110, brute 10.108  
kdtree -----  
Kdtree: build 229.542, knn 0.997, radius 4.986, brute 11.095
```

这里就是一个运行结果的一个截图，一般结论就是Kdtree建树要比octree要快很多。然后搜索速的话，其实跟一些参数有关系，跟K，跟leaf size，还有radius半径，以及octree的extent都相关，但是他们一般都是比暴力搜索要快很多，基本是暴力搜索的4倍以上



感谢各位聆听 !
Thanks for Listening !

