# Comprehensive Report on Antenna Design and S11 Parameter Prediction

#### 1. Introduction

With the increasing demand for efficient communication technologies, such as 5G, designing antennas that perform well across specified frequency ranges has become a crucial engineering challenge. Antenna performance is often evaluated using the S11 parameter, which measures the reflection coefficient. A lower S11 value implies better performance, indicating that more energy is transmitted and less is reflected.

Traditional electromagnetic simulations (e.g., ANSYS HFSS) are highly accurate but computationally expensive, making it impractical to explore a vast design space through brute force. This study leverages machine learning techniques to simplify and predict antenna performance, significantly reducing computational cost and enabling rapid design iteration.

## Research Objectives

This report addresses the following key questions:

- 1. Dimensionality Reduction:
  - Can we reduce the complexity of the design space using PCA or Kernel PCA?
  - How much variance can we explain with the selected components?
- What insights do these components provide about the relationship between geometry and S11 parameters?
- 2. Regression Modeling:
  - How effectively can regression models predict S11 parameters at selected frequencies?
- Which models perform best, and what trends emerge between design parameters and S11 behavior?
- 3. Model Performance and Interpretability:
  - How do different models compare in simplifying and predicting antenna performance?
- What are the limitations of these models, and how can they be improved for complex, non-linear behaviors?

## 2. Methodology

## 2.1 Data Description

The dataset consists of:

- Input Data (`hw1\_input.csv`):
- 385 rows representing antenna designs.
- 11 geometric parameters (e.g., patch length, substrate height).
- Output Data:
- Real part of S11 ('hw1\_real.csv'): Real values of S11 at 201 frequency points (23–33 GHz).
- Imaginary part of S11 (`hw1\_img.csv`): Imaginary values of S11, structured similarly to the real part.

## 2.2 Dimensionality Reduction

- 1. Principal Component Analysis (PCA):
- A linear method to reduce dimensionality by transforming features into orthogonal components.
  - Captures the maximum variance in the data with fewer components.
- 2. Kernel PCA (K-PCA):
  - Extends PCA to capture non-linear relationships using a radial basis function (RBF) kernel.
- Useful for datasets where geometry and electromagnetic behavior exhibit non-linear interactions.

## 2.3 Regression Models

Four regression models are used:

- 1. Linear Regression: A baseline model for prediction.
- 2. Polynomial Regression: Enhances linear regression by capturing non-linear relationships.
- 3. Support Vector Regression (SVR): Uses RBF kernels to handle non-linear patterns.
- 4. Random Forest Regressor: An ensemble model that excels in capturing non-linear interactions.

#### 2.4 Evaluation Metrics

The models are evaluated using:

- Mean Squared Error (MSE): Measures average prediction error.
- R-squared (R<sup>2</sup>): Indicates how much variance is explained by the model.

## 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1 Dimensionality Reduction

#### **PCA Results**

- The first 4 principal components explain 99.4% of the variance:
- Component 1: 43.7%
- Component 2: 23.4%
- Component 3: 19.4%
- Component 4: 12.9%
- Insights:
- The majority of the variance in geometric parameters can be explained with just 4 components, significantly reducing complexity.

#### Kernel PCA Results

- K-PCA captured non-linear relationships, producing more separable clusters in the reduced space.
- This enhanced representation benefited regression models, particularly Random Forest.

## 3.2 Regression Modeling

Selected Frequencies: [50, 100, 150]

Model	Real Part MSE	Real Part R <sup>2</sup>	Imaginary Part MSE	Imaginary Part R <sup>2</sup>
Linear Regression	0.0998	0.771	0.0928	0.106
Polynomial Regression	0.0842	0.809	0.0874	0.155
SVR (RBF Kernel)	0.0492	0.905	0.0862	0.202
Random Forest (K-PCA)	0.0483	0.911	0.0738	0.312

#### Insights

#### 1. Linear and Polynomial Models:

- Linear regression provides a solid baseline but struggles with the imaginary part, where relationships are likely non-linear.
- Polynomial regression improves the fit for the real part but shows limited gains for the imaginary part.

#### 2. Non-linear Models:

- SVR demonstrates high accuracy for the real part but is less effective for the imaginary part.
- Random Forest with K-PCA achieves the best performance across both real and imaginary parts.

## 3.3 Visualization and Error Analysis

#### Feature Importance

Random Forest revealed the relative importance of PCA components:

- Component 1 contributes most significantly to the model's predictions.
- This highlights the relevance of key geometric features in determining S11 behavior.

#### Residual Analysis

Residual plots showed no significant systematic errors for Random Forest, suggesting a robust model fit.

#### Cross-validation

- Random Forest's average R<sup>2</sup> across 5 folds: 0.905.
- This validates the model's consistency across different data splits.

## 4. Answering Research Questions

- 1. Dimensionality Reduction:
- PCA and K-PCA effectively reduced the design space to 4 components while retaining critical variance.
  - K-PCA provided superior representations for regression tasks.
- 2. Regression Modeling:
- Random Forest with K-PCA was the most effective model, achieving the lowest MSE and highest R<sup>2</sup>.
  - Non-linear models outperformed linear ones, particularly for the challenging imaginary part.
- 3. Model Performance and Interpretability:
  - Random Forest provides insights into feature importance, aiding interpretability.
  - Residual analysis confirmed its robustness, with no significant systematic errors.

## 5. Conclusions

- Dimensionality Reduction:
- PCA and K-PCA simplified the design space, enabling efficient modeling.
- Regression Models:
- Random Forest with K-PCA emerged as the most accurate and robust method for predicting S11 parameters.

- Practical Implications:	
- These techniques significantly reduce the computational cost of antenna design, e	nabling

faster iterations and optimization.

Ender Purcu 2020402000