CS460: Intro to Database Systems

## Class 12: Tree-Structured Indexing

Instructor: Manos Athanassoulis

https://midas.bu.edu/classes/CS460/

## Tree-structured indexing

Intro & B<sup>+</sup>-Tree

Insert into a B<sup>+</sup>-Tree

Delete from a B<sup>+</sup>-Tree

Prefix Key Compression & Bulk Loading

#### Introduction

#### Recall: 3 alternatives for data entries k\*:

- Data record with key value k
- <k, rid of data record with search key value k>
- <k, list of rids of data records with search key k>

Choice is orthogonal to the *indexing technique* used to locate data entries k\*.


Tree-structured indexing techniques support both *range* searches and equality searches.

## Range Searches

#### "Find all students with gpa > 3.0"

- If data is in sorted file, do binary search to find first such student, then scan to find others.
- Cost of maintaining sorted file + performing binary search in a database can be quite high. Q: Why???

Simple idea: Create an "index" file.



**►** Can do binary search on a (smaller) index file!

#### B+ Tree: The Most Widely-Used Index

Insert/delete at  $log_F(N)$  cost; keep tree *height-balanced*.


(F = fanout, N = # leaf pages)

Minimum 50% occupancy (except for root).

Each node contains  $d \le m \le 2d$  entries. "d" is called the *order* of the tree.


Supports equality and range-searches efficiently.

All searches go from root to leaves, in a dynamic structure.



## Example B+ Tree

Search begins at root, and key comparisons direct it to a leaf. Search for  $5^*$ ,  $15^*$ , all data entries  $>= 24^*$  ...



**▶** Based on the search for 15\*, we know it is not in the tree!

## B+ Trees in Practice (cool facts!)

Typical order: 100. Typical fill-factor: 67%.

- average fanout =  $2 \cdot 100 \cdot 0.67 = 134$ 

#### Typical capacities:

- Height 4:  $133^4 = 312,900,721$  entries
- Height 3:  $133^3$  = 2,406,104 entries

#### Can often hold top levels in buffer pool:

- Level 1 = 1 page = 8 KB
- Level 2 = 134 pages = 1 MB
- Level 3 = 17,956 pages = 140 MB

1

134

17,956

## Tree-structured indexing

Intro & B<sup>+</sup>-Tree

Insert into a B<sup>+</sup>-Tree

Delete from a B<sup>+</sup>-Tree

Prefix Key Compression & Bulk Loading

#### Inserting a Data Entry into a B+ Tree

Find correct leaf *L*.

Put data entry onto *L*.


- If L has enough space, done!
- Else, must <u>split</u> L (into L and a new node L2)
  - Redistribute entries evenly, copy up middle key.
  - Insert index entry pointing to L2 into parent of L.


#### This can happen recursively

To split index node, redistribute entries evenly, but <u>push up</u> middle key.
 (Contrast with leaf splits.)


#### Splits "grow" tree; root split increases height.


Tree growth: gets <u>wider</u> or <u>one level taller at top.</u>



























































## Example B+ Tree



Notice that root was split, leading to increase in height.


In this example, we can avoid split by re-distributing entries; however, this is usually not done in practice.


## Example: Data vs. Index Page Split

minimum occupancy is guaranteed in both leaf and index page splits

copy-up for data page splits


push-up for index page split





## Now you try...





Insert the following data entries (in order): 28\*, 6\*, 25\*

#### Answer...



## Tree-structured indexing

Intro & B<sup>+</sup>-Tree

Insert into a B<sup>+</sup>-Tree

Delete from a B+-Tree

Prefix Key Compression & Bulk Loading

### Deleting a Data Entry from a B+ Tree

Start at root, find leaf L where entry belongs.


Remove the entry.

- If L is at least half-full, done!
- If L has only d-1 entries,
  - Try to re-distribute, borrowing from <u>sibling</u> (adjacent node with same parent as L).
  - If re-distribution fails, merge L and sibling.

If merge occurred, must delete entry (pointing to *L* or sibling) from parent of *L*.


Merge could propagate to root, decreasing height.


## Example: Delete 19\* & 20\*



Deleting 20\* is done with re-distribution. Notice how middle key is copied up.

# ... and then deleting 24\*







Must merge leaves

... but are we done??



## ... merge non-leaf nodes, shrink tree






## Example of non-leaf re-distribution

Tree is shown below during deletion of 24\*.

What could be a possible initial tree?




In contrast to previous example, can re-distribute entry from left child of root to right child.



#### After Re-distribution


Intuitively, entries are re-distributed by "pushing through" the splitting entry in the parent node.

it suffices to re-distribute index entry with key 20; we havere-distributed 17 as well for illustration



#### Reminders

begin at root, compare keys to reach the leaf "order" *d* means d to 2\*d elements



## Tree-structured indexing

Intro & B<sup>+</sup>-Tree


Insert into a B<sup>+</sup>-Tree

Delete from a B<sup>+</sup>-Tree

**Prefix Key Compression & Bulk Loading** 


we want to increase fan-out






we want to increase fan-out






we want to increase fan-out





we want to increase fan-out





we want to increase fan-out

keys in index entries (internal nodes) are used to "direct traffic"


insert/delete must be suitably modified

#### Bulk Loading of a B+ Tree

If we have a large collection of records, and we want to create a B+ tree on some field, doing so by repeatedly inserting records is very slow.


Bulk Loading can be done much more efficiently.

*Initialization*: Sort all data entries, insert pointer to first (leaf) page in a new (root) page.




where to insert: into right-most index page just above leaf level

what to insert: the left-most value of the new leaf




where to insert: into right-most index page just above leaf level

what to insert: the left-most value of the new leaf




where to insert: into right-most index page just above leaf level

what to insert: the left-most value of the new leaf




where to insert: into right-most index page just above leaf level

what to insert: the left-most value of the new leaf




where to insert: into right-most index page just above leaf level


what to insert: the left-most value of the new leaf




where to insert: into right-most index page just above leaf level what to insert: the left-most value of the new leaf



where to insert: into right-most index page just above leaf level what to insert: the left-most value of the new leaf




where to insert: into right-most index page just above leaf level what to insert: the left-most value of the new leaf



where to insert: into right-most index page just above leaf level

what to insert: the left-most value of the new leaf



## **Summary of Loading Options**

#### Option 1: multiple inserts.

- Slow.
- Does not give sequential storage of leaves.

#### Option 2: Bulk Loading

- Fewer I/Os during build.
- Leaves will be stored sequentially (and linked, of course).
- Can control "fill factor" on pages.

#### A Note on "Order"

Order (d) concept replaced by physical space criterion in practice ("at least half-full").

- Index pages can typically hold many more entries than leaf pages.
- Variable sized records and search keys mean different nodes will contain different numbers of entries.
- Even with fixed length fields, multiple records with the same search key value (duplicates) can lead to variable-sized data entries (if we use Alternative (3)).

Many real systems are even sloppier than this --- only reclaim space when a page is *completely* empty.

#### Summary

Tree-structured indexes are ideal for range-searches, also good for equality searches.

#### **B+ tree** is a dynamic structure.

- Inserts/deletes leave tree height-balanced;  $log_F(N)$  cost.
- High fanout (F) means depth rarely more than 3 or 4.
- Almost always better than maintaining a sorted file.
- Typically, 67% occupancy on average.
- If data entries are data records, splits can change rids!

#### B+ Trees



"It could be said that the world's information is at our fingertips because of B-trees"

Goetz Graefe
Google (prev. Microsoft, HP Fellow)
ACM Software System Award