

Q2 2018 Earnings Call

Company Participants

- Carlos Firetti, Department Director, Head of Investor Relations
- Denise Pavarina, Managing Officer and Investor Relations Officer
- Vinicius Albernaz, Chief Executive Officer

Other Participants

- Carlos Gomez Lopez, Analyst
- Carlos Macedo, Analyst
- Felipe Salomao, Analyst
- Jason Mollin, Analyst
- Jorge Kuri, Analyst
- Marcelo Telles, Analyst
- Mario Pierry, Analyst
- Natalia Corfield, Analyst
- Thiago Batista, Analyst

Presentation

Operator

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen and thank you for waiting. We would like to welcome everyone to Banco Bradesco's Second Quarter 2018 Earnings Results Conference Call. This call is being broadcasted simultaneously through the internet in the website banco.bradesco/ir-en. In that address, you can also find the presentation available for download. We inform that all participants will only be able to listen to the conference call during the company's presentation. After the presentation, there will be a question-and-answer session, when further instructions will be given. (Operator Instructions)

Before proceeding, let me mention that forward-looking statements are based on the beliefs and assumptions of Banco Bradesco's management and on information currently available to the company. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions because they relate to future events and therefore, depend on circumstances that may or may not occur in the future. Investors should understand that general economic conditions, industry conditions and other operating factors could also affect the future results of Banco Bradesco and could cause results to differ materially from those expressed in such forward-looking statements.

Now, I'll turn the conference over to Mr. Carlos Firetti, Market Relations Director.

Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Good afternoon, everybody. Welcome to our conference call to discuss our second quarter results. We have today with us, our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Octavio de Lazari; our Executive Vice President, Andre Cano; our Executive Director and Investor Relations Officer, Denise Pavarina; and the CEO of Bradesco Seguros, Vinicius Albernaz.

I turn now the presentation to Denise.

Denise Pavarina {BIO 2255359 <GO>}

Good afternoon, everyone, and thank you for participating in this call. I'll comment on some highlights for the quarter and then, Firetti will present the figures in more detail. We will start on the screen number two. The net income reached BRL5.2 billion in the second quarter, a growth of 1.2%, if we compare it to the previous quarter and 9.7% compared to the second quarter and also to the first half of 2018.

The operational results had a very solid growth of more than 25%, if we compare annually and the return on shareholders' equity in the quarter was 18.4%, in the first half, 18.5%. These results reflect, in part, the economy improvement, but more than that the adjustments that we made in our operation in spite of the volatility -- the market volatility that we had in late May and June.

The expanded credit portfolio presented a growth of 6% in the quarter and 4.5% in the annual comparison, a very good performance in both Individuals and Corporate segments. In the Corporate Segment, the growth was 7.5% in the quarter, favored by some specific operations that we had in the segment of large Corporate. In the Individuals segment, there was impressive growth in virtually all lines, with emphasis for the mass market portfolios of retail and prime that are growing 9.5% in the year.

As we have already anticipated in previous calls, we highlight the positive evolution that we had in credit quality. Delinquency ratios are decreasing, and we can observe a reduction of 50 bps in the quarter and 100 bps in relation to the same period of last year.

As a consequence, the expanded loan loss provision expenses had a further reduction, with a drop of 11.7% in the quarter and 36.1% if we compare annually. It's worth mentioning that the expenses for both the loan loss provision and the impairment showed reduction.

The improvement in the last two quarters allowed us to reduce our guidance for loan loss provision expenses and our impairment that ranges now from BRL13 billion to BRL16 billion. We believe that at the end of the second half, our delinquency ratios will be back to the pre-crisis levels.

Operating expenses continue performing well in comparison with the first half of the previous year, despite the inflation that we had, the wages increase and this is mainly a reflection of the adjustments that we made in our operation, our discipline regarding

FINAL

Bloomberg Transcript

costs. As you know, we are always trying to optimize our operations and seeking for cost reduction and this is done mainly through the usage of technology.

The physical presence optimization takes into consideration the convenience of our clients, the necessity of each place -- each location and then resulting in adaptation or reduction of our units. We intend to reduce, for this year, a total of 200 branches.

If we move to the revenues for fees and commissions, they presented a growth of 3.7% in the quarter and 6.9% in the six month comparison, reflecting the revenue synergies initiatives and a greater offer of products to our customer base. I think this shows that we have done a very important work on expenses in the past and now we can see the synergies on revenues starting to come. The insurance operation will be covered [ph] by Vinicius Albernaz, right after my presentation. So, I'll leave to him.

Regarding our capital index, we had a reduction of 100 bps in this quarter due to the volatility of the markets, which impacted our shareholders' equity in the mark-to-market of available for sale. Firetti will explain in details this later.

These were the main topics related to the results. And now I would like to bring to your attention some of the initiatives we are putting our focus now that will boost our customer base and our businesses. There will be four of them.

First of all, the individual micro entrepreneur initiative. In this segment, we are providing a new platform to our customers. We just launched a website called MEI, which stands for individual micro entrepreneur. In addition to the sales efforts related to Stelo, the machine -- the acquirer machine that is provided by Cielo.

Next, as you know, our digital bank that's focused on customers that seek for a full digital and differentiated experience. We are very happy with the results, this quarter. Next has reached already 180,000 current accounts and our target for this year is to reach 400,000 new accounts.

Bradesco POS, we distributed Bradesco POS to our acquiring customers with a package that differentiated a package of services that merges banking and other services provided by Cielo. Something that is very interesting that we are focusing is the creation of what we call the non current account holders apartment. Actually, they are our clients in many products that we provide, but they do not have the cash account with us. So, we are giving a different focus to that and this department is going to develop many efforts to explore and to attend better those clients. They have at least one of our products of the Group as a whole.

In a nutshell, we feel that these results show the robustness of our operation and considering these projects that we just mentioned, we expect a very interesting future as the economy puts the crisis periods behind.

So, now I would change to Firetti to provide detailed information on the figures.

Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Thank you, Denise. Starting on slide number three, the adjustments of our recurring net earnings. Basically, the main adjustments in the previous quarter was the goodwill amortization. We amortized BRL613 million. Our expectation for the year is BRL2 billion. Therefore, we expect a reduction in the level of amortization in the second half.

FINAL

In slide four, only a few comments on this slide, then we'll go into details on the lines on the following slides. Basically, a return on equity of 18.4%. In the quarter, our operating income show a strong growth of 25.7%. Recurring earnings grew 9.7. Basically, as you can see, we had a higher tax rate this quarter. This is related to the creation of tax credit due to the tax variation. This reduced our ability to consume tax credit that was previously constituted at 40%, and had this impact on the tax rate. We can discuss that more in details in the Q&A, if you wish.

Going to slide number five, our net earnings as I said, grew 9.7%. 32% of the results in this first half came from insurance.

In the slide six, we have some details on our net interest income. Our net interest income in the first half had a reduction of 3.8%. In the quarter, we had a reduction of 3.3%. We have a nice increase in credit intermediation, already reflecting the increase in volumes in our loan book, and also the overall favorable mix, mostly coming from loans in the retail operations that have better margins.

In the insurance margin, we had a reduction in NII from insurance mostly due to the differential of indexation ratios in our asset liability management for the insurance company. Basically, we have a very high wholesale inflation that corrects our liabilities, while our assets have mostly bonds indexed to retail inflations. This differential represents a higher cost for us that reduces the margin for insurance.

We can say this is a temporary impact, provided, as normally is the case, retail inflation comes higher than wholesale. Basically, we don't have this impact, that is normally the case. In the asset liability management, another line, basically, we had the impact from results in the bond portfolio. I will talk a little bit more about mark-to-market when I talk about capital. We had this quarter, looking to the quarter, isolatedly, a growth in the credit margin. Basically, as I said, reflecting mostly the better mix.

In slide seven, we have our loan book, focused on the bottom parts of this slide, expenses loan book by segment. You can see that we are growing above 9% in the retail portfolios, basically retail and prime, while we had a pick up in growth for Corporate.

This is, as Denise mentioned, mostly due to FX, It explains a small part of this and mostly due to some specific operations in the corporate segment we originated this quarter and helped growth. We didn't change our view that Corporate probably will not grow that much in the short-term, mostly because companies still didn't start an investment cycle so we remain with the same view.

We believe retail should do better than Corporate loans going forward. We had the first quarterly growth in the middle-market portfolio for some time, this quarter. So basically, we had an increase of 6% in our expanded loan book in the quarter, 4.5% year on year.

On slide eight, we have our expanded loan book, where you can see that the lines that are growing more continue to be payroll loans where we have a big strength coming from the origination of payroll loans in our own branches and also getting stronger in private sector payroll loans and real estate financing, car loans. So, we have mostly this quarter an increase in all individual lines in the portfolio.

In slide nine, we have the origination per business day, our origination per business day grew 23% in the quarter in the annual comparison. For companies, we have an increase of 35.6%.

In slide 10, we have our delinquency ratios as one of the big highlights of the quarter and has been one of the highlights for the previous year. The delinquency ratio 90 days dropped almost 40 bps this quarter. We had improvements in SMEs that despite the big improvement, still remains above the bottoms we have seen in the past. We believe we may see still a gradual improvement there. Individuals, we are getting closer to historical bottoms but remember that we change the mix, so it is possible to see some improvements there.

And corporate loans, despite the improvements, it is still high. Probably it will take a little bit more time to see the delinquency ratio there going back to the bottoms that historically were around 0.5, mostly because this segment tends to be impacted by specific cases or a few specific cases, even though most of the portfolio is in a much better shape.

In the slide 11, we have our gross provisions without considering impairment and recoveries compared to the NPL formation. Our new provisions represented 112% of the NPL formations, denoting the consistency in our provision. In the lower part of the slide, we have our expanded loan book in relation to the portfolio. We reached a ratio of 2.7%, it's at very low-level and it's basically, in our view, the provision expenses will remain very well behaved.

In slide 12, the NPL creation per segment, the total NPL creation continued reducing. This quarter, we have reductions in corporate, NPL creation, SMEs. For Individuals, it remained stable this quarter.

In slide 13, we have our coverage ratio. We reached the 230% coverage over the 90 days NPL, a very healthy level. We believe this coverage will go down when we grow -- with growth in our portfolio. We don't intend to reverse additional provisions we have constituted in the past at this moment.

Page 14, we have our renegotiated portfolio, We have an increase in the total renegotiated portfolio by BRL700 million this quarter. This is more like, not a one-off, but it's driven mostly by the renegotiation of a some -- a few corporate loans and it's not a

trend. These loans already had provisions. So, basically it doesn't impact at all the dynamics for the expanded provision expenses in our portfolio and also it doesn't change the trends for NPL.

In slide 15, we have our fees and commissions, our fees grew on a year-on-year basis in the second quarter at a rate of 8.3%. Good performance from checking accounts, asset management from brokerage and also investment bank, that is clearly one of the bigger highlights in the quarter. The checking accounts, the performance, growing 8% in the first half shows we have been able to capture more synergies from our acquisition, especially in the current account fees.

In slide 16, our total costs grew in the quarter year-on-year at 0.6%, for the first half, 0.1. We had a slightly higher increase in administrative expenses, mostly related to the concentration of marketing expenses in the quarter, but also some impact from third-party services. And also we had this quarter, an increase -- a reduction year-on-year, in personnel expenses, 0.8, but actually the structural part of the personnel expenses dropped 4.1, while the non- structural grew 13.9.

The main reason behind that are the very high level of provisions for labor lawsuits. This has been high because of the higher numbers of lawsuits filed against us due to the reduction in the number of employees we had recently, also the average provision we have been making for each case is still on a higher level.

We already see a lower flow of new lawsuits and we believe, as time goes by, since the provisions are made on a moving average, also the provisions for lawsuits will be lower. So, we will believe in the second half, the provisions for labor lawsuits can be materially lower, and that should help the personnel expenses.

We continue, in terms of branch network, we had a small reduction of eight branches. We continue with our target of closing around 200 branches in 2018. The efficiency ratio, 40.8% this quarter. The coverage ratio, that is basically fee revenues divided by cost, reached 80.3%. This is the best level we had for many quarters.

Now, I turn the presentation to Vinicius to comment on insurance.

Vinicius Albernaz {BIO 17958985 <GO>}

Thank you, Firetti, and good afternoon, everyone. The first half of 2018 figures show that the Brazilian insurance market is still feeling the effects of the general economic environment, which grew well below its potential. Despite this challenging scenario, the main performance indicators of Grupo Bradesco Seguros in the first half were positive.

Our claims ratio showed an improvement of 70 basis points in the first half of the year in comparison to the same period last year, reaching 74.4%. In a similar manner, our commissions ratio improved by 100 basis points, reaching 8.9%. Our efficiency index, which completed its ninth consecutive quarter around 4%, has remained at the best of the market along the large insurers in Brazil, reflecting a strict control of our direct costs.

FINAL

As a result, our combined ratio has also shown an evolution of 100 basis points, reaching 85%, in the same direction and despite a lower Selic rate and an increasing market volatility. Our first half financial results exceeded approximately 5%, the one observed in the same period of 2017. This strong operational performance has allowed the insurance group's net income, which totaled BRL3.145 billion in the first half to grow by 19% in comparison with the same period last year.

The adjusted return on shareholders' equity was 19.6%. Our technical provisions exceeded BRL252 billion, corresponding to around 27% of the Brazilian insurance market of total revisions of the Brazilian insurance market. The total financial assets reaching 280 billion. The total amount paid in indemnities and benefits reached 29 billion, corresponding to more than 230 million per working day.

These figures translate the strength of Bradesco Seguros, whose revenue has maintained our market share around 25%. With the state the insurance market is undergoing, major changes, both in Brazil and the rest of the world. Changes that range from the demographic profile of the population to the introduction of new technologies, in forms of relationship with the clients, in addition to the lives of a hyper-connected generation with very specific perspectives.

We have been working with special focus in improving our internal processes, aiming not only at the development of new products, but also the continuous improvement of our pricing models, acceptance in management of claims, and on in key aspects, are essential to the insurance activity.

Therefore, in spite of the challenges, we still have the confidence we had at the beginning of the year. If, on the one hand, the reduction of the Selic rate, challenges our market concerning financial results, which is an integral part of the insurance business. On the other hand, the marginal [ph] recovery of the economy, shown in the first half, tends to create favorable conditions in support of operating results going forward.

We will continue to pursue gains of scale, administrative efficiency, while maintaining the excellence in our services. And also, promote the continuous evolution of our multi-product distribution channels. Our ambition is to have a strong progress in all channels, ensuring complete insurance solutions to all our generations of clients throughout their lifetime and professional needs. Thank you very much.

Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Thank you, Vinicius. So jumping to slide 19, we have our Basel ratio, we have this quarter, a variation in our capital by 100 bps. Basically, as you can see, the main causes, the main drivers for these variations were the mark-to-market in our available-for-sale securities portfolio that are mostly securities from our asset liability management and prudential adjustments that means basically tax credits that we generated this quarter.

On mark-to-market, only a remark here. Basically, the duration of our positions in this asset liability management for the bank are very short. Basically, they are limited to the

FINAL

horizon of the monetary policy. So, our focus here is to earn the accrual of interest from the positions. So basically, as we get closer to the maturities of those securities and considering that Selic didn't change, we continue with a positive accrual and actually the mark-to-market naturally reduces for these securities.

So basically, this should very soon go back to equities. Also, I remind you of our strong capacity of generating capital organically from our retained earnings, so that is enough to really offset even strong growth in risk-weighted assets as we had this quarter. So basically, we feel very comfortable with capital.

And finally, in slide 20, we have our guidance. We revised the guidance for two lines. Insurance premiums, we reduced the range from 4% to 8% to 2% to 6%, basically reflecting the fact that the market has underperformed in terms of growth and premiums. We feel we are in a better position to meet this guidance now. And also we revised the guidance for the expanded provision expenses from BRL16 billion to BRL19 billion to a range of BRL13 billion to BRL16 billion. We target here the middle, if you analyze the first half, we would have BRL14.6 billion in provisions. So, we are very comfortable on that.

On the other lines, for the expanded loan book growth, we target the middle. For NII, we are comfortable with the middle, the minus 2, in this range 0 to minus 4. Fees and commissions also the middle and operating expenses, we believe we can be on the mid-lower portion of the guidance for full-year '18.

With that, I close my comments on the presentation and open for Q&A.

Questions And Answers

Operator

Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, we will now initiate the question-and answer-section. (Operator Instructions) Our first question is coming from Mr. Carlos Macedo with Goldman Sachs. You may proceed.

Q - Carlos Macedo {BIO 15158925 <GO>}

Thank you. Good morning, everyone. I have a couple of questions. First question, thank you for updating the guidance. I want to talk a little about the margin growth guidance that you put, where you didn't change 0 to minus 4%, minus 3.8%. I think it's two questions around that. First is on the loan growth. You're moving to the upper side of the range here and in loan growth guidance and from everything we can tell from the origination, your retail book is starting to grow and will probably accelerate in the second half of the year.

Do you think there's upside to this growth on the retail side? And could that have an impact on your margins, given that you've had a negative effect on insurance this quarter and that you're going to have a better mix in the second half of the year? Do you think that's something that could offset some of the headwinds you faced earlier in 2018?

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

We totally agree. We believe the mix can really help us. I think it has started to help, when you look on the quarterly variation. We already have an increase in the credit margin this quarter. So, credit margin can improve. And basically insurance, we don't call it one-off but the differential between wholesale and retail inflation shouldn't repeat. So basically, the insurance results should normalize and also the ALM others also have room for normalize. So, yes, we think mix can help.

The growth in the Corporate this quarter is kind of, as I said, based on specific opportunities. We hope we have those same opportunities during the rest of the year. But most likely, we're going back to the same path, in terms of growth for Corporate, as we had before or maybe better more to the end of the year, while this strength in retail probably will remain. We always point that we should be able to grow high single digits for these retail portfolios and we are there.

Q - Carlos Macedo {BIO 15158925 <GO>}

Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Firetti.

Operator

Our next question comes from Mr. Jorge Kuri of Morgan Stanley. You may proceed.

Q - Jorge Kuri {BIO 3937764 <GO>}

Hi. Good morning. Two questions if I may. On your guidance for provisions that you reduce considerably, you are seeing faster growth in consumer loans from the second half, as you mentioned. When you initially set the guidance, I think the expectation for GDP growth, which was early this year was around 2.5% to 3%. Consensus is probably around 1.5 now. So the economy's growing less, unemployment is, having improved this margin expected earlier on.

So it does seem that the macro environment is a bit worse than what you said in your guidance on and look, you're actually growing faster and we did see bad debt formation picked up quite meaningfully this quarter. We calculate 25% quarter-on -quarter. So, just wanted to understand why -- what's allowing you to grow more provision less in an environment where NPLs bad formation is picking up and the economy is growing much less than you expected earlier on this year? That's question one. And go ahead, Firetti.

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Yes. First, let's focus on your comments on bad debt formation. I understand you include renegotiations in your bad debt formation calculation. That is the only difference to our calculation. That is basically the variation of NPLs plus write-offs. Basically, as I pointed, the bad debt, the increasing renegotiations this quarter is due to very few companies re-renegotiating for which we mostly have provisions. So basically, these renegotiations don't even impact the provisions, despite the fact that, yes, the renegotiated loans leave the NPL.

FINAL

So basically, in the retail and SMEs, actually there's no impact in -- from renegotiations and the trend you see there, in terms of NPL creation, NPL is a real one. So systemically, I would say we are doing very, very well in credit quality. Your point about the GDP is fair. We started the year, expecting something as 2.5% growth. We are seeing 1.5%, but it's interesting, we saw very strong months in April, May and June in terms of origination, very good quality. The vintages we originated were very good.

So, we didn't reduce our credit standards. So basically, we still see demands. Maybe we have some impact going forward, some slowdowns in origination. We haven't seen that so far but it may happen. But we continue optimistic that actually, considering we are now very close to a very important event. And after that, we believe we may see a real acceleration in the economy. So eventually, we don't see a material deceleration in loan growth.

A - Denise Pavarina {BIO 2255359 <GO>}

Just to add something, Jorge, what we see is that the team is much more prepared. We do have systems that are in front of the managers. The offers they should do to each client, in each moment and the credit can be contracted also via mobiles, via ATM, Internet banking. So we are creating conditions to the client to access the credit easier and also for the managers to offer it in a more effective way, in a more efficient way.

So, adding to what Firetti said, I think the two things together, then there are specific lines where we are growing faster, like the real estate, the auto financing and the salary-related loans. So, those are very focused by the managers to offer to our clients.

Q - Jorge Kuri {BIO 3937764 <GO>}

Great. Thank you. And my second question is on net interest margins. I understood from your comments that you expect a better performance in the second half from an improvement in your lending needs and normalization of the insurance product. Could I ask what is the duration of your overall loan book?

Because if yours looks similar to the overall industry, which is somewhere around 12 to 18 months, that means that credits that are going to come due in the second half of the year were issued with a level of Selic rate that was closer to 10% versus 6.5% now. So, your back book, front book repricing seems a bit challenging to expect a normalization in margins. Could you talk about that, please? Thank you.

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

You are right. Our loan book is about one year and a half mostly. And you are right, there's repricing of the portfolio and spreads really when you look line-by-line. In some cases, went down. The key thing here is the mix. Actually, we are growing more in retail, loans and less in Corporate apart for this quarter that was, as I said, due to some specific operation.

So this -- and we are growing in retail. We are growing small companies and individuals. So, basically small companies have pretty good margins. So basically, this is the point,

substituting corporate loans for retail loans, even though you are right, there's this effect of repricing that help margins together with the pick up in volume. So, that helps NII.

Q - Jorge Kuri {BIO 3937764 <GO>}

Okay. Thanks for your answers. Thank you very much.

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

You're welcome.

Operator

Our next question comes from Mr. Jason Mollin with Scotiabank. You may proceed.

Q - Jason Mollin {BIO 1888181 <GO>}

Thank you. My first question is on loan growth and origination. You do show on slide nine of your presentation the origination by business day for individuals and companies. I think for individuals, it's pretty easy to understand. Can you help us follow what happens for companies because of devaluations, 17% devaluation Q-on-Q in the quarter? You do provide some details on foreign currency loans that we've looked at, but specifically, what would the origination be like if we exclude the impact on FX?

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

I don't have that information, Jason. I guess, only origination probably, the impact is not really material. We can try to do something. There's an impact from FX in the overall portfolio growth that I can give you. Basically, we grew in nominal terms 4.5%. Without the FX impact, it would be 3.2%.

Q - Jason Mollin {BIO 1888181 <GO>}

That's helpful. I mean, I get it, I guess just comparing year-on-year, it would be comparing, I guess, in the quarter, I'm wondering if part of this origination, clearly, I think part of the year-on-year is probably just looking at it, such a different level.

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Yeah. It's fair to assume that. Probably, it would still be growing anyway, but it's fair to assume that it may have this impact.

Q - Jason Mollin {BIO 1888181 <GO>}

Thanks. My second question is on -- and you mentioned the book value evolution or the negative impact of the mark-to-market. And you said that the duration of the securities book is very short and that as these bonds mature, you could actually have the losses reversed. Maybe you could give some more color, what's a big hit in the quarter?

We didn't see book value growth even after the 5 billion in recurring earnings, after we look at this mark-to-market. And I guess we had this impact of prudential measures that we saw, that also you show impacting the capital, if you can give us some color there as well. And should we expect, therefore, I mean we don't know what's going to happen with volatility, but if you were to sell these securities available for sale, they would obviously, you would realize the loss.

FINAL

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Well, yes, you are right. The duration of that, as I said is not really long. It's we -- for specific reasons, we will not give the duration. But I can say it matches the horizon of the monetary policy. Those securities are really part of our liability management policies, how we invest, especially we hedge, of this rate [ph], some liabilities. We should take it to maturity. First, the accrual on that position is still positive, despite the fact we had a negative mark-to-market, even that actually didn't move.

And basically, as you get closer to maturity, the mark-to-market, even the prices remain -- rate remains at the same level, actually the mark-to-market reduces. The tax credit didn't impact equity. It impacts the referential capital for BIS purpose, but it doesn't impact actually shareholders' equity. It's only the market (Multiple Speakers)

Q - Jason Mollin {BIO 1888181 <GO>}

Where is that book then? So, where was that book that was booked in that, we have that line, the prudential information?

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

You have that in the capital calculation. In the table, we show the calculation of the capital for BIS, but the tax credit goes on the balance sheet, it's there. Not in the equity. Actually, it is reflected in the equity somehow, but it doesn't affect shareholders' equity, but mark-to-market, yes, it's one of the shareholders' equity component.

Q - Jason Mollin {BIO 1888181 <GO>}

Okay. Thank you.

Operator

Our next question comes from Mr. Marcelo Teles with Credit Suisse. You may proceed.

Q - Marcelo Telles {BIO 3560829 <GO>}

Hi. Hello, everyone. Thanks for your time. I have two questions if I may. The first one, I'd like to dig a little bit deeper on the insurance margin for the quarter. Now as you mentioned, the margin was negatively affected by the mismatch between IGPM and IPCA. I'm just trying to quantify or trying to quantify that impact. Looking at your financials, I just want to confirm with you, if in the part there is this mismatch, is the BRL 22 billion of the NTN's that are held in a book, that's held to maturity in the insurance business?

Because if that was the case, this 2% differential pretty much represents closer to BRL440 million of NII in the quarter, which is quite meaningful. And if you have those IGPM and IPCA aligning down the road, you could have a very significant pick up in NII down the road. Do these calculations make sense to you or I'm looking at something incorrect here?

And the second question is, your insurance operation, when you look at the operation result, at your insurance result and excluding it, of course, the financial result, there was a very significant improvement in the quarter, as you mentioned, claims ratio improved and it seems that a lot had to do in the health insurance. So, going forward, do you think there is room for you to improve further? Where do you think you are in terms of claims ratio, vis- a-vis, what you see as kind of the more recurring level? Thank you.

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Okay. I will start the answer. The mismatch in the insurance is really, in terms of IGPM, IPCA, it's much, much smaller than that. For strategic reasons, we don't disclose, but the mismatch is more in the range of a couple of billions than actually the numbers we said -- that you said. When you look to the positioning in inflation-linked bonds, you actually see -- look at the management of reserves and also the capital of the insurance company, not really only the management of the actuarial mismatch that's found this way on the pensions business. So basically -- but you are right, this is only to explain the nature of this negative impact from this mismatch even though I'm now saying that the magnitude is not the one you mentioned.

Basically, we have liabilities in the insurance company, mostly related to traditional pensions. And we have assets covering these liabilities for parts of the portfolio is IGPM plus something. And we hedge that with bonds, and basically, some of the bonds are IPCA with retail inflation plus something. We have parts of the liability covered by matching actually, but there is a gap. But basically, when IGPM is higher, we have expense that is higher than the revenues from the inflation-linked bonds. This is the source of this mismatch.

A - Vinicius Albernaz {BIO 17958985 <GO>}

Firetti, if I may, Marcelo, Vinicius, here. If I may add, and of course, the government no longer issues government bonds, linked -- inflation-linked to IGPM. In the past, we used to have most of our liabilities covered by -- fully by government bonds inflation-linked to IGPM, which they have a -- I mean, they have a natural schedule of redemptions.

We had a big redemption back last year. So, that mismatch is part of our life. It's because there are no longer issues there. And of course, I mean, we are, at this point, with this current mismatch, losing on the margins, but we have to remember that for a couple of years, the opposite happened. So, I mean, this is something that over the long-term tends to converge, but there may be some periods of impact.

And also, as Firetti said, part of the portfolio of inflation-linked bonds to IPCA, we also covered all the liabilities. We have liabilities linked to general inflation index as well, as

well as long-term liabilities that they help, for instance, the warrant some sort of asset linked to inflation.

And as for your question on the operating results, I think it's not only Oral. I mean, Oral is an important part of that. As I mentioned, underwriting discipline and the improvement in the mix of Oral and P&C in general, looking for better results and better returns in that portfolio. But also I have to say that we have had significant improvement in the claims ratio of the health business.

This is a trend that we believe continues in the trend of the first quarter. And this is very positive and is caused by an improvement in employment in the current [ph] environment situation, but also is the result of several measures that were undertaken by the company in the last year or so in order to control losses, to control claims, and reflects a lot of those operational gains that we believe will be fruitful going forward.

Q - Marcelo Telles {BIO 3560829 <GO>}

That's very helpful. Thank you.

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Welcome.

Operator

Our next question comes from Mr. Thiago Batista with Itau BBA. You may proceed.

Q - Thiago Batista {BIO 15398695 <GO>}

Yeah. Hi, guys. I have just one question about insurance results, but this time on the operational side of things for these results. The results of insurance improved a lot this quarter. And if I'm not wrong, this was the best quarter ever for the operating insurance results. Do you believe this level is, let's say, recurring, we can see this level of insurance results in coming quarters similar to this one, and also if there's any one-off impact that explains this very strong insurance results?

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

There are some trends that we need to mention, are in our view sustainable. The improvement in health, basically we did a lot of homework to really control costs. There's the stability of the unemployment ratio. Remember, we always have that in the increasing of an employment. Basically, we had an increase in frequency due to this, even though unemployment is not going down. Actually, it's more stable. So, we have benefits on insurance, on health insurance. We also have improvements in claims in other lines and also, we had some improvements, or at least we have been keeping our administrative efficiency ratios.

A - Vinicius Albernaz {BIO 17958985 <GO>}

FINAL

Yes. I think, Thiago, it's important to mention that it's coming also from lower commercial ratio, expense ratios, commissions ratios, lower administrative costs and also, I mean, you have to remember that this is a counterpart of the financial side. I mean when the insurance business, when the financial results go down, given lower interest rates and different scenarios, it is natural that you have an improvement in the operating results. Also I think you should take into account the two quarters combined and compared to last year in the first half of 2018 compared to 2017.

And of course, going forward, we believe that these are improvements in processes that we have undertaken and as well as controlling of this and a disciplined underwriting policies will continue to allow us to have better operation results but of course, we also, in Brazil, and we also are linked to the general environment and that has a big effect. So we are, I think, in a very good position to capture continuing improvements in the general economic environment, should it happen. But this is a risky business, of course, the insurance, this is not something that we can predict 100%.

Q - Thiago Batista {BIO 15398695 <GO>}

Well, perfect. Thanks for the answer.

Operator

Our next question comes from Mr. Mario Pierry with Bank of America. You may proceed.

Q - Mario Pierry {BIO 1505554 <GO>}

Good afternoon, everybody. Congratulations on the results. Two questions here. First one is related to your new guidance for provisions. The mid point of your guidance is BRL14.5 billion. However, if I consider that you had already done 7.3 billion in the first half and you're running at 3.4 billion per quarter now, it implies that you would be reaching closer to BRL14 billion. So, just wondering how conservative are you being on your guidance for provisions? And does it reflect maybe just being conservative because something could come up? Or should we be working with a figure than closer to the bottom of your guidance rather than the mid point of your guidance?

Second question is related to your headcount and branch count. As you have shown on your presentation, they are down about 7% year-on-year but it seems like they have stabilized. At the same time, when we look at your efficiency ratio on page 17, your efficiency ratio is stable for the last five quarters at 41%. Part of that, I think reflects the week NII growth or the NII contraction that you are showing. But I wanted to get a sense from you, is there more room for you to improve your costs? And at what level do you think or do you want your efficiency ratio to get to, let's say, by next year? Thank you.

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Okay. First on your questions, I think we overall prefer to say that our target is the middle of the range. I think there's still a lot of things going on. I think there is some uncertainty. I think this 14.5 is already a very strong reduction. We think the trends overall, in terms of

credit cards, are very positive ones. But really we prefer to commit only to the 14.5 that is actually already very, very, very good.

In terms of efficiency, you are right. We did a lot, but this quarter specifically, I wouldn't say one-off, but margin was impacted by some effects that reduced the NII. Probably we have a recovery soon on those specific lines and part of the benefit in improving efficiency has to come from revenues. We did a lot in terms of reducing costs. And basically, the fact we didn't improve more was because the revenue scenario for a while was very hard. So, we expect revenues will, from now on start to help more. But we continue with our focus on costs.

I think for this year, we expect to close more 200 branches, probably the reduction in number of people will be slower but it should still happen. And there's also other fronts here in terms of trying to control expenses. We don't, for this year, probably as I said, the expenses should be in the mid-lower portion of the guidance, 0 to minus 2. So, there are improvements in personnel expenses, only by having better labor lawsuits or lower labor lawsuits that should happen in the second quarter. So, we still see benefits on the cost front, and we expect to start to see better numbers also on the revenue front. We started to capture more synergies.

A - Denise Pavarina {BIO 2255359 <GO>}

Just to add, when I mentioned the adaptation of the branches, I think, when we see that a place does not need a necessary to have branch, what we do, we just change to a post of attendance, where we don't have to have the security guards and it's still the cost and this the space that we're using. So the costs reduces an average of 5% of the branch as was previously to this adaptation.

What happens is that this will come on time. We don't see it as first, but this will come on time. And we continue to evaluate, as I said, all the points we have. And considering the business model we have of our national presence, this adaptation has to be done according to the needs of the place and results that our place can bring to us. So, this is something that we are very carefully looking at.

Q - Mario Pierry {BIO 1505554 <GO>}

Okay. Thank you very much.

Operator

Our next question comes from Mr. Felipe Salomao with Citibank. You may proceed.

Q - Felipe Salomao {BIO 19237023 <GO>}

Hi. Good afternoon, everyone. I have a question about Cielo, to be more precise. So, recent months have been challenging for Cielo. Competition has intensified, important executives have left the company and results are deteriorating despite the macro recovery.

So, I'm sorry for asking a broad question. But how the bank, as one of the controllers of Cielo, is seeing the future of the company in the short to mid-term? Should results continue to be under pressure, or are they expected to improve, given that the SME loan portfolio growth is accelerating? And can you please also comment if the distribution of POS devices at branches has been accelerating since the launch of the Stelo brand? Thanks. These are my questions.

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Okay. Thank you, Felipe. We have to be careful here talking about Cielo. But overall, our view, for sure is, you are fully right in your reading, there is a change in the competitive environment in the acquiring business. Cielo had some advantages in the past that are not there anymore. There's a strong increase in the number of competitors, but Cielo remains a great company. It's our partner for our initiatives in the acquiring business. We do with them our POS machine, co-branded POS machine. We have been growing there in terms of numbers of POSs.

Their POS is our vehicle for playing in the individual entrepreneur business. That is a business that makes a lot of sense for us. We have been investing and positioning ourselves to be the most relevant player there. We already have a big number of clients in that segment, as clients of Bradesco, considering we have a lot of clients also in the bottom of the pyramid and we are really improving our offer to be even more competitive in that segment, offering the tools for attracting and providing the better service for the client.

We are selling quite actively, Stelo POS, the Bradesco co-branded POS, as well helping them in other situations. So basically, it's -- we are comfortable and think they will very soon overcome these pressures in revenues and in terms of mark. We have sold so far, considering both, Cielo, the co-branded and Stelo machines, about 110,000 POSs. So, we are quite active. We have just started on that.

Q - Felipe Salomao {BIO 19237023 <GO>}

Okay. Thank you, Firetti. Thank you for your answer.

Operator

Our next comes from Mrs. Natalia Corfield with J.P. Morgan. You may proceed.

Q - Natalia Corfield {BIO 6421991 <GO>}

Hi, all. Thank you for the questions. With regards to your capitalization, we saw this decline of 100 basis points quarter-over-quarter. And I want to know, first, if you -- what to expect for the second half of the year? And if you think there is a chance that we will continue to go down. Secondly, if you are comfortable with the levels that you currently have and if you are not, which would be the comfortable level of capitalization for you? These are my questions.

FINAL

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Yes. Okay, Natalia. Thank you for the question. Basically, we are comfortable with this level, but we understand, and I think everybody understands that actually we generate a lot of capital organically through retained earnings that should continue. This quarter we have especially, in the Corporate business and also due to FX and acceleration in long growth, part of it is due to these specific issues, even though we believe loan growth should continue, probably these effects are more like one-off.

As I mentioned in the presentation, part of the impacts or most of the impacts come from mark-to-market in our securities portfolio and most of the securities are short-term and as we converge to maturities and considering that, basically, we believe that we recovered this mark-to-market to our capital. Also, consumption of tax credits generated, that also impacted just last -- some quarters go and we should consume a big part of it. So basically, we think our capital will naturally expand over the coming quarters. We have said, we believe some sort of comfortable level would be something around 13.5 Tier 1, probably 12 principal capital, plus the perpetual bonds and we think we may -- we should get there in, not that long, considering all the trends, capital accumulation and actually recovering this mark-to-market, that should naturally flow through to our balance sheet.

Q - Natalia Corfield {BIO 6421991 <GO>}

Okay. And what about the core equity, like at 10.6% level? Is that something that you had in your mind, or you think that gradually based on what you said, when the effects of the mark-to-market fade, they should gradually improve?

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Yeah. That's -- as I said, basically, we naturally improve with this natural evolution, plus earnings retentions. We continue generating capital organically through earnings retentions.

Q - Natalia Corfield {BIO 6421991 <GO>}

Okay. And to reach your -- the comfortable level of Tier 1, do you think about the issuance of T1 [ph]?

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

You say perpetual bonds?

Q - Natalia Corfield {BIO 6421991 <GO>}

Yes.

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

We are always attentive to this market, but we don't have any plans at this moment for issuing but we are always looking.

Bloomberg Transcript

Q - Natalia Corfield {BIO 6421991 <GO>}

If you have, basically, wish?

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Yeah. If we wish, we could issue up to 70 bps more. We already have 80 bps in our issuance made here in Brazil.

Q - Natalia Corfield {BIO 6421991 <GO>}

Okay. Thank you.

Operator

Our next question comes from Mr. Carlos Gomes with HSBC. You may proceed.

Q - Carlos Gomez Lopez {BIO 18817238 <GO>}

Hello. Good morning. And the line is poor, and you may have trouble answering these questions. I apologize for that. The first one is about the prudential adjustments that we see on page 19, 0.3% decline. I remember that this is related to the Basel III implementation, but that should have come in the first quarter. So, can you explain why you had another prudential adjustment in this quarter and that we should expect more in the coming quarters?

And the second one was --

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Carlos. Let me ask -- the line is very poor. Let me ask your questions one by one that is going to make it easier. Okay?

Q - Carlos Gomez Lopez {BIO 18817238 <GO>}

Sure.

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Okay. First, basically, this prudential adjustment, basically it's something that adds to the prudential adjustment. Basically, I mentioned in the call, the prudential adjustment basically is increase in the stock of tax credits. Mostly related to FX variation on our hedging of external FX exposure. So basically, this quarter, given the level of real depreciation, we had this tax credit that are deducted from our equity. That's it. And basically, we should consume that over time.

Q - Carlos Gomez Lopez {BIO 18817238 <GO>}

Okay. I understand. You have no exposure on the currency. You have, because of your hedges, an increase in the tax credit and those portfolios were smaller (Technical Difficulty)

FINAL

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Yeah, I don't have any FX exposure. The only impact is really the effect of the duration of tax credit. Hello?

Q - Carlos Gomez Lopez {BIO 18817238 <GO>}

Yeah, hello. And (inaudible) was tax related, the tax credit itself and are you expecting [ph] that also related to the (inaudible) in currency?

A - Carlos Firetti {BIO 2489005 <GO>}

Carlos, would you mind to call me after the call? I'm really having trouble to understand. Maybe we can discuss that.

Q - Carlos Gomez Lopez {BIO 18817238 <GO>}

Okay then. Thank you.

Operator

Excuse me, ladies and gentlemen. If there are no further questions, I would like to invite the speakers for the closing remarks.

A - Denise Pavarina {BIO 2255359 <GO>}

I would like to thank you, everyone, for participating on this call. And as Firetti just mentioned to Carlos, we will be available for further questions later on. Thank you. Have a very nice day.

Operator

That does conclude Banco Bradesco's conference call for today. Thank you very much for your participation. Have a good day.

This transcript may not be 100 percent accurate and may contain misspellings and other inaccuracies. This transcript is provided "as is", without express or implied warranties of any kind. Bloomberg retains all rights to this transcript and provides it solely for your personal, non-commercial use. Bloomberg, its suppliers and third-party agents shall have no liability for errors in this transcript or for lost profits, losses, or direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or punitive damages in connection with the furnishing, performance or use of such transcript. Neither the information nor any opinion expressed in this transcript constitutes a solicitation of the purchase or sale of securities or commodities. Any opinion expressed in the transcript does not necessarily reflect the views of Bloomberg LP. © COPYRIGHT 2022, BLOOMBERG LP. All rights reserved. Any reproduction, redistribution or retransmission is expressly prohibited.