

Q3 2015 Earnings Call

Company Participants

- Gerd Peter Poppinga, Executive Director-Ferrous Minerals
- Luciano Siani Pires, CFO & Executive Officer-Finance and Investor Relations
- Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira, President & Chief Executive Officer
- Roger A. Downey, Executive Director, Fertilizers & Coal

Other Participants

- Alexander Hacking, Analyst
- Amos C. Fletcher, Analyst
- Andreas Bokkenheuser, Analyst
- Carlos F. De Alba, Analyst
- Jeremy R. Sussman, Analyst
- Thiago Lofiego, Analyst
- Wilfredo Ortiz, Analyst

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION SECTION

Operator

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to Vale's conference call to discuss the third quarter of 2015 results. At this time all participants are in a listen-only mode. Later we will conduct a question-and-answer session and instructions will be given at that time. As a reminder, this conference is being recorded and the recording will be available on the company's website at vale.com at the Investors link. The replay of this conference call will be available by phone until October 28, 2015, on 5511-3193-1012, or 2820-4012, access code 4742831#. This conference call and the slide presentation are being transmitted via Internet as well, also through the company's website.

Before proceeding, let me mention that forward-looking statements are being made under the Safe Harbor of the Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1996. Actual performance could differ materially from that anticipated in any forward-looking comments as a result of macroeconomic conditions, market risks and other factors.

With us today are Mr. Murilo Ferreira, Chief Executive Officer, CEO; Mr. Luciano Siani Pires, Executive Officer of Finance and Investor Relations, CFO; Mr. Peter Poppinga, Executive Officer of Ferrous Minerals; Mr. Galib Chaim, Executive Officer of Capital Project Implementation; Mr. Roger Downey, Executive Officer of Fertilizers and Coal; Ms. Vania Somavilla, Executive Officer of Human Resources, Health and Safety, Sustainability and Energy; and Ms. Jennifer Maki, Executive Officer of Base Metals.

First, Mr. Murilo Ferreira will proceed with the presentation. And after that we will open for question and answers. It's now my pleasure to turn the call over to Mr. Murilo Ferreira. Sir, you may now begin.

Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira {BIO 1921488 <GO>}

Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to our webcast and conference call. Thank you all for joining us to discuss our 2015 third quarter results.

First of all, I'm pleased to report that Vale had a sound operational result, achieving a production record for iron ore. And in Carajás also we had a record third quarter production. Adjusted EBITDA was \$1.9 billion, 15% lower than second half 2015 – second quarter, sorry – mainly as a result of lower sales prices in all our commodities except gold and phosphate. Gross revenues amounted \$6.6 billion, 7% lower than the second quarter 2015, also due to lower commodity prices.

Costs and expenses net of depreciation decreased by almost \$300 million in the third quarter of 2015 despite our higher sales volume. For your reference, costs and expenses decreased by almost \$2.7 billion in the first nine months of 2015 when compared to the same period in 2014 despite the higher sales volume.

Our SG&A and other expenses decreased by over 48% while R&D decreased by 28% and our pre-operating and stoppage expenses decreased by roughly 17% versus the first nine months of 2014.

Our CapEx was close to \$1.9 billion in the third quarter 2015 while project execution was \$1.2 billion and sustaining CapEx close to \$700 million. For your reference, CapEx was \$6.2 billion in the first nine months of 2015, meaning a reduction of over \$2 billion when compared to the first nine months of 2014.

In line with our divestment plan, we concluded the sale of a minority stake in MBR for over \$1 billion and for Valemax to China Merchants for roughly \$450 million in the quarter.

Net debt decreased \$2.3 billion to \$24.2 billion with a cash balance of \$4.5 billion. Our average debt maturity was over 18 years, with cost of debt of 4.4% per annum.

Now to talk about Ferrous Minerals. Above all, I'm proud to inform you that our iron ore cash cost decreased by \$3.10 per ton and reached \$12.07 per ton this quarter. Our freight costs, excluding the effect of a hedging account for bunker oil decreased to \$16.40 per ton due to lower bunker oil price in our charter contract.

Our unit cash cost and expenses for iron ore fines landed in China, adjusted for quality and moisture, went to \$39.10 per ton, down to \$34.20 per ton in the third quarter in a dry metric ton basis. Due to big reduction in cost and expenses, ferrous minerals EBITDA reached \$1.7 billion, remaining stable when compared to the second quarter of 2015, after adjusting for the effect of lower dividends received from Samarco and from the leased pellet plants during this quarter.

Still on our Ferrous Minerals EBITDA I would like to call your attention to the fact that our results decreased by more than \$100 million to the impact of the hedging account for bunker oil. We will not longer have this negative impact in our EBITDA as of 2016.

Fiscal progress on the S11D mining plant project reached 75% while the fiscal progress at the railway and port achieved 50% with 72% progress on the railway spur. Base Metal EBITDA was about \$200 million in the third quarter, having decreased due to lower price and the negative impact of adjustment IN the copper price and sales realized in the previous quarter and still outstanding at the end of this quarter. This provisional price had a negative impact of \$9 million in the quarter.

Nickel production amounted over 70,000 tons in the third quarter 2015, supported by higher production in Sudbury, Indonesia and New Caledonia, despite the planned shutdown in Sudbury and Thompson in the third quarter.

Copper production was about 100,000 tons in the third quarter 2015 with hopefully 40% coming from Salobo. We expect to increase our production of copper and nickel in the last quarter of this year with the completion of our maintenance for the year, the ramping up of Salobo to 100% of its nominal capacity.

With coal we continue our work to eliminate the logistics bottleneck in Mozambique. In this regard we achieved 96% fiscal progress in Moatize II and 94% in Nacala Corridor.

With Fertilizer I'm very pleased to inform you that our adjusted EBITDA continues to improve and reached almost \$200 million in the third quarter of 2015, driven by higher sales volumes and lower costs. We remain confident in the long-term perspective of our Fertilizer business segment.

In the third quarter of 2015 we continue to reduce our costs and expenses, achieving lower cash cost in iron ore fines, continue our divestment program and reduce our net debt position. We remain focused on keeping our operating discipline in preserving our balance sheet as we complete our investment cycle in the next few years.

Thank you for your attention. And now let's open this webcast for your questions.

Q&A

Operator

Ladies and gentlemen, we will now begin the question-and-answer session. Our first question comes from Wilfredo Ortiz with Deutsche Bank.

Q - Wilfredo Ortiz {BIO 6113152 <GO>}

Yes. Good morning, everyone. Just wanted to ask you as far as the cash costs for iron ore, given the progress we've been able to achieve thus far, where do you see the cash costs going towards the latter part of the year? And then once you have the ramp of S11D. And specifically, where were the Carajás cash costs as of now? And where do you think S11D's cash costs could be given all the progress you've been able to do and with the FX being right now in your favor? And secondly I wanted to ask you also regarding your freight strategy. Following the sale of some of the Valemax vessels and the current marketplace, how sticky would the freight rates be, given that you've sold some of your vessels and the market is one way and perhaps you have some contracted business and some open, and how are you viewing that? Thank you.

A - Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira {BIO 1921488 <GO>}

Thank you for your question. Peter and Luciano?

A - Gerd Peter Poppinga {BIO 17245689 <GO>}

Hi. Thank you very much for your question. So if you look at our cash cost this quarter, it's \$12.7, and this wasn't only because of exchange rate and volume. There was a real gain in productivity as we had along the whole year already. If you look at our Carajás operation today and also the southeast system, which is becoming very competitive because of the new Itabirito project already in production. Today both systems, they are already around \$10 a ton C1 cash costs. So when you look a little further down the road and you can easily imagine that with the S11D coming, which we know will be distinctly below \$10, at an exchange rate of 3.5, plus with the optimization of our south system because there we have still some work to do, you can imagine that at 3.5 exchange rate we will be around \$10 a ton C1 cash costs for the whole volume.

A - Luciano Siani Pires {BIO 15951848 <GO>}

Luciano. On freight, we see three main levers for reducing freight costs going forward. The first one is we still didn't accrue all the benefits of the lower bunker prices; there's a lag because of the length of the voyage of the ships, the refueling cycling process. So we still had in the quarter markedly higher bunker prices than what we're having at the end of the quarter. So we should expect that this effect will lower bunker prices and thus lower freight rates going forward.

The second one is we believe our mix of contracts can, even the spot - usage of spot freight will improve going forward. And the lower spot rate also enables us better negotiating conditions going forward towards the renewal of existing contracts. So this should improve the mix overall and also lower freight going forward.

And finally we have logistics optimization, most notably the berthing of Valemaxes directly into China avoiding the stopover in the Philippines, for instance. That reduces also the freight costs going forward. So we have a positive view on the behavior of freight for the next few quarters.

Operator

Our next question comes from Carlos De Alba with Morgan Stanley.

Q - Carlos F. De Alba {BIO 15072819 <GO>}

Yeah, good morning, everybody. So the first question is if you could give us some comments on the timing and the amount of the Nacala finance transaction that you expect to close I guess in the coming months. And also if you can highlight any other divestitures and perhaps hopefully expected proceeds that will definitely help you to keep on sustaining your net debt levels or improve them. And then also if you have any detailed guidance or more specific guidance as to when S11D production should start either next year or early in 2017. Thank you.

A - Luciano Siani Pires {BIO 15951848 <GO>}

There hasn't been any change on the perspectives for the project finance, so we're still looking at \$2 billion plus and the closure of it at the end of the first quarter next year. In terms of divestitures, we see less of a need for divestitures just for the sake of closing any cash flow gaps, because as you can see we are increasing our competitiveness and lowering CapEx, sustaining investments. So this quarter we had, it was a coincidence, EBITDA exactly equal to investments, and we expect to, going forward, to have a surplus on this simple measure going forward.

But we keep on looking for, let's say, opportunistic transactions over smaller amounts. As we said before, we keep on looking into sale of ships where these have been commanding good prices and it's a good transaction to relieve capital. As you saw also on the press we received a non-binding offer from Hydro for MRN. It's still - it's in early steps so there's a lot to do to see if we are going to have a transaction. But it's an encouraging sign as well. So therefore we keep on looking forward to optimizing our capital structure and simplifying the portfolio, but I would say with less of a pressure from a cash flow perspective.

A - Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira {BIO 1921488 <GO>}

Okay. And on the startup.

A - Luciano Siani Pires {BIO 15951848 <GO>}

Okay. For the startup of S11D, I think we commented on the earlier Portuguese call that we want to start doing the tests with ore in September next year. And at the same time running the first trains on the rails for - again, in September of next year.

Operator

Our next question comes from Andreas Bokkenheuser with UBS.

Q - Andreas Bokkenheuser {BIO 7182883 <GO>}

Yes, good morning, everyone. Just a quick question from me on the inventory strategy. I think you mentioned on the morning call that you might be looking to potentially destock some 10% of your current inventories next year. What would be the strategy in terms of timing? Is this something where you're basically waiting to see whether iron ore prices are maintaining strength for you to start destocking or would you rather be looking to potentially destock into seasonally weaker volume quarters like first or second quarter? What's the thinking on the timing there? Thank you.

A - Gerd Peter Poppinga {BIO 17245689 <GO>}

Thanks for the question, Andreas. But to be clear, this is not seasonal and this destocking is just because we now have the means to do it. We have capacity at the MRS railway plus we have the Pico-Fábrica railroad from - linking Pico to Fábrica where we can ship then instead of [19:38] we can ship to Tubarão. So because of this flexibility we can now reduce stock price. When we say reduce stock price it's really about some good material, old material stocked in the mines of Vargem Grande in the southern system. And progressively we want to reduce that because it's working capital and it will not jeopardize existing production or existing sales.

Our ideal stock level today would be something around, because we have floating inventory in vessels, we have some distribution centers offshore, a in the mines we should go to - in the next two to three years we should reach 30 million tons and today we have 55 million tons. So this is what we want to do. It takes - it is a working capital that we want to reduce and it's a good opportunity because we have now this flexibility but it's not seasonal.

Operator

Our next question comes from Amos Fletcher with Barclays.

Q - Amos C. Fletcher {BIO 4728914 <GO>}

Yeah. Hi there, gentlemen. I just had a couple of questions. The first one to ask with respect to the 13 million tons of capacity that you announced you would be taking offline in the production release. Could you explain, will that come - will that be seen through lower pellet production over time? And then I just wanted to get - the second question around the fact that it appears that you've built another 3 million tons of inventory in terms of iron ore fines in the third quarter and obviously you've been saying that you're going to release inventory. What sort of timeframe can we start - expect you to see sales in excess of production in the iron ore business? Thank you.

A - Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira {BIO 1921488 <GO>}

Please could you repeat the second question?

Q - Amos C. Fletcher {BIO 4728914 <GO>}

Yeah. So the second question was just around the fact that in the quarter it looked like you built around 3 million tons of inventory in terms of your sales volumes being above - being 3 million tons below production. Obviously you've been making comments around releasing that inventory over the course of the last couple of quarters. And I was just wondering at what point will we start to see sales being greater than production? Thanks.

A - Gerd Peter Poppinga {BIO 17245689 <GO>}

So, quarter-to-quarter comparisons in terms of stock, I don't have the detail here. But it's certainly right that we have - in 2015 we will have - if you compare December 2014 and December 2015, we will have 2 million tons to 3 million tons less of stock in our inventories. That is for sure. And the big

reduction will come in 2016 where we are going to reduce more than 10% of the existing stockpile, like I said before.

Now regarding the 13 million tons, it's a little confusing. But let me try to explain it once more. This is annualized capacity, okay? We stopped our - some of our not-so-high-margin mines, Feijão and Jangada, mainly in the southeast system. But we also bought less third-party oil. But this is annualized. So actually inside the quarter you will only see 2 million tons to 3 million tons reduction. Okay.

So this is not something we are sticking to that. We said once both together, the reductions in the mines and then the reductions in third-party fees could reach an annualized base of 25 million tons. It is not something which we will stick to this year. We are going this way. It depends on the margin optimization. It depends on the market. And this year we are, in spite of doing this, in spite of reducing these volumes, we are compensating the volumes in higher margin mines, and keeping roughly the 340 million tons production guidance for 2015.

Q - Amos C. Fletcher {BIO 4728914 <GO>}

Thank you.

Operator

Our next question comes from Jeremy Sussman with Clarksons Platou.

Q - Jeremy R. Sussman {BIO 15112588 <GO>}

Yes. Hello. How's it going? I just wanted to follow up real quickly on the - on your last answer. Did I hear you correctly? I think previously you talked about kind of a range of 340 million tons to 376 or so million tons of production in 2016. Should we now be looking at kind of closer to the 340 million range, just given the curtailment of some of the high cost production?

A - Luciano Siani Pires {BIO 15951848 <GO>}

340 million is 2015. That's what Peter said. For 2016 we will announce guidance on the Vale Day.

Q - Jeremy R. Sussman {BIO 15112588 <GO>}

Okay.

A - Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira {BIO 1921488 <GO>}

Thank you.

Operator

Our next question comes from Alex Hacking with Citi.

Q - Alexander Hacking {BIO 6599419 <GO>}

Hi. Good morning. And thanks for the question. Luciano, can you remind us of the CapEx guidance for next year and what FX rate that you're assuming on that guidance? And then a second question for Peter, if it's okay. Can you discuss maybe the dynamics of the pellet premiums? I - we can see that lump premiums have come off quite a bit recently. Are you seeing a similar dynamic in pellets? And would you consider rationalizing your pellet capacity a little bit like we saw in 2012? Thanks.

A - Luciano Siani Pires {BIO 15951848 <GO>}

Alex, thank you for your question. In the previous release you had a table in which we reproduced the capital expenditures guidance given December last year for different exchange rates. The reason we removed it is precisely because we're reviewing that right now. But you should expect the same ranges of the past tables. We shouldn't be far away from those ranges. So the information is on the release. And I believe we were talking about between \$6.5 billion to \$7 billion on - depending on the exchange rates that you look at.

A - Gerd Peter Poppinga {BIO 17245689 <GO>}

Yes. Alex, concerning the dynamics in the pellet market, as you know, pellets are much more volatile than other products. And you are right. There is a temporary weakening in the pellet market. Although I have to state that what you see published in the index and in the specialized magazines, this is not - these are not pellets from major suppliers. We have lots of high-silica-off-specs pellets floating around and also from Ukraine and countries like that. In our case we have - but we have a decrease in pellet premium compared to 2014, a couple of dollars. That is correct. And well, the pellet market - plainly is under stress. You have - Samarco and Vale ramped up expansions recently simultaneously, you have now no need for productivity in blast furnaces and MBR, the segment you know that the scrap price is very much under pressure due to the exports of Chinese steel semis and also there is a gas problem in Egypt. So there is a temporary weakness and we are actually taking off some capacity in Q4, which is a cut of around 10% and we're going to sell pellet feed instead. But still we are going to produce in 2015 more pellets than we produced in 2014.

Operator

Our next question comes from (29:04), HSBC.

Yes, hi. Thank you very much for another question. I just have a question for Peter with regards to premiums for Brazilian fines. Would you expect that to maintain over time for this - at least for this quarter? And given that you have some cargos in the spot market, is that - has been well received on contract as well? Thank you very much.

A - Gerd Peter Poppinga {BIO 17245689 <GO>}

It's one of the best suppliers we had in Vale, our Brazilian Blend, which is in quality slightly superior than other blends in the market. And we have achieved premiums \$4 a ton to \$5 a ton. Even in the weakness of the market now they are being kept. And I can only say this blend will grow. You'll have - today we have around 30 million tons of this blend. It will come to 100 million tons and will leverage Vale's price realization a lot. For that you have to have liquidity, you have - and you have to have constant quality and that's what we are working towards. Thank you.

Operator

Our next question comes from Thiago Lofiego with Merrill Lynch. Mr. Lofiego, your line is open.

Q - Thiago Lofiego {BIO 16359318 <GO>}

Can you hear me?

A - Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira {BIO 1921488 <GO>}

Yes. Go ahead, Thiago.

Q - Thiago Lofiego {BIO 16359318 <GO>}

Can you hear me?

A - Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira {BIO 1921488 <GO>}

Yes, we can.

Q - Thiago Lofiego {BIO 16359318 <GO>}

Okay. Sorry. Just a follow-up from the Portuguese call to Roger, if I may, on the fertilizers front. So would Vale consider ever spinning off the Fertilizers business at all, just as was the case at some point with base metals, meaning your profitability is robust right now, so with decent prospects. So would you consider eventually selling a stake to the market? That's the first question. And second question to Peter, on the third-party ore purchases, so from the numbers and from your comments as well it seems like you're running pretty close to zero purchases as of now. Is it fair to assume virtually no third-party purchases for 2016? Or do you still have a minimum level maybe due to attractive contracts that you may have in some systems?

A - Roger A. Downey {BIO 7419641 <GO>}

Okay, Thiago, let me start off then. What we're working on today is building a model that works and catapults our existing operations into a much more robust and much more profitable business in the fertilizers industry. Like I said earlier, we are in the sweet spot. Brazil is a fast-growing market. We're putting in some very structural changes to the business, productivity standards are growing. Our improvement is not just an FX-based improvement. It's - there's a lot of productivity and cost savings structurally in the business that we've managed to achieve. So going forward the strategy is maintained at building this, I guess, the champion in the fertilizer industry. We're looking at all options. But it's one step at a time. And we will certainly look at every option that we have to build this business.

A - Gerd Peter Poppinga {BIO 17245689 <GO>}

Regarding your question on third-party fees, yes we will reduce it. But it's very difficult to predict how much. There is purchase and purchase, we have also some contractors operating mines for us. So there's small amounts which we probably will keep, but it will be reduced.

A - Murilo Pinto de Oliveira Ferreira {BIO 1921488 <GO>}

Thank you very much. Thank you for your time. We can say that we remain very focused in our operating discipline in capital allocation and preserving our balance sheet. And we continue very confident about being 2016 as a new year in Vale with our new projects. Thank you very much. All the best.

Operator

This concludes Vale's conference call for today. Thank you very much for your participation. You may now disconnect.

This transcript may not be 100 percent accurate and may contain misspellings and other inaccuracies. This transcript is provided "as is", without express or implied warranties of any kind. Bloomberg retains all rights to this transcript and provides it solely for your personal, non-commercial use. Bloomberg, its suppliers and third-party agents shall have no liability for errors in this transcript or for lost profits, losses, or direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or punitive damages in connection with the furnishing, performance or use of such transcript. Neither the information nor any opinion expressed in this transcript constitutes a solicitation of the purchase or sale of securities or commodities. Any opinion expressed in the transcript does not necessarily reflect the views of Bloomberg LP. © COPYRIGHT 2022, BLOOMBERG LP. All rights reserved. Any reproduction, redistribution or retransmission is expressly prohibited.