

Implications of (super)inversion covariance

Consider 4D CFT. We have the inversion operators

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(X) = \tilde{X}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = (\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \hat{X}^m, \quad \hat{X}^m = \frac{X^m}{(X^2)^{1/2}}.$$

here, X^m is the three-point building block e.g

$$X_3^m = \frac{x_{13}^m}{x_{13}^2} - \frac{x_{23}^m}{x_{23}^2},$$

$$\Rightarrow X_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}} = (x_{13}^{-1})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} x_{12}^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} (x_{32}^{-1})_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}}.$$

The action of $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(X)$ on X is:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}'}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha'}(X) X_{\alpha'\dot{\alpha}'} = -X_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = X_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^T$$

We also have

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\dot{\beta}}^{\beta}(X) \Sigma_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = -\Sigma_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\dot{\beta}}^{\beta}(X) \Sigma_{\alpha\beta} = -\Sigma_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}},$$

Hence the action of \mathcal{I} is

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} -\bar{\Sigma}, \quad \bar{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} -\Sigma \quad \} \text{ odd} \\ X &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} X^T \quad \} \text{ even} \end{aligned}$$

Now consider a tensor

$$f_{A_1 A_2 A_3}(X) = f_{\alpha(i_1)\dot{\alpha}(j_1)\beta(i_2)\dot{\beta}(j_2)\gamma(i_3)\dot{\gamma}(j_3)}(X)$$

$$\text{where } A_1 = \{\alpha(i_1), \dot{\alpha}(j_1)\}, \quad A_2 = \{\beta(i_2), \dot{\beta}(j_2)\}$$

$A_3 = \{\gamma(i_3), \dot{\gamma}(j_3)\}$. f is a linear combination of the tensor product of the structures

$X, \Sigma, \bar{\Sigma}$, with complex coefficient c_i as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H(X) &= \sum_i c_i H_i(X) \quad \leftarrow \text{basis of structures} \\ &= \sum_i a_i H_i(X) + i \sum_i b_i H_i(X) \\ &= H^A(X) + i H^B(X) \end{aligned}$$

where $H^A(X)$ and $H^B(X)$ are linear combinations of tensor structures with real coefficients.

Now define the conjugation operation $*$

$$\Sigma \xrightarrow{*} \bar{\Sigma}, \quad \bar{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{*} \Sigma,$$

$$X \xrightarrow{*} \bar{X} = X$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(i)A_1 A_2 A_3}(\Sigma, \bar{\Sigma}, X) &\xrightarrow{*} \bar{H}_{(i)A_1 A_2 \bar{A}_3}(\Sigma, \bar{\Sigma}, X) \\ &= H_{(i)A_1 A_2 \bar{A}_3}(\bar{\Sigma}, \Sigma, \bar{X}) \\ &= H_{(i)A_1 A_2 \bar{A}_3}(\bar{\Sigma}, \Sigma, X) \end{aligned}$$

↑ structures in our basis

Hence, for H^A and H^B :

$$\begin{aligned} H^A(\Sigma, \bar{\Sigma}, X) &\xrightarrow{*} \bar{H}^A(\Sigma, \bar{\Sigma}, X) = \sum a_i \bar{H}_{(i)}(\Sigma, \bar{\Sigma}, X) \\ &= \sum a_i H_{(i)}(\bar{\Sigma}, \Sigma, X) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $H = H^A + i H^B$ conjugates to:

$$H = H^A + i H^B \xrightarrow{*} \bar{H} = \bar{H}^A - i \bar{H}^B$$

Now consider the action of inversion on
 $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{A}, \bar{A}_2, \bar{A}_3}(X) = \mathcal{H}_{A_1, A_2, A_3}(\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{\bar{\varepsilon}}, X)$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha(i_1)} \overset{\dot{\alpha}(i_1)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(i_1)} \overset{\dot{\beta}(i_1)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(i_2)} \overset{\dot{\beta}(i_2)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(i_2)} \overset{\dot{\beta}(i_2)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \\ \mathcal{I}_{\delta(i_3)} \overset{\dot{\delta}(i_3)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\dot{\gamma}(i_3)} \overset{\dot{\gamma}(i_3)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha'(j_1), \dot{\alpha}(i_1), \beta'(j_2), \dot{\beta}(i_2), \delta'(i_3), \dot{\gamma}(j_3)}(X) \end{aligned}$$

Due to the action of \mathcal{I} on the building blocks, we may partition our solution into
 $\mathcal{H}^{(\pm)}(X)$: + for even $\varepsilon, \bar{\varepsilon}$, - for odd $\varepsilon, \bar{\varepsilon}$.

If we consider the real linear combinations
 \mathcal{H}^A and \mathcal{H}^B (just call \mathcal{H} free now), we
divide them into $\mathcal{H}^{(+)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(-)}$ and get the
following action:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_{\alpha(i_1)} \overset{\dot{\alpha}(i_1)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(i_1)} \overset{\dot{\beta}(i_1)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(i_2)} \overset{\dot{\beta}(i_2)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(i_2)} \overset{\dot{\beta}(i_2)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \\ & \mathcal{I}_{\delta(i_3)} \overset{\dot{\delta}(i_3)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\dot{\gamma}(i_3)} \overset{\dot{\gamma}(i_3)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha'(j_1), \dot{\alpha}(i_1), \beta'(j_2), \dot{\beta}(i_2), \delta'(i_3), \dot{\gamma}(j_3)}^{(\pm)}(X) \\ = & \mathcal{I}_{\alpha(i_1)} \overset{\dot{\alpha}(i_1)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(i_1)} \overset{\dot{\beta}(i_1)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(i_2)} \overset{\dot{\beta}(i_2)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(i_2)} \overset{\dot{\beta}(i_2)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \\ & \mathcal{I}_{\delta(i_3)} \overset{\dot{\delta}(i_3)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\dot{\gamma}(i_3)} \overset{\dot{\gamma}(i_3)}{\mathcal{I}}(X) \sum_i a_i \mathcal{H}_{\alpha'(j_1), \dot{\alpha}(i_1), \beta'(j_2), \dot{\beta}(i_2), \delta'(i_3), \dot{\gamma}(j_3)}^{(\pm)}(\varepsilon, \bar{\varepsilon}, X) \\ = & \pm \sum_i a_i \mathcal{H}_{\alpha(i_1), \dot{\alpha}(j_1), \beta(i_2), \dot{\beta}(j_2), \delta(i_3), \dot{\gamma}(i_3)}^{(\pm)}(\bar{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon, X^\perp) \\ = & \pm \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\alpha(i_1), \dot{\alpha}(j_1), \beta(i_2), \dot{\beta}(j_2), \delta(i_3), \dot{\gamma}(i_3)}^{(\pm)}(\varepsilon, \bar{\varepsilon}, X^\perp) \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\mathcal{H}_{A, A_2, \bar{A}_3}^{I(\pm)}(X) = \pm \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{A, A_2, \bar{A}_3}^{(\pm)}(X^\perp)$$

$$\text{Hence, for } \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^A + i\mathcal{H}^B \\ = \mathcal{H}^{A(+)} + \mathcal{H}^{A(-)} + i(\mathcal{H}^{B(+)} + \mathcal{H}^{B(-)})$$

where:

$$\mathcal{H}^{A(\pm)}(X) = \sum_i a_i^{(\pm)} \mathcal{H}_i^{(\pm)}(X),$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{B(\pm)}(X) = \sum_i b_i^{(\pm)} \mathcal{H}_i^{(\pm)}(X),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_i^{(\pm)}$ is a linearly independent basis of even/odd structures respectively, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^I(X) &= \mathcal{H}^{A,I}(X) + i\mathcal{H}^{B,I}(X) \\ &= \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(+)}(X^I) - \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(-)}(X^I) \\ &\quad + i(\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(+)}(X^I) - \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(-)}(X^I)) \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose we are working with vector-like fields (in general they are coupled). Then for $\mathcal{H}_{A_1 A_2 A_3}(X)$ we have $A_1 = \bar{A}_1$, $A_2 = \bar{A}_2$, $A_3 = \bar{A}_3$. Hence, there exists a map from

$$\mathcal{H}_{A_1 A_2 A_3}^I(X) = \mathcal{D}_{A_1}^{A'_1}(X) \mathcal{D}_{A_2}^{A'_2}(X) \mathcal{D}_{A_3}^{A'_3}(X) \mathcal{H}_{A'_1 A'_2 A'_3}(X)$$

onto the same rep as \mathcal{H} . We may freely impose the coadjition:

$$\mathcal{H}_{A_1 A_2 A_3}(X^I) = \pm \mathcal{H}_{A'_1 A'_2 A'_3}^I(X)$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_{A_1}^{A'_1}(X) \mathcal{D}_{A_2}^{A'_2}(X) \mathcal{D}_{A_3}^{A'_3}(X) \mathcal{H}_{A'_1 A'_2 A'_3}(X)$$

This is essentially an inversion pseudo covariance condition. It is (2.14) of O&P except for the case where R is an inversion.

If we impose this condition on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^A + i\mathcal{H}^B$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(X^I) &= \mathcal{H}^{A(+)}(X^I) + \mathcal{H}^{A(-)}(X^I) \\ &\quad + i(\mathcal{H}^{B(+)}(X^I) + \mathcal{H}^{B(-)}(X^I)) \\ &= \mathcal{H}^I(X) \\ &= \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(+)}(X^I) - \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(-)}(X^I) \\ &\quad + i(\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(+)}(X^I) - \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(-)}(X^I))\end{aligned}$$

Which implies:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{A(+)} - \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(+)} + \mathcal{H}^{A(-)} + \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(-)} \\ &= i(\mathcal{H}^{B(+)} - \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(+)} + \mathcal{H}^{B(-)} + \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(-)}) \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{A(+)} - \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(+)} + \mathcal{H}^{A(-)} + \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(-)} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{H}^{B(+)} - \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(+)} + \mathcal{H}^{B(-)} + \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(-)} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we let

$$\mathcal{H}^{A(\pm)} = \sum_i a_i \mathcal{H}_i^{E(\pm)}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{B(\pm)} = \sum_i a_i \bar{\mathcal{H}}_i^{E(\pm)}$$

Where $\mathcal{H}_i^{E(\pm)}$ denotes linearly independent basis

Note, in the case of vector-like supercurrents, we have $k_i, \bar{k}_i = \text{no. of } P_i \cdot \bar{P}_i$.

$$\Delta S = \frac{1}{2} (i_1 + i_2 + i_3 - j_1 - j_2 - j_3) = 0$$

$$\Delta S = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - \bar{k}_1 - \bar{k}_2 - \bar{k}_3 = 0$$

Hence $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + \bar{k}_1 + \bar{k}_2 + \bar{k}_3 = \text{even}$. Hence, we have $\mathcal{H}^{E(+)} = 0$. Hence we just have the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{A(+)} - \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(+)} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{H}^{B(+)} - \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(+)} &= 0. \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{A(+)} &= \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(+)} \\ \mathcal{H}^{B(+)} &= \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(+)} \end{aligned}$$

But recall

$$\mathcal{H}^{A(+)} = \sum a_i^{(+)} \mathcal{H}_i^{(+)}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(+)} = \sum \bar{a}_i^{(+)} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_i^{(+)}$$

Similarly for $\mathcal{H}^{B(+)}$. The covariants above will generically result in relations among the $a_i^{(+)}$, and relations among the $b_i^{(+)}$.

Solving these conditions is equivalent to just forming a new basis $\mathcal{H}_i^{(+)}$ where each structure is manifestly Hermitian. We will call this basis \mathcal{H}_i^E "even". We will assume it is linearly independent.

Hence we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^E &= \mathcal{H}^{A(+)} + i \mathcal{H}^{B(+)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_E} (a_i^{E(+)} + i b_i^{E(+)}) \mathcal{H}_i^{E(+)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_E} c_i^{E(+)} \mathcal{H}_i^{E(+)} \end{aligned}$$

complex

Where $\mathcal{H}_i^{(+)}$ = $\mathcal{H}_i^{\pm(+)}$ is a basis of linearly independent structures which we define as parity even.

Similarly, consider

$$\mathcal{H}_{A_1 A_2 A_3}(X^I) = -\mathcal{H}_{A_1 A_2 A_3}^I(X)$$

If we impose this condition on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^A + i\mathcal{H}^B$ we obtain (assuming $\mathcal{H}^{AC(-)} = \mathcal{H}^{BC(-)} = 0$).

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(X^I) &= \mathcal{H}^{A(+)}(X^I) + i\mathcal{H}^{B(+)}(X^I) \\ &= -\mathcal{H}^I(X) \\ &= -\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(+)}(X^I) - i\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(+)}(X^I)\end{aligned}$$

Which implies:

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{A(+)} + \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{A(+)} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{B(+)} + \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{B(+)} = 0$$

and hence, for the parity-odd cut, using the same arguments we can change our basis $\mathcal{H}_i^{(+)}$ into another basis $\mathcal{H}_i^{O(+)}$ which is manifestly anti-commutative so the above conditions are automatically satisfied.

$$\mathcal{H}_i^{O(+)} = -\bar{\mathcal{H}}_i^{O(+)}$$

We will denote this basis $\mathcal{H}_i^{(+)}$ as parity odd.

Hence we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^0 &= \mathcal{H}^{A(+)} + i\mathcal{H}^{B(+)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} (a_i^{0(+)} + ib_i^{0(+)}) \mathcal{H}_i^{0(+)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} c_i^{0(+)} \mathcal{H}_i^{0(+)} \text{ complex}\end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathcal{H}_i^{0(+)} = -\bar{\mathcal{H}}_i^{0(+)}$ is a basis of linearly independent structures which we define as parity odd. We will assume we can construct this basis so it is linearly independent.

Hence, in general, for the tensor \mathcal{H} which satisfies the casimir pseudo covariance condition, we may write it in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{H}^E + \mathcal{H}^0 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_E} c_i^{E(+)} \mathcal{H}_i^{E(+)} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} c_i^{0(+)} \mathcal{H}_i^{0(+)}\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_i^{E(+)} = \bar{\mathcal{H}}_i^{E(+)}$, $\mathcal{H}_i^{0(+)} = -\bar{\mathcal{H}}_i^{0(+)}$, and c_i^E, c_i^0 are complex parameters.

Since $\alpha_i^{E(t)}$ and $\alpha_i^{O(t)}$ are linearly independent of each other, imposing conservation and no point switch symmetries results in relations among the $\alpha_i^{E(t)}$, and relations among the $b_i^{E/O(t)}$, reducing the overall number of even/odd coefficients.

This is a very general proof of why our 4D CFT solutions look the way they do. You can see it in all examples!

What I have demonstrated in 4D $N=1$ SCFT is that we can partition our structures in the same way based on covariance under superinversion. The proof is identical!

In SUSY case: $k_i, \bar{k}_i, q_i, \bar{q}_i = \text{no. of } P_i, \bar{P}_i$
 s_i, \bar{s}_i

$$i_1 + i_2 + i_3 - j_1 - j_2 - j_3 = 0$$

$$= 2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) + p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3$$

$$- 2(\bar{k}_1 + \bar{k}_2 + \bar{k}_3) - \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 - \bar{p}_3 - \bar{q}_1 - \bar{q}_2 - \bar{q}_3 = 0$$

$$= 2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - \bar{k}_1 - \bar{k}_2 - \bar{k}_3) + p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 - \bar{p}_3$$

$$+ q_1 + q_2 + q_3 - \bar{q}_1 - \bar{q}_2 - \bar{q}_3 = 0$$

The number odd building blocks is

$$N^o = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + \bar{k}_1 + \bar{k}_2 + \bar{k}_3 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + \bar{q}_1 + \bar{q}_2 + \bar{q}_3$$

Consider $O(\theta) = 0$, then $p_i = \bar{p}_i = q_i = \bar{q}_i = 0$

$$2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - \bar{k}_1 - \bar{k}_2 - \bar{k}_3) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = \bar{k}_1 + \bar{k}_2 + \bar{k}_3$$

Hence

$$N^o = 2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) = \text{even}$$

so for vector-like currents, at $O(O\bar{O}) = 0$, we have $N^o = \text{even}$ and so the solution is of type $f(t)$.

Now consider $O(O\bar{\theta})$ then the following holds

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + \bar{q}_1 + \bar{q}_2 + \bar{q}_3 + 0 = 1$$

$$\bar{p}_1 + \bar{p}_2 + \bar{p}_3 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + 0 = 1$$

$$\therefore q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = 1 - 0 - \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 - \bar{p}_3$$

$$\bar{q}_1 + \bar{q}_2 + \bar{q}_3 = 1 - 0 - p_1 - p_2 - p_3$$

$$\Rightarrow q_1 + q_2 + q_3 - \bar{q}_1 - \bar{q}_2 - \bar{q}_3 = p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 - \bar{p}_3$$

Hence

$$i_1 + i_2 + i_3 - j_1 - j_2 - j_3$$

$$= 2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - \bar{k}_1 - \bar{k}_2 - \bar{k}_3) + p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 - \bar{p}_3 \\ + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 - \bar{q}_1 - \bar{q}_2 - \bar{q}_3 = 0$$

$$= 2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - \bar{k}_1 - \bar{k}_2 - \bar{k}_3 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 - \bar{q}_1 - \bar{q}_2 - \bar{q}_3) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - \bar{k}_1 - \bar{k}_2 - \bar{k}_3 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 - \bar{q}_1 - \bar{q}_2 - \bar{q}_3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = \bar{k}_1 + \bar{k}_2 + \bar{k}_3 + \bar{q}_1 + \bar{q}_2 + \bar{q}_3$$

Hence at $O(O\bar{O})$

$$N^0 = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + \bar{k}_1 + \bar{k}_2 + \bar{k}_3 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + \bar{q}_1 + \bar{q}_2 + \bar{q}_3 \\ = 2(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3) = \text{even}$$

So at $O(O\bar{O})$ the solution is also of type $f^{(H)}!$

So the same story is happening in the SUSY case.

What about in 3D CFT?

In 3D CFT we define the inversion operators

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}(X) = \hat{X}_{\alpha\beta} = (\gamma^m)_{\alpha\beta} \hat{X}_m, \quad \hat{X}^m = \frac{X^m}{(X^2)^{1/2}}.$$

here, X^m is the three-point building block e.g

$$X_3^m = \frac{x_{13}^m}{x_{13}^2} - \frac{x_{23}^m}{x_{23}^2},$$

$$\Rightarrow X_{\alpha\beta} = (\gamma^m)_{\alpha\beta} X_3^m$$

The action of $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}(X)$ on X is:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{\alpha'}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta}^{\beta'}(X) X_{\alpha'\beta'} = -X_{\alpha\beta} = X_{\alpha\beta}^I$$

We also have

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{\alpha'}(X) \mathcal{I}_{\beta}^{\beta'}(X) \Sigma_{\alpha'\beta'} = -\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$$

Hence the action of \mathcal{I} is

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} -\Sigma & \left. \right\} \text{odd} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} X^I & \left. \right\} \text{even} \end{aligned}$$

Now consider a tensor

$$\mathcal{L}_{A_1 A_2 A_3}(X) = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha(2s_1)\beta(2s_2)\gamma(2s_3)}(X)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{A_1 A_2 A_3}(X)$ is a linear combination of the tensor products of Σ, X .

Now define the action of inversion on \mathcal{H} as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_{\alpha(2s_1)}^{\alpha'(2s_1)}(x) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(2s_2)}^{\beta'(2s_2)}(x) \mathcal{I}_{\gamma(2s_3)}^{\gamma'(2s_3)}(x) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha'(2s_1)\beta'(2s_2)\gamma'(2s_3)}(x) \\ &= \mathcal{H}_{\alpha(2s_1)\beta(2s_2)\gamma(2s_3)}^{\mathcal{I}}(x) \end{aligned}$$

We may decompose \mathcal{H} as follows:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{(+)} + \mathcal{H}^{(-)}$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{(+)}$ contains even no. of ε and $\mathcal{H}^{(-)}$ contains odd no. of ε . We will assume

$$\mathcal{H}^{(+)}(x) = \sum a_i \mathcal{H}_i^{(+)}(x),$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{(-)}(x) = \sum b_i \mathcal{H}_i^{(-)}(x),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_i^{(\pm)}$ are a basis of linearly independent even/odd structures. The action of \mathcal{I} on $\mathcal{H}_i^{(\pm)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_{\alpha(2s_1)}^{\alpha'(2s_1)}(x) \mathcal{I}_{\beta(2s_2)}^{\beta'(2s_2)}(x) \mathcal{I}_{\gamma(2s_3)}^{\gamma'(2s_3)}(x) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha'(2s_1)\beta'(2s_2)\gamma'(2s_3)}^{(\pm)}(x) \\ &= \pm \mathcal{H}_{\alpha(2s_1)\beta(2s_2)\gamma(2s_3)}^{\mathcal{I}(\pm)}(X^{\mathcal{I}}) \\ &= \mathcal{H}_{\alpha(2s_1)\beta(2s_2)\gamma(2s_3)}^{\mathcal{I}(\pm)}(X^{\mathcal{I}}) \end{aligned}$$

Now let's impose the following condition on \mathcal{H} :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha(2s_1)\beta(2s_2)\gamma(2s_3)}(X^{\mathcal{I}}) = \pm \mathcal{H}_{\alpha(2s_1)\beta(2s_2)\gamma(2s_3)}^{\mathcal{I}}(X)$$

In the + case we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(X^I) &= \mathcal{H}^{(+)}(X^I) + \mathcal{H}^{(-)}(X^I) \\ &= \mathcal{H}^{(+)}(X^I) - \mathcal{H}^{(-)}(X^I) \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(-)}(X) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

while for - we get :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(X^I) &= \mathcal{H}^{(+)}(X^I) + \mathcal{H}^{(-)}(X^I) \\ &= -(\mathcal{H}^{(+)}(X^I) - \mathcal{H}^{(-)}(X^I)) \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(+)}(X) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence to identify the parity-even/odd solutions we can impose the condition:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha(2s_1)\beta(2s_2)\delta(2s_3)}(X^I) = \pm \mathcal{H}_{\alpha(2s_1)\beta(2s_2)\delta(2s_3)}^{\mp}(X)$$

which is a type of pseudo-covariance condition.

Quick note on 4D N=1 superinversion

We have the chiral coordinates:

$$x_{(\pm)}^a = x^a \pm i \theta^a \bar{\theta}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{(\pm)\dot{\alpha}} = x_{\dot{\alpha}} \pm i (\theta^a)_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}} (\theta^a)_{\beta\beta} \theta^\beta \bar{\theta}^\dot{\beta}$$

$$= x_{\dot{\alpha}} \mp 2i \theta_\alpha \bar{\theta}^\dot{\alpha}$$

These objects have inverses

$$x_\pm^{-1} = - \frac{x_\pm}{x_\pm^2}$$

We then define superinversion (see Osborn):

$$(x_\pm^I)_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{x_F}{x_\pm^2} = \bar{I}_\alpha^{\dot{\alpha}}(x_\pm) \bar{I}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}'}(x_F) x_{\pm\dot{\alpha}'\dot{\alpha}'}$$

$$\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^I = - \frac{x_{\dot{\alpha}}}{x_F^2} \theta^\alpha, \quad \theta_\alpha^I = - \frac{x_{-\dot{\alpha}}}{x_-^2} \bar{\theta}^\dot{\alpha}$$

$$= \frac{1}{x_+} \bar{I}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}'}(x_+) \theta_{\dot{\alpha}'} \quad = \frac{1}{x_-} \bar{I}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}'}(x_-) \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}'}$$

removed factor of i on the transform of
the $\theta, \bar{\theta}$ (I believe it is equivalent?)

We want super-inversion operation on the
three-pt blocks to be somewhat equivalent so
it forms a representation of the underlying
coordinate inversion. Promote as follows:

$$x_+ \rightarrow X, x_- \rightarrow \bar{X}, \theta \rightarrow \Theta, \bar{\theta} \rightarrow \bar{\Theta}$$

Now the transformation more closely resembles
the coordinate inversion. And in this
regard we see $I(\bar{X})$ acting on Θ would
not form a representation of the coordinate
inversion. Maybe this is the logic?