Reincarnation, Original Sin, and Substitutionary Atonement



Reincarnation

Reincarnation presupposes that some part of oneself (the body, or a consciousness/mind) continues on. If so, **everyone** ought to remember a previous life. Yet apart from a few unverifiable, one-sided claims, we can say definitively that people **do not** know their past lives. And if every being is born each time as a wholly **new** person with a wholly **new** body and mind, then reincarnation is meaningless.

"Plato defines death as the **separation** of body and soul." 1) "In the *Phaedo*, Plato has Socrates say: 'Those who live without shunning **gluttony**, **debauchery**, **and drunkenness** will be reborn as donkeys or animals of that sort. Those who choose **injustice**, **tyranny**, **and violence** will be reborn as wolves, hawks, or kites. Ordinary people who practice **temperance** and **justice** will be reborn as social creatures—bees, ants—or as humans. Lastly, philosophers and lovers of learning, having become perfectly pure at death, can meet the gods." 2)

"The **Egyptians** thought the soul completes a cycle every **3,000 years**. During a cycle, after a human dies, the soul lives in the forms of animals, insects, fish, birds, and so on, before returning as a human." 3) ... "And in Exodus 3:14, when Yahweh calls himself '**I AM THAT I AM**' (or 'I am who I am'), this too is a plagiarism of the Egyptian name for their god, **Nuk-pu-Nuk** ('I am that I am')." 4)

"They calculated a single cycle as exactly **2,842 years**—but believed the cycle does not begin if the **corpse is preserved** from decay. Thinking that preserved bodies could return **directly** as humans without passing through lower animals, they embalmed bodies as **mummies**." 5)

In 1 Corinthians 15, when Paul discusses the "resurrection body," he writes: "Someone will ask, 'How are the dead raised? With what kind of body do they come?' Fool! What you sow does not come to life unless it dies. And what you sow is not the body that is to be, but a bare kernel, perhaps of wheat or of some other grain. But God gives it a body as he has chosen, and to each kind of seed its own body. Not all flesh is the same; there is one kind for humans, another for animals, another for birds, and another for fish. There are heavenly bodies and earthly bodies, and the glory of the heavenly is of one kind, and the glory of the earthly is of another" (vv. 35–40). Paul's thought shows Greek influence and amounts to a definition of reincarnation. The underlined portion speaks of distinct "flesh" for humans, animals, birds, and fish—broadening Plato's scheme (humans, beasts, birds) by adding fish.

If a person in one era were to discover that his identity in a previous era is **one** and the same with another person—that he was, say, the **Buddha** or **Jesus**—the resulting identity-confusion would be so severe that he would likely go mad.

Even if past lives existed, **no consciousness** carries over to the next. Depending on one's deeds in a previous life, various strands might **branch** and then appear as **other beings** in the next—yet at that point the body no longer exists, so there is **nothing** to house consciousness or thought. Thus **mind** or **consciousness** perishes **with** the body. Only something like **energy** or **vibration** separates out and, resonating with kindred vibrations, may appear in another form in a subsequent life.

Original Sin and Substitutionary Atonement

The concepts of **original sin** and **substitutionary atonement** were **not** the intent of Yahweh or Jesus. "Original sin" appears nowhere in Yahweh's Law or in Jesus' teaching. Around the **fifth century**, however, the theologian **Augustine** articulated the doctrine of original sin, and it became church dogma. The hypothesis that all humanity is stained with guilt because the mythical **Adam and Eve** sinned is irrational and unconvincing.

The claim that people are sinful **from birth** derives from lines such as, "**The LORD** has laid on him the iniquity of us all" (Isaiah 53:6), and "All have sinned and fall short of the glory of God" (Romans 3:23). 6)

"From the story, 'You may freely eat of every tree of the garden, but of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil you shall not eat; **for on the day you eat of it you shall surely die**' (Genesis 2:16–17), Augustine teased out a clue to original sin as **human nature**. He took Adam and Eve's revolt as a **metaphor** for an innate propensity to sin. The first to introduce the concept of 'original sin' into Christianity, however, was **Irenaeus**, who also played a major role in fixing the four canonical

Gospels. In *Against Heresies* he says Adam's descendants are born **captives** to sin and death; Adam is the conduit by which Satan brought sin into the world. Augustine then developed Irenaeus's account and explained its **transmission**: sin, he thought, is passed to the next generation through the **concupiscence** inherent in sexual intercourse." 7)



That **all humanity** bears the dishonor of original sin because of the conduct of a **legendary** Jewish couple—who happen also to be claimed as the **first ancestors**— is simply not believable. Yet doctrines of **original sin** and **atonement**, invented by mere theologians rather than God or Jesus, took hold as Christian dogma, and those who questioned them were branded **heretics**, cast out, tortured, even **burned**.

Likewise, the doctrine that Jesus' death **liberated** humanity from original sin—substitutionary atonement—can carry no weight. Atonement as a doctrinal system begins with **Paul's** claims 8) and was developed by the church; it was **not** Jesus'

intent. It appears from **Luke** onward and in **Paul's letters**; the **other Gospels** say nothing of the kind. The claim is that by Jesus' shed blood on the **cross**, humanity's sins were washed away and we were saved. If Jesus' sacrificial death atoned for sin once for all, then one should **never again** hold people accountable for past sins—**which would require abolishing original sin altogether**.



"The atonement theology that says God, **instead of** putting to death the sinners who deserve it, sacrificed **his own son** may make some Christians love Jesus, but it is a portrait of a **morally compromised** God. It is perilously close to **child abuse in heaven**, and it may deform our moral imagination on earth." 9)

"We do not **live** in sin; we are not **born** in sin; we do not need **baptism** to wash away some stain of original sin; we are not depraved creatures who cannot be saved without baptism. Rather, we **emerged** through a long evolutionary process, and we are **still** in it. Our **fallibility** is a **sign** of the burdens we carry as survivors of that long, hard past. ... Therefore the notion of a **savior** to restore us to a prefall state is a superstition **before Darwin** and **nonsense after** Darwin." 10)

"Religious leaders, operating under the unchallenged hypothesis that human life is corrupt and estranged from God's grace, built a system in which people must constantly plead for punishment, rescue, redemption, atonement. ... Bluntly, human beings were indoctrinated to see themselves as children deserving of God's wrath. ... Guilt-mongering is essential to maintaining institutional power. Once people accept the diagnosis that they are fallen sinners, the church begins persuading them that forgiveness is possible only through channels of grace the church itself controls. ... The re-enactment of Jesus' substitutionary death in the Mass weekly reminds sinners that their sins exacted the price. One cannot overstate how much Catholicism [indeed, all religion — author's note] has exploited systematic guilt."

An omniscient, omnipotent being who can **count the hairs** on our heads (Matt 10:30; Luke 12:7) and knows the **secrets of the heart** (Ps 44:21) must have a **sovereign plan** and foresee fully how every being will act. If our actions unfold according to a plan God has laid down in advance, then no matter how evil a person's deeds, he **cannot** be liable to judgment. Yet after saddling humanity with original sin for Adam and Eve's sake—and after granting us the benefit of Jesus' **substitutionary death**—religion now claims that we have **free will** and must bear responsibility for what we do with it. This **diminishes** or **unravels** divine omniscience. From religions that front such a being, we can expect little beyond **buttressing** the **legal order** on which the ruling classes depend.

Sources

- 1. Plato, *Euthyphro, Apology, Crito, Phaedo*, Prometheus Books, Amherst, NY, 1988, p. 76.
- 2. Plato, Euthyphro, Apology, Crito, Phaedo, Prometheus Books, 1988, pp. 98-

- 3. Herodotus, Histories 2.123.
- 4. Loren H. Whitney, *Life and Teachings of Zoroaster, the Great Persian*, BiblioBazaar, 2009, pp. 180–181.
- 5. Loren H. Whitney, *Life and Teachings of Zoroaster, the Great Persian*, BiblioBazaar, 2009, pp. 222–223.
- 6. Lee Jong-rok, *Everyday Violence, Violent Religion*, Qumran Publishing, 2017, p. 449.
- 7. Bae Chul-Hyun, God's Great Questions, 21st-Century Books, 2015, pp. 24–25.
- 8. Luke 1:68; 2:38; 21:28; 24:21; Rom 3:24; 8:23; 1 Cor 1:30; Eph 1:7; 1:14; 4:30; 5:16; Col 1:14; 4:5; Titus 2:14; Heb 9:12; 9:15; 1 Pet 1:18; Rev 5:9; 14:3; 14:4.
- 9. John Dominic Crossan, *Who Is Jesus?* (Korean trans.), Korea Institute for Christian Studies, 1998, p. 190.
- 10. John Shelby Spong, *Why Christianity Must Change or Die* (Korean trans.), Korea Institute for Christian Studies, 2001, p. 138.
- 11. John Shelby Spong, *The Sins of Scripture* (Korean trans. 성경의 시대착오적 인 폭력들), Korea Institute for Christian Studies, 2007, pp. 222–223.