


UPPAAL tutorial

- What's inside UPPAAL
- The UPPAAL input languages
(i.e. TA and TCTL in UPPAAL)

1

Timed Automata in UPPAAL



2

Timed Automata in UPPAAL

Clock Assignments

$x := n$

Variable Assignments

$i := Expr$
 $Expr ::= i \mid i[Expr] \mid$
 $n \mid -Expr \mid$
 $Expr + Expr \mid$
 $Expr - Expr \mid$
 $Expr * Expr \mid$
 $Expr / Expr \mid$
 $(g_a ? Expr : Expr)$

Location Invariants

$inv ::= x < n \mid x \leq n \mid inv, inv$

clock natural number "and"

Actions:


- "a" name of action
- a! or a?
- one or zero per edge

guards

guards

3

Networks of Timed Automata



Two-way synchronization
on **complementary** actions.

Closed Systems!

4

UPPAAL modeling language

- Networks of Timed Automata with Invariants
 - + urgent action channels,
 - + broadcast channels,
 - + urgent and committed locations,
 - + data-variables (with bounded domains),
 - + arrays of data-variables,
 - + constants,
 - + guards and assignments over data-variables and arrays...
 - + templates with local clocks, data-variables, and constants
 - + C subset

5

Declarations in UPPAAL

- The syntax used for declarations in UPPAAL is similar to the syntax used in the C programming language.

- **Clocks:**
 - Syntax:

```
clock x1, ..., xn ;
```

- Example:

```
clock x, y;
```

Declares two clocks: x and y.

6

Declarations in UPPAAL (cont.)

- Data variables

- Syntax:

```
int n1, ... ;  
int[l,u] n1, ... ;  
int n1[m], ... ;
```

Integer with “default” domain.
Integer with domain from “l” to “u”.
Integer array w. elements n1[0] to n1[m-1].

- Example;

- `int a, b;`
 - `int[0,1] a, b[5];`

7

Declarations in UPPAAL (cont.)

- Actions (or channels):

- Syntax:

```
chan a, ... ;  
urgent chan b, ... ;
```

Ordinary channels.
Urgent actions (described later)

- Example:

- `chan a, b[2];`
 - `urgent chan c;`

8

Declarations UPPAAL (const.)

- Constants

- Syntax:

```
const int c1 = n1;
```

- Example:

- `const int[0,1] YES = 1;`

- `const bool NO = false;`

9


Declarations in UPPAAL

The screenshot shows the UPPAAL System Editor interface. The left pane displays a tree view of the project structure, with 'Global declarations' selected. The right pane shows the UPPAAL code for the 'train-gate' system. The code includes comments, constant declarations, channel declarations, clock declarations, array declarations, process assignments, and a system definition.

```
/*  
 * For more details about this example, see  
 * "Automatic Verification of Real-Time Communicating Systems by Constraint Solving",  
 * by Wang Yi, Paul Pettersson and Mats Daniels. In Proceedings of the 7th International  
 * Conference on Formal Description Techniques, pages 223-238, North-Holland. 1994.  
 */  
  
const N      5;          // # trains + 1  
int[0,N]    e1;  
chan        appr, stop, go, leave;  
chan        empty, notempty, hd, add, rem;  
  
clock x;  
  
int[0,N] list[N], len, i;  
  
Train1:=Train(e1, 1);  
Train2:=Train(e1, 2);  
Train3:=Train(e1, 3);  
Train4:=Train(e1, 4);  
  
system  
  Train1, Train2, Train3, Train4,  
  Gate, Queue;
```

Constants
Bounded integers
Channels
Clocks
Arrays
Templates
Processes
Systems

Templates in UPPAAL



- Templates may be parameterised:

```
int v; const min; const max
```

```
int[0,N] e; const id
```

- Templates are instantiated to form processes:

```
P:= A(i,1,5);
```


```
Q:= A(j,0,4);
```

```
Train1:=Train(e1, 1);
```

```
Train2:=Train(e1, 2);
```

11


Urgent Channels: Example 1



- Suppose the two edges in automata P and Q should be taken as soon as possible.
- I.e. as soon as both automata are ready (simultaneously in locations l_1 and s_1).
- How to model with invariants if either one may reach l_1 or s_1 first?

12

Urgent Channels: Example 1



- Suppose the two edges in automata P and Q should be taken as soon as possible
- I.e. as soon as both automata are ready (simultaneously in locations l_1 and s_1).
- How to model with invariants if either one may reach l_1 or s_1 first?
- **Solution:** declare action “a” as urgent.

13

Urgent Channels

```
urgent chan hurry;
```

Informal Semantics:


- There will be no delay if transition with urgent action can be taken.

Restrictions:

- No clock guard allowed on transitions with urgent actions.
- Invariants and data-variable guards are allowed.

14


Urgent Channel: Example 2




- Assume i is a data variable.
- We want P to take the transition from $l1$ to $l2$ as soon as $i==5$.

15

Urgent Channel: Example 2



- Assume i is a data variable.
- We want P to take the transition from $l1$ to $l2$ as soon as $i==5$.
- **Solution:** P can be forced to take transition if we add another automaton:



where “go” is an urgent channel, and we add “go?” to transition $l1 \rightarrow l2$ in automaton P .

16

Broadcast Synchronisation

```
broadcast chan a, b, c[2];
```

- If a is a broadcast channel:
 - a! = Emmission of broadcast
 - a? = Reception of broadcast
- A set of edges in different processes can synchronize if one is emitting and the others are receiving on the same b.c. channel.
- A process can always emit.
- Receivers *must* synchronize if they can.
- No blocking.

17

Urgent Location

Click “Urgent” in State Editor.

Informal Semantics:

- No delay in urgent location.

Note: the use of urgent locations **reduces** the number of clocks in a model, and thus the complexity of the analysis.

18


Urgent Location: Example

- Assume that we model a simple media M:



that receives packages on channel a and immediately sends them on channel b.

- P models the media using clock x.



19


Urgent Location: Example

- Assume that we model a simple media M:



that receives packages on channel a and immediately sends them on channel b.

- P models the media using clock x.
- Q models the media using **urgent location**.
- P and Q have the same behavior.



20

Committed Location

Click “Committed” i State Editor.


Informal Semantics:

- No delay in committed location.
- Next transition must involve automata in committed location.

Note: the use of committed locations reduces the number of interleaving in state space exploration (and also the number of clocks in a model), and thus allows for more space and time efficient analysis.


21

Committed Location: Example 1




22

Committed Location: Example 1



23

Committed Locations (example: atomic sequence in a network)




If the sequence becomes too long, you can split it ...²⁴

Committed Locations

(example: atomic sequence in a network)

Semantics: the time spent on C-location should be zero !




25

Committed Locations

(example: atomic sequence in a network)

Semantics: the time spent on C-location should be zero !




26

Committed Locations

(example: atomic sequence in a network)

Semantics: the time spent on C-location should be zero !




Now, only the committed (red) transition can be taken!

27

Committed Locations

(example: atomic sequence in a network)



28


Committed Locations

- A trick of modeling (e.g. to model multi-way synchronization using handshaking)
- **More importantly**, it is a simple and efficient mechanism for state-space reduction!
In fact, it is a simple form of 'partial order reduction'
- **It is used to avoid intermediate states, interleavings:**
Committed states are not stored in the passed list
Interleavings of any state with a committed location will not be explored

29

Committed Location: Example 2

- **Assume:** we want to pass the value of integer "k" from automaton P to variable "j" in Q.
- The value of k can be passed using a global integer variable "t".
- Location "n" is committed to ensure that no other automaton can assign "t" before the assignment "j:=t".



30

More Expressions

- New operators (not clocks):
 - Logical:
 - && (logical and), || (logical or), ! (logical negation),
 - Bitwise:
 - ^ (xor), & (bitwise and), | (bitwise or),
 - Bit shift:
 - << (left), >> (right)
 - Numerical:
 - % (modulo), <? (min), >? (max)
 - Compound Assignments:
 - +=, -=, *=, /=, ^=, <=<=, >=>=
 - Prefix or Postfix:
 - ++ (increment), -- (decrement)

31

More on Types

- Multi dimensional arrays
e.g. `int b[2][3];`
- Array initialiser:
e.g. `int b[2][3] := { {1,2,3}, {4,5,6} };`
- Arrays of channels, clocks, constants.
e.g.
 - `chan a[3];`
 - `clock c[3];`
 - `const k[3] { 1, 2, 3 };`
- Broadcast channels.
e.g. `broadcast chan a;`

32

Extensions

Select statement

- Models non-deterministic choice
- `x : int[0,42]`

Types

- Record types
- Type declarations
- Meta variables:
not stored with state
`meta int x;`

Forall / Exists Expressions

- `forall (x:int[0,42])
expr`
true if `expr` is true for *all* values in `[0,42]` of `x`
- `exists (x:int[0,4]) expr`
true if `expr` is true for *some* values in `[0,42]` of `x`

Example:

```
forall  
(x:int[0,4])array[x];
```

33

Advanced Features

- Priorities on channels
`chan a,b,c,d[2],e[2];`
`chan priority a,d[0] < default < b,e`
- Priorities on processes
`system A < B,C < D;`
- Functions
C-like functions with return values

34

UPPAAL specification language


35

TCTL Quantifiers in UPPAAL

- E - exists a path (“**E**” in UPPAAL).
- A - for all paths (“**A**” in UPPAAL).
- G - all states in a path (“**[]**” in UPPAAL).
- F - some state in a path (“**<>**” in UPPAAL).

You may write the following queries in UPPAAL:

- **A[]p, A<>p, E<>p, E[]p and p --> q**



p and q are "local properties"

36

“Local Properties”

$A[]p, A<>p, E<>p, E[]p, p \dashrightarrow p$
 where p is a local property


$p ::= a.l \mid g_d \mid g_c \mid p \text{ and } p \mid$
 $p \text{ or } p \mid \text{not } p \mid p \text{ imply } p \mid$
 (p)

automaton location (points to $a.l$)
 data guard (points to g_d)
 clock guard (points to g_c)
 process/ name (points to p)

37

$E<>p$ – “ p Reachable”

- $E<>p$ – it is possible to reach a state in which p is satisfied.




- p is true in (at least) one reachable state.

38

$A[]p$ – “Invariantly p ”

- $A[] p$ – p holds invariantly.




- p is true in all reachable states.

39

$A<>p$ – “Inevitable p ”

- $A<> p$ – p will inevitable become true, the automaton is guaranteed to eventually reach a state in which p is true.




- p is true in some state of all paths.

40

$E[] p$ – “Potentially Always p ”

- $E[] p$ – p is potentially always true.




- There exists a path in which p is true in all states.

41

$p \rightarrow q$ – “ p lead to q ”

- $p \rightarrow q$ – if p becomes true, q will inevitably become true.
same as $A[](p \rightarrow A <> q)$



- In all paths, if p becomes true, q will inevitably become true.

42