## **SMIII** lectures

Week 12

## The Geometry of Least Squares

- ► The discussion of linear models so far has been formulated in terms of matrices.
- This is adequate from a computational perspective.
- ▶ But there is an important logical gap to be filled.
- We know the same model can be specified with different model matrices.
- ► For example, when dealing with factors, we can use different constraints and we showed that the models were equivalent.

# The Geometry of Least Squares

We need to answer the question:

What is the unique definition of a linear model?

#### Overview

- In this section, we use concepts of linear algebra and, in particular, vector subspaces to provide a precise specification of the linear model.
- ► Hypothesis testing and the analysis of variance will be described in terms of linear algebra.
- ▶ We will consider only *n*-dimensional Euclidean space.
- Much of the theory extends to abstract vector spaces, but this is not considered here.

# Subspaces

$$\mathbb{R}^{\ltimes}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} : x_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

### **Vector Addition**

$$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + y_1 \\ x_2 + y_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n + y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

for

$$\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^n$$
.

# Scalar Multiplication

$$\mathbf{ax} = \begin{bmatrix} ax_1 \\ ax_2 \\ \vdots \\ ax_n \end{bmatrix}$$

for

$$a \in \mathbb{R}, \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
.

## The dot product

The **dot product** or **inner product** of two vectors is defined in the usual way.

$$\mathbf{x.y} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i.$$

#### The norm

The dot product can be used to define distances, via the **norm**,

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}.\mathbf{x}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2},$$

so that the Euclidean distance between two points is

$$\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|.$$

# Orthogonal vectors

The dot product is also used to define the angle,  $\theta$  between vectors via the relation

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{x}.\boldsymbol{y}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|.\|\boldsymbol{y}\|} = \cos\theta.$$

The vectors x, y are said to be **orthogonal** or **perpendicular** if

$$x.y = 0.$$

In this case, we write

$$x \perp y$$
.

# Definition 12.1: Vector Subspaces

A set

$$\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

is said to be a vector subspace if

$$a\mathbf{x} + b\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Example 1

$$\mathcal{M}_1\subset\mathbb{R}^3$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \left\{ oldsymbol{x} = egin{bmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} 
ight\}.$$

## Example 2

Let X be an  $n \times p$  matrix and let

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \{ {m y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : {m y} = X{m eta} \ ext{for} \ {m eta} \in \mathbb{R}^p \}.$$

# Definition 12.2: Span

Let

$$\{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_p\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

be a set of vectors.

The **span** is defined by

$$S\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_px_p\}$$

for

$$a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p \in \mathbb{R}$$
.

Ш

The span,

$$\mathcal{S}\{\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_p\},\$$

is always a vector subspace.

The vectors

$$\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p$$

is said to span the subspace  ${\mathcal M}$  if

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S}\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p\}.$$

#### **Basis**

Recall that a set of vectors,

$$\{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_p\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

is said to be linearly independent if

$$\sum_{i=1}^p a_i \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 = \ldots = a_p = 0.$$

#### Definition 12.3

Let

$$\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

be a vector subspace.

A set of linearly independent vectors,

$$\{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_p\},\$$

such that

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S}\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p\}$$

is said to form a **basis** for  $\mathcal{M}$ .

L

## Example 1

The two vectors

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

form a basis for  $\mathcal{M}_1$ .

## Example 2

If the columns

$$\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p$$

of the matrix, X, are **linearly independent**, then they form a basis for  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .

#### Alternative Bases

The basis of a vector subspace is not unique. In our two examples:

▶ The two vectors

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{y}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{y}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

form a basis for  $\mathcal{M}_1$ . - For any  $p \times p$  invertible matrix, A, the columns of the matrix XA form a basis for  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , provided the columns of X are linearly independent.

# Theorem 12.1: Dimension of a subspace

Suppose

$$\{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_p\}$$

and

$$\{\mathbf{v}_1,\mathbf{v}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_r\}$$

are bases for the vector subspace  $\mathcal{M}$ . The r = p.

## Definition 12.4

The the vector subspace,  $\mathcal{M}$ , is said to have dimension, p, if any basis for  $\mathcal{M}$  has p elements.

Г

Linear Models

#### Linear Models

Consider data  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  and the statistical model,

$$y = \eta + e$$

where  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  are such that

$$E(\mathbf{e}) = 0$$
 and  $Var(\mathbf{e}) = \sigma^2 I$ .

A model of the form,

$$M: \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{M}$$

where  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a linear model.

#### Linear Models

A linear model is specified at the most fundamental level by the subspace  $\mathcal{M}.$ 

In practice, linear models can be specified by a model matrix, X, such that

$$\eta \in \mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow \eta = X\beta.$$

That is, where the columns of X form a basis for  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We have seen previously examples where the same model can specified with different model matrices.

In general two models of the form

$$\eta = X\beta$$
 and  $\eta = X^*\beta^*$ 

will be equivalent when the columns of X and  $X^*$  are bases for the same subspace  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## The projection matrix

In the matrix treatment of the linear model, the projection matrix

$$P = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T$$

plays a key role.

*P* can also be defined as a projection operator on the underlying subspace without reference to a model matrix.

This is an important result because it implies that the projection P obtained from X and  $X^*$  is the same.

# Definition 12.5 Orthogonal Complement

The  $orthogonal\ complement\ of\ the\ vector\ subspace\ \mathcal{M}\ is\ the\ set$ 

$$\mathcal{M}^{\perp} = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{x} \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{M} \}.$$

#### Theorem 12.2

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a vector subspace of dimension p, then  $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}$  is a vector subspace of dimension n-p.

П

#### Example

Let  $\mathcal{M}_1$  be as previously.

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{M}_1^{\perp} = \left\{ oldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : oldsymbol{v.x} = 0 ext{ for all } oldsymbol{x} = egin{bmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} 
ight\}.$$

$$\mathbf{v.x} = x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2 = 0$$
 for all  $x_1, x_2 \Leftrightarrow v_1 = v_2 = 0$ .

That is,

$$\mathcal{M}_1^\perp = \left\{ oldsymbol{v} = egin{bmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ v_2 \end{bmatrix} : v_3 \in \mathbb{R} 
ight\} = \mathcal{S} \left\{ egin{bmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} 
ight\}$$

# Orthogonal Projection

Let  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector subspace.

It can be proved that every  $\mathbf{\textit{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can be expressed **uniquely** as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$$
 where  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{M}, \ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ .

#### Definition 12.6

The orthogonal projection P on the subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  is the linear mapping  $P:\mathbb{R}^n\mapsto\mathcal{M}$  defined by

$$P\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{u}$$
.

**Note** I - P is the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ .

# Example

Let  $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be as before and let P be the orthogonal projection. Consider

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The unique decomposition of

$$y = u + v$$

is

$$oldsymbol{u} = egin{bmatrix} y_1 \ y_2 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_1 \ ext{and} \ oldsymbol{v} = egin{bmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ y_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_1^{\perp}.$$

The orthogonal projection is

$$P\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Theorem 12.3 The least squares property

Let P be the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{M}$  and consider  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Then

$$\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{w}\|^2 \ge \|\mathbf{y} - P\mathbf{y}\|^2$$

with equality if and only if  $\mathbf{w} = P\mathbf{y}$ .

# Theorem 12.4 The normal equations

The linear mapping P is the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal M$  if and only if

$$P\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}$$
 and  $\mathbf{y} - P\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ 

for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Note, the conditions

$$P\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}$$
 and  $\mathbf{y} - P\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ 

for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are called the **normal equations**.

## Theorem 12.5 Symmetry and Idempotence

Consider an  $n \times n$  matrix, P. The mapping  $P\mathbf{y}$  is the orthogonal projection on the range of P if and only if  $P = P^2 = P^T$ .

#### The matrix formulation

Consider the matrix formulation,

$$y = \eta + e$$

such that E(e) = 0 and  $Var(e) = \sigma^2 I$ , and consider the linear model

$$\eta = X\beta$$

where X an  $n \times p$  matrix with linearly independent columns.

- ► Have seen previously that  $P = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T$  is symmetric and idempotent.
- Moreover the range of P is the column space of X, or the linear subspace  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- lacktriangle Least squares estimation is the orthogonal projection on  ${\cal M}.$

## Test of Hypotheses and ANOVA

Consider vector subspaces

$$\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that 
$$p_0 = \dim(\mathcal{H}) .$$

Consider the linear model

$$M: \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{M}$$

and the hypothesis

$$H_0: \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{H}$$
.

#### The ANOVA table

The analysis of variance table is

Source	SS	DF	MS	F-ratio
$H_0$ vs $M$	$\ (P-P_0)\boldsymbol{y}\ ^2$	$p-p_0$	$MS_H = \frac{\ (P-P_0)\mathbf{y}\ ^2}{P-P_0}$	$F = \frac{MS_H}{MS_E}$
Residual	$\ (I-P)\boldsymbol{y}\ ^2$	n-p	$MS_H = \frac{\frac{1}{P - p_0}}{\frac{1}{P - p_0}}$ $MS_E = \frac{\ (I - P)\mathbf{y}\ ^2}{n - p}$	
Total	$\ (I-P_0)\boldsymbol{y}\ ^2$	$n-p_0$		

} \end{center}

where P is the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $P_0$  is the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

### The expected mean squares

The key to understanding ANOVA lies in the expected value of the mean square entries.

Suppose the model  ${\mathcal M}$  holds.

We have

$$E(\|\mathbf{Y} - X\hat{\beta}\|^2) = E(\|(I - P)\mathbf{Y}\|^2) = (n - p)\sigma^2$$

so that

$$E(MS_E) = \sigma^2$$
.

#### The expected mean squares

Consider now the hypothesis sum squares.

$$||(P - P_0)\mathbf{Y}||^2 = ||((P - P_0)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta}) + (P - P_0)\boldsymbol{\eta}||^2$$
  
=  $||((P - P_0)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})||^2 + ||(P - P_0)\boldsymbol{\eta}||^2$   
+  $2\boldsymbol{\eta}^T(P - P_0)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})$ 

Since  $P-P_0$  is the orthogonal projection on the vector subspace,  $\mathcal{M}\cap\mathcal{H}.$ 

$$E(\|(P-P_0)(Y-\eta)\|^2) = (p-p_0)\sigma^2.$$

Since  $\eta$  is a constant,

$$E(\|(P-P_0)\eta\|^2) = \|(P-P_0)\eta\|^2.$$

$$E(n^{T}(D D_{1})(V m)) = n^{T}(D D_{1})E(V m) = 0$$

$$E(\boldsymbol{\eta}^T(P-P_0)(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\eta})) = \boldsymbol{\eta}^T(P-P_0)E(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\eta}) = 0$$

$$E(\eta^{\cdot}(P-P_0)(\mathbf{Y}-\eta))=\eta^{\cdot}(P-P_0)E(\mathbf{Y}-\eta)=0$$

 $E(MS_H) = \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{p - p_0} \|(P - P_0)\eta\|^2.$ 

$$E(\eta'(P-P_0)(\mathbf{Y}-\eta))=\eta'(P-P_0)E(\mathbf{Y}-\eta)$$

Hence

### The expected mean squares

Observe that  $H_0: \eta \in \mathcal{H}$  is true if and only if  $(P - P_0)\eta = \mathbf{0}$ Hence  $\delta^2 = \frac{1}{p - p_0} \|(P - P_0)\eta\|^2$  is a measure of the magnitude of any departure from  $H_0$ .

To summarise

$$E(MS_H) = egin{cases} \sigma^2 & \text{if } H_0 \text{ holds} \\ \sigma^2 + \delta^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

#### The F-statistic

The F-statistic is the ratio

$$F = \frac{MS_H}{MS_E}.$$

- When H<sub>0</sub> is true, we would expect the F-statistic to be close to 1.
- ▶ When  $H_0$  is not true, we expect the F-statistic to exceed 1.
- ▶ The greater the departure from  $H_0$ , the larger we expect F-statistic to be.

This explains the logic of the F-test, where  $H_0$  is rejected only for large values of the F-statistic.

It can also be proved that the null distribution of the F-statistic is  $F_{p-p_0,n-p}$  but this is beyond the scope of the course.

Generalised least squares also has a geometrical interpretation.

The same theory applies but instead of using the standard inner product (dot product)

$$\mathbf{x}_1.\mathbf{x}_2 = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle = \mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{x}_2$$

to define angle and distance, we use

$$\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle_* = \mathbf{x}_1^T V^{-1} \mathbf{x}_2$$

The orthogonal\* projection

$$P = X(X^TV^{-1}X)^{-1}X^TV^{-1}$$

is idempotent, i.e.  $P^2 = P$ .

However, symmetry is generalised to the self-adjoint property,

$$\langle P\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle_* = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, P\mathbf{x}_2 \rangle_*$$

It can be checked that

$$P\mathbf{y} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{\eta} \in \mathcal{L}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{\eta}\|_*^2$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the column space of X and

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_*^2 = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_* = \mathbf{x}^T V^{-1} \mathbf{x}.$$

Finally, the definition of

$$Px = v$$

from the decomposition

$$x = v + w$$

with

$$\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}$$
 and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$ 

is the same.

The only difference is that the space  $\mathcal{L}^{\perp}$  is orthogonal with respect to the \* inner product.