

# SIII lectures

Week 1

## Linear Regression

### Multiple Linear Regression

#### Notation

- We will use the convention of representing random variables by uppercase letters, e.g.  $Y$ , and realisations of random variables by the corresponding lowercase letters, e.g.  $y$ .
- In this course we will make extensive use of random vectors and occasional use of random matrices.
- Throughout, we will consider variables for which means and variances exist.

#### Random vectors

*Definition 1.1*

A random vector is a vector of random variables. For example,

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the random vector  $\mathbf{Y}$  we define the mean vector,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ , by

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = E(\mathbf{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} E(Y_1) \\ E(Y_2) \\ \vdots \\ E(Y_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \eta_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

The variance matrix is defined by

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) = \Sigma = [\sigma_{ij}]$$

where

$$\sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} \text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j) & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ \text{var}(Y_i) & \text{for } i = j. \end{cases}$$

□

## Random matrix

A random matrix can also be defined to be a matrix of random variables,

$$\mathbf{Y} = [Y_{ij}]$$

and we will use the convention

$$E(\mathbf{Y}) = [E(Y_{ij})].$$

Note that we will not need to define the variance structure for random matrices.

## Linear transformations

*Lemma 1.1*

Suppose  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a random vector with  $E(\mathbf{Y}) = \boldsymbol{\eta}$  and  $\text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) = \Sigma$  and let  $A_{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_{m \times 1}$  be fixed. Then

$$E(A\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{b}) = A\boldsymbol{\eta} + \mathbf{b} \text{ and } \text{Var}(A\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{b}) = A\Sigma A^T.$$

If  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a random matrix and  $A$  is a fixed matrix then

$$E(A\mathbf{Y}) = AE(\mathbf{Y}).$$

□

## Normal distribution

In this course, we will use the notation,

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim N_r(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$$

to indicate that the  $r$ -dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{Y}$  has the  $r$ -dimensional **multivariate normal distribution** with mean vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  and variance matrix  $\Sigma$ .

## Normal distribution results

*Lemma 1.2*

If  $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_r(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$  and  $A_{k \times r}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_{k \times 1}$  are fixed then

$$A\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{b} \sim N_k(A\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, A\Sigma A^T).$$

If  $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_r(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{r \times 1}$  is fixed, then

$$\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{a}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{a}^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}).$$

□

## Multiple regression

- The regression model is used to model the dependence between a predictor variable  $x$  and a response variable  $Y$ .
- In general, there may be several predictor variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$  and single response  $Y$ .
- In this case the *multiple regression* model may be used to model the simultaneous influence of the predictors.

## Notation

Consider data,

$$\begin{aligned} & (y_1, x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1r}) \\ & (y_2, x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2r}) \\ & \dots \\ & (y_n, x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{nr}) \end{aligned}$$

## Multiple regression model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_r x_{ir} + e_i$$

where  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  are realisations of independent random variables  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$  with

$$E(\mathcal{E}_i) = 0 \text{ and } \text{var}(\mathcal{E}_i) = \sigma^2.$$

## Alternative forms

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_r x_{ir} + e_i$$

with  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  *i.i.d.*  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  as an abbreviation for the random variable formulation given above.

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_r x_{ir} + \mathcal{E}_i$$

## Matrix Formulation

Let

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1r} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2r} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{nr} \end{pmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_r \end{pmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_1 \\ \mathcal{E}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{E}_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

The multiple regression model can then be formulated as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e}$$

or, in terms of random variables,

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$$

with

$$E(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_{n \times n}.$$

The additional assumption of normality is then formulated as

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}).$$

## Linear Independence

*Definition 1.2*

A set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p\}$  is said to be **linearly independent** if

$$\alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_p \mathbf{v}_p = \mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_p = 0.$$

Otherwise it is said to be **linearly dependent**.

□

## Remark

When  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$  are linearly dependent it means that one of the  $\mathbf{v}_i$ 's is expressible as a linear combination of the remaining  $\mathbf{v}$ 's.

## Identifiability

Consider the multiple regression model

$$\mathbf{y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e}.$$

We require that the columns of  $X$  be linearly independent.

### Proof

To see why this is necessary, suppose the columns were linearly dependent. Then we could find a non-zero vector

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)^T$$

such that

$$X\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}.$$

If a non-zero vector  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  satisfies  $X\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  would not be uniquely identified since we would have

$$X\boldsymbol{\beta} = X(\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}).$$

On the other hand, if the columns of  $X$  are linearly independent, we have

$$X\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$$

so  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is uniquely identified.

## Linear least squares

*Definition 1.3*

The least squares estimate,  $\hat{\beta}$  is the vector that minimises the sum of squares

$$Q(\beta) = \|\mathbf{y} - X\beta\|^2.$$

The variance  $\sigma^2$  is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} s_e^2 &= \frac{1}{n-p} \sum_{i=1}^n \{y_i - (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_r x_{ir})\}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n-p} \|\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\beta}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = r + 1$  is the number of columns of  $X$ .

□

## Theorem 1.1

If the columns of  $X$  are linearly independent then the least squares estimates are given uniquely by

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y}.$$

□

## Fitted values

The vector of fitted values is defined by

$$\hat{\eta} = X\hat{\beta} = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y} = P\mathbf{y}$$

where  $P = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$ .

## Alternative notation

- Alternative notation:  $H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$ .
- For reasons to be discussed later, the  $n \times n$  matrix  $P$  is called an orthogonal projection matrix.
- The elementary statistical properties of  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $s_e^2$  are summarised in the following theorem.

## Theorem 1.2

Suppose

$$\mathbf{Y} = X\beta + \mathcal{E}$$

where

$$E(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \text{Var}(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma^2 I.$$

- $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$ .
- $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2(X^T X)^{-1}$
- $E(s_e^2) = \sigma^2$ .

□

### Proof

Proof of  $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\hat{\beta}) &= E((X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{Y}) \\
 &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E(\mathbf{Y}) \\
 &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \beta \\
 &= \beta
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of  $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2(X^T X)^{-1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) &= \text{Var}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{Y}) \\
 &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) \{(X^T X)^{-1} X^T\}^T \\
 &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \sigma^2 I X (X^T X)^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X (X^T X)^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of  $E(s_e^2) = \sigma^2$ .

Observe first that if  $P = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$ , then

- $P^2 = P^T = P$ ;
- $(I - P)^2 = (I - P)^T = I - P$ ;
- If  $\boldsymbol{\eta} = E(\mathbf{Y}) = X\beta$  then  $(I - P)\boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{0}$ .

Next, observe

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n - p)s_e^2 &= \|\mathbf{Y} - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 \\
 &= \|(I - X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T)\mathbf{Y}\|^2 \\
 &= \|(I - P)\mathbf{Y}\|^2 \\
 &= \|(I - P)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})\|^2 \\
 &= \{(I - P)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})\}^T \{(I - P)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})\} \\
 &= (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})^T (I - P)^T (I - P)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta}) \\
 &= (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})^T (I - P)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta}) \\
 &= \text{tr}\{(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})^T (I - P)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})\} \\
 &= \text{tr}\{(I - P)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})^T\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E((n - p)s_e^2) &= E(\text{tr}\{(I - P)(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})^T\}) \\
 &= \text{tr}\{(I - P)E((\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})^T)\} \\
 &= \text{tr}\{(I - P)\sigma^2 I\} \\
 &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}\{I - P\} \\
 &= \sigma^2 \{\text{tr}(I) - \text{tr}(P)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, observe  $\text{tr}(I) = n$  and

$$\text{tr}(P) = \text{tr}\{X(X^T X)^{-1}X^T\} = \text{tr}\{(X^T X)^{-1}X^T X\} = \text{tr}(I_{p \times p}) = p$$

so that

$$E((n-p)s_e^2) = (n-p)\sigma^2 \text{ and hence } E(s_e^2) = \sigma^2$$

as required.

### Theorem 1.3

Suppose

$$\mathbf{Y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$$

where

$$E(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}) = \sigma^2 I.$$

If  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 I)$ , then:

- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2(X^T X)^{-1})$ .
- $\frac{(n-p)s_e^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-p}^2$  independently of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ .

□

### Proof

Proof of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2(X^T X)^{-1})$

This result follows from Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.2 Part 1 and Part 2.

The proof of  $\frac{(n-p)s_e^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-p}^2$  is omitted.