

Pitch Scaling of Music Signals

Théo Verhelst
Université Libre de Bruxelles

Abstract

Pitch scaling is the task of modifying the frequency of a signal while keeping its playback speed intact. Pitch scaling is an important feature of many digital music tools, and needs to be done in real-time with the highest available quality. In this report, we describe succinctly state-of-the-art techniques of pitch scaling, and we explain in detail one that is particularly suited to music signals. We also describe a Clojure implementation of this technique. This technique uses phase vocoder for time-scaling and 3rd order spline interpolation for resampling.

Introduction

Pitch scaling is a process that changes the frequency of a signal without modifying its speed. The main difficulty of pitch scaling is to make the synthesised signal as natural as the original, so that it sounds like as if it was recorded or created on this new pitch. More precisely, the timbre and the speed of the signal need to be preserved.

One application of pitch scaling is to scale the pitch of an instrument recording in a music production software, in order to tune it to the other instruments, or for any other musical purpose. But the main use of pitch scaling is probably in DJ software: one can change the pitch of a track, and therefore its key, in order to make the transition to the next track easier and smoother.

The most straightforward way to change the pitch of an audio signal is to resample the signal and playing it back at its original rate (Driedger and Müller, 2015). But both pitch and speed are modified at the same time. Thus, we need a more sophisticated technique in order to preserve the playback speed constant.

In contrast to pitch scaling, time-scale modification (TSM) is a process that modifies the speed of a signal without modifying its pitch and its timbre. TSM has been subject to many more studies than pitch scaling, but we base our work on these studies, since it is possible to show that both

processes are mathematically equivalent. Indeed, in order to change the pitch of a signal, one can use a well-known TSM method, and then resample the signal.

General procedure

Pitch scaling process is split in two steps: 1) apply a TSM procedure 2) resample the signal. Let α be the scaling factor. We first apply a TSM procedure with parameter $1/\alpha$, so that the playback speed is modified, while the pitch is left unmodified. Then, we resample the signal by a factor α , so that the playback speed of the signal is the same as the original, but the pitch is multiplied by α .

For the TSM part, we use a simple phase vocoder with phase propagation. For the resampling part, we use an interpolator based on 3rd order splines. These are explained below.

Time-scale modification techniques

TSM techniques can be grouped in two main categories: *time-domain TSM* and *frequency-domain TSM*.

Time-domain TSM separates the input signal in short portions of time, and places them in the output signal by spacing them according to the scaling factor. This technique is particularly suited to monophonic, harmonic signal, as it preserves almost perfectly the timbre of the signal. But it can cause phase jumps artifacts on polyphonic signals, since only the most prominent frequency is considered in the process. As a result, phase discontinuities can occur in less important frequencies, which is clearly audible. Furthermore, non-harmonic signals, such as drums or percussive instruments, have non-periodic patterns. These patterns are known as *transients*. A typical time-domain TSM technique leads to transient doubling or skipping (according to the scaling factor), since these techniques periodically repeat or discard some small portions of the sound.

Frequency-domain TSM is based on the short-time Fourier transform (STFT). It splits the signal in small

chunks, and computes the Fourier transform on each of these chunks, in order to get a frequency-domain representation of the signal. Often, the technique also uses the *phase vocoder* in order to refine the frequencies estimates, and are thus named *phase-vocoder time-scale modification*, or *PV-TSM*. However, PV-TSM is often directly named phase vocoder. The idea is to preserve horizontal phase coherence across all frequencies, and not only on the most prominent frequency as in time-domain TSM, by exploiting the frequency-domain representation of the sound. PV-TSM behaves well on polyphonic signals, but are subject to vertical phase incoherence, i.e. the relationship between the phases of different frequencies at a point of time is not preserved, leading to audible artifacts, known as *phasiness*, or *loss of presence*.

Basics of time-scale modification

First, we have to define the basic concepts involved in time-scaling. Let the function $x : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be signal to time-scale. In practice, the analysed audio signal has a finite duration of $L \in \mathbb{N}$ samples. Thus we define $x(n) = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus [0, L[$ for the sake of simplicity.

Almost all TSM techniques are based on the following procedure: first, $x(n)$ is divided in *analysis frames* x_m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ having each a length of N samples, and these analysis frames are spaced by an *analysis hopsize* H_a :

$$x_m(n) = \begin{cases} x(mH_a + n) & \text{if } n \in [-L/2, L/2[\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The next step is to construct *synthesis frames* y_m from the analysis frames, and add these synthesis frames to the output signal $y(n)$, spaced by the *synthesis hopsize* H_s :

$$y(n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} y_m(n - mH_s)$$

H_s is usually set to $N/2$ or $N/4$, in order to have a constant overlap between the synthesis frames. And since we know that $\alpha = \frac{H_s}{H_a}$, we have $H_a = \frac{H_s}{\alpha}$.

We can't add the analysis frame x_m to the output signal directly because it would cause discontinuities and gain fluctuations at frame boundaries. This is why we rather derives the synthesis frames y_m from the analysis frames. The method used to transform x_m into y_m is critical, as it determines the quality of the result.

Resampling

The goal of resampling is to reconstruct a continuous-time signal from the given discrete-time samples, and then sample this signal again with another sampling rate. More formally, we want to construct the continuous-time signal

$$\hat{x} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that

$$x(n) = \hat{x}(Tn) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where T is the sampling period (the inverse of the sampling rate). Then, we sample a new signal y at a sampling period T' :

$$y(n) = \hat{x}(T'n) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

In practice, we often search a direct relationship between x and y , without expressing \hat{x} directly.

In order to construct the continuous-time signal, we need an interpolator. There exists various interpolators, such as truncated sinc, linear-interpolator, b-spline interpolator, Lagrange interpolator. We chose 3rd order spline interpolator, for its simple implementation, although it gives not the best results for musical signal interpolation.

For 3rd order spline interpolation, we search the factors of a 3rd-degree polynomial for each pair of consecutive signal values $(x(n), x(n+1))$, such that this polynomial passes through these values. For the sake of the notation, let

$$y_0 = x(n-1); y_1 = x(n); y_2 = x(n+1); y_3 = x(n+2)$$

We search a function

$$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : t \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^3 \alpha_i t^i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \alpha_2 t^2 + \alpha_3 t^3 \quad (1)$$

In order to determine the value of the factors α_i , we need to set four constraints on the function f :

$$\begin{cases} f(0) = y_1 & (2) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} f(1) = y_2 & (3) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} f'(0) = \frac{y_2 - y_0}{2} & (4) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} f'(1) = \frac{y_3 - y_1}{2} & (5) \end{cases}$$

(2) and (3) are natural constraints of spline interpolator: we want that the interpolating function passes through the supplied values $(x(n), x(n+1))$. But in order to determine the factors α_i , we need two more constraints. One solution is to use Hermitian splines, that is, the derivative of f at $t \in \{0, 1\}$ is equal to the derivative of a straight line between the previous and the next point ((4) and (5)). By expressing the equations as a matrix equation, we can find that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ -3 & 3 & -1 & -0.5 \\ 2 & -2 & 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_2 - y_0 \\ y_3 - y_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For each pair of samples, we just have to compute the four factors α_i with this equation, and then evaluate the function f as in (1), at a value of t corresponding to the new sampling rate.




Figure 1: General procedure of time-scale modification.

Clojure implementation

The Clojure programming language

Overtone

Implementation of pitch scaling

Results

Conclusion

References

Driedger, J. and Müller, M. (2015). A review of time-scale modification of music signals. In Valimaki, V., editor, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Digital Audio Effects (DAFx)*.